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ABSTRACT

CREBBP loss-of-function variants cause Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome (RTS). There have been two separate reports of patients with missense variants in exon 30 or 31 of CREBBP in individuals lacking the characteristic facial and limb dysmorphism associated with RTS. Frequent features in this condition include variable intellectual disability, short stature, autistic behavior, microcephaly, feeding problems, epilepsy, recurrent upper airway infections and mild hearing impairment.

We report three further patients with de novo exon 31 CREBBP missense variants. The first individual has a c.5357G>A p. (Arg1786His) variant affecting the same codon as one of the previously described patients. Both these patients could be recognized by clinicians as mild RTS. Our second patient has a c.5602C>T p.(Arg1868Trp) variant that has been described in five other individuals who all share a strikingly similar phenotype. The third individual has a novel c.5354G>A p.(Cys1785Try) variant.

Our reports expand the clinical spectrum to include ventriculomegaly, absent corpus callosum, staphyloma, cochlear malformations, and exomphalos. These additional cases also help to establish genotype-phenotype correlations in this disorder. After the first and last authors of the previous two reports, we propose to call this disorder ‘Menke-Hennekam syndrome’ to establish it as a clinical entity distinct from RTS and to provide a satisfactory name for adoption by parents and professionals, thus facilitating appropriate clinical management and research.
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Introduction

*CREBBP* (OMIM 600140) encodes CREB-binding protein, heterozygous loss of function variants in which cause Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome type 1 (RTS1, OMIM 180849) which is characterized by developmental delay, intellectual disability, microcephaly, growth deficiency [Petrif et al., 1995] [Hennekam, 2006; Wiley et al., 2003]. The main distinguishing features of RTS include facial dysmorphism (high arched eyebrows, down slanting palpebral fissures, a convex nasal ridge and low hanging columella), a characteristic grimacing smile and broad thumbs and halluces.

Recently Menke *et al.* reported 10 distinct *de novo* missense variants between base pairs 5,128 and 5,614 (NM_004380.2) affecting 9 distinct codons (between codon numbers 1,710 and 1,872) in the 3’ end (last) part of exon 30 or 5’ end (beginning) of exon 31 of *CREBBP* in 11 patients [Menke *et al.*, 2016]. The phenotypes of these patients were not (or only to a limited extent) concordant with RTS. Subsequently, the same group published an additional 11 patients within the same region [Menke *et al.*, 2018]. Here we report three further children with exon 31 missense *CREBBP* variants without the typical RTS features.

Case Reports

**Patient #1** is a male aged 5 years is the fourth child of non-consanguineous Caucasian parents born at 42 weeks gestation, following an unremarkable pregnancy with a birth weight of 3.23kg (9th-25th centile). At birth, his feet were noted to be in-turned from the mid foot. Feeding difficulties were noted shortly after birth. He sat unsupported at 12 months, crawled at 13 months and walked independently at 18 months of age. His speech was delayed and at 3 years 3 month he had only four words. His language comprehension is also poor. There are no concerns about his hearing and vision. He has stereotypic behaviour including repetitive spinning and hand flapping movements, but is sociable and interactive. At 3 years and 3 months he was small for his age and for his family - height 90.2cm (2nd
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centile; -3SD); weight 12.7kg (2nd-9th centile; -3SD); OFC 48.5cm (0.4th-2nd centile; -3SD). When reviewed at 3 years and 11 months he was noted to have an area of alopecia on his scalp. He had generalised joint laxity and bilateral metatarsus varus. He is brachycephalic, has a hirsute back and had needed correction for cryptorchidism. He had some self-injurious behavior and tended to bite the ends of his fingers but was otherwise very happy and sociable. He had made no further progress with his speech and communicated by signing. Testing on the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence revealed a composite score of 45 (range 90-119) for verbal comprehension. Tests of his visual spatial ability revealed that he was functioning just below the average level in this area. Formal testing did not reveal any abnormalities. His facial features at that stage suggested a possible clinical diagnosis of a Rubinstein-Taybi-like syndrome (Figure 1A,B), though he did not have any of the characteristic thumb or hallux abnormalities associated with RTS.

