Modelling the radio and X-ray emission from T-Tauri flares
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ABSTRACT

T-Tauri stars are known for their high levels of magnetic activity and variability. Both classical and weak-line T-Tauri stars are overluminous in the radio compared with the well-established Güdel-Benz relation between radio and X-ray luminosity for solar and main sequence stellar flares. We show that there is little difference in the observational properties of classical T-Tauri stars and weak-line T-Tauri stars. We then model a typical T-Tauri - circumstellar disk system magnetosphere to predict the radio emission from flares associated with the circumstellar disk and accretion events. We assume that energetic electrons are generated in a large-scale magnetic flux tube due to a reconnection event with the accretion disc field at 4R⊙. Our standard model, with a dipolar magnetic field with a strength of 2kG at the stellar surface and non-thermal and thermal densities of 2.5×10^{11} cm^{-3} and 5.0×10^{11} cm^{-3} respectively, produces both X-ray and radio emission consistent with observations (logL_X=30.5, logL_R=16.3). Varying the model parameters we can reproduce the observed range of radio and X-ray emission. The peak radio luminosity and the frequency of this peak (which occurs at >10 GHz and possibly beyond 100 GHz for some sets of parameters) depend on the fraction of non-thermal particles and may be used as a diagnostic of this quantity. The surface field strength was varied from 0.5 to 7kG, with the peak flux increasing by over three orders of magnitude. The models provide a framework for constraining the properties of these sources and to guide and interpret future observations.
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1 INTRODUCTION

T-Tauri stars (TTSs) are low mass (<2M⊙) young stellar objects (YSOs). They are known for their high variability and magnetic activity which is frequently explained by flaring events or magnetospheric accretion bursts. These YSO flaring events are associated with magnetic reconnection in large loops that connect the star and circumstellar disk (Giardino et al. 2007, Orlando et al. 2011, López-Santiago et al. 2016). These loops have also been associated with magnetospheric accretion bursts (Alencar et al. 2012, Stauffer et al. 2014).

TTSs are subcategorised into two groups: the classical and weak-lined T-Tauri stars. The main characteristic that defines them is the presence or lack of a disk (Feigelson & Montmerle 1999, McKee & Ostriker 2007). The classical T-Tauri (CTT) stars possess large circumstellar disks from which they can accrete (Favata et al. 2005, Giardino et al. 2007, Getman et al. 2008, Hartmann et al. 2016, López-Santiago et al. 2016, Reale et al. 2018). On the other hand, weak-lined T-Tauris (WTTs) have far less significant disks and accretion but still show similarly large amounts of emission from their observed flaring events (Tsuboi et al. 1998, Uzawa et al. 2011, Giardino et al. 2006a).

Observations of flaring events on TTSs are frequently seen at both radio and X-ray wavelengths for both CTT and WTTs. Large X-ray and radio flares have been observed by Tsuboi et al. (1998), Gagné et al. (2004), Giardino et al. (2006a), Grosso et al. (2007), Umemoto et al. (2009) and Uzawa et al. (2011) as well as numerous others. Grosso et al. (2007) specifically refer to the idea of magnetospheric accretion being the source of the flare in emission. The idea of an enhanced solar flare like event is also frequently mentioned. The radio emission is generally suggested to be due to gyrosynchrotron radiation from energetic electrons created by magnetic reconnection, but little detailed modelling has been undertaken to confirm this scenario.

Two scaling relations are relevant to this work and have both been applied to solar and main sequence stellar flares previously. The first is known as the Güdel-Benz relation (Güdel & Benz 1993). This is a correlation between thermal X-ray and non-thermal radio emission from coronae of magnetically active stars. The relation is given by:

\[
\frac{L_X}{L_R} \approx 10^{15.5}
\]
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Figure 1. Diagram of a flaring event. Reconnection of oppositely directed magnetic field lines (red) occur at an X-point. The resulting gyrosynchrotron radiation and X-ray emission are shown in blue radiating outwards from the flux tube (shaded) and the reconnection site.

where \( L_X \) and \( L_R \) are the X-ray and radio luminosity respectively. The relation holds for the smallest microflares on the Sun up to the largest main sequence stellar flares.

The second relation concerns emission measures and temperatures, and shows that for a solar flare with a large emission measure there is a correspondingly large flare temperature. This second relation, developed by Shibata & Yokoyama (1999), leads to further relations regarding lengths of flaring loops and relevant surface magnetic field strengths. In this paper both of these relations and their application to T-Tauri stars are explored. Both observational and simulation results of flares from T-Tauri stars are examined with respect to the Güdel-Benz relation.

The coronae of active stars produce significant amounts of X-ray and radio emission, as a result of magnetic reconnection. In a solar flare the field loops are anchored into the solar surface and extend into the corona. This is illustrated in Fig. 1. Reconnection of oppositely directed field lines occurs at the X-point, resulting in the explosive release of energy, heating of plasma and the acceleration of electrons along field lines (Benz 2008). The heated plasma produces thermal X-ray emission while the electrons gyrating along the field lines produce gyrosynchrotron radiation, i.e. non-thermal radio emission. This emission is ubiquitous to solar flares (Fletcher et al. 2011). The particles are either deposited down onto the footpoints incurring further heating and X-ray emission (a process known as chromospheric evaporation in a solar flare) or they are magnetically trapped and bounce back and forth, emitting further radiation.

The Sun and its flares are useful as a local analog to T-Tauri flares. As T-Tauri stars are known to be highly variable with correlation with the disk. While the magnetic loops involved in a solar flare may not range far from the surface, the flux tube in Fig. 2 is shown to extend far into the magnetosphere and connect with the disk. Reconnection processes within the magnetosphere (and between the magnetic field loops and disk) are predicted to produce the thermal X-ray and non-thermal radio emission like in a solar flare. With this in mind, it might be expected that data from these objects would also agree with the Güdel-Benz relation.

While there is a substantial body of individual studies of variable radio emission in T-Tauri stars, there is little theoretical modelling to support interpretation of this work or to guide future observations. Our aim is to begin to address this. Firstly (in Section 2), we collate observations from a range of published sources in order to investigate the extent to which flare-like events on T-Tauri stars conform with established scaling relations for solar flares and main sequence stellar flares, between radio and X-ray luminosities (Güdel & Benz 1993), and also between peak flare temperatures and emission measures (Shibata & Yokoyama 1999). We confirm that the T-Tauri stars deviate significantly from the Güdel-Benz relation. We then develop models which predict the radio and X-ray luminosities based on the physical parameters of the stellar atmosphere and the flaring region. The predicted distributions of radio and X-ray fluxes are in good agreement with the observations. A further goal of our models is to investigate the relationships between the physical parameters and the observable properties of the radio emission, in order to guide and interpret future observations.

