In-situ study of the stress relaxation during aging of nickel-base superalloy forgings
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Abstract:

Nickel-base superalloys are conventionally aged in order to develop a favorable microstructure as well as to relieve residual stresses. Here neutron diffraction was used to follow the evolution of residual stress during aging in Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI for the first time by a combination of in-situ and ex-situ measurements. First, the quench-induced stress profiles were determined for different geometries of Inconel 718 forgings confirming that the amplitude of stresses is not significantly affected by the thickness of the component. It was followed by in-situ residual stress analysis using neutron diffraction during aging/annealing treatments at 650°C, 720°C and 750°C. Almost 90% of stress relaxation was found to occur primarily during heating to the aging temperature as a result of a combination of plasticity and early stage creep relaxation. Creep-like stress relaxation was observed to evolve at a diminishing rate during the isothermal treatment of Inconel 718 at 720°C and 750°C while no further stress relaxation occurred at 650°C. This means that a change in hold temperature might have a more immediate impact on stress relaxation than change in duration of heat treatment. The post-aging ex-situ measurements showed that a heat treatment at 750°C for 8h reduced the stresses by approximately 70% in Inconel 718. By comparison, when heat treating Udimet 720LI in the same way only a 20% stress reduction was observed, which is explained by the higher creep resistance of this alloy.
1) Introduction

Ni-base superalloys are widely used for aeroengine applications and in the energy sector due to their exceptional mechanical strength and creep resistance at high temperature [1]. Depending on the microstructure, temperature capability and mechanical property requirements, different superalloys are used for the manufacturing of discs and blades for the hot section of aero-engines. Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI are among the most widely used Ni-base superalloys. Inconel 718 is strengthened by having a combination of γ″ and γ′ precipitates amounting to about 25vol% [2] while Udimet 720LI is strengthened by approximately 45 vol% of γ′ [3]. The high volume fractions of these precipitates allows these alloys to operate under extreme mechanical conditions at temperatures exceeding 600°C in the case of Udimet 720LI [1]. The manufacturing process of polycrystalline Ni-base superalloy components typically involves several thermomechanical steps, many of which result in the generation of significant residual stresses. In particular, processes such as forging followed by quenching/fast cooling generate very high level of residual stress in Ni-base superalloys [4]–[7]. Consequently, there is a requirement to precisely control and minimize the residual stress distribution because they can lead to distortion during machining and they superimpose on the operating stresses which can significantly reduce component life in-service [8].

Residual stresses are mitigated by means of thermal stress relaxation through the application of an annealing treatment, which also serves the purpose of an aging treatment precipitating or optimizing the distribution of the before mentioned strengthening phases [9], [10]. A comparative study of residual stresses induced by inertia friction welding and subsequent thermal relaxation of these stresses by post-welding heat treatment (PWHT) was carried out by Preuss et al. [11]. The applied PWHTs were performed at temperatures close to the usual aging temperature. That work demonstrated the effect of alloy chemistry, and therefore temperature capability of the alloy, on the generation of residual stress during inertia friction welding and the relaxation of stress during the post-welding heat treatment. The maximum tensile hoop stress was measured to be 700MPa, 1000MPa and 1500MPa for as-welded Inconel 718, Udimet 720LI and RR1000, respectively. In order to sufficiently reduce these stresses, i.e. to below 400MPa in this particular case, the PWHT temperature had to be adjusted according to the alloy. In the case of Udimet 720LI and RR1000, this meant an aging temperature about 30°C and 80°C higher than that for Inconel 718 respectively. Other ex-situ studies have focused on the evolution of residual stresses during aging heat treatments: in [12] the thermal residual stress
relaxation in inertia friction welded Udimet 720LI was investigated by measuring the stresses in several samples treated at the same temperature, but for different durations. While overall the expected trends of stress relaxation with time were observed, the work also highlighted significant issues with sample-to-sample variations. Thermal stress relaxation was also probed in a René 95 superalloy disc by x-ray diffraction for a single component and an interrupted heat treatment procedure [13]. These surface residual stress measurements suggested that the stresses can be reduced by 70% when the component is annealed at 704°C for 500h. However, it is unclear from that work if similar levels of stress relaxation can also be achieved in the bore of the disc where there might be hydrostatic stress conditions.