Sanger sequencing for CREBBP was not available locally. A clinical array-comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) did not identify any plausible pathogenic variants. SureSelectXT (Agilent) was used for Focused Exome enrichment and sequencing was performed on the NextSeq500 (Illumina), according to manufacturer’s protocols. Variant calling was performed using samtools v0.1.18, with hg19 human genome as a reference, and variants were analysed using Sapientia v1.5 (Congenica) as described previously [Stoyle et al., 2018]. A heterozygous exon 31 missense CREBBP c.5357G>A p. (Arg1786His) (NM_004380.2) variant was identified in this patient. Subsequent parental targeted Sanger sequencing studies proved de novo origin of the variant.

Patient #2 (female) is the first child of non-consanguineous Caucasian parents. Antenatal scans detected a ventricular septal defect and bilateral hydronephrosis. She was delivered by forceps at 38 weeks and 3 days of gestation with a birth weight of 2.69kg (9th centile) and head circumference of 30.6cm (0.4th centile). After delivery she was noted to have facial dysmorphism, exomphalos, clitororomegalgy, a large sacral dimple, long clenched fingers, long toes and bilateral fixed talipes (Figure 1C). Subsequently she was found to have profound hearing loss, visual impairment, poor feeding and
severe gastro-oesophageal reflux requiring gastrostomy and fundoplication, scoliosis, dystonia and
cutis marmorata (Table 1). Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) obtained at 4 months of age
showed abnormal corpus callosum, bilateral underdeveloped cochlear hypoplasia and hypoplastic
cochlear nerves (Figure 1E, F, G). A cochlear implant was inserted at the age of 18 months. At last
review at the age of 20 months, the patient was sitting unsupported and pulling to stand. She was
vocalising, but had no words. She had begun to exhibit self-injurious behaviour, hitting her head with
her fists. There were also episodes of dystonic posturing, which are being treated with baclofen (Table
1).

A clinical aCGH was performed on amniotic fluid during pregnancy, which was normal. The focused
clinical exome, as described for patient 1, for syndromic intellectual disability was performed
postnatally and revealed a heterozygous exon 31 CREBBP c.5602C>T p.Arg1868Trp missense
variation. Subsequent parental targeted Sanger sequencing studies proved de novo origin of the
variant.

**Patient # 3** (female) was the product of a 41-week gestation complicated by reported maternal CMV
infection and decreased fetal movement. At delivery in Algeria, she weighed 2.2 kg (Z-2.53), had
microcephaly, hemangiomas on the back, abdomen, and head, leg stiffening and clenched hands. She
spent one week in the NICU for feeding difficulties and emesis. She was readmitted at 4 months of age
with diarrhea and dehydration and was found to have a positive blood test for CMV. However, brain
MRI obtained at 25 months of age did not show any intracranial calcifications or abnormal
parenchymal signal to suggest sequela of CMV. Brain MRI showed possible bilateral staphylomas
(protrusion of the uveal tissue through the eyeball), slight dysmorphism of the corpus callosum, and
under-rotated hippocampi (Figure 1H). Ophthalmology evaluation did not show sequela of CMV but
suggested central visual impairment. Staphylomas were not noted on Ophthalmology exam, but she
was also not fully cooperative. Mother reported previous echocardiogram and renal bladder
ultrasound was normal. At 25 months, she had significant failure to thrive, severe malnutrition, and
chronic emesis secondary to loose esophageal sphincter. Her physical exam showed small growth
parameters with head circumference most striking, mild hirsutism, full brows and lashes,
dysconjugate gaze, bulbous nasal tip with smaller nares and mildly low columella, abnormal tone, and
talipes varus with overlapping toes (Figure 1 D). She is now 3 years and 4 months old. She continues
with feeding difficulties and remains G-tube fed with supplementation of pureed foods by mouth.
There are no concerns for seizures. She has not had any regression and continues to make
developmental progress. She can sit independently, grasp and transfer objects, and has no words but
is babbling “dadada”. Audiology evaluation showed normal hearing sensitivity in both ears at 2000 Hz,
with mild conductive hearing loss at thresholds of 4000 Hz, possibly due to the presence of a PE tubes.
SNP array performed for the patient was normal. Trio exome sequencing identified a de novo variant
c.5354G>A p.(Cys1785Try) in exon 31 of CREBBP.

