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Abstract

Scaffold-based Tissue Engineering represents the most promising approach for the regeneration of load bearing skeletal tissues, in particular bone and cartilage. Scaffolds play major role in this process by providing a physical template for cells to adhere and proliferate whilst ensuring an adequate biomechanical support at the defect site. Whereas the quasi static mechanical properties of porous polymeric scaffolds are well documented, the response of these constructs under high strain compressive strains remain poorly understood. Therefore, this study investigates, for the first time, the influence of pore size and geometry on the mechanical behaviour of Polycaprolactone (PCL) scaffolds under quasi static and dynamic conditions. 3D printed scaffolds with varied pore sizes and geometries were obtained using different filament distances (FD) and lay-down patterns, respectively. In particular, by fixing the lay-down pattern at 0/90° and varying the FD between 480-980 µm it was possible to generate scaffolds with square pores with dimensions in the range of 150-650µm and porosities of 59-79%. On the other hand, quadrangular, hexagonal, triangular and complex pore geometries with constant porosity (approx. 70%) were obtained at a fixed FD of 680 µm and imposing four different lay-down patterns of 0/90, 0/60/120, 0/45/90/135 and 0/30/60/90/120/150°, respectively. The mechanical response of printed scaffolds was assessed under two different compression loading regimes spanning five distinct strain rates, from $10^{-2}$ to 2000 s$^{-1}$, using two different apparatus: a conventional screw-driven testing machine (Instron 4483) and a Split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) equipped with a set of A201 Flexi-forceTM (FF) force sensors and a pulse shaper. Our results show that the mechanical properties of PCL scaffolds are not strain rate sensitive between 1300 to 2000 s$^{-1}$ and these strongly depend on the pore size (porosity) rather than pore geometry. Those findings are extremely relevant for the engineering of bone tissue scaffolds with enhanced mechanical stability by providing new data describing the mechanical response of these constructs at high strain rates as well as the at the transition between quasi static and dynamic regimes.
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1. Introduction

The recent advances in materials science, stem cell biology and 3D Bioprinting have prompted the development of novel Tissue Engineering (TE) therapeutics for the regeneration of damaged tissues and organs. Particular attention has been dedicated to the use of ‘‘top-down’’ approaches where 3D scaffolds, cells and bioactive molecules are combined with the aim of recreating the native extracellular matrix (ECM) environment and promoting the guided neo-tissue ingrowth [1]. Despite the possibility to use this strategy for soft tissue engineering, it is the regeneration of load bearing tissues, such as bone and cartilage that keeps attracting the interest of different research groups worldwide [2–5]. The scaffold is instrumental in this approach as it provides a temporary template for cells to adhere, proliferate and differentiate, while ensuring an optimal mechanical stability at the site of implantation [6]. The orchestrated process by which cells remodel and deposit the ECM requires the scaffold to fulfil a wide range of chemical, physical and biological requirements, including appropriate pore size/geometry, porosity, biodegradability, biocompatibility, stiffness, easy processability and sterilization [7]. Moreover, reproducibility is highly desirable for the systematic in vitro evaluation of cell-cell or cell-matrix interactions. The paradigm shift in healthcare towards personalized medicine further reinforces the need to develop patient-customized scaffolds with tailored biochemical features for individualized treatment. From a manufacturing viewpoint, 3D Bioprinting techniques, comprising laser-assisted, jetting and extrusion-based systems, offer a route to produce such constructs by providing engineers with the precise control over the spatial distribution/positioning of multiple cells and materials [8]. These computer-controlled techniques operate in a layer-by-layer fashion and can be combined with Computer Aided Design (CAD) or medical imaging to produce highly accurate patient-specific implants [9]. The flexibility, low cost and ease of operation typical of extrusion-based processes has promoted its widespread application in scaffold manufacturing for TE [10]. Additionally, the systems can be easily adapted to operate with a large range of materials spanning from synthetic polymers to organic-inorganic composites [11,12]. As extrusion-based bioprinting systems innovate, driven by progresses at the software and hardware levels, researchers continue to improve on the biomimetic design of scaffolds as a mean to achieve greater control over the tissue regeneration process. This encompasses the ability to tailor the biological and mechanical response of a scaffold through the manipulation of its internal architectural features, namely pore size and geometry. In a previous study, our group has shown that 3D printed Polycaprolactone (PCL) scaffolds with increased pore size (from 250 to 450µm) and more regular geometries (quadrangular) favour the in vitro viability and proliferation of human Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hMSC’s) [13]. We have also demonstrated that scaffolds with smaller dimensions (250 µm) and regular geometries improved the mechanical
response under static compression loading. The relatively simple tunability of geometrical/dimensional features offered by extrusion-based printers allied with the processability and biocompatibility of PCL has prompted further studies on the generation of 3D scaffolds for in vivo bone regeneration. In a recent study, Caetano et al. observed that 3D printed PCL scaffolds seeded with Adipose Derived Stem Cells (ADSC’s) and implanted in a rat calvarial model supported cell colonization whilst exhibiting 22% more bone formation than natural bone healing [14]. Despite these promising findings and the relatively well known mechanical behaviour of 3D bioextruded PCL scaffolds under quasi-static and cyclic loading, the behaviour of these constructs at high strain rates (impact) remains poorly understood [13,15–18]. This is of paramount importance for the dynamic mechano-regulated process by which osteoblasts and osteocytes deposit and resorbs bone tissue. This osteogenic process is well documented in the literature and comprises important parameters such as the load frequency, strain rate, peak strain, frequency and number of cycles/duration [19,20]. Going beyond those low intensity cyclic mechanical events, one may wonder about the behaviour of a scaffold when subjected to impact loading, of the kind encountered physically on a daily basis, e.g. during sports practice[20]. Such loading regimes and the mechanical response of bone have been investigated over a broad range of strain rates in order to better understand possible failure mechanisms [21–23]. Interestingly, these experimental studies, performed at high strain rates, have confirmed that mechanical behaviour is strain rate dependent and therefore needed to be taken into account when designing musculoskeletal devices [21–25]. Moreover, current clinical procedures are evolving towards earlier and faster mechanical loading of bone implants after surgery in order to promote enhanced tissue remodelling, reduce recovery time and improve patient comfort [26]. As such, developing a better understanding of the effect of different loading regimes on the dynamic mechanical performance of TE constructs becomes critical for the optimization of the scaffold’s design thus ensuring an optimal long term biomechanical stability at the defect site, while shedding additional light on its mechanical resilience at high loading rates.