Neutron diffraction is a powerful non-destructive method that enables the characterization of residual stresses in multiple directions in large engineering components [14]. Rolph et al. were probably the first to carry out in-situ measurements of stress relaxation looking at water-quenched hockey puck RR1000 samples [15]. Stress relaxation was recorded in the center of the hockey puck sample during a heat treatment at 750°C/8h. Despite a significant scatter of the data arising from a strong attenuation of the beam during the in-situ measurements, a clear trend of the stress relaxation evolution was observed.

A detailed picture of the relaxation of stress both during heating to, and holding at, the annealing temperature can provide key information about how best to tailor aging treatments that simple post-anneal stress measurements cannot do. Further, such in-situ data are ideal to validate process models designed to predict residual stresses on full-scale components.

In the present study, the development of quench-induced residual stresses and the subsequent thermal stress relaxation during aging of Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI hockey puck samples was investigated by a combination of ex-situ and in-situ measurements. The aim of the present work is to understand the influence of sample geometry on the development of residual stresses in superalloys during quenching and aging as well as the influence of aging temperature on stress relaxation rate. The stress measurements were carried out by means of neutron diffraction. In the case of ex-situ analysis, hockey puck samples were scanned along the center line in the axial and radial direction. For the in-situ aging analysis, a new heat treatment set up was applied designed to be accommodated on neutron strain scanning beam lines. Due to the large number of measurements involved in this study, the measurements were carried out on three different strain scanning instruments. The time-of-flight (TOF) instrument VULCAN at SNS, Oak Ridge, US [16] was used to study the temperature dependence of the stress relaxation
rate in Inconel 718. The monochromatic instrument KOWARI at ANSTO, Kirrawee DC, Australia [17], was used to investigate the influence of sample geometry on the development and relaxation of stresses. Finally, the monochromatic instrument SALSA at the ILL, Grenoble, France [18], was used to study Udimet 720LI to compare stress relaxation between Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI.

2) Experimental procedure

Materials, specimen and treatments

Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI was provided by Aubert&Duval having the nominal chemical compositions summarized in table 1 [1]. The pucks (discs) were extracted from large Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI pancake forgings having an approximately random crystallographic texture. The geometry of the pucks is presented in figure 1 with all samples having a diameter of 126mm, but their thickness varying from 15mm to 25mm. The solution treatments and quenching were applied to each sample separately using exactly the same procedure. In the case of Inconel 718 the solution treatment consisted of a sub-δ-solvus treatment at 980°C for 1h followed by water quenching. Due to improved temperature capability, Udimet 720LI has the ability to develop higher residual stress levels than Inconel 718 and drastic cooling such as water cooling may result in crack initiation. Therefore, the Udimet 720LI samples were oil quenched after the usual sub-γ’-solvus treatment at 1100°C/4h.
Figure 1: Design of the hockey puck sample, the $d_0$ reference sample and position of the beam during measurements [19], and scan lines for ex-situ measurements.

The standard industrial aging treatments applied to these two alloys is often a two-step heat treatment in a temperature range between 620°C and 760°C and between 8 to 24h depending on the required properties. Previous studies have shown that most of the stress relaxation occurs during the first hours of the treatment [15], [20], [21]. Table 2 summarizes the dimensions and starting condition of all samples (S1-S10), the instruments used for the stress analysis of each sample as well as the in-situ measurements’ conditions.

**Strain measurements by neutron diffraction**

All strain measurements were carried out using the Ni(311) reflection, which is known to be least sensitive to intergranular strain accumulation and hence representative of the bulk behavior for Nickel-base superalloys [22]. In the case of ex-situ measurements, the stress-free d-spacing was generally measured in two directions in five different reference samples which were extracted from different locations of the hockey puck samples to obtain good statistics. In the present work, the ex-situ $d_0$ measurements were used to calibrate the strain measurements from the three instruments and ensure the metrological comparison of the different data sets. In-situ measurements required monitoring of $d_0$ evolution as a function of temperature, which is described in more detail below.