It is likely that the physical processes in the T-Tauri flaring events are in many ways similar to solar flares, and therefore our understanding of the T-Tauri events can benefit from the large body of knowledge of solar flares. In particular, the energy release process in both cases is likely to be magnetic reconnection, which heats the plasma and also creates a significant population of non-thermal electrons; these gyrate around the magnetic field lines and emit gyrosynchrotron radiation in radio. However, the deviations from the scaling law suggest there are some underlying differences as well. We propose a scenario in which the flare results from magnetic reconnection between the stellar magnetic field and the accretion disc magnetic field. Thus the location of the energy release, and hence the overall length-scale of the flaring region, is determined by the inner radius of the disk (see Fig. 2). Thus, the most obvious differences from solar flares is the much larger volume of the flaring region, as well as the stronger magnetic field. However, because the reconnection is driven by interactions with the disk (although not in a manner which is fully understood at present), and occurs in significantly different plasma conditions, the reconnection process may also differ from solar flares: thus, for example, the partitioning of released energy between thermal and non-thermal plasma might differ from the solar case.

We do not attempt to model the details of the magnetic interactions nor the energy release process, considering this only to be a source of plasma heating and of non-thermal electrons which fill a magnetic flux tube within the stellar magnetic field (the flaring region). Thus, we model a flux tube within the stellar atmosphere, which - similar to solar flares - has enhanced density and temperature with respect to the ambient corona, and also a population of non-thermal electrons. This model is detailed in Section 3. The various physical parameters for the flux tube and the ambient corona and magnetic field are chosen as far as possible to fit known properties of T-Tauri stars. The GX simulator (Nita et al. 2015) tool is then used to determine the X-ray and radio emission, and their dependencies on the underlying parameter space, such as temperature, magnetic field strength and proportion of non-thermal electrons. Discussion of the initial results is given in Section 4 as well as results of varying the physical flux tube parameters.
While this relation gives a better fit for the observational data in Fig. 3 (shown as the lower dashed line) it does not give any explana-
tion as to why they do not fit with the original relation when other active stars do.

The discrepancy has been previously reported by Osten & Wolk (2009) (their observed sources are not shown on Fig. 3 although they show the same deviation from the relation). Their observation of the LkHα101 region in both X-ray and radio saw variability in flux at various timescales but no correlation between the radio and X-ray emission. Their work remains one of few simultaneous radio and X-ray observations of the same region.

The solar flare model can be used as a basis for understand-

2 SCALING RELATIONS OF OBSERVABLE QUANTITIES IN FLARES

2.1 Radio and X-ray luminosities: The Güdel-Benz relation

Observational data from T-Tauri stars has been collated from several published sources and is shown, along with the main sequence and solar data (previously plotted by Güdel & Benz 1993 and Benz & Güdel 2010), in Fig. 3. It is clear that the T-Tauri data do not agree with the Güdel-Benz relation to the same degree that the other solar and main sequence flare data do. The solar flare data (red and yellow) is gathered from Benz & Güdel (2010) and the main sequence results (purple) are from Güdel et al. (1993) and Benz & Güdel (2010). The T-Tauri sources used in Fig. 3 are those defined in the literature to be flaring in either the radio or X-ray band. Sources were also included if they were noted as having high variability or a negative spectral index (possible indicators of a flaring event or the production of non-thermal emission). Sources that were explicitly labelled as quiescent were not included. The radio frequencies and X-ray bands used in all these observations are given in Table 1 along with the original paper they are quoted from. All the sources have been observed at the same or similar radio frequency. The X-ray bands used are broader for the T-Tauri data than the solar and main sequence flares however still cover approximately the same (lower energy) range.

These numerous observations of flaring activity from T-Tauri stars have identified the same thing: YSOs appear to be overlumi-

\[ \frac{L_x}{L_R} \approx 0.03 \times 10^{15.5} \]  

(2)

While this relation gives a better fit for the observational data in Fig. 3 (shown as the lower dashed line) it does not give any explana-

Figure 3. The peak X-ray and radio luminosities from various types of active stars is plotted. The Güdel-Benz relation (Equation 1) and the modified Güdel-Benz relation (Equation 2) are shown as black and red dashed lines respectively. The colours correspond to different stellar flares as follows: red boxes are solar microflares (Benz & Güdel 2010), yellow are solar flares (Benz & Güdel 2010), purple are flares on other main sequence stars (Benz & Güdel 2010) and Güdel et al. (1993)) and the green symbols indicate observations of different T-Tauri stars (Dzib et al. (2013), Ortiz-León et al. (2015), Dzib et al. (2015) and Kounkel et al. (2014)). The majority of main sequence (including solar) flares agree well with the relation however, there appears to be a divergence from the line of the T-Tauri flare data. The X-ray and radio bands used in these observations are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Radio frequency / GHz</th>
<th>X-ray band / keV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTS (-)</td>
<td>7.5 c</td>
<td>0.3-10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTS (+)</td>
<td>7.5 c</td>
<td>0.3-10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTS (x)</td>
<td>7.5 c</td>
<td>0.3-10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main sequence star</td>
<td>8.5 e,f</td>
<td>0.1 - 2.4, 0.2 - 4.0 e,f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar flare</td>
<td>8.9 e,f</td>
<td>0.1-2.4 e,f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ing these young stellar flares however, it is clear that these events are born from more variable and active environments. Therefore an enhanced flare model as well as other magnetospheric processes need to be considered. The large and more active magnetospheres of TTSs could lead to larger and more frequent reconnection events located further from the surface of the star. Interaction and accretion from the disk would lead to reconnection and flaring activity. Also an extended magnetosphere is a prime candidate for a longer trapping time and further generation of gyrosynchrotron radiation without the intense heating of surrounding plasma associated with a reconnection event. An ‘overproduction’ of non-thermal radio emission compared with X-rays lends itself to the idea of large amounts of particle acceleration within the magnetospheres of these young stars.

A key property of the Güdel-Benz relation is that it involves non-thermal radio emission. If the radio observations of young stars are not confirmed to be purely non-thermal this could lead to the overluminosity seen in Fig. 3 (Forbrich et al. 2011). There are several criteria that can be used to determine non-thermal emission. If none of them are met it can be difficult to prove the emission is non-thermal. This could lead to thermal emission being included in the results and therefore a more luminous result. However, the significant short-term variability of the sources (indicative of a magnetic reconnection event that produces gyrosynchrotron radiation) or the measured negative spectral index of their emission indicate that the emission is non-thermal in origin (Osten & Wolk 2009).

Whilst it is easy to explain the deviation seen in Fig. 3 as a result of the sources heightened activity it is worth noting the effect of Malmquist bias. The most luminous young stars observed here are from Orion at 414pc (Kounkel et al. 2014) and are all located at the higher luminosity end in Fig. 3. This is the furthest region considered and suggests that only the most luminous events are picked up and there are likely numerous smaller events not registering. Overall this is the case for every main sequence flare as well but they do correlate with the relation.