This study presents, for the first time, the quasi static and dynamic mechanical response of 3D bioprinted Polycaprolactone (PCL) scaffolds under compression at high strain rates, whose pore size and geometry are systematically varied.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) (CAPA 6500, Mw = 50,000 Da, Perstorp Caprolactones - Cheshire, United Kingdom) in the form of pellets was employed as received for the fabrication of three-dimensional (3D) scaffolds.
2.2. Scaffold design and fabrication

3D PCL scaffolds were produced using a commercial extrusion-based bioprinter (regenHU, Switzerland) equipped with a high temperature printing head and a nozzle of 330 μm. A detailed description of the equipment as well as the flowchart information required for the generation of TE scaffolds can be found elsewhere [27]. Independently of pore size and geometry and using the BioCAD software, rectangular prisms measuring 30 (Length) x 30 (Width) x 5 (Height) mm were initially designed. Afterwards, imposing a fixed lay-down pattern of 0/90° and varying the filament distance (FD) between 480 μm and 980 μm it was possible to obtain scaffolds with square pores and different dimensions (Figure 1). Pore geometry variations were obtained by imposing a constant filament distance (FD) of 680 μm and adopting four different lay-down patterns or angles of 0/30/60/90/120/150°, 0/45/90/135°, 0/60/120° and 0/90° (Figure 2). Process parameters were set according to previous works and can be found elsewhere [27]. After being printed, the scaffolds were removed from the building platform and cut into smaller specimens with adequate dimensions for further analyses.

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Figure 1:** 2D (XY plane) and 3D schematic representation of a printed construct characterized by a 0°/90° lay-down pattern. Symbols are denoted as RW: road width or filament diameter; FG: filament gap; ST: slice thickness; LG: layer gap; FD: filament distance (centre to centre).

![Figure 2](image2.png)  
**Figure 2:** 3D CAD models of printed scaffolds characterized by constant FD and varied lay-down pattern. a) 0/30/60/90/120/150°; b) 0/45/90/135°; c) 0/60/120°; c) 0/90°
2.3 Scaffold’s characterization

2.3.1. Morphological analysis
Morphological features of 3D printed scaffolds, such as pore size/geometry and filament diameters were assessed through Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) using a FEI Quanta 200. Top and cross-section micrographs were obtained under high vacuum conditions, voltage of 20.0 kV and pressure of 3.2 x 10^-5 Torr.