VULCAN, based at the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US [16], is a Time-Of-Flight (TOF) engineering diffractometer with two detector banks fixed at a +/-90° angle to the incident beam, simultaneously giving two scattering vectors (strain measurement direction)—one parallel and the other perpendicular to surface of the sample when aligned at 45° to the incident beam). In the present study the chopper of the VULCAN instrument was set to 60Hz corresponding to a center wavelength of 1.5Å and a bandwidth of 1.44 Å in order to access the Ni(311) reflection with maximized incident fluxes. Due to the homogeneous stress field expected in the center of the samples, it was possible to choose a large gauge volume defined by 5x5mm² slits on the primary beam size and 5mm wide radial collimators on the detectors sides. This maximized the neutron flux and hence minimized counting times. Initially, a series of measurements were carried out to determine the stress profile introduced by water quenching in S1, S2 and S3 (Inconel 718, 20 mm thick samples). For this purpose, the center line was scanned in the axial direction of the hockey puck samples.
Subsequently, the three samples were used for in-situ annealing experiments at 650°C/8h, 720°C/8h and 750°C/8h.

KOWARI, based at the neutron reactor source OPAL, ANSTO, Australia, is a monochromatic instrument [17]. The wavelength was set at 1.54Å to access the Ni(311) diffraction peak at a 20° angle of approximately 90°. A 3x3x3mm³ diffracting gauge volume was defined for the 15mm thick samples, S4 and S5, and the 20mm thick samples, S6 and S7, by slits and a radial collimator on the detector side. For the 25mm thick samples, S8 and S9, a 5x5x5 mm³ gauge was used. The radial, hoop and axial stress profiles were determined for the water-quenched condition along the radial center line of the samples. Subsequently, in-situ heat treatments at 720°C/8h were applied to each sample and measurements were carried out at the center of the hockey puck. The location was chosen because the stress field can be expected to be most homogeneous in this region and an equi-biaxial in-plane strain field means that only two strain directions had to be measured (radial and axial) to calculate stress. As it is not possible to measure two strain directions simultaneously using a monochromatic source, two samples were required in order to separately carry out in-situ measurements in the in-plane (radial) and axial directions. Consequently, the stress evolution was calculated on the basis of strains measured in two samples. After cooling, one sample of each thickness was mounted on the sample stage to determine the stress profile post heat treatment.

The third instrument utilized in this study was SALSA [18], which is also a monochromatic instrument based at the ILL neutron reactor source, Grenoble, France. Here a wavelength of 1.55Å was chosen, similar to that used on KOWARI. For both the ex-situ and in-situ measurements on Udimet 720LI (S10), a 4x4x4 mm³ gauge was selected by vertical slits and radial collimators. First, the stress profile in the oil-quenched Udimet 720LI sample was determined along the radial center line and the axial center line. The fast rotation of the sample stage on SALSA meant that the radial/hoop and axial strain directions could be measured alternatively on one sample (S10) during the in-situ experiment. As described for the in-situ measurements carried out on KOWARI, measurements were carried out in the center of the hockey puck.

**In-situ experimental set-up and procedure**

The in-situ experiment set up is described in detail in [19]. In brief, it comprises an induction heating system, which consists of a double spire coil linked to an impedance adaptor, a RF amplifier and an external control panel. The samples are mounted on a sample holder...
specifically designed for this experiment. Porous alumina and silica plates with low neutron beam attenuation properties are used for the thermal insulation in order to ensure thermal homogeneity over the sample. Temperature control is achieved using two K-type thermocouples which are inserted 5mm under the surface of the samples. The holes for the thermocouples were drilled by spark-erosion far from the center of the sample in order to minimize the impact on the stress distribution. Radial induction heating means that the rim of the sample will heat faster than its core. The thermal gradient in the sample during heating reached a maximum of 200°C with such setup and sample geometry [19]. Therefore, the first in-situ data were collected after 40 min which is the required time to reach isothermal condition in the sample.

Since the aging treatments promote the precipitation of strengthening phases, an evolution of the stress free d-spacing (d₀) is expected to occur during the in-situ measurements [23]. This was monitored in two d₀ samples (as shown in figure 1) glued on the surface of each of the stressed samples. An additional thermocoupled reference sample with the same geometry was glued next to the d₀ samples in order to monitor the temperature of the reference samples. The d₀ samples and the disc sample were carefully aligned in the radial and hoop directions before placing the insulation. After clamping the two alumina-silica plates to the sample holder, the axial direction was aligned by carrying out entry scans. Heating to the dwell temperature generally took around 40 min. Once the target temperature was reached, measurements at the center of the disk and in the reference samples were alternated. All heat treatments were applied for 8h after reaching the target temperature, after which the furnace was turned off and the insulation material removed when the temperature was around 500°C. Once the samples were at room temperature, they were scanned again in order to obtain a post-aging stress profiles for comparison to the as-quenched ones.

