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Highlights

- Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis is a chronic, highly symptomatic and potentially fatal disease.
- Posaconazole therapy has shown to be a good alternative to first-line therapy in patients with CPA but its use may be limited by side effects and cost.
- Establishing pre-set targets for therapeutic success offered a clear, safe and sustainable method of identifying patients who benefited from posaconazole therapy, and minimized continuation of ineffective therapy in those who did not.
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis (CPA) is a progressive infection that destroys lung tissue in non-immunocompromised patients. First line therapies for CPA (itraconazole and/or voriconazole) are often curtailed due to toxicity or the development of drug resistance. Posaconazole is a potential alternative for these patients.

Methods: Use of posaconazole was funded by the NHS Highly Specialised National Commissioners on an individual basis for patients who failed or did not tolerate first line therapy; those who met predefined criteria for improvement at 4 and 6 months (weight gain and/or improvement in St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire) continued posaconazole long-term. We recorded response, failure, discontinuation rates, and adverse events.

Results: Seventy-eight patients received posaconazole as salvage therapy. Thirty-four (44%) achieved targets for continuation of therapy. Fourteen (18%) failed therapy; 5 (36%) patients did not achieve clinical targets at 4 or 6 months of assessment and 9 (64%) developed clinical and/or radiological failure. Twenty-eight (36%) discontinued their trial early; 8 (29%) died and 20 (71%) had significant side effects. One patient was non-compliant and another was lost to follow up.

Conclusions: Establishing criteria for therapeutic success offered a clear, safe and sustainable method of identifying patients who benefit from additional therapy, and minimised continuation of ineffective therapy in those who did not.

Keywords: Aspergillus fumigatus, chronic pulmonary aspergillosis, posaconazole.

1. Introduction
Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis (CPA) is a slowly progressive infection mainly caused by *Aspergillus fumigatus*, characterized by inflammatory destruction of lung tissue with cavitation, pleural thickening and/or fibrosis, and associated with significant respiratory and constitutional symptoms [1–3]. CPA usually affects patients with underlying structural airway disease with air-filled cavities or bullae, such as tuberculosis (TB), non-tuberculous mycobacterial infection, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA), pneumothorax and sarcoidosis. This entity is associated with significant morbidity and mortality [4–7]. In a recent large retrospective analysis of 387 CPA patients, survival at 1, 5 and 10 years from first visit was 86%, 62% and 47%, respectively [8]. Worse outcomes were associated with NTM co-infection, COPD, pleural involvement, bilateral cavitary disease or aspergillomas, low body mass and low albumin.

Long-term treatment of CPA has been shown to improve symptoms and patients’ functional status, prevent the progressive destruction of lung tissue and the development of pulmonary fibrosis, and reduce death rates and morbidity [5,9]. However, treatment is often long-term or lifelong and may be limited by intolerable side effects or the emergence of resistance [10]. Triazole antifungal agents, such as itraconazole and voriconazole, are the standard therapy recommended for CPA [9,11–16]. Posaconazole is a broad-spectrum triazole agent with potent activity against *Aspergillus* species. This agent has been shown to be a good alternative for patients with CPA [17] but, as otherazole therapies, its use can be limited due to side effects, interactions and high cost. Additionally, all current data on the use of posaconazole in CPA are retrospective.
Assessing response to therapy in CPA is problematic, as patients may suffer from concomitant bacterial or mycobacterial infection, or from deterioration of underlying disease such as COPD or sarcoidosis. Most series on azole therapy for CPA show response rates between 44-80% [9,11–14,17], although criteria for response are not well characterised. Most of the studies include subjective and objective endpoints such as clinical, radiological, serological and microbiological criteria. Radiological improvement, the most objective criterion, is not always seen, in our experience, even if clinical improvement is evident. Use of quality-of-life (QoL) questionnaires such as the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) has been validated in CPA and shown to correlate with clinical improvement [18]. Therefore, use of this questionnaire could be a way of determining which patients might benefit from long-term treatment with posaconazole, thereby limiting cost and toxicity by stopping a high-cost drug in patients who are unlikely to benefit.

