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Abstract

Producing synthetic scaffolds with adequate physical, chemical, and biological properties remains a challenge for tissue engineering. Internal architecture, surface chemistry, and material properties have strong impact on the cell biological behavior. This requires sophisticated systems not only able to process multiple materials with different characteristics, creating fully interconnected 3D porous structures with high reproducibility and accuracy, but also able to modify their properties during the fabrication process. This study introduces a novel additive manufacturing system comprising a multiprinting unit (screw-assisted and pressure-assisted printing heads) together with a plasma unit that enables the surface modification of printed scaffolds. Poly(ε-caprolactone) scaffolds with a lay-down pattern of 0/90° were fabricated using the screw-assisted printing head and a plasma jet unit used to uniformly modify each layer, a specific region of each layer during the printing process or the external surface of the printed scaffolds. Scaffolds were produced using different plasma exposure times and different distance between the plasma head and the printed layer, and fixed printing conditions. Produced scaffolds were morphologically, mechanically, chemically, and biologically characterized. Results show that the distance between the plasma head and the printed material has no significant effect on the mechanical properties, whereas the increase of the plasma deposition velocity increases the mechanical properties. As expected, plasma treatment increases hydrophilicity and consequently the biological performance of the scaffolds. Results also show the potential of the proposed fabrication system to create functional gradient or scaffolds with tailored properties.
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Introduction

Tissue engineering is a multidisciplinary field for the development of biological substitutes that restore, maintain, or improve tissue function by combining cells with three dimensional (3D) porous scaffolds. In this field, the fabrication of 3D highly porous, biocompatible, and biodegradable scaffolds, with proper porosity, pore size, shape and interconnectivity, degradation rate, chemical composition, and mechanical properties, is critical.

Recently, additive manufacturing technologies have emerged as a promising approach to produce scaffolds. The main advantages they provide are the capacity to rapidly produce very complex 3D constructs in a layer-by-layer manner and the ability to actively design the porosity and interconnectivity of scaffolds enabling repeatable macro-architectures. However, low resolution limits the fabrication of scaffolds to those with large pores compared with the size of cells. This leads to low cell-seeding efficiency and a nonuniform distribution of cells, and consequently to low rates of tissue formation, less uniform tissue, and different cell differentiation behavior. Moreover, most additive fabrication techniques are usually limited to the fabrication of single material scaffolds, which may not be fully chemically compatible with cells. Furthermore, cell adhesion in the core regions of these scaffolds is often obstructed by the tortuosity of the scaffold, leading to limited cell colonization. In addition, as synthetic biopolymers, the most commonly used
PE-CVD: plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition

materials, are hydrophobic, limited cell adhesion and proliferation are observed.⁷

As cells are sensitive to the surface characteristics and topography of surfaces, surface-modification techniques such as cold plasma deposition (PE-CVD), etching, and grafting processes, can be effective in tailoring the morphology and properties of surfaces to improve and orient their interaction with cells.⁴,¹¹ In particular, PE-CVD of stable functionalized coatings (i.e., characterized by surface functional groups such as –NH₂, –COOH, and others) and plasma grafting of polar groups allow the hydrophilic character of surfaces to be enhanced.¹²–¹⁴ This provides anchor groups for biomolecule immobilization, which ultimately improve cell adhesion, colonization, and growth.

Despite its efficiency on the treatment of 2D substrates, the application of plasmas for the homogeneous modification of 3D scaffolds remains unviable due to limited penetration depth. Cold plasmas at low pressure (10–1000 mTorr), the most versatile plasma processes, are not ignited in 10–400 μm pores.¹⁵ In these conditions, plasma active species can reach the walls of the pores only by diffusion.¹⁶ At atmospheric pressure, it may be possible to make some progress with dielectric barrier discharges, or plasma jets, but the problem of limited penetration in a 3D scaffold remains.