**Diffraction data analysis**

Data analysis was carried out using the in-house softwares of each instrument, VDRIVE, QKowari and LAMP for VULCAN, KOWARI and SALSA instruments, respectively. For consistency a single peak fit of the (311) diffraction peak was used for both monochromatic and TOF data.
Figure 2: Evolution of d-spacing and \(d_0\) with time during annealing S1 (Inconel 718) at 750°C for 8h [19], and evolution of the \(d_0\) with time during annealing of Udimet 720LI at 750°C for 8h.

Figure 2 shows the variation in d-spacing during annealing at 750°C at the center of the Inconel 718 puck (S1) and for the strain-free reference sample in Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI. It is noteworthy that \(d_0\) of Inconel 718 decreases by more than \(7.10^{-4}\) Å during the treatment (equating to \(\sim 650 \times 10^{-6}\) strain) compared to changes in lattice spacing of \(3.5 \times 10^{-4}\) Å and \(9 \times 10^{-4}\) Å in the axial and radial directions respectively for the Inconel 718 puck. Hence, a significant proportion of d-spacing evolution measured in the stressed sample of Inconel 718 is due to the microstructure evolution and not related to the relaxation of residual stress. On the other hand, the \(d_0\) of Udimet 720LI decreases by only \(2.5 \times 10^{-4}\) Å during 8h annealing at 750°C. Therefore, the treatment only induces minor microstructure evolution in Udimet 720LI compared to Inconel 718. The assumption is made that the stress field in the puck samples has a negligible influence on the precipitation of the strengthening phases throughout the heat treatment, i.e. it is assumed that the microstructure evolves at the same rate at the center of the puck and in the stress-free samples. The average uncertainty in d-spacing in the radial and axial directions for Inconel 718 corresponds to approximately \(75 \times 10^{-6}\) strain and it is almost the double for Udimet 720LI which promotes more neutron absorption [19]. This uncertainty is related to the goodness of peak fit and was calculated with the following equation [24]:

\[
U_e = \frac{1}{d_0} \left[ (\delta d)^2 + (\delta d_0)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]  

where \(\delta d\) is the fitting uncertainty in the lattice parameter and \(\delta d_0\) is the fitting uncertainty in the stress-free lattice parameter. This does not include other systematic sources of error such as...
positioning errors or the errors in temperature measurements. The scatter remained relatively low throughout the heat treatment, however, it is significant when compared to the variation of d-spacing due to the stress relaxation. Therefore, the d-spacing evolutions were fitted with logarithmic curves as shown in figure 2, which were then used to calculate the evolution of elastic strain. The elastic strain $\varepsilon$, was calculated using:

$$\varepsilon(t) = \frac{d^{hkl}(t) - d_0^{hkl}(t)}{d_0^{hkl}(t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

from which the stress $\sigma$ in each direction was calculated using the following relation [8]:

$$\sigma_{\text{radial}} = \frac{E_{hkl}}{(1 - \nu_{hkl})(1 - 2\nu_{hkl})} \left[ (1 - \nu_{hkl}) \varepsilon_{\text{radial}} + \nu_{hkl}\left(\varepsilon_{\text{axial}} + \varepsilon_{\text{hoop}}\right) \right]$$

$$\sigma_{\text{axial}} = \cdots \text{etc}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $E_{hkl}$ is the diffraction elastic constant and $\nu_{hkl}$ is the plane specific Poisson’s ratio. The stress calculations require the use of suitable Diffraction Elastic Constants (DECs), which are presented in table 3 [25]. Since the in-situ measurements were carried out in the center of the sample, $\varepsilon_{\text{radial}} = \varepsilon_{\text{hoop}}$ eliminating the need for a third measurement direction.