The aim of this study is to assess whether pre-set targets of improvement in SGRQ and weight gain can be used as a criterion of response to posaconazole therapy in CPA, in order to determine which patient respond to therapy, rather than denying treatment to all on the basis of cost and limited efficacy data.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study design

Adult patients with CPA who were treated with posaconazole at the National Aspergillosis Centre (NAC), Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester (United Kingdom), were included. The conditions for initiation of therapy and evaluation of response were set by the National Commissioning Group with responsibility for highly specialised services (https://www.england.nhs.uk/commisioning/spec-services) and implemented by the
clinical team at the NAC. Broadly speaking the National Health Service is willing to provide high-cost therapies for patients that have exceptional benefit, but not for those with marginal or no benefit; criteria that are applied across multiple disciplines. Response rates and adverse events were analysed retrospectively.

2.2 Study subjects

From February 2014 to October 2015, 78 adult patients with CPA who were resistant to, failed, or were intolerant to standard first-line antifungal treatment, or those who had contraindications to such first line therapy were offered posaconazole as a salvage therapy. There was no placebo or control arm, with response evaluated with each individual patient’s weight and SGRQ scores at 4 and 6 months.

2.3 Definitions

The diagnosis of CPA was based on clinical, radiological and laboratory findings:

1) chronic (duration > 3 months) systemic or pulmonary symptoms (e.g. cough, haemoptysis, breathlessness, weight loss, fatigue and/or pyrexia),

2) radiological evidence of a progressive (over months or years) pulmonary formation and expansion of single or multiple cavitation and associated pleural thickening,

3) positive serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies titre to *A. fumigatus* and/or positive sputum or bronchoscopy sample for *Aspergillus* spp. on culture, detectable galactomannan and/or *Aspergillus* DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Other entities that could imitate CPA were excluded (e.g. mycobacterial infection, pulmonary malignancy) as were overt immunocompromising conditions [15,19].
Patients who received posaconazole had previously received antifungal therapy (itraconazole and/or voriconazole) and had refractory disease or intolerance. Patients were considered as “refractory” to first-line therapy if their clinical condition and/or laboratory test results had not improved after at least 6 months of therapy, or an azole resistant (but posaconazole-susceptible) Aspergillus spp. strain was isolated. Patients were considered “intolerant” to previous antifungal treatment if they developed adverse events necessitating drug discontinuation.

The SGRQ was used for quality of life assessment. It is a disease-specific instrument designed to measure impact on overall health, daily life and perceived well-being in patients with pulmonary disease. The scale is scored from 0 to 100, where the higher score indicates worse health status. The questionnaire has been previously validated in CPA patients [18,20].

Assessment of improvement at 4 and 6 months was done according to the following criteria:
(a) Clinical improvement was defined as being present if patients had 2 Kg of weight gain and/or reduction of 8 points on the SGQR at 4 months and 3 Kg of weight gain and/or reduction of 12 points on the SGQR at 6 months. These criteria are based on prior work by Jones et al [21] and our own observations [18,22].
(b) Clinical deterioration was defined as death or discontinuation of posaconazole because of an adverse event. Treatment-related adverse events were defined as any clinical incident attributed to posaconazole by doctors.
(c) Clinical failure was considered if the patient did not meet targets at 4 or 6 months. Patients who fulfilled targets for improvement at the end of the 6-month initial period of therapy were offered long-term therapy with posaconazole for CPA.
2.4 Study methods

The purpose of the individual trial of therapy was explained to each patient and their verbal agreement sought both to take posaconazole and to accept that if they did not respond adequately (assuming no significant toxicity) then therapy would be discontinued. Clinical data, weight, laboratory test results and SGRQ prior to posaconazole antifungal therapy (baseline) were documented. In some cases, in whom good clinical responses had occurred with voriconazole, but long-term adverse events precluded further voriconazole use, the baseline SGRQ and weight prior to voriconazole were used to avoid requiring the patient to have a major deterioration before starting posaconazole.

Clinical response and the occurrence of adverse events were routinely evaluated at every clinic visit. Patients were evaluated at one month after starting posaconazole; liver function, serum posaconazole levels and patient-reported adverse events were checked at this visit. After 4 and 6 months on antifungal therapy, weight and SGRQ scores were measured.

2.5 Laboratory methods

Quantification of specific IgG to *Aspergillus fumigatus* in all serum samples was performed using the ImmunoCAP method (Phadia, Uppsala, Sweden). *A. fumigatus* specific IgE levels were assayed using a fluorescent enzyme immunoassay (Phadia), with a cut-off of <0.4 IU/L.

Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) was performed throughout the study measuring posaconazole levels at 1, 4 and 6 months. Posaconazole plasma concentrations were determined using a long-established bioassay; serum posaconazole concentration >1 mg/L was considered satisfactory as therapeutic level [23,24].
Isolates of *Aspergillus* were identified to species complex level using standard microbiological techniques. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) to triazoles were determined by a modified European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) method [25]. The modification was a lower final inoculum concentration (0.5 x 10^5 CFU/mL, rather than 1–2.5 x 10^5 CFU/mL). Isolates were tested against itraconazole, voriconazole, posaconazole and amphotericin B. Clinical and epidemiologic breakpoints used in this study were itraconazole and voriconazole >2 mg/L, posaconazole >0.25 mg/L and amphotericin >0.5 mg/L [26–28].

3. Results

3.1 Patients’ characteristics

A total of 78 patients (56 men and 22 women) were offered posaconazole therapy; mean age of 48.5±12 years, mean weight 48.9±18.5 Kg, and mean SGRQ score of 81.8±22.2 points at baseline. All patients had previously received primary therapy with itraconazole and/or voriconazole (Table 1). Mean weight and SGRQ score from 26 patients who were clinically improving with voriconazole but long-term adverse event precluded further voriconazole use were 72.2±13.6 and 55.8±22.8, respectively.

Fifty-six out of 78 (72%) patients were intolerant to previous therapy; 22 due to severe photosensitivity, 8 had developed peripheral neuropathy, 8 had moderate or severe hepatitis, 7 patients had persistent visual symptoms and 11 had other adverse events such as hypertension, hair loss, ankle swelling, gastrointestinal intolerance or arrhythmias. Twenty-two out of 78 (28%) patients were refractory to previous therapy; 7 patients were infected with *Aspergillus spp.* resistant to itraconazole and/or voriconazole (Table 2) and 15 had failed therapy (Figure 1).
3.2 Laboratory and microbiology data at baseline

Aspergillus IgG titres were above the normal range (0.0-40.0 mg/L) in 62/78 (79%) patients. Forty-two patients out of 78 (54%) had raised Aspergillus IgE titres; half of those with Aspergillus IgG titres in the normal range had high Aspergillus IgE levels. Twelve of the ‘sero-negative’ CPA patients had previously had an abnormal Aspergillus IgG; in those who didn’t, diagnosis was supported by combinations of biopsies showing fungal hyphae, aspergilloma(s) present on chest computed tomography (CT) scan with positive Aspergillus cultures, Aspergillus PCR, galactomannan and/or microscopy showing hyphae (or a combination) from respiratory tract samples.

Seven Aspergillus strains resistant to itraconazole and/or voriconazole were isolated before or at the time of starting posaconazole therapy (Table 2). All multi-azole resistant isolates had posaconazole MICs ≤1 mg/L. Two patients who failed the trial of posaconazole therapy had persistently positive sputum fungal cultures, elevated GM and Aspergillus PCR strongly positive in sputum throughout treatment (patients 3 and 5 in table 2).

3.3 Response to therapy

Thirty-four out of 78 (44%) patients achieved clinical targets for continuation of therapy. Figure 2 shows clinical targets achieved by these patients.

Fourteen out of 78 (18%) patients failed to achieve targets; 2 did not achieve clinical targets at 4 months, 3 achieved targets at 4 months but not at 6 months, and 9 developed clinical and/or radiological failure despite treatment. In forty-three (55%) patients, adverse events occurred but this led to stopping treatment only in 20 patients; one patient developed intense
fatigue despite low posaconazole levels, 12 had therapeutic levels of posaconazole when adverse events appeared and seven stopped treatment before levels were checked. Eight out of 78 (10%) patients died during the trial. All of them had severe pulmonary disease with very limited lung function that clearly contributed to their demise. Patients’ outcomes are shown in figure 1.

Seventy-two out of 78 (92%) patients received posaconazole tablets and 6 (8%) were treated with liquid formulation. Eighteen out of 78 (23%) patients needed dose-adjustment after TDM results. Three patients had persistently sub-therapeutic posaconazole levels with liquid formulation that was resolved after switching to tablets. Another three patients were changed from liquid to a more convenient daily tablet formulation to simplify therapy without having any impact on the levels, tolerance and clinical response. Only one patient was changed from tablet to liquid formulation due to side effects of lethargy and fatigue that reduced after the alteration in formulation.