2.3.2. Porosity
The porosity of 3D printed scaffolds was measured analytically employing the following methodology: (1) measuring the weight and volume of each sample, (2) calculating the apparent density of the PCL scaffolds, (3) applying the formula reported below:

\[
\text{Porosity} = \left(1 - \frac{\rho^*}{\rho_{\text{sub}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Eq. 1

Where \(\rho^*\) is the apparent density of the scaffold and \(\rho_{\text{sub}}\) is the density of the PCL material (\(\rho_{\text{sub}} = 1.145 \text{ g/cm}^3\)). Five samples were measured for each group of parameters.

2.4 Mechanical testing

2.4.1. Quasi-static testing
Uniaxial quasi-static compression experiments were conducted using a screw-driven testing machine (Instron 4483), under displacement control, with a prescribed crosshead to a nominal strain rate of 10^{-2} s^{-1}. For high resolution measurement, the force (F) was measured using a 500N load-cell and the uniaxial displacement was measured using LE-05, EIR, laser extensometer. The measured load-displacement curves were reduced into engineering stress-strain curves, where the engineering stress (\(\sigma_{\text{eng}}\)) was calculated as the applied load divided by the original cross section area (\(A_0\)) with respect to the scaffold's porosity (\(\phi\)).

\[
\sigma_{\text{eng}} = \frac{F}{A_0(1-\phi)}
\]

Eq. 2

Since the specimen's cross section area doesn’t change much during the test, the engineering strain is a reliable measure. The engineering strain (\(\varepsilon_{\text{eng}}\)) was calculated as:

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{eng}} = \frac{\Delta L}{L_0}
\]

Eq. 3

Where \(L_0\) is the original specimen height and \(\Delta L\) is the measured displacement. One should note that, all the experiments were terminated when the load-cell reading reached 500(N), which is the load-cell limit.
For the quasi-static experiments, square parallelepiped samples with a nominal cross section of 7X7mm and 5mm height were cut from the main scaffold.

2.4.2. Dynamic testing

The dynamic compression experiments were performed using a conventional 12.7mm diameter Split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB), made of 7075-T6 aluminum alloy bars, which were loaded at the far end of the incident bar with a projectile made of the same material [28]. In conventional SHPB setups, the forces and the displacements measurement is usually done using strain gauges attached to the Hopkinson bars. The strain gauges record the incident, reflected and transmitted stress waves, $\varepsilon_{\text{inc}}, \varepsilon_{\text{ref}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{tra}}$, respectively, which are then converted into stress and strain pulses[29].

Experimenting with soft materials whose mechanical impedance is significantly lower than that of the Hopkinson bars, poses a significant experimental challenge [30–35]. While in a conventional experiment the forces' amplitude lies in the range of 15,000-40,000N, in our experimental setup the measured forces were in the range of 60-400N. The low force amplitude causes difficulties in the force measurement and in achieving dynamic force equilibrium, which is essential for converting the strain gauges signals into stress-strain curves [35,36]. In order to overcome this problem, a set of A201 Flexi-force™ (FF) force sensors were cemented on the edges of the Hopkinson's bar, so that the interfacial forces were measured directly, and not through gauge signal analysis [37]. In addition a pulse shaper, consisting of soft paper mixed with a carefully measured amount of molybdenum disulfide grease, was inserted between the striker and the incident bar [30,36]. The pulse shaper is used to increase the rise time of the loading pulse, thereby improving the specimen's equilibrium due to reduced accelerations [38].

For the dynamic experiments, square parallelepiped samples with a nominal cross section of 5X5mm and 5mm height were cut from the main scaffold. The given dimensions insured that the specimens would always be well contained within the sensing area of the FF sensor.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphological analysis

Scanning electron microscopy performed on 3D printed scaffolds show that, independently of the FD and lay-down pattern, all constructs present a well-defined internal geometry with open pores and good adhesion between adjacent layers. As illustrated in Figure 3, PCL scaffolds produced with a single lay-down pattern of $0^\circ/90^\circ$ and varied FD (between 480 µm and 980 µm) resulted in constructs with square interconnected pores of regular dimensions (FG) in the range of 148µm - 652µm.
Figure 3: Top SEM micrographs of PCL scaffolds with constant lay-down pattern of 0/90° and different FD values. a) FD = 480 µm; b) FD = 580 µm; c) FD = 680 µm; d) FD = 780 µm; e) FD = 880 µm; f) FD = 980 µm.