3) Results and discussions

Quench-induced residual stresses

Figure 3 displays the radial and axial stress profiles for a) Inconel 718 and b) Udimet 720LI. It can be seen that the maximum residual stresses for the 20mm thick pucks after quenching appear in Udimet 720LI to be nearly double those in Inconel 718. Such dramatic differences are not surprising as Udimet 720LI has a significantly better high temperature capability than Inconel 718 and also forms $\gamma'$ precipitates even during oil quenching while Inconel 718 tends to retain the $\gamma'$ and $\gamma''$stabilizing elements in solution during quenching [11]. Hence Udimet 720LI already precipitation strengthens during quenching and can sustain high residual stresses. Figure 3a shows that in the axial direction the quench stresses are characteristically parabolic for Inconel 718, as is commonly seen for the through-thickness residual stresses upon quenching plates [4]–[6]. However, the maximum tensile stress values in Inconel 718 are found to be approximately 150MPa higher in the present work than in [4]–[6] where the maximum tensile stress values did not exceed 500MPa. The reason for this may arise from the different
geometry of quenched components as well as divergences in the quenching procedures such as, for instance, variations in the transfer duration from the furnace to the quench tank. For Udimet 720LI, Figure 3b, the residual stress variation in the axial direction is somewhat asymmetric (possibly due to some asymmetric distortion of the quenched discs), but the most notable feature is that the tensile nature of the in-plane stresses extend to within 2mm of the surface of the puck. This may result from experimental uncertainties combining positioning error in the distorted sample and measuring error which exceeds ±100MPa in Udimet 720LI. In order to maintain stress balance, a steep stress gradient with strong compressive stress is to be expected toward the axial surface. Such stress profile was formerly reported by Rist et al. [5] and Dye et al. [6]. The stresses in Udimet 720LI are similar to the levels recorded by Rolph et al for RR1000 [15]. The residual stress profiles with radial distance from the center of the puck are broadly similar to that observed by Rolph et al. [15], being tensile in the center and becoming compressive towards the edge for the hoop stress as required by stress equilibrium, while the radial stress tends to zero close to the radial surface. The axial stress should be approximately zero throughout the pucks. The reason for the apparent lack of axial stress balance across the radial center line is not clear. It is most likely related to experimental uncertainties. It should be remembered when considering the overall balance of residual stress over the radial-axial cross-section that the compressive core is balanced by tensile stresses around the periphery.
Figure 3: Residual stresses in the as-quenched condition for a) Inconel 718 [19] and b) Udimet 720LI discs. The graph on the left shows the ex-situ axial profiles and the one on the right shows the ex-situ radial profiles. The fit lines in a) Inconel 718 represent the average stress profile from the different samples’ measurements.

Figure 4: Ex-situ Stress profiles in 20mm thick samples of Inconel 718 before (continuous) and after (dashed) heat treatments at a) 750°C/8h [19], b) 720°C/8h and c) 650°C/8h,
measured on VULCAN compared with d) that for Udimet 720LI heat treated at 750°C/8h and measured on SALSA.

Effect of aging temperature

Figure 4 displays the stress profiles for the radial and axial directions across the axial center line recorded on samples S1-S3 (Inconel 718) and S10 (Udimet 720LI) before and after aging. First of all, it is noticeable that there are some significant variations in residual stresses for the as-quenched conditions of the nominally identical samples S1-S3 although the overall profiles are very similar, Figure 4a-c. The effect of aging temperature on the relaxation of the axial and radial stresses along the axial direction can be seen very clearly. Unsurprisingly the large radial stresses are relieved less effectively when a lower annealing temperature was applied translating into a 70%, 50% and 40% reduction of maximum tensile stresses after 8h hours at 750, 720 and 650°C, respectively. By comparison the stresses for Udimet 720LI have reduced by only 20% even at 750°C, Figure 4d, which is probably related to the higher creep resistance of Udimet 720LI compared to Inconel 718.
Figure 5: Ex-situ radial stress profiles before (continuous) and after (dashed) annealing at 720°C for 8h measured on Inconel 718 with a) 15mm thickness (S4), b) 20mm thickness (S6) and c) 25mm thickness (S9), all measured on KOWARI.

Effect of puck thickness on residual stress

Figure 5 displays the radial stress profiles prior to and post aging treatment for Inconel 718 hockey pucks with a thickness of 15 mm, 20 mm and 25 mm. It is clear from these results that the puck thickness appears to have very little effect on the residual stresses either before or post aging treatment certainly to within the scatter within the measurements. This similarity in residual stresses seems to be mainly driven by the fact that no significant axial stresses develop up to a thickness of 25 mm. Since the diameter of the samples remained unchanged, it is not surprising that the mid-thickness radial stresses were independent of the thickness of the hockey pucks. As it can be seen in figure 4 c), the hoop stresses are found to become compressive toward the surface as required by stress equilibrium. The amplitude of compressive hoop stresses seems to be higher on the thicker samples. However, such difference of magnitude may also be related to higher measurement uncertainties and positioning error which may have a significant impact when measuring close to the surface where the stress gradient is greatest.