This article presents a novel additive manufacturing system, named as plasma-assisted bioextrusion system (PABS), aiming to radically move beyond the current state of the art to circumvent the previously mentioned problems by developing a plasma-integrated hybrid additive manufacturing system.¹⁷ The system operates both the fabrication and the plasma treatment in a layer-by-layer manner, allowing highly efficient use of plasma 2D surface treatments, overcoming challenges related to full-cell penetration and colonization. Moreover, this system opens up the possibility of tailoring the plasma treatment for particular areas of the scaffolds. To assess the system, poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) scaffolds were produced and plasma treated considering three strategies: surface treated, center treated, and full-layer treated. The effect of plasma exposure time (i.e., plasma deposition velocity) and intensity (i.e., distance between plasma head and scaffold) was investigated. Hydrophilicity changes under different plasma conditions were determined with water contact angle measurement and compression tests were carried out to evaluate the effect of plasma treatment on the mechanical properties of the scaffolds. In vitro biological assessments were conducted to assess the adhesion and proliferation behavior of human adipose-derived stem cells (hADSCs) on fabricated scaffold samples (untreated, surface-treated, center-treated, full-layer-treated PCL scaffolds).

Materials and Methods

Poly(ε-caprolactone)

PCL (CAPA™ 6500, Mw = 50,000 g/mol), purchased from Perstorp (Cheshire, United Kingdom) in the form of 3 mm pellets, was used to produce the scaffolds. PCL is an easy-to-process semicrystalline polymer with a density of 1.1 g/cm³, a melting temperature between 58°C and 60°C, and a glass transition temperature of ~60°C.

Experiment setup

The PABS setup, shown in Figure 1a, allows the fabrication of multimaterial scaffolds with either uniform pore size or gradient pore size, specially controlled mechanical
properties and chemical homogeneous and heterogeneous surfaces to modulate cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. The system comprises two main units, a multi-extrusion printing system (Fig. 1b) and a plasma jet system (Fig. 1c). The multiextrusion system comprises two pressure-assisted printing heads and one screw-assisted printing head, allowing to operate with a range of thermoplastic biomaterials, hydrogels, and thermoplastic/ceramic materials. All printing heads are equipped with a heated material chamber operating with a set of nozzle diameters ranging from 0.1 to 1 mm. The plasma jet head consists of a quartz capillary with a pin-type electrode mounted in coaxial geometry at the center of the capillary, and a ground electrode outside. Different types of gases can be applied. The control software was developed in MATLAB as previously reported and generated G code files contains all the instructions for the fabrication process.\(^\text{18}\)

** Scaffold design and fabrication**

Rectangular scaffolds of 12 × 12 × 3 mm\(^3\) (length × width × height) were designed with a lay-down pattern of 0/90° and filament distance of 1 mm. The printing conditions were deposition rate of 3 mm/s, slice thickness of 0.5 mm, heating temperature of 90°C, extrusion rate of 15 rpm, and nozzle tip size of 0.5 mm.

**Plasma modification strategies and processing parameters**

The plasma treatment was performed using nitrogen (N\(_2\)) at a pressure of 10 psi with a flow rate of 5 L/mm directly exposed to selected areas of the scaffolds in atmospheric environment. Tests were performed at different deposition velocities (3, 6, and 12 mm/s), and at different distances between the plasma unit and the surface of the deposited material (5, 10, and 15 mm). High voltage (10 kV) and radiofrequency (repetition rate = 50 KHz) were ignited between the tungsten electrode and copper film. Three different strategies were considered (Fig. 1d):

1. Surface-treated scaffolds: PCL scaffolds were printed using the screw-assisted printing head and then uniformly treated with the plasma system.
2. Center-treated scaffolds: PCL scaffolds were printed and, during the printing process, the center of each layer was treated with the plasma system. The center-treated area is determined by the programmed tool path of the plasma jet, which was set as a circular area with 5 mm diameter. For short treatment distances (e.g., 5 mm), the treatment area is approximately the same as the programmed area. Currently, the plasma exposure area is controlled by adjusting the distance between the plasma system and the material surface, with the other parameters (e.g., power and deposition velocity) enabling to control the degree of surface modification. An improvement on the plasma jet is being considered by creating a well-defined gas curtain around the reaction jet to shield the effluent and get it focused.\(^\text{19}\)
3. Full-layer-treated scaffolds: The screw-assisted printing head was used to print a layer of PCL and then the plasma system was used to treat the layer.