A closer view of the TTS’s flare data from Fig. 3 for sources with a well established class (class II or class III) is shown in Fig. 4. The deviation is clear with the modified Güdel-Benz relation (red dashed line) better matching sources. The radio observations shown in Fig. 4 were made at 7.5 GHz, corrected to the most recent distance estimates to the sources, however, all these sources were also observed at 4.5 GHz. These higher frequency observations show a very similar distribution to that in Fig. 4.

The lack of a circumstellar disk may lead to a decrease in emission due to reduced accretion. In principle this can be assessed by examining the difference on Fig. 4 between classical and weak-lined T-Tauri stars, i.e. those with and without a disk. Overall, it is clear from Fig. 4 that a lack of disk does not lead to a decreased observed flux as the class III sources are distributed as evenly as the class II sources. Indeed some of the most luminous flares are from class III stars.

Exploring the relationship between class II and class III sources further, a two sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was carried out. First, the test is performed between the X-ray luminosity of the class II and class III objects. The test indicates that there is a probability of 16% that two samples are drawn from the same population. In other words there is no statistically significant evidence that the X-ray luminosity is different between these two groups of objects. Turning to the 7.5 GHz radio luminosity values, the probability of the two groups are from the same population is smaller, 7%, but still formally insignificant. The same is true when the test is performed on the 4.5 GHz observations.

\[ E M = \int n_e^2 dV \approx n_e^2 V \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( n_e \) is the density of the flaring plasma and \( V \) is the volume of the flaring loop (\( V = L^3 \) where \( L \) is the length of this region). Reconsidering the solar flare model it is clear that with increased evaporation of chromospheric plasma from the heating of deposited particles comes an increase in the emission measure. An increased emission measure is therefore a good indicator of a flaring event occurring.

The temperature associated with the sudden heating of plasma in a reconnection event can be estimated along with the emission measures and plotted together as shown in Fig. 5. Shibata & Yokoyama (1999) suggested a universal correlation between emission measure and temperature for a wide range of flares including T-Tauri flares. The reasoning behind this claim is based on MHD numerical simulations of magnetic reconnection and the ensuing chromospheric evaporation. This relation suggested by Shibata & Yokoyama (1999) relations in particular address the temperature, emission measure and general size of the flaring region.
Tauri star originates from does not reveal any differences between MNRAS. The individual region from which the sources are observed also produce the same distribution in emission measures and temperatures. class II and III sources: both classical and weak-lined sources produce no major inconsistencies in the measured temperatures. It should be noted that the lower recorded temperature is used both class II and III sources are shifted to the left of the plot. There is no change in shape of the distribution of sources. Flare peak temperatures and emission measures for flares on both the Sun (purple cross) and T-Tauris. Solar flare data are gathered from Feldman et al. (1995) and Shibata & Yokoyama (1999). The yellow circles represent class II (CTT) flares and red squares show class III (WTT) flares. TTS flare data are from Tsuibo et al. (1998), Imanishi et al. (2002), Imanishi et al. (2003), Franciosini et al. (2006), Giardino et al. (2006a), Giardino et al. (2006b), Güdel et al. (2006), Grosso et al. (2007), Argiroffi et al. (2006), Getman et al. (2011), Schulz et al. (2015) and Tsuibo et al. (2016). The dashed blue lines represent the scaling relation in equation 4 (for constant $n_0$ and varying B values) and the solid black lines display the relation from equation 5 (for constant $n_0$ and varying L values).

Yokoyama (1999) is given below in its full form along with a subsequent relation involving the flaring loop length,

$$\begin{align*}
E \, M &\approx 10^{58} \left( \frac{B}{50 G} \right)^{-5} \left( \frac{n_0}{10^9 \text{cm}^{-3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{T}{10^7 \text{K}} \right)^{\frac{17}{2}} \text{cm}^{-3} \quad (4) \\
E \, M &\approx 10^{58} \left( \frac{L}{10^9 \text{cm}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{n_0}{10^9 \text{cm}^{-3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{T}{10^7 \text{K}} \right)^{\frac{17}{2}} \text{cm}^{-3} \quad (5)
\end{align*}$$

where B is the magnetic field strength, $n_0$ is the pre-flare density and T is the flare peak temperature.

These relations, with constant $n_0$ and varying the magnetic field strength in equation 4 and loop length in equation 5, are shown in the EM-T plot of Figure 5. Also plotted are the data from several solar and TTS flares. Although the solar flare data does indeed appear to fit with the relation from equation 4 it does not extend in the same way to the T-Tauri data.

While the emission measures are a lot higher for the T-Tauri stars the distribution is far flatter and more dispersed than for solar flares. For events with similar emission measure values their flaring temperatures can vary greatly. Many of the T-Tauri events also suggest temperatures very similar to those of solar flares. It should be noted the temperatures and emission measures for solar flare data are calculated using spectral line ratios (see Feldman et al. 1995). The X-ray bands used for the T-Tauri measurements are broad, therefore both of these methods should give accurate values and there should be no major inconsistencies in the measured temperatures.

Examining each event by categorising it into which type of T-Tauri star it originates from does not reveal any differences between class II and III sources: both classical and weak-lined sources produce the same distribution in emission measures and temperatures. The individual region from which the sources are observed also show no bias. The method by which all these flares are measured is important. The young stellar data is collected from X-ray observations and the best-fit parameters are determined by spectral fitting. The spectral analysis of the X-ray data is predominately performed using XSPEC (a spectral fitting package) where the observed spectra is taken and compared to a parametrised model spectra. The model parameter values are manipulated until a best fit is found between the two spectra. The spectra are mostly fit with either a 1-temperature or 2-temperature model. As many of these fits used a 2-temperature model only the higher recorded temperature (assumed to be the ‘flaring’ temperature) is plotted in Fig. 5. The same is true for emission measures. When the lower recorded temperature is used both class II and III sources are shifted to the left of the plot.

As the flaring loop length and emission measures are directly related the lengths can also be investigated. It is known that T-Tauri possess large extended magnetospheres with assumedly large flaring loops also. Indeed for star-disk interactions to occur the loops will need to reach to the inner edge of the circumstellar disk. These loop lengths are therefore expected to be far larger than those of solar flares. The associated loop length scaling relation is displayed as solid lines on Fig. 5 with the T-Tauris fitting into the largest loop length region. They extend up to around $10^{12}$ cm. This is over 10 times the solar radius which suggests large coronal loops. The inner radius of circumstellar disks of a star similar in size to the Sun is placed at around 5-10R$_\odot$ (Gregory et al. 2008, Johnstone et al. 2013). So this scaling relation does suggest that these loops could reach and interact with the accretion disk as well. Numerical models and loop length calculations based on observations report similar loop lengths involved in star-disk interactions of around 10R$_\odot$ (Isobe et al. 2003, Giardino et al. 2007).