Time dependent evolution of residual stress during aging

Stress relaxation profiles were recorded in the axial and radial directions in the center of the samples during the in-situ heat treatments. As the axial stresses in Inconel 718 were small and the associated changes with annealing time within the uncertainty, only the radial stress evolutions are presented in Figure 6 for Inconel 718. It should be noted that the annealing experiment at 720°C were carried out on hockey pucks of 15 mm and 25 mm thickness while
the annealing experiment at 650°C and 750°C were carried out on 20 mm thick hockey pucks. However, as demonstrated in Figure 5, the sample thickness variation of 15 mm to 25 mm does not have a significant effect on the residual stresses in the as-quenched and post-annealed conditions. The average uncertainty of the stress data for Inconel 718 was about ±50MPa. The first important observation for Figure 6 is that the starting stresses vary quite dramatically, which indicates very significant sample-to-sample variation; this emphasizes the importance of in-situ characterization. In all cases a significant drop in the radial stress is observed immediately upon reaching the annealing temperature being 400MPa/65% relief for 750°C, and approximately 300MPa/45% relief for 720°C and 650°C. This suggests that most of the stresses are relieved by a combination of plastic deformation induced by the reduction of the yield strength with increasing temperature and power law creep. The value of yield strength can vary from 300MPa to 1200MPa depending on the grain size of Inconel 718 [6]. However, the yield strength of annealed and quenched Inconel 718 is significantly lower than in aged condition [26]. The stress drop occurring at the beginning of the heat treatment is therefore most likely related to plasticity resulting from the thermally induced yield strength reduction.

![Figure 6: Evolution of radial stresses in Inconel 718 when annealed at 650°C, 720°C and 750°C [19]. Here, the points at t=0h and at t=12h correspond to ex-situ measurements carried out at room temperature before and after the heat treatment. The experiments were carried out on VULCAN and KOWARI.](image-url)
During the isothermal stage at 720°C and 750°C of the in-situ experiments, only small levels of stress relaxation occurred over the hold time while at 650°C essentially no time dependent stress relaxation was detected. Table 4 summarizes the stress relaxation functions calculated from the fit of the in-situ data during the isothermal treatment. The tabulated parameters are relaxation coefficients which depend on material, temperature of the treatment and initial stress level. These data suggest that a time-dependent deformation mechanism is involved in the stress relaxation at 720°C and 750°C since the creep relaxation rate is found to decrease with time and temperature in this temperature range. When considering Ashby creeps maps [27] one might conclude that initially power-law creep is the dominating mechanisms taken over by diffusional creep when the stress levels have reached low values. When comparing the two hockey puck samples heat treated at 720°C, it can be observed that the rate of stress relaxation clearly depends on the initial stress when the material has reached the intended temperature, with the 15 mm thick sample showing higher relaxation rates (\(-11 \times \ln(t)\)) than the 25 mm thick sample (\(-3 \times \ln(t)\)). Finally, the radial stress data measured at room temperature post the aging heat treatment show excellent agreement with the stress data recorded at the end of the in-situ experiment. This is very encouraging providing great confidence in the in-situ stress analysis.

Bapokutty et al. previously performed stress relaxation tests at various temperatures on tensile test samples in Inconel 718 [20]. When comparing their stress relaxation curves and stress values for stress relaxation at 750°C and 650°C, excellent agreement can be found with figure 6. Stress relaxation experiments on superalloy IN738LC also shows similar trends as presented in figure 6, although the difference in alloy composition and stress relaxation temperature makes this comparison less viable [21].