**Morphological characterization**

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to assess the morphology and surface characteristic of both plasma-treated and untreated scaffolds. The scaffolds were gold/palladium coated using a Q150T turbo-pumped sputter coater (Quorum Technologies, United Kingdom) and imaged at 10 kV (Hitachi S3000N, Japan). These images were then analyzed using ImageJ software.

**Chemical characterization**

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed using a Kratos Axis Ultra (Kratos Analytical Ltd, Manchester, United Kingdom) with CasaXPS software for data analysis and acquisition. The monochromatic Al (K\(_\alpha\)) X-ray source (hv = 15 kV; 300 W set power) was used. The spectrometer was operated in constant analyzer energy mode with a pass energy of 100 eV for survey scan, whereas for the carbon (C) 1s, oxygen (O) 1s, and nitrogen (N) 1s, individual high-resolution spectra were taken at a pass energy of 50 and a 0.1 eV energy step. The binding energy (eV) scales were referenced to the hydrocarbon component (C–C) in the C 1s spectra at 285 eV.

**Surface wettability characterization**

Water contact angle measurements on the surfaces of untreated and plasma-treated PCL scaffolds were carried out with a KSV CAM 200 system (KSV Instruments, Finland). The system is equipped with a CCD video camera and a micrometric liquid dispenser to drop 2 µL of distilled water on the surface of the scaffold. The contact angle measurements were automatically calculated with the instrument software. The water droplet was deposited at the center of the scaffold surface for each sample.

**Mechanical characterization**

Compression tests were performed to analyze the effect of plasma processing parameters on the mechanical properties of fully plasma-treated PCL scaffolds. Before the compression test, the edges of all scaffolds were vertically cut with a razor blade creating a cross-section of ~10 × 10 mm. All tests were carried out using an unconfined Instron 3344 single column universal testing systems (Instron Corporation, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom) equipped with a 2000 N load cell. Specimens were compressed between two fixed stainless steel plates at a rate of 0.5 mm/min up to a strain value of 0.45 mm/mm. For each type of specimen, the tests were repeated four times in quadruplicate. Data were collected and analyzed using the OriginPro 8.5.1 software (OriginLab Corporation). The stress ($\sigma$) was evaluated as the ratio between the load ($F$) and the total area ($A$) of the apparent cross section of the scaffold according to the following equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{F}{A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

whereas strain, $\varepsilon$, was defined as the ratio between the scaffold height variation $\Delta h$ (the difference between initial height...
and the height after compression) and the scaffold initial height \( h_0 \):

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta h}{h_0}
\]

(2)

The compressive modulus was defined as the slope of the tangent line to the stress–strain curve at 12.5% strain.

**Biological assessment**

Cell proliferation tests were performed using hADSCs (STEMPRO, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA). Before cell seeding, scaffolds were sterilized by soaking in 70% ethanol for 2 h. After sterilization, samples were rinsed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), transferred to 24-well plates and air-dried for 24 h at room temperature. A total of 50,000 cells were seeded on each sample, including untreated scaffolds, plasma surface-treated, center-treated, and full-layer-treated scaffolds.

Cell viability/proliferation behavior and the percentage of cells attached on the scaffolds (cell-seeding efficiency) were assessed through Alamar Blue assay (also termed the Resazurin assay, reagents from Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, United Kingdom). Cell viability/proliferation rate was measured at 1, 3, 7, and 14 days after cell seeding. For each measurement, cell-seeded scaffolds were transferred to a new 24-well plate and 0.7 mL of Alamar Blue solution was added to each well; the plate was incubated for 4 h under standard condition (37°C, 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity). After incubation, 150 μL of each sample solution was transferred to a 96-well plate and the fluorescence intensity was measured at 540 nm and 590 nm emission wavelength with a spectrophotometer (Sunrise, Tecan, Männedorf, Zurich, Switzerland).