A significant consistency between the theoretical values and experimental measurements for RW, FG and LG were obtained thus confirming the accuracy and reproducibility of the 3D printing system employed in the production of the PCL scaffolds (Table 1). Through the systematic variation of FD it was also possible to generate 3D constructs with different levels of porosity ranging between 59% and 79% (Table 1).

Table 1: Experimental measurements of 3D printed scaffolds with fixed lay down pattern of 0/90° and varied FD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FD (µm)</th>
<th>RW (µm)</th>
<th>FG (µm)</th>
<th>LG (µm)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>329±6</td>
<td>148±5</td>
<td>194±10</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>332±7</td>
<td>251±9</td>
<td>196±7</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680</td>
<td>329±7</td>
<td>347±9</td>
<td>200±6</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>329±9</td>
<td>450±8</td>
<td>196±3</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>328±5</td>
<td>552±8</td>
<td>194±11</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980</td>
<td>326±8</td>
<td>652±5</td>
<td>192±6</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Defining a constant FD of 680µm and imposing four different lay-down patterns of 0/30/60/90/120/150°, 0/45/90/135°, 0/60/120° and 0/90°, it was possible to produce 3D scaffolds with complex polygonal, triangular, hexagonal and quadrangular pore geometries, respectively (Figure 4).

**Figure 4:** Top SEM micrographs of PCL scaffolds with constant FD of 680µm and different lay-down patterns. a) 0/30/60/90/120/150°; b) 0/45/90/135°; c) 0/60/120°; d) 0/90°.

As reported in Table 2, the variation of lay-down pattern from 0/30/60/90/120/150° to 0/90° resulted in constructs with great consistency between pre-defined and experimentally measured geometrical features. Porosity levels, calculated based on equation 1, were not observed to change significantly (67% - 70%) due to the constant FD imposed during the printing process.

**Table 2:** Experimental measurements of 3D printed scaffolds with fixed FD of 680 µm and varied lay down patterns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay-down pattern (°)</th>
<th>RW (µm)</th>
<th>FG (µm)</th>
<th>LG (µm)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0/30/60/90/120/150</td>
<td>319±6</td>
<td>356±6</td>
<td>1152±4</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/45/90/135</td>
<td>322±7</td>
<td>354±7</td>
<td>719±5</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/60/120</td>
<td>334±9</td>
<td>348±4</td>
<td>462±7</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/90</td>
<td>329±7</td>
<td>347±9</td>
<td>200±6</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Mechanical response of the scaffolds

3.2.1 Quasi-static

As mentioned above, the mechanical response to quasi-static loading is one of the most basic characteristics of a load bearing component. The implanted scaffold should support diverse everyday activities like sitting, lying down and walking whilst ensuring adequate pore volumes for adherent cells to proliferate and differentiate. In those cases, the applied loading is essentially quasi-static. The quasi-static experiments are divided into two sections that investigate the mechanical response of the scaffolds under compression loading when: 1) the FD is varied and the
lay-down pattern is fixed at 0/90°; 2) the lay-down pattern (pore geometry) is varied and the FD is kept constant at 680μm.

Typical engineering (nominal) stress-strain curves of the various scaffolds together with that of fully dense PCL are shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 5. Typical quasi-static nominal stress-strain curves of 0/90° scaffolds with FD of 480, 680 and 980μm, respectively, and fully dense PCL. The markings indicate the observed transition in the hardening phases. Note that none of the specimens actually fractured.](image)

The engineering stress-strain curves plotted in Figure 5 show that, independently of the FD, all scaffolds display a mechanical response characterized by three main hardening phases: 1) The first hardening phase is related directly to the single filament ability to withstand physical load which extends up to an approximate strain of 0.2; 2) The second hardening phase occurs at strains in the range of 0.2 to 0.5. This phase shows low strain hardening for low FD (480μm) scaffolds, and almost no strain hardening for large FD (980μm); 3) The third hardening phase starts when the gaps between the filaments are closed, so that densification proceeds bringing the material’s response close to that of dense PCL. This is supported by previous observations where 3D printed scaffolds exhibit a mechanical behaviour under static compression similar to that of flexible foams [7,39]. This is particularly evident in the case of scaffolds with higher FD’s (980 μm) where the central region (0.2<Eng. Strain<0.5) is characterized by a stress plateau.