3.1 The model

3.1.1 GX simulator

The GX simulator was developed for modelling of microwave and X-ray emission from the Sun (Nita et al. 2015). It is based on fast calculation schemes for gyrosynchrotron (GS) emission developed by Fleishman & Kuznetsov (2010). The simulator models a 3D magnetic field, plasma and energetic particle distribution in the solar atmosphere. Predefined magnetic fields are imported into the simulator in the desired configuration and flux tubes can then be populated with a distribution of thermal and non-thermal particles. These particles are added to the simple hydrostatic background plasma in a specified volume within a magnetic flux tube. Once all the parameters have been assigned, the model will generate spatially resolved X-ray and GS radio spectra.

The central source from which the magnetic field lines emerge from is modelled as the Sun, with the same (and unadjustable) mass and radius. The background coronal density, temperature and scale height are all changeable as well as the location of the field lines on the surface. Once a flux tube is created, its general geometry as well as particle densities, temperature, electron distribution and power law index are all modifiable.

A limitation of the GX simulator (due to the large loop lengths used) is the exclusion of foot points of the loop from the simulations (see Fig. 6). However, as we are modelling the emission from the loop top where the particles are injected (the thickest part, with the thickness kept the same in this region), it is not expected to
drastically affect our results. We assume that the non-thermal and thermal volumes are the same simply because there is no capability to resolve this observationally and solar flare emitting volumes have been suggested to be of a similar order of magnitude (Warmuth & Mann 2013). However that is not to say that because of the limitation stated above that we are assuming the non-thermal and thermal particles are only located at the loop apex, both emissions are found to extend to and occur at the foot points of a flaring loop in real life.

The GX simulator is predominantly used for work on the Sun but will be adapted here for use on a T-Tauri star. Those adaptations are detailed in this section. The generation of radio spectra (and X-ray) from a modelled flaring-like event will be output and discussed in section 4.

3.1.2 The magnetic field model

The GX simulator is used here to model the microwave radiation (including free-free from thermal electrons, gyrosynchrotron from thermal electrons and gyrosynchrotron from non-thermal electrons) in a typical T-Tauri magnetosphere. Parameters such as flux tube densities and temperatures are all chosen to describe a young stellar environment but the mass and radius of the central object are maintained as $1M_\odot$ and $1R_\odot$. While a more typical radius of a YSO might be around $2R_\odot$, T-Tauri stars with radii around $1R_\odot$ have been reported (Johnstone et al. 2013).

The magnetic field configuration is imported into the simulator as a set of 3D arrays. The arrays describe the cartesian components of the field strength at each point of the model data cube. The data cube is predefined in size and as we are interested in events that occur further out from the surface the data cube is set to extend to $4R_\odot$ ($= 4R_\odot$).

One important factor of the T-Tauri model is the type of magnetic field configuration. Whereas a simple dipole field is easy to model, a multipolar field is more akin to the reported field of the stars. Hussain et al. (2009), Gregory & Donati (2011) and Long et al. (2012) all use or suggest using a multipolar field in any model that deals with accretion. Therefore a multipolar magnetic field of a dipole plus octupole is used with the dipole component having a greater dominance. Some YSOs have been suggested to have larger octupole components, e.g. V2247 Oph with a dipole and octupole component of 110 and 230G respectively (Johnstone et al. 2010). However, the ‘typical’ star AA Tau (a frequently observed T-Tauri star) has a field closer to that of a dipole with a non-negligible 0.5kG octupole component (Donati et al. 2010).

The equations for magnetic field strength for this configuration are given in cartesian coordinates as,

$$B_x = \frac{3}{2}B_{\text{dip}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{R}{r} \\
\frac{R}{r}
\end{array} \right)^3 \left( 2x^2 - y^2 \right) + B_{\text{oct}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{R}{r} \\
\frac{R}{r}
\end{array} \right)^5 \left( \frac{35y^2 - 15xz^2}{8r^4} \right)$$

(6)

$$B_y = \frac{3}{2}B_{\text{dip}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{R}{r} \\
\frac{R}{r}
\end{array} \right)^3 \left( 2y^2 - x^2 \right) + B_{\text{oct}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{R}{r} \\
\frac{R}{r}
\end{array} \right)^5 \left( \frac{35z^2 - 15xy^2}{8r^4} \right)$$

(7)

$$B_z = B_{\text{dip}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{R}{r} \\
\frac{R}{r}
\end{array} \right)^3 \left( 3z^2 - r^2 \right) + B_{\text{oct}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{R}{r} \\
\frac{R}{r}
\end{array} \right)^5 \left( \frac{3r^4 - 30z^2r^2 + 35z^4}{8r^4} \right)$$

(8)

where $r$ is the distance from the centre of the star ($r=0$), $R$ is the stellar radius and $B_{\text{dip}}$ and $B_{\text{oct}}$ are the surface dipolar and octupolar polar field strengths. These polar field strengths are related to the corresponding equatorial field strengths as (Gregory et al. 2010)),

$$B_{\text{dip, equator}} = \frac{1}{2}B_{\text{dip, polar}}$$

(9)

for the dipolar component and,

$$B_{\text{oct, equator}} = \frac{3}{8}B_{\text{oct, polar}}$$

(10)

for the octupolar component.

Once imported into the GX simulator the cube is populated with field lines as shown in Fig. 6. The field lines clearly appear to be dipolar, losing curvature with increasing radius from the star. The equatorial values of $B_{\text{dip}}$ and $B_{\text{oct}}$ chosen are discussed next in section 3.2 but for reference the values that produce the field lines in Fig. 6 are 2000G and 500G. Where literature specifies the field strength, it is often quoted as an equatorial field strength.

The orientation of the field is important to consider when using this simulator. Conventionally the x, y and z direction are represented on a sphere as being angled in the west (x) and north (z) direction with the y direction being given by the solar radius. However, for the GX simulator the y coordinate acts in the northern direction and the x coordinate points radially out. Here, the length of the data cube stretches to $4R_\odot$ along the z axis.

To generate emission from this model a field line is chosen at an appropriate ‘interaction’ radius (i.e. where the line could possibly meet with the circumstellar disk), modified into a flux tube and populated with particles. The properties associated with this flux tube (temperature, densities, etc) are drawn from observational evidence from young stellar flares and are discussed next.

3.2 Choosing suitable parameter values

First, we define a set of standard parameters for the various physical quantities relevant to the generation of radio and X-ray emission. These are determined, where possible, from published literature on T-Tauri stars, as well as on knowledge of solar flares. Subsequently,
we consider effects of varying these parameters within reasonable ranges.