Cell attachment and distribution are assessed using laser confocal microscopy, with cell nuclei stained. At day 1 of cell culture and after cell culture for 14 days, scaffolds were removed from the 24-well cell culture plate, rinsed twice in PBS (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at room temperature. After fixation, samples were rinsed twice with PBS for the removal of formalin, then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X100 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS at room temperature for 10 min, and rinsed twice for the removal of Triton-X100. Cell nuclei were stained blue by soaking scaffolds in a PBS solution containing 4’-6-diamidino-2’-phenylindole dihydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich) at the manufacturer-recommended concentration. Samples were left in the staining solution for 10 min before removal and rinsed twice thoroughly with PBS. Confocal images were obtained using a 3D rendering mode on a Leica TCS SP5 (Leica, Milton Keynes, United Kingdom) confocal microscope, and cell colonization was quantified using a standard z-stack method. All images were taken at the center area of the scaffolds and the experiments were performed three times in triplicate.

**Data analysis**

All data are represented as mean±standard deviation. Biological results were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) and post hoc Tukey’s test using GraphPad Prism software. Significance levels were set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Morphological characterization**

SEM images of full-layer plasma-treated PCL scaffolds are shown in Figure 2a. As seen from the top view and side view of the SEM images, the structure presented an interconnected structure with pores of ~500 μm uniformly distributed. Figure 2b shows the top view of a filament surface from untreated and plasma-treated scaffolds. The surface of untreated filaments (Fig. 2b (left)) exhibited a nonsmooth morphology with small micropores (~5–20 μm diameters), whereas the surface of N₂ plasma-treated filaments shows a denser and smaller nano-size roughness with lines in the direction of the plasma jet movement (Fig. 2b (right)).

**Chemical characterization**

The chemical composition of untreated and plasma-treated scaffolds, evaluated by XPS, is presented in Table 1 and Figure 2c and d. Results show that after plasma modification, the shape of the C1s peak dramatically changed with the increase in both C2 and C3, and the appearance of amide groups (N–C(O)=O), C5), confirming that the PCL chemical composition was modified with an N-rich coating.

**Surface wettability characterization**

Figure 3a shows that the untreated PCL scaffolds present higher water contact angle (85.48°±1.5°), typical of hydrophobic surfaces. The figure also revealed a slightly lower water contact angle of full-layer-treated PCL scaffolds (59.07°±2.4°) in comparison with the full-layer and surface-treated scaffolds.

The effect of plasma processing parameters, such as the distance between the plasma head and deposited material and plasma deposition velocity on the surface wettability, was also investigated, considering full-layer-treated scaffolds. Figure 3b shows the water contact angle measurements after water dropped on the surface for 0, 3, and 5 s for samples treated with the same deposition velocity of 3 mm/s, and different distances between the plasma jet and the deposited material. The results show that by increasing the distance the water contact angle decreases, which is associated with a decrease on the plasma effect. Figure 3c shows the effect of plasma deposition velocity, considering a 10 mm distance between the plasma head and the printed material. The results show that the plasma modification effect decreases with increasing plasma deposition velocity.

**Mechanical characterization**

Mechanical compression tests were performed to assess the effect of the plasma treatment processing conditions on the mechanical performance of the scaffolds. Obtained results are presented in Figure 4 and Tables 2 and 3. As observed, for the fixed distance between the plasma head and the deposited material, the plasma deposition velocity has a
significant effect on the mechanical properties, with the compression modulus varying between 21.41 MPa at 3 mm/s and 34.30 MPa at 12 mm/s. For a fixed plasma deposition velocity, the effect of changing the distance between the plasma head and the deposited material on the compressive modulus is less significant.