The observed differences in the mechanical responses of the scaffolds are directly related to the FD design. A possible explanation could be that upon sufficient compression of the filaments, especially for the cases where LG ≈ FG, the lateral expansion of the filaments will act to reduce the
FG down to a point where the filaments will contact each other, thereby stiffening the overall structure. This is even more evident for scaffolds with small values of FD (480μm) where the difference between the hardening phases becomes blurred. All the results pertaining to the 0/90° tested scaffolds submitted to quasi-static loading at strain rate of $10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ are shown in Figure 6. The results presented with respect to the actual cross section area.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Average quasi-static engineering stress-strain curves of 0/90° scaffolds with the calculated standard error bars.

As depicted in Figure 6, scaffolds with smaller pores sizes (lower values of FD and FG) exhibit higher flow stress compared to scaffolds with larger pore sizes. In addition, when measuring the mechanical response of soft materials, the small force amplitudes may lead to deviation in the measured flow stress, therefore the relatively small error bars indicate the quality and the reliability of the printing process [35]. The results reported in Figure 5 and 6 are in line with previous observations from other groups and show a direct dependence between the mechanical response of the scaffolds (at low strain rates) and their level of porosity [13,16,39,40].

Finally in order to measure the influence of the lay-down pattern at a constant FD on the mechanical response, additional scaffolds with FD of 680μm and different printing angles were tested, namely 0/30/60/90/120/150°, 0/45/90/135°, 0/60/120° and 0/90°. The measured stress-strain curves tested at nominal strain rate of $10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ are shown in Figure 7.
Figure 7. Average quasi-static engineering stress-strain curves of scaffolds with FD 680μm with different printing orientation. The flow stress is presented with standard error bars.

Figure 7 shows that scaffolds with different lay-down patterns exhibit a mechanical response that is rather similar to that of the 0/90° scaffolds. The minor deviation observed for the 0/45/90°/135° scaffolds at strains higher than 0.5, is due to shear deformation at the last part of the experiment. These results further support the observations reported in Figure 5 and 6 where the compressive strength of the constructs is mainly determined by the porosity level rather than the geometry of the pores (lay-down pattern). Due to this observation, the subsequent dynamic experiments focused only on the effect of FD in 0/90° scaffolds. Moreover, and independently of the pore size or geometry, all 3D printed scaffolds display a compressive stress similar to that of human trabecular bone (4-12 MPa) thus confirming its potential in bone TE [41].

3.2.2 Dynamic

Although the field of high rate loading is overlooked in many cases, the characterization of the scaffolds response to impacts has a major importance in many aspects. High strain rate loading can change the scaffolds mechanical response in terms of hardening, recoverable vs. permanent damage and more. Similarly to the quasi-static load loading, high rate loading may also be experienced on a daily basis. Even more important, accidents like falling, bumping into a person on the street or even car accidents can deliver sharp shock loading into the body. Therefore a rigorous dynamic characterization is required to accurately predict the failure mechanisms of skeletal tissues and improve the design and modelling of artificial implants [42]. The dynamic experiments were carried out under compression and employing different strain rates ranging from 1300 to 2000 s⁻¹. This range of strain has been previously employed in the mechanical characterization of human and
bovine bones and can reveal whether the designed scaffolds are strain rate sensitive in the dynamic loading regime[21–23]. Characteristic flow curves of 0/90° scaffolds with FD of 480μm at different strain rates are shown in Figure 8.

**Figure 8.** Typical dynamic nominal stress-strain curves of 0/90° scaffolds with FD of 480μm, at varied strain rates.

Figure 8 shows how the dynamic mechanical response of the scaffolds changes in respect to the static compression which was characterized by a three-phase hardening stress-strain curve. In this case the dynamic behaviour exhibits very small, almost no strain hardening up to a strain of 3%, and from thereon, the scaffolds exhibit a constant rate of hardening. Moreover, within the range of employed strain rates, the scaffolds did not exhibit any significant strain rate sensitivity. This observation was common to all tested scaffolds independently of their FD and at fixed lay-down pattern of 0/90° (Figure 9). These results appear to contradict previous findings from other researchers that suggest a dependence between the mechanical strength of human bone and the rate of applied strain [21]. However, it would be unreasonable at this point, to draw any comparisons between the two studies, especially because the range of applied strain rates is significantly different (0.001 to 1500 s⁻¹).
Figure 9. Average dynamic engineering stress-strain curves of 0/90° scaffolds and fully dense PCL with the calculated standard error bars.