DISCUSSION
Recent discoveries have expanded our knowledge of diverse phenotypes driven by dissimilar
molecular and cellular consequences resulting from different class of variants in the same gene
[Cuvertino et al., 2017; Reijnders et al., 2017; Martinelli et al., 2018]. CREBBP encodes a histone acetyl
transferase and is a transcriptional activator that interacts with several other transcription factors
and proteins [Dutto et al., 2018]. Overall, the features of these three patients are consistent with the
recently described cohort (Table 1) [Menke et al., 2016, 2018]. Including this paper, there are now 25
patients reported in the medical literature without the typical RTS features and exon 30/31 missense
CREBBP variants. The functional basis of ‘exon 30 and 31 missense variant related non-RTS
phenotypes’ needs elucidation but Menke et al hypothesized disturbed protein–protein interactions
by altered zinc finger function to be the underlying reason [Menke et al, 2016].

The c.5357G>A p. (Arg1786His) variant in our patient #1 is a novel variant but affects the same codon
that was affected by the variant in patient #3 [c.5357G>C p. (Arg1786Pro)] reported in the first paper
who was a 10y old boy with severe developmental delay and autism like behaviour [Menke et al,
Photographs of Patient #3 were not published but the affected child was reported to have down slanting palpebral fissures, long eye lashes, squint, convex nasal bridge, broad nasal tip, low hanging columella, high palate, micro- or retrognathia, protruding ears, fibular deviation of distal phalanx halluces and possibly broad halluces. Similar to our patient #1, these features of patient #3 reported by Menke et al. shares some features of RTS. Interestingly, all the patients in the first series were diagnosed via exome sequencing, apart from patient #3, who was diagnosed by Sanger sequencing. His facial features at that stage suggested a possible clinical diagnosis of a Rubinstein-Taybi-like syndrome. We had also noticed RTS-like facial features of our patient #1. These observations suggest that patients with the variants affecting the p.Arg1786 codon may be more RTS-like than other patients with exon 30/31 CREBBP missense variants.

The c.5602C>T p.(Arg1868Trp) variant in our patient #2 is identical to the variant in patients #9 (4y old female) and #10 (0.8 years old female) in the first report [Menke et al., 2016], and C17 (2y old male), C18 (4y old male), C19 (1y old female) in the latter report [Menke et al., 2018]. An additional patient C20 (8y old male) was reported with a different substitution in the same codon [c.5603G>A (p.Arg1868Gln)] [Menke et al., 2018]. Most of these patients were reported to have severe developmental delay, microcephaly, telecanthus, short upturned palpebral fissures, ptosis, depressed nasal bridge, short nose, short columella, antverted nares, long deep philtrum, low-set ears with protruding upper part and fibular deviation of distal phalanx halluces. The similarity in the facial dysmorphism of these three patients is strikingly similar and is not reminiscent of RTS at all (Figure 1). The facial features of our patient also resemble patient C21 in Menke et al. 2018 who has a different variant predicted to substitute a nearby amino acid (p.Ala1870Pro). In our patient #2 we detected hypoplastic acoustic nerves and malformation of the cochlea. These features were not reported in other patients with the p.(Arg1868Trp) CREBBP variant although SNHL has been described. Importantly, most patients with other 9 exon 30/31 CREBBP missense variants have either normal hearing or mild-moderate conductive hearing loss. The six p.(Arg1868Trp) patients are amongst the most severely affected amongst the 25 patients described so far. These observations
suggest that patients with the p.(Arg1868Trp) CREBBP variant constitute a distinct phenotype-group within patients with exon 30/31 CREBBP missense variants.