**Biological assessment**

Cell adhesion and proliferation of four types of 3D scaffolds (N₂ plasma surface treated, center treated, full-layer treated, and untreated) are assessed using the Alamar Blue assay. Fluorescence intensity of cell-seeded scaffolds was measured at four different culture time points (1, 3, 7, and 14 days), as presented in Figure 5a. The results show that for both plasma-treated and untreated scaffolds, the fluorescence intensity increases from one time point to another, suggesting that the scaffolds fabricated with the developed additive manufacturing system are suitable substrates for cell proliferation. At both day 1 and day 3, no significant differences were observed between the different types of scaffolds. However, at day 7, plasma surface-treated and full-layer-treated scaffolds present statistically higher fluorescence intensity than untreated scaffolds, suggesting higher cell attachment/proliferation rate due to the plasma surface modification. At day 14, plasma surface-treated scaffolds show statistically higher cell proliferation than both untreated scaffolds and center-treated scaffolds. No statistical differences were observed between plasma surface-treated and full-layer-treated scaffolds.

**Table 1. The Concentration of Carbon, Oxygen, and Nitrogen on Untreated Scaffolds and N₂ Plasma-Treated Scaffolds (10 mm Treatment Distance and 3 mm/s Plasma Deposition Velocity) as Determined by X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscope Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th>N₂ plasma treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C%</td>
<td>76.7 ± 1</td>
<td>72.4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O%</td>
<td>23.3 ± 1</td>
<td>22.6 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–C%</td>
<td>52.4 ± 1</td>
<td>53.4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–N–%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–O–%</td>
<td>19.2 ± 1</td>
<td>18.3 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3 legend corrected into: (a) water contact angles of untreated, surface-treated, center-treated, and full-layer-treated PCL scaffolds after the water drop on the surface at 0 s; effect of (b) the distance from plasma jet on the deposited material (at deposition velocity of 3 mm/s), and (c) the plasma deposition velocity (with 10 mm distance) on the surface wettability detected by water contact angle results at time points 0, 3, and 5 s.

FIG. 3. The C1s spectra of (a) untreated and (b) N₂ plasma-treated PCL scaffolds; (c) water contact angles of untreated, surface-treated, center-treated, and full-layer-treated PCL scaffolds after the water drop on the surface at 0 s; effect of (d) the distance from plasma jet on the deposited material (at deposition velocity of 3 mm/s), and (e) the plasma deposition velocity (with 10 mm distance) on the surface wettability detected by water contact angle results at time points 0, 3, and 5 s.

FIG. 4. Effect of full-layer plasma modification on the compressive mechanical properties with (a) different distance between plasma jet and deposited material (at velocity of 3 mm/s) and (b) plasma deposition velocity (with 10 mm distance). Typical stress–strain curves for PCL scaffolds characterized by a 90°/90°/C176 lay-down pattern and filament distance of 500 μm, compressed at a rate of 0.5 mm/min up to a strain value of 0.4 mm/min. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/3dp
Confocal microscopy images (Fig. 5b) present the cell attachment and distribution after cell seeding (day 1) and proliferation (day 14). It can be observed that plasma-treated scaffolds presented higher number of cells than untreated scaffolds. In addition, it is also possible to observe that plasma surface-treated scaffolds presented best cell attachment and dispersion.

**Discussion**

The SEM results indicate that the plasma surface modification has an impact on the surface roughness, with the results focusing on the extruded filament confirming this impact. The micropores observed on the surface of untreated filament are due to the structure evolution during the screw-assisted melt extrusion process. After plasma treatment, the denser surface appearance indicates an increase in the surface roughness due to the etching process, during which the melting and etching consecutively occurred on the PCL surface. This etching results in the stripping off the topmost layer of the polymer due to the weight loss during the plasma exposure. Moreover, the textures on the treated filament surface are attributed to the gas flow generated by the plasma jet during the modification process. In this case, as the printed material is not totally cooled down, when the plasma modification occurs, the gas flow effect is stronger, significantly influencing the surface topography.