According to Figure 9, and similarly to the quasi static tests (Figure 7), scaffolds with smaller FD exhibit higher strain hardening in comparison to those with higher FD. However, the data indicate a much sharper increase of compressive stress under dynamic conditions in the strain interval between 0 and 0.1. These findings are in accordance with others in the literature that suggest a strong correlation between the compressive stress and porosity (or volume fraction) of bone [24]. The experiments carried by Carter et al on the compressive response of bone further support our findings thus proposing the strength of the scaffolds to be a much stronger function of the porosity (or density) rather than the applied strain rate [43].

In order to further examine the strain rate sensitivity, a comparison between the quasi-static and dynamic response of scaffolds with 0/90° lay-down pattern and FD of 480μm and 980μm is shown in Figure 10. Those scaffolds were selected for the comparison since under quasi-static loading they exhibit the strongest and the weakest flow stress, thereby providing bounds for the properties.
Figure 10 clearly shows that the scaffolds are strain rate sensitive when shifting from static to dynamic loading. When these results are compared with those of the fully dense material (Figure 9), a pure material influence would most likely yield identical normalized stress strain curves. Since this is not the case, it appears that part of the strain rate sensitivity is related to the geometry of the scaffold and inertial effects in the dynamic regime. It’s also worth mentioning that all scaffolds tested under dynamic conditions exhibit lower compressive stress compared to human bone with similar porosity (trabecular) [22]. This can be attributed to the fact that PCL scaffolds do not entirely mimic the two-phase composition of native bone, where the mineral phase (mainly composed of calcium phosphates) is responsible for the increased mechanical strength of the tissue.

4. Conclusions

The quasi static and dynamic compressive mechanical response of 3D printed PCL scaffolds with different pore sizes and geometries was experimentally evaluated in this work. As confirmed by the SEM micrographs, through the manipulation of design parameters (FD and lay-down pattern) it was possible to obtain highly accurate and reproducible 3D constructs characterized by a fully open network of interconnected pores. Imposing a single lay-down pattern of 0/90° and varying the FD between 480 µm and 980 µm, 3D scaffolds were printed with pore sizes and porosities in the range of 148±5-652±5 µm and 59-79%, respectively. Quadrangular, hexagonal, triangular and complex pore geometries with constant porosity (approx. 70%) were obtained at a fixed FD of 680 µm and imposing four different lay-down patterns of 0/90, 0/60/120, 0/45/90/135 and 0/30/60/90/120/150°,
respectively. The quasi static analyses revealed that, independently of the pore size and geometry, all scaffolds displayed a stress-strain curve characterized by a three-phase hardening mechanism. It was also possible to observe that the mechanical properties of PCL scaffolds are mainly determined by their pore size (FG) and that the compressive stress is enhanced by a lower porosity level. Dynamic tests at strain rates in the range of 1300 to 2000 s\(^{-1}\) performed using a SHPB apparatus showed that the mechanical response of 3D scaffolds printed with a constant lay-down pattern of 0/90\(^\circ\) and varied FD is not strain rate sensitive. This observation is valid for all tested strain rates independently of pore size or geometry except when the mechanical behaviour of 3D printed PCL scaffolds (FD=480 \(\mu\)m) is evaluated at 10\(^{-2}\) and 2000 s\(^{-1}\) strain rates. In this case, shifting from quasi static to dynamic conditions the scaffolds display evident strain rate sensitivity similar to that of human native bone. In conclusion, this study provides, for the first time, important information on the dynamic mechanical response of 3D printed PCL scaffolds under high compressive strain rates that can be used for the design and manufacturing of skeletal implants with enhanced biomechanical stability. Further work is already underway to investigate the behaviour of organic-inorganic composite (polymer/ceramic) 3D scaffolds at strain rates between 10 and 200 s\(^{-1}\) when immersed in Simulated Body Fluid (SBF). This will allow complementing the results obtained in this work whilst providing a better understanding on the effect of a bone-like mineral phase and SBF on the scaffold’s response at low cycle loading regimes.
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Highlights

- Quasi static and dynamic mechanical behaviour of bioprinted PCL scaffolds for TE.
- Scaffold’s compressive stress is within the range of human trabecular bone.
- Mechanical response at low strain rates ($10^{-2}$) is a strong function of porosity.
- 3D printed scaffolds do not exhibit strain rate sensitivity in the range of 1300-2000 s$^{-1}$
- At the transition from quasi static to dynamic (impact) scaffolds display strain rate sensitivity.