3.2.1 Surface field strength

As previously mentioned the magnetic field is comprised of a dipole and octopole component. Surface magnetic field strengths are often quoted as being in the 1–2kG range (Hartmann et al. 2016); however, they have been suggested to be as high as 6kG in accretion spots (Donati et al. 2012, Johns-Krull et al. 2013). Some care must be taken in deriving values for our required parameters $B_{\text{dip}}$ and $B_{\text{oct}}$ from quoted “surface field strengths”, as these might be average values, or for a specific location.

Indeed Johns-Krull (2007) calculated that surface averaged field strengths for individual classical T-Tauri stars are in the range 1220–2900G. Dipolar specific values are quoted, as in Johnstone et al. (2013), to be around 1 kG for those TTSs with dimensions similar to our model. As it is thought the dipolar component is indeed the dominant factor in TTS’s inner magnetospheres (Johns-Krull 2007), the reasonable assumption of a dipolar surface component of $B_{\text{dip, surface}} = 2kG$ is used in the model.

A value of 0.5kG is used as the surface octopole component in this model, as suggested by Donati et al. (2010). Although this component had far less of an effect than the dipolar value it is important to still include it to model a multipolar field. If a flux tube is being modelled at a distance closer to the surface the octopolar component would become more apparent. However, when the effects of a changing magnetic field strength are explored later on only the dipolar component is changed, as it makes a noticeable difference.

3.2.2 Flux tube parameters

The flux tube is the most important part of this model. It defines the volume within the magnetosphere filled with energetic particles and dense, hot thermal plasma, generated by magnetic reconnection at the flux tube apex. The parameters chosen to describe this single event in the flux tube lead to the generation of radio and X-ray emission which are then compared to the scaling relations of section 2. Therefore to gain reasonable and comparable results many parameter values need to be carefully chosen. These parameters include: thermal density, non-thermal density, temperature, electron pitch angle and electron energy distribution. As we are interested in the variable radio emission the non-thermal density is the most important of these values. The non-thermal population gives a dominant contribution to the gyrosynchrotron radiation.

The ‘standard’ flux tube temperature used in the model is 30MK. There are many reports of large YSO flares exceeding 100MK including those observed by Grosso et al. (2004) and Franciosini et al. (2006). However, the majority of the literature detailing T-Tauri flares suggests an average of around 30MK. Where data from two-temperature fits of X-ray data is available the second, highest temperature is examined and agrees with our ‘standard’ assumption of 30MK.

The electron pitch angle distribution is kept at the default setting of isotropic. The electron energy distribution is also kept at the default: a single power law. A power law is commonly used for non-thermal astrophysical plasmas. This power law is given as,

$$n(e)de = Ae^{-\delta} d\epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(11)}$$

for $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_{\text{max}}$, where $A$ is a normalisation constant. The energy range is defined in the GX simulator as 10keV $< \epsilon < 100$MeV. There is insufficient information about the flares of interest to consider any more complicated distributions. For gyrosynchrotron emission from a power law distribution the accepted range of $\delta$ (the power law index) values is $2 < \delta < 7$. A value of 3.2 is chosen for this model (as suggested by the GX simulator) however, the effect of a larger delta is explored in section 4. A value of 3 or lower is often applied to solar flares (Benz 2008 and Fletcher et al. 2011).

It is more difficult to determine an accurate value for the thermal and non-thermal densities in the flux tube. Some assumptions are made along with literature results to determine an initial ‘standard’ value. The first of these assumptions is that the densities are generally larger than those reported from solar flares ($10^8$–$10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$) (Benz 2008). Stellar flare densities are often reported in literature in the range $10^9$–$10^{12.75}$ cm$^{-3}$ both through observations (Favata et al. 2005, Smith et al. 2005, Giardino et al. 2006a, Getman et al. 2008) and modelling (Isobe et al. 2003, Reale et al. 2018).

The second is that the non-thermal density should always be lower than the thermal density. When a solar flare occurs the coinciding emission of soft X-ray and microwave emission is observable. This effect is known as the Neupert effect. The idea of a link between particle acceleration (microwave) and plasma heating (X-rays) has been discussed above. However, when there is no flaring activity there still remains a level of background thermal radiation. Indeed a simulation is performed with zero non-thermal particles to model gyrosynchrotron radiation (see Fig. 12 in section 4) from thermal plasma.

Based on background coronal density values from the literature it is suggested that $5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$ is a suitable value for the thermal number density with the ‘standard’ non-thermal density being set as half that (Güdel et al. 2001, Imanishi et al. 2003, Huenemoerder et al. 2007). The range of non-thermal densities trialled later on range from zero to the normal thermal density $(5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3})$.

The flux tube cross section defines the set of magnetic field lines which is filled with heated plasma and energetic particles, as shown in Figure 2, which is determined by the scale of the interaction region between the magnetosphere and the disk, where reconnection occurs. This cannot be determined directly through observations at present, but based on the observed aspect ratio of solar flare loops and on numerical models, a width to length ratio of 0.1 seems plausible (Kawamichi 2007). As discussed above, the loop is chosen to extend to 4$R_{\odot}$ (where it interacts with the disk) and the loop lengths are of the order of 10$R_{\odot}$; therefore we take the standard loop diameter to be 1$R_{\odot}$, whilst later considering this parameter to vary over the range 0.5$R_{\odot}$ to 2$R_{\odot}$. Evidently the choice of width affects the volume of the emitting region (proportional to the square of the width) and hence both the emission measure and the luminosities (in X-ray and radio). Our chosen standard flux tube width is consistent with the observed magnitudes of these quantities.

3.2.3 Coronal parameters

The two coronal parameters to be altered are the coronal temperature and coronal density. Both these quantities are set at the base of the cube and decrease with increasing radii. It is assumed that in the T-Tauri’s magnetosphere both the coronal density and temperature are lower than the corresponding flux tube quantities. There is no flux tube near the surface (in this model) so the (background) coronal density is purely thermal.