The WCA measurement results indicate that N2 plasma modification dramatically increased the wettability of PCL scaffolds. The effect of the chemical groups deposited in the bottom layers of full-layer-treated scaffolds enhanced the better hydrophilicity behavior than surface-treated scaffolds. Since the center treatment guided the direction of water absorption in the vertical direction, limiting the spreading in the horizontal direction, the center-treated scaffolds present time-proved wettability. The effect of plasma modification can be tailored by changing the plasma processing parameters, including the distance between the plasma head and deposited material, and plasma deposition velocity. The plasma modification effect is related to the spatial distribution of active species and temperature and also the pressure associated with the plasma treatment. For smaller distances, the higher temperature, higher pressure, and more concentrated active species induce lower WCA on the treated surface. Higher plasma deposition velocity results in the shorter plasma exposure time, which leads to less active species deposited on the surface.

The effect of plasma modification process on the mechanical properties is further investigated in this study by changing the plasma processing parameters. The mechanical analyses revealed that with a constant plasma modification velocity, the distance does not affect the bulk properties, which is consistent with previous studies, whereas the plasma modification velocity has an effect on the compressive behavior. Results show that slower plasma deposition velocities resulted in reduced compressive modulus. Since the deposited material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma treatment distance between plasma head and deposited material (mm)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressive modulus (MPa)</td>
<td>22.55±1.2</td>
<td>21.41±0.8</td>
<td>23.23±1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma deposition velocity (mm/s)</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressive modulus (MPa)</td>
<td>21.41±0.8</td>
<td>26.14±1.2</td>
<td>34.30±1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is not fully solidified when conducting the plasma modification, lower plasma velocities may influence the microstructure development contributing to reduced mechanical properties.\textsuperscript{20,26}

According to other studies,\textsuperscript{16,25} a significant increase in the biological behavior is observed after plasma modification. Owing to the zonal plasma modification capability of PABS, the biological behavior was further assessed through comparison of surface-treated, center-treated, and full-layer-treated scaffolds. The center-treated scaffolds presented insignificantly improved results as the space for cell proliferation is limited in the core region of scaffolds. This also explains the higher fluorescence intensity observed for surface-treated and full-layer-treated scaffolds. Furthermore, the full-layer-treated scaffolds resulted in superhydrophilic properties, which may lead to decreased cell attachment and proliferation,\textsuperscript{27} and this explains the observed lower cell intensity than surface-treated scaffolds.

Conclusions

This article presented a novel additive manufacturing system comprising a multimaterial printing unit and a plasma jet unit. To assess the system, nonplasma-treated and plasma-treated PCL scaffolds were produced. The effect of plasma deposition velocity and the distance between the plasma head and the surface of the deposited material was investigated. Results from SEM analysis confirm the capability of the proposed system to produce full-layer or zonal plasma modified scaffold with fully interconnected channels and regular pore size. As observed, the filament morphology changed from a nonsmooth morphology with small micro- pores in the case of untreated scaffolds to a morphology with textures aligned with the direction of the plasma jet movement in the case of treated scaffolds. XPS results indicate the deposition of nitrogen-rich chemical groups on the top surface and throughout the entire 3D construct based on the treatment strategy. The water contact angle measurement results reveal that the scaffolds become more hydrophilic after the plasma treatment, and additionally, center-treated scaffolds appear to show the best hydrophilicity. Moreover, the effect of plasma treatment seems to be more significant with reduced deposition velocities and distances between the plasma head and the printed material. Mechanical compression tests show that for a fixed plasma deposition velocity, the effect of changing the distance between the plasma head and the deposited material is not significant. However, for a fixed distance, the compressive modulus decreases with the decrease in the plasma deposition velocity. \textit{In vitro} biological assessment reveals better cell adhesion and proliferation behavior of hADSCs on the plasma-treated scaffolds, and the plasma surface-treated and plasma full-layer-treated scaffolds presented high biological performance. Bioimaging showing cell attachment and distribution also confirmed this observation.

Applications that may benefit from this technology include functional graded scaffolds, which have compositional variations tailored by plasma treatment with different gases, and various plasma deposition velocities and distances.
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