The in-situ data in figure 6 demonstrate a treatment at 750°C promotes approximately 15% more stress relaxation than a treatment at 720°C, with almost all the stress relaxation occurring during the heating stage. The industrial aging treatment of Inconel 718 usually includes a first step at 720°C for 8h in order to form a combination of fine γ'' and γ' precipitates [2]. However, the TTT diagram of Inconel 718 [28] indicates that heating to 750°C directly followed by a cooling to 720°C at the beginning of the treatment would not affect the phase distribution in Inconel 718 while it could help in reducing the residual stress amplitude by almost 15%.
Figure 7 shows the in-situ stress relaxation data of Udimet 720LI (S10) collected on SALSA. As the maximum tensile stress level in Udimet 720LI was found 2mm off the sample center, the in-situ data were recorded in this point since it was more likely to exhibit a stronger in-situ stress evolution than the center of the sample. The ex-situ stress values presented in Figure 7 at the time $t=0$ and $t=12h$ correspond to the measurements 2mm off the center of the sample. As for Inconel 718, most of the stress relaxation occurs during the heating stage because of the reduction of the material yield strength with elevation of temperature. The observed stress drop is comparable to the yield strength reduction in Udimet 720LI at 750°C reported by Daymond et al. in [3], which indicates that stress relaxation is led by high temperature plasticity. However, considering the very large radial stress, the stress relief during heating is comparatively small, which is most likely related to the high temperature strength of Udimet 720LI compared to Inconel 718 [29][30]. The stress relaxation rate here was $-19\times \ln(t)$ in radial direction and $-4\times \ln(t)$ in axial direction. The average uncertainty of the stress data for Udimet 720LI exceeded $\pm 100$MPa. There is a mismatch between the last in-situ data points and the ex-situ measurements, which might result from measurements uncertainty which is significantly higher than for Inconel 718. The poor neutron scattering properties for Udimet 720LI are mainly related to the relatively high Cobalt content, which is not the case for Inconel 718.

Figure 7: Evolution of radial stress in Udimet 720LI when heat treated at 750°C. In this case the hockey puck has a thickness of 20mm and the experiment was carried out on SALSA.
A comparison with the before mentioned in-situ aging experiment on Ni-base superalloy RR1000 [15] shows that the present data display far clearer trends due to a significant reduction in data scatter. There are most likely two reasons for the superior quality of the data in the present case. Inconel 718 and Udiment 720LI show less neutron absorption than RR1000 due to their chemical compositions (RR1000 containing more Cobalt and Hafnium than Inconel 718 and Udiment 720LI), which are more favorable for neutron diffraction measurements. Secondly, the present in-situ experiments were carried out with an optimized setup compared to [15] and the strain scanning instruments VULCAN and SALSA have slightly higher flux than the ENGIN-X instrument at ISIS when only considering the 311 reflection. Hence, the work also demonstrates the need for higher flux neutron instruments as the planned BEER instrument at the European Spallation Source [31] if one wants to move towards more in-situ analysis.

4) Conclusions

Stress relaxation induced by various aging thermal treatments in large superalloy forgings was investigated by a combination of ex-situ and in-situ neutron diffraction measurements. A new induction heating setup specifically designed for such experiments enabled in-situ heat treatments to be performed with good repeatability. The quenching induced residual stresses and aging induced reduced stresses were mapped ex-situ in samples of different thickness in Inconel 718 and in an Udiment 720LI sample. The measurements were carried out on three different strain scanning instruments, demonstrating the versatility of the heating setup and feasibility of such experiments on different neutron diffractometers. The following conclusions can be drawn:

1. Udiment 720LI develops 40% higher level of stresses than Inconel 718 during quenching most likely due to $\gamma'$ precipitation strengthening during quenching in Udiment 720LI, which is not expected to happen in Inconel 718.

2. Udiment 720LI is significantly more resistant to stress relief by heat treatment than Inconel 718.

3. The sample thickness was found to have only a very little effect on the stress distribution following quenching and aging induced stress relaxation. Further experimentation would be required to study the effect of smaller diameter to thickness ratio on the stress distribution.

4. The amplitude of quenching induced stresses measured in Inconel 718 were found to be approximately 200MPa higher in the present study than in previous works, which is
most likely related to differences in the sample geometry and quenching procedures. The quenching process was found to be poorly reproducible in terms of stress distribution.

5. The in-situ measurements revealed that for accurate analysis of strain and stress evolution it is vital to monitor the microstructurally driven $d_0$ evolution.

6. The creep relaxation rates increase with the temperature and the initial value of residual stress in the as-quenched samples. For all annealing temperatures, it was found that almost 90% of the residual stresses are relieved during heating while isothermal hold either gave no or only slight further reduction in residual stresses. This means that the hold temperature is much more important than the hold time in terms of the efficacy of stress annealing.

7. Annealing treatment of Inconel 718 at 750°C promotes approximately 15% more stress relaxation than a treatment at 720°C.