Among the patients who were receiving voriconazole therapy before starting posaconazole, 21/75 (28%) were refractory to voriconazole and 54/75 (72%) were intolerant to it. Seventeen among the former group and 46 of those who were intolerant to voriconazole did respond to the trial. Two patients who had experienced a significant response to voriconazole but were unable to continue it due to severe adverse events met the assessed criteria based on the data before starting voriconazole and were continued to posaconazole with significant clinical response in the long term.
3.4 Adverse events

Forty-three out of 78 (55%) patients developed adverse events but only 20 stopped the treatment. Sixteen of 20 (80%) patients stopped posaconazole within the first 4 months and 4 (20%) before reaching the 6 months’ follow-up. Reported adverse events are shown in figure 3. One patient with hyponatraemia required hospital admission. One patient reported suicidal ideation. One patient developed symptomatic sinus bradycardia that improved after reducing the dose of posaconazole. Five patients out of 78 (6%) had residual peripheral neuropathic symptoms due to previous azole therapy before starting posaconazole treatment; 3 experienced deterioration in their peripheral neuropathy on posaconazole that led to discontinuation. Photosensitivity attributable to previous voriconazole treatment was a reason for posaconazole therapy in 22/78 (28%) patients; only two of these patients experienced this with posaconazole, one of whom discontinued therapy.

The most common adverse event reported was fatigue that occurred in 17/78 (22%) patients and led to discontinuation of therapy in all affected patients. Five of them had high serum drug levels at the time of discontinuation of therapy but most of them stopped therapy and drug level was not measured. Among those who stopped treatment because of side effects, 19/20 (95%) had previously experienced some intolerance with another azole antifungal. However, of those 23 who did not report significant side effects with posaconazole, 67% (n=20) had previously had intolerance to another azole agent.
4. Discussion

CPA is a chronic, highly symptomatic and potentially fatal disease and antifungal treatment probably improves life expectancy in these patients [8]. Despite benefits of antifungals, long-term usage still remains a challenge, with patient variations in exposure and metabolism, appreciable toxicity, notable drug interactions, emergence of azole-strain resistance and high drug costs [5,10,15]. Forty-four percent of patients in our trial benefited from posaconazole therapy as a salvage therapy for CPA based on achievement of predefined targets in the SGRQ and weight as a surrogate marker of disease response. Although not directly comparable as different criteria for response are used, our response rate is lower than previous similar studies of CPA with first-line therapies [9,11,13,14,17]. However, it is expected that salvage therapy would be less effective than first-line therapy. Use of the SGRQ to assess treatment response could be used as a surrogate marker in CPA or other chronic infections such as pulmonary non-tuberculous mycobacterial disease.

The estimated survival rate of CPA varies on different studies ranging between 49-62% at 5 years and 26-74% at 10 years [6,8,29]. Azoles are the only oral treatment options for these patients. Itraconazole and voriconazole are first-line treatment choices [15,30] with efficacy rates ranging between 60-77% without clear differences between them [9,11–14]. However, their use often entails drug-related toxicities and emergence of Aspergillus spp. resistance [10]. A previous retrospective assessment from our centre to assess the safety and efficacy of posaconazole treatment in 79 patients with CPA showed an improvement in 61% of patients at 6 months and in 46% at 12 months, which were comparable with success rates observed for itraconazole and voriconazole administration. In this study, 44% of patients reported improvements in quality of life, such as improved appetite, enhanced energy levels, improved pulmonary symptoms and managing day-to-day tasks better. These parameters are the
essential clinical aims for CPA patients on treatment [18]. In a recent study by Newton et al [31] to assess the impact of liposomal amphotericin B on CPA patients, St George’s quality of life questionnaire was used pre and post 36 courses of treatment; improvement was observed in 91.7% (33/36) of treatment courses. Finally, the new triazole isavuconazole may be promising in the treatment of CPA, although no data exist as yet.