The coronal temperature, or the quiescent low temperature of the non-flaring material, is described as having a range of values by Güdel et al. (2001) and Telleschi et al. (2007). The lowest values tend to be around 1MK with the highest of the most active TTSs
Table 2. Parameters that are varied within the GX simulator model to represent a T-Tauri star. Their standard value is shown along with the range within which they are varied. B, \( n_c \), \( n_0 \), \( T_c \), \( T \), \( n_b \), \( \delta \), \( w \) correspond to the surface magnetic field strength, coronal density, non-thermal flux tube density, coronal temperature, flux tube temperature, non-thermal flux tube density, power law index and flux tube width respectively. A dash in the final column indicates the parameter was not varied. Results of these simulations are discussed in section 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Standard value</th>
<th>Model range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B / kG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_c ) / cm(^{-3} )</td>
<td>( 10^{10} )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_c ) / MK</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_0 ) / cm(^{-3} )</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^{11} ) - ( 1 \times 10^{12} )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T ) / MK</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10 - 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_b ) / cm(^{-3} )</td>
<td>( 2.5 \times 10^{11} ) - ( 0 - 5 \times 10^{11} )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta )</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2 - 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w ) / R(_\odot )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5 - 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

being around 50MK. The majority of the literature suggests coronal temperatures of TTSs to be around 7MK so this is chosen as the normal value (Franciosini et al. 2006, Dahm et al. 2007, Johnstone et al. 2013). This is also in agreement with the lower value from 2-temperature fits of X-ray data.

The standard value for the coronal density was chosen to be \( 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3} \) (Güdel et al. 2001). This value is appropriate considering the other chosen ‘standard’ parameters and values for a typical T-Tauri magnetosphere (Güdel et al. 2001, Imanishi et al. 2003, Huemmoerder et al. 2007, Hartmann et al. 2016).

As we are primarily concerned with a flaring scenario the coronal parameters are not altered and remain at these values throughout all our simulations. This is reflected in Table 2 which displays all the altered parameters in the GX simulator, their standard value and the range of values also modelled.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Standard model

Fig. 7 shows typical total intensity (right plus left hand circular polarisation) emission maps that result from the rendering of the data cube containing the flux tube at 5, 10 and 20 GHz. The parameters used in this simulation are those listed as ‘standard’ in Table 2. This model and its spectra will henceforth be referred to as the standard model which all other models are compared against. The three colour bars in Fig. 7 are specific to each image and are measured in solar flux units (where 1 sfu = 10\(^4\) Jy) at an assumed distance of 1AU. Fig. 8 shows the corresponding spectra from this simulation between frequencies of 1 and 100GHz. The emission becomes optically thin and turns over at frequencies higher than around 20GHz.

While the previous figures show the results for radio rendering, the GX simulator also generates X-ray results. With both the radio and X-ray data from this model, the corresponding luminosities can be calculated and plotted allowing for comparison with Fig. 3. This is displayed in Fig. 4 as shown earlier. The original observational data from Fig. 3 is shown close up along with the result of the standard model (the black dot). Clearly the standard model generates radio and X-ray luminosities that both agree with the observational results but also lie below the Güdel-Benz relation.

Varying this standard model garners more information on what effect different parameter changes has on the result from Fig. 4 and is discussed next. By varying the parameters in Table 2 we can generate a grid of model points that represent the theoretical limits to a stellar flare. We can also examine the results of the different
Figure 8. Simulated radio spectrum with standard parameters. The GX simulator cuts off past 100GHz but the optically thin limit is reached past around 20GHz. The peak flux is approximately $10^8$ sfu with a corresponding frequency of around 30GHz.

spectra produced in these different simulations. The restriction of only changing one or two parameters at a time is made, future simulations could vary all parameters appropriately, e.g. to model a large flare where every value is maximised.

4.2 Varying the standard parameters

4.2.1 Magnetic field

The effect of varying the surface magnetic field strength between 1 and 6kG is shown in Fig. 9. The main range later used in varying the field strength with other parameters is 1 to 4kG. The results from a purely dipolar model are nearly identical to the primary multipolar model except at smaller distances to the stellar surface where the emission from a purely octupolar field becomes significant. This is because the octupolar field strength falls off with distance as $r^{-9}$ compared with $r^{-3}$ for the dipolar field. The shape of the spectra for an increasing field remains similar to that of Fig. 8 (blue line in Fig. 9) but the peak radio flux and frequency increase as the slope’s peak shifts slightly to the right. For a surface field strength of 1000G (and all other model parameters kept as ‘standard’) the peak radio flux and frequency are $1.01 \times 10^7$ sfu and 16.26 GHz respectively.

As the field strength increases to 4000G these results also increase to $5.01 \times 10^8$ sfu and 51.32 GHz.

The spectra in Fig. 9 demonstrate, as expected, that more emission is generated for stronger fields. This nicely supports the link between the much higher luminosity flares observed on T-Tauris than the Sun and also the fact T-Tauris are known to possess larger field strengths and more active magnetospheres.

At low frequencies the spectra all follow the same general shape and change in flux while the frequency of the peak increases as the field strength increases. The peak fluxes and corresponding frequencies are shown in Fig. 10. The black points represent a change in magnetic field strength (going one step below and above the standard range in Table 2 to 500 and 7000G). The red points indicate a change in only the non-thermal density. The black point labelled with 2000G and $2.5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$ is the standard model result from Fig. 4.

Figure 9. Radio spectra from six different magnetic field strength simulations: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6kG (pink, blue, orange, yellow, purple, green). The standard result of Fig. 8 is shown as the blue, 2kG line. The highest field strengths give the largest peak fluxes and corresponding frequencies. The flux is given in solar flux units.

peaks is much more uniformly spread, except at the lowest surface field strengths. Hence, locating the spectral peak observationally, potentially gives information about the magnetic field strength. The effect of varying the magnetic field strength on the X-ray and radio luminosities is discussed and shown later in figures 14, 15 and 17.
Figure 11. Radio spectra from varying the non-thermal density. The green line is the same as in Fig. 8. Increasing the non-thermal density again to the standard thermal value ($5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$) resulted in a spectra that followed the pattern shown here. The purple, blue, orange and yellow lines represent densities of $0$, $2.5 \times 10^8$, $2.5 \times 10^9$ and $2.5 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ respectively. The flux is given in solar flux units.

4.2.2 Non-thermal density

The non-thermal density is a vital parameter to explore as its effect on the emission maps and spectra can help identify whether reconnection events are occurring. Fig. 11 shows the results of varying this non-thermal population. The absence of non-thermal particles always produces this flat spectra at high frequencies. The lack of these particles also produces a much lower peak in emission compared to when the non-thermal density is, for example, $2.5 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$. The zero non-thermal density line (purple) in Fig. 11 can be identified especially at these frequencies where there is no distinct peak or increase in flux past 10GHz to indicate the lack of non-thermal particles and no (or at least significant in size) reconnection event.

Considering the emission from the non-thermal particles alone, increasing the density increases the flux and the frequency of peak emission. However, this model includes a contribution to the emission from thermal and non-thermal particles and so the resulting spectrum is a combination of the emission from these. At non-zero thermal density the spectrum is purely thermal and peaks at high frequency. Introducing a small amount of non-thermal particles produces emission at lower-frequency and this shifts the peak of the combined spectrum to lower frequency. As more non-thermal particles are added the non-thermal emission becomes stronger and its peak moves to high frequency. The net effect is therefore that initially the non-thermal emission causes the peak to move to a lower frequency (than the purely thermal emission) and then to high frequency as the non-thermal emission dominates and its peak shifts the higher frequency more than the underlying thermal emission. This behaviour can be seen more clearly in Fig. 10.