8. In-situ measurements suggest that a brief annealing step at 750°C followed by cooling to 720°C or even lower temperatures could significantly increase stress relaxation compared to single step annealing at 720°C without potentially compromising the microstructure of Inconel 718 according to [28].

For all in-situ experiments it was possible to fit the stress relaxation data by using logarithmic functions. These functions in combination with the ex-situ stress profiles determined in the present study can be used as input data or to validate process models for stress relief.
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Tables:

Table 1: Nominal chemical compositions of Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI superalloys in wt% [1]:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloys</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Udimet 720LI</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Zr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 718</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.15 Max Cu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Dimensions and initial conditions of the samples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>S6</th>
<th>S7</th>
<th>S8</th>
<th>S9</th>
<th>S10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alloy</td>
<td>Inconel 718</td>
<td>Udimet 720LI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>126mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>20mm</td>
<td>15mm</td>
<td>20mm</td>
<td>25mm</td>
<td>20mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial condition</td>
<td>Water quenched</td>
<td>Oil quenched</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron diffraction instruments</td>
<td>VULCAN</td>
<td>KOWARI</td>
<td>SALSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gauge volume and instrument setup</td>
<td>5x5x5mm³ (radial collimators on detectors' sides)</td>
<td>3x3x3mm³ (2 radial collimators and a vertical slit)</td>
<td>5x5x5mm³ (2 radial collimators and a vertical slit)</td>
<td>4x4x4mm³ (2 radial collimators and a vertical slit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of the in-situ treatment</td>
<td>750°C</td>
<td>720°C</td>
<td>650°C</td>
<td>720°C</td>
<td>720°C</td>
<td>720°C</td>
<td>720°C</td>
<td>750°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-situ measurements</td>
<td>Radial and axial</td>
<td>Radial and axial</td>
<td>Radial and axial</td>
<td>Radial</td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>Radial</td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>Radial and axial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition time for in-situ d-spacing data points within the samples</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>12 min</td>
<td>10 min (in each direction)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition time for in-situ dθ data points</td>
<td>1 min (in each dθ sample)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Diffraction Elastic Constants and plane specific Poisson’s ratio of Inconel 718 and Udimet 720LI at the studied temperatures [25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inconel 718</th>
<th>Udimet 720LI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>20°C</td>
<td>650°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{311}$</td>
<td>202GPa</td>
<td>150GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{311}$</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Stress relaxation functions calculated from the fit of the in-situ data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inconel 718</th>
<th>Udimet 720LI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>650°C</td>
<td>720°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>20mm</td>
<td>15mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial/Hoop</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3\times\ln(t)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial/Hoop</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$-11\times\ln(t)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Figure 1: Design of the hockey puck sample, the $d_0$ reference sample and position of the beam during measurements [19], and scan lines for ex-situ measurements.

- Figure 2: Evolution of d-spacing and $d_0$ with time during annealing S1 (Inconel 718) at 750°C for 8h [19], and evolution of the $d_0$ with time during annealing of Udimet 720LI at 750°C for 8h.

- Figure 3: Residual stresses in the as-quenched condition for a) Inconel 718 [19] and b) Udimet 720LI discs. The graph on the left shows the ex-situ axial profiles and the one on the right shows the ex-situ radial profiles. The fit lines in a) Inconel 718 represent the average stress profile from the different samples’ measurements.

- Figure 4: Ex-situ Stress profiles in 20mm thick samples of Inconel 718 before (continuous) and after (dashed) heat treatments at a) 750°C/8h [19], b) 720°C/8h and c) 650°C/8h, measured on VULCAN compared with d) that for Udimet 720LI heat treated at 750°C/8h and measured on SALSA.

- Figure 5: Ex-situ radial stress profiles before (continuous) and after (dashed) annealing at 720°C for 8h measured on Inconel 718 with a) 15mm thickness (S4), b) 20mm thickness (S6) and c) 25mm thickness (S9), all measured on KOWARI.

- Figure 6: Evolution of radial stresses in Inconel 718 when annealed at 650°C, 720°C and 750°C [19]. Here, the points at $t=0h$ and at $t=12h$ correspond to ex-situ measurements carried out at room temperature before and after the heat treatment. The experiments were carried out on VULCAN and KOWARI.

- Figure 7: Evolution of radial stress in Udimet 720LI when heat treated at 750°C. In this case the hockey puck has a thickness of 20mm and the experiment was carried out on SALSA.