The c.5354G>A p.(Cys1785Try) CREBBP variant in our patient #3 is a novel change. It is the adjacent amino acid residue to Arg1786 substituted in our Patient #1. Notably she had bilateral staphylomas on imaging, which is not a previously reported feature of this condition. Similar to the patients previously described, she has global developmental delay and feeding difficulties. The delayed tooth eruption was described in patient C13 [Menke 2018].

Collectively, the data from the 25 reported patients reported so far support the existence of ‘exon 30/31 CREBBP missense variants syndrome’, which is distinct from RTS or has only partial overlap with RTS. Importantly, our report indicates that the spectrum of the phenotypes within this cohort is wide and possible genotype-phenotype correlations that need further substantiation with larger studies. Discovery of these variants further expands the catalogue of developmental disorders caused by defects in chromatin remodelling, covalent histone modifications and histone tail acetylation [Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study, 2017; Gannon et al., 2015; Faundes et al., 2018].

Accurate genetic diagnosis guides management, surveillance and treatment options, and unlocks access to relevant medical literature, information on prognosis and disorder-specific support groups for the family and medical professionals [Wright et al., 2018]. The architecture of the information system and support groups in rare disease is underpinned by disease names. Hence, providing a meaningful concise name for a diagnosis is of vital importance. For us to label the patients described here (especially patient #2) with ‘RTS1’ would be misleading. The alternative name of ‘exon 31 CREBBP missense variant syndrome’ is cumbersome for clinical use. We, therefore, propose the name of Menke-Hennekam syndrome for the ‘non- (or atypical) RTS phenotypes caused by missense variants in exon 30 and 31 of CREBBP’ [after the first and last authors of Menke et al., 2016]. We recognise that even within this eponymous designation there exists a phenotypic spectrum or even...
distinct phenotypes. However, this new umbrella term provides an appropriate balance between ‘lumping and splitting’ of phenotypes caused by \textit{CREBBP} variants [Biesecker Leslie G., 2008]. This new name will also help in focusing future biological and translational studies.
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## Table 1: Comparison of clinical features of our patients with corresponding patients reported by Menke et al

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Patient #1</td>
<td>Patient #3</td>
<td>Patient #2</td>
<td>Patient #9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal growth retardation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal growth retardation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcephaly</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental delay</td>
<td>Moderate-severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism/autism-like behaviours</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular abnormalities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract abnormalities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoliosis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High palate</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrognathia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-set ears</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad thumbs</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angulated thumbs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad halluces</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinodactyly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndactyly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibular deviation of distal phalanx halluces</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrichosis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly arched eyebrows</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long eyelashes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptosis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squint</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down-slanted palpebral fissures</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicanthi</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertelorism</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed nasal ridge</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convex nasal ridge</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short nose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad nasal tip</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short columella</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low hanging columella</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteverted nares</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full cheeks</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-set ears</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protruding upper ears</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimacing smile</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Cryptorchidism</td>
<td>Cryptorchidism</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other**: Hemangiomas, talipes varus with overlapping toes, delayed tooth eruption.
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Clinical features of patients described in this study

A and B) Patient #1 at 3y 3m and 5y 3m of ages respectively. Note chaotic slightly arched eyebrows, a square tip to his nose, normal columella, large prominent ears and absence of characteristic grimace of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome.

C) Patient #2 at 7 months of age. Note hypertelorism, broad eyebrows, up-slaning palpebral fissures, long deep philtrum, large mouth with middle alveolar notch; small chin; low-set ears;. Compare with patients #9 and #10 reported in Menke et al. 2016 and C17, 18 and 19 in Menke et al. 2018 who all have the same variant.

D) Patient 3 at 25 months of age. Note the mildly hirsute forehead with broad eyebrows, left ptosis; and long deep philtrum.

E, F, and G) MRI Brain of patient #2 at 4 months of age: Axial T1WI (E) and axial T2WI (F) show bilateral subependymal grey matter heterotopia along the temporal horns of the lateral ventricles. Sagittal T1WI (G) demonstrates short small in caliber corpus callosum.

H) MRI brain of patient 3 at 25 months of age shows bilateral staphylomas (posterior uveal protrusions).