The emission maps demonstrate this difference also. Fig. 12 shows the results at 10GHz of the flux tube and inner magnetosphere with no non-thermal particles present. When compared with Fig. 7 it is clear the flux appears much more evenly distributed. As this is purely thermal emission the peak flux is lower with the background component not being suppressed near the surface. The magnetic field configuration also does not influence the emission from the particles as the thermal population is not driven by the reconnection of field lines. Although it is not possible to observe and resolve individual flares from T-Tauri stars it is useful to see these emission maps and understand their physical interpretation. It consolidates the fact that non-thermal particles can be observed in flaring like events which are often seen as varied peaks in spectra or light curves. A further development on this model could involve time dependency and the evolution of the flaring event after reconnection.

As discussed in Section 4.2.1, Fig. 10 shows the peak fluxes and frequencies from the spectra of changing magnetic field models. It also shows the effect of changing the non-thermal density on the peak flux and frequencies from Fig. 11. It is clear that with an increase in non-thermal density the same general trend is followed, i.e. an increase in peak flux. However, the frequency of the peak rises dramatically the closer to zero non-thermal particles the model gets. Also, at lower densities the peak fluxes are closer together. This is in contrast to the field strengths where at lower values they are further apart.

4.2.3 Power law index

Related to the non-thermal distribution of particles is the power law index, $\delta$. The standard value of 3.2 is varied to 2, 3, 4 and 7 and the results are shown in Fig. 13.

The lowest delta values produce steep curves which do not peak below 100GHz. The highest delta values (5 or above) produce this very flat spectra past 10GHz with a low peak flux around that mark. The optimum value for gaining the peak that does not plateau out is clearly at $\delta = 4$ or smaller. This plot nicely constrains the suitable
values for $\delta$ for these T-Tauri stars, which had previously been using the value of 3.2 as it is used in solar flares (see Section 3.2.2).

The spectra in Fig. 13 behave in a similar way to that of Fig. 11 and is discussed in Section 4.2.2. A purely thermal spectra has large numbers of low energy particles. When the power law component is added it results in more higher energy (non-thermal) particles. For smaller power law indices this high energy particle ‘tail’ increases and there is more gyrosynchrotron emission (and higher peak flux). Similarly to Fig. 11, the high energy (non-thermal) component drags the spectral peak from the low frequency end to the high frequency end as the power law index is decreased. However at high index values (about 7) the spectra appears purely thermal with less non-thermal contribution so the frequency of the peak is again high (like in Fig. 11). As the high energy component becomes more dominant initially, the combination of these two spectra are seen as the yellow line in Fig. 13, i.e. a spectra with a higher peak flux but lower peak frequency than the thermal (index of 7) spectra.

4.2.4 Flux tube densities and temperature

Two remaining parameters to be varied are the flux tube temperature and flux tube thermal density. The result of varying the flux tube thermal density together with the field strength are shown in Fig. 14. This time, the effects of varying the parameters are shown on the plot which relates X-ray and radio luminosity. Eight additional simulations are performed in addition to the standard model in Fig. 4. These results form a grid with the columns corresponding to a change in surface field strength and rows tracing a change in flux tube thermal density. For example, the bottom left most point indicates the result of a model with the lowest field strength of 1000G and lowest thermal density of $2.5 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-3}$. This density value is chosen so as the thermal density is never lower than the non-thermal density. The grid allows for the constraint of the physical parameters of a flare.

Looking at the change in field strength across Fig. 14 it is clear the radio luminosity increases dramatically over a 3000G increase in field strength when compared with the X-ray. The X-ray luminosity plotted here is thermal (soft) so is not expected to change in a similar manner as the non-thermal radio. An increase in field strength also appears to lead to a smaller increase in radio luminosity at larger and larger fields (not shown, although peak fluxes of higher field models are shown in Fig. 10). The change in field strength also has an effect of the frequencies of the peaks. The lowest field strengths have lower peak frequencies for a range of density values when compared with higher field strengths for the same density range.

The higher the thermal density, the larger the X-ray luminosity is. This is to be expected as an increase in thermal particles will lead to an increase in thermal emission, i.e. soft X-rays. There is a very slight decrease in the radio luminosity with large thermal densities, due to optical depth effects.

Overall these 9 models agree well with the observational results. They all lie in a similar luminosity range for both X-ray and radio. The models nearly all lie below the Güdel-Benz relation, with only the lowest field strength model with the highest density being slightly above the line. This model describes a star where less non-thermal emission (and therefore less radio emission) is produced but there are high levels of thermal emission. An increase in thermal emission without a corresponding increase in non-thermal emission is not indicative of a flaring event.

A similar plot is shown in Fig. 15. This plot shows the results of simultaneously varying the surface field strength and flux tube temperature. This is expected to have a large effect on the thermal emission like the flux tube thermal density did. This is clearly seen in the results in Fig. 15 with a large increase in X-ray luminosity for increasing flux tube temperature. Again there is the small fluctuation in radio luminosity. The three temperatures used in these 9 simulations are 10, 30 and 90 MK. The spread in the grid is much larger than in Fig. 14 but still fits with the observational results. While the X-ray luminosity increases for higher temperatures when combined with an increasing field the model has both high X-ray and radio luminosities.

The frequencies of the peak fluxes from these models are shown again to follow the magnetic field strengths. Even for a large difference in X-ray luminosity between 10 and 90MK the frequency peaks
**Figure 15.** X-ray and radio luminosity plot of observed and modelled flares from a T-Tauri star. Green crosses are as before and all dots are model results. Black points show the model results of changing the surface field strength between 1, 2 and 4kG while blue dots indicate a change in the model’s flux tube temperature between 10, 30 and 90MK. The Güdel-Benz relation is shown as the dashed line. The red numbers indicate the frequencies in GHz of the peak flux for each model.

at around 16GHz for a surface field strength of 1kG. The three field values (1, 2, 4kG) and their associated frequencies (approximately 16, 29 and 52GHz) are the same here as for the previous thermal density plot.

An increase in temperature in a flaring event is associated with the sudden plasma heating caused by magnetic reconnection. This is accompanied by particle acceleration leading to the increase of non-thermal emission. The effect of varying the non-thermal density on this radio and X-ray luminosity plot is shown in Fig. 16. There is a degeneracy between the results of increasing the non-thermal emission and field strength. Increasing the non-thermal density from the central black point leads to results which move across the plot along the same line as the increasing field strengths do (as expected from Fig. 11 as the flux increases with increasing density), i.e. towards higher radio luminosities.

4.2.5 **Flux tube width**

One final parameter that has so far remained unchanged is the width of the flux tube. It has been maintained at a diameter of $1R_\odot$ in the previous models but it will now be varied between 0.5, 1 and 2$R_\odot$. While the thermal density and flux tube temperature varied so far have primarily affected the thermal emission, the width of the flux tube will likely affect both the X-ray and radio luminosities.

Changing the width of the loop, at fixed density, evidently affects the emission measure as shown in equation 3, and thus for a given density, there are clearly limits on the range of loop widths which are expected. Nevertheless, it is useful to explore the effect of varying the value of the flux rope width, especially as this is a parameter whose value cannot easily be measured (see Section 3.2.2). Broadly speaking, making the flux tube bigger increases the volume of the emitting region and thus will increase the luminosities and the emission measure, but this is complicated by the non-uniformity of the system and optically-thick effects, and so is worth investigating.

The results of trialling this in the GX simulator is shown in Fig. 17. In contrast to the previous two plots the varying of flux tube width produces results which move parallel to the Güdel-Benz relation and the observed sources themselves. As expected there is a similar change in both X-ray and radio luminosity along the grid lines of constant field.

A smaller region does not indicate more of an agreement with the Güdel-Benz relation and the observed sources themselves. As expected there is a similar change in both X-ray and radio luminosity along the grid lines of constant field.

A smaller region does not indicate more of an agreement with the Güdel-Benz relation and the observed sources themselves. As expected there is a similar change in both X-ray and radio luminosity along the grid lines of constant field.

The frequencies corresponding to the peak fluxes for each model are similar to what has previously been seen for flux tube temperature and thermal density. The frequency follows the lines of magnetic field strength, generally increasing for a larger field.
However, here the lines of constant field strength are more slanted, giving peak frequencies that vary more that before for a single field value when the flux tube width is changed.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The radio and X-ray emission in flare-like events from T-Tauri stars has been shown to be far more luminous than that of solar and other main sequence stellar flares. From an analysis of a large dataset of observations reported in the literature, we have shown that the T-Tauri stars show consistent and significant deviations from the Güdel-Benz scaling relation, which describes the X-ray - radio correlation for solar and main-sequence stellar flares. These young active stars show significantly higher than expected levels of radio luminosity relative to their X-ray luminosities.

In order to explore a physical explanation of these observations, we have developed for the first time a model of the emission from flares in young stars, building on understanding of solar flare processes whilst using the best available knowledge of the relevant physical parameters of T-Tauri stars. We consider the flare result from of a large magnetic flux tube within the stellar magnetosphere, with enhancements of temperature and density relative to the ambient atmosphere. This flux tube is filled with a non-thermal population of energetic electrons which arise from magnetic reconnection activity involving the accretion disc (or a remnant thereof). We do not model the energy release process itself, treating it only as a source of high-energy particles and plasma heating and then use the powerful GX simulator tool to predict the resultant radio and X-ray emission. Despite the simplicity of the model, the predicted X-ray and radio luminosity agree very well with the observations. In particular, the modelled results show a departure from the Güdel-Benz relation similar to the observations, and the predicted distribution of data points in the radio/X-ray luminosity plane is very similar to the observed spread.

We have investigated the effects of varying parameters such as surface magnetic field strength, the dimensions of the flaring flux tube, thermal plasma temperature and density and the number density of non-thermal particles. The range of observations are consistent with magnetic field strengths in the range of 1 kG to 4 kG and flux tube widths between 0.5R⊙ and 2.0R⊙ with gas temperatures in the range 10 MK to 90 MK. The models indicate the radio emission peaks at frequencies >10 GHz and in some cases beyond the upper frequency limit of our modelling, 100 GHz. These frequencies are significantly higher than have been previously used to study the radio variability of young stars.

There is naturally some (near) degeneracy of the model parameters in terms of the predicted luminosities, for example, between magnetic field strength and non-thermal density. However, the models provide a useful guide to interpretation of future observations, in particular showing how the frequency of peak emission, and the flux at this peak, depend on magnetic field and non-thermal particles.

From the model results it is clear that the factors that definitely affect the proximity to the standard Güdel-Benz relation are an increase in the thermal dependent components (flux tube tube temperature and thermal density) and a decrease in the non-thermal dependent components (non-thermal density and field strength). As it is known that the field strengths of these stars are large it is reasonable to assume it is the field and therefore non-thermal emission generating reconnection events that lead to the deviation from the relation.

Our model can produce objects with emission close or on to the Güdel-Benz, but an increase in temperature or thermal density to do this would require values of these quantities that have been rarely or never reported and so seem unrealistic. Similarly the increased size of the emission region in our model, the flux tube, can not alone account for the increased radio emission of the young sources compared with that predicted by the Güdel-Benz relation. Nevertheless one important point is that in these large flux tubes the accelerated particles are likely to be magnetically trapped for far longer than solar flare particles and continue radiating as they are bounced between the footpoints. Exploring the observable impact of this requires future, time dependent models.

Weak-lined T-Tauri stars (class III objects) have little or no active accretion but still show quite similar radio and X-ray behaviour as the classical T-Tauri stars (class II objects) (Fig. 4). Our analysis shows that there is no difference in the X-ray properties between the two classes of objects, but perhaps a marginal difference in their radio properties. These observations and our models suggest that the weak-lined stars may have quite similar magnetic interactions to classical T-Tauri stars, despite the lack of an observable disc. However a detailed comparison and analysis of any evolutionary trends requires focussed, population studies.

Differences between T-Tauri events and those in main sequence and solar flares may also result from how the energy release is triggered. In the latter case, free magnetic energy is built up in the magnetic field, with magnetic reconnection triggered through onset of an instability or loss of equilibrium in the coronal field; in the former, the reconnection occurs at much higher altitudes due to interactions between the magnetosphere and the disc magnetic field. Both the geometry of the magnetic reconnection, and the physical environment (e.g. the presence of dense weakly-ionised plasma in the disc) differ substantially between the two scenarios, and this may affect the dynamics and the energy-partition. These differences will be considered in future models to get a more complete understanding of these systems.

More comprehensive observations, including simultaneous X-ray and multi-frequency radio observations, are needed of large samples of T-Tauri stars to better constrain the nature and variability of their radio emission and the physical properties of the emitting regions. Such radio surveys with high time cadence have been proposed for SKA (Fuller et al. 2015). Similarly, missions such as Athena (Barcons et al. 2017) will provide opportunities for X-ray observations of flares on YSOs. Our models provide a useful guide to interpretation of such observations. Future modelling will investigate more detailed and realistic models of the stellar magnetospheres, and investigate the dynamics of the magnetic reconnection arising through interactions with the disc.
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