Azole therapy is frequently limited by adverse events [17,32]. In our study more than 50% of patients developed side effects, which curtailed posaconazole therapy in about half and most of them had had previousazole therapy intolerance. This contrasts with the data previously published from our centre on the use of posaconazole suspension in CPA [17]; only 12 of 79 (15%) of patients reported an adverse reaction onposaconazole suspension, and 9 had to stop the drug. Most of our patients were treated withposaconazole tablets which achieve higher levels than the liquid formulation. For posaconazole, it is not known what drug level cut-off is associated with an increased level of toxicity (as is known e.g. for voriconazole). Therefore, some patients may need a lower posaconazole dose than the 300mg daily recommended dose for improved tolerability. Further studies are needed to define the ideal levels to prevent toxicity. The most common adverse events previously reported were gastrointestinal complaints and headaches [17,33,34]; interestingly, in our study fatigue was the most commonly reported side effect. It is not clear whetherposaconazole is a direct cause of fatigue, as it has been described in a substantial proportion of patients with CPA [22]. This symptom has not been previously associated withposaconazole use, although most studies have used thisazole for shorter durations.

Unfortunately, only seven of our patients had a positive culture for Aspergillus spp. prior to their assignment on the trial. Cross-resistance among azoles is a common problem in this
patient group and must be carefully considered before administration [35]. Seven patients were found to have *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolates resistant to itraconazole and/or voriconazole before the trials started. MIC breakpoints were agreed by EUCAST for posaconazole and *A. fumigatus*, based on pharmacodynamics modelling for invasive aspergillosis. We elected to treat 3 patients with ‘posaconazole resistant’ strains, who surprisingly achieved targets at 4 and 6 months despite these previous isolates.

This study has several limitations. Most importantly, the criteria used to assess response were subjective quality of life scores, which could have been affected by other conditions or progression of underlying disease. However, microbiological or serological criteria are also not ideal for monitoring response, as they lack sensitivity and specificity. In addition, as this was a retrospective service evaluation, no comparison was possible with another treatment regimen or with patients not on antifungal treatment. Correlation with therapeutic drug monitoring was not made, especially in relation to adverse events. Finally, use of systemic steroids was not specifically recorded, as it could have affected response rates. However, as no immunocompromised patients were included, the use of steroids or other immunosuppressants was likely to be minimal.

5. Conclusions
To conclude, establishing criteria for therapeutic success offered a clear, equitable and sustainable method of identifying patients who benefit from additional therapy, and minimised continuation of ineffective therapy in those who did not. Use of long-term posaconazole tablets should be monitored with therapeutic level monitoring, with dose reduction when possible in order to limit toxicity.
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Figure 1. Outcome of patients receiving posaconazole as salvage therapy

- All patients (N=78)
  - 14 treatment failure
  - 28 early discontinuation
  - 34 achieved targets
  - 1 unavailable for assessment
  - 1 non-compliant
  - 5 did not achieve targets
  - 8 died
  - 9 deteriorated
  - 20 developed side effects

Figure 2. Targets achieved at the end of 4 and/or 6 months by those 34 patients who fulfilled pre-specified criteria.

- Gained weight
- Improved SGRQ
- Improved SGRQ and gained weight

Figure 2. Targets achieved at the end of 4 and/or 6 months by those 34 patients who fulfilled pre-specified criteria.
Figure 3. Percentage of adverse events related to posaconazole therapy.
Table 1. Patients’ clinical characteristics, laboratory results and previous azole therapies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Patients (n=78)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N abnormal (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic characteristic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>48.5 (38-80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, patients</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, Kg, mean (range)</td>
<td>48.9 (34-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical and laboratory findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St George Respiratory Questionnaire, median (range)</td>
<td>81.8 (8-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic test results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus IgG antibody titer mean (range)</td>
<td>107.5 (10-2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62 (79.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus IgE antibody titer mean (range)</td>
<td>1.45 (&lt;0.4-72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42 (53.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein mean (range)</td>
<td>24 (1-148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58 (74.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma viscosity mean (range)</td>
<td>1.95 (1.4-2.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76 (97.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azole therapy immediately preceding posaconazole</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itraconazole (%)</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole (%)</td>
<td>75 (96.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. In vitro susceptibility testing of azole-resistant *Aspergillus* strains and previous therapy drug-levels collected at baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. <em>fumigatus</em> resistant strain</th>
<th>MIC50</th>
<th>Previous azole therapy</th>
<th>Serum levels</th>
<th>Response to posaconazole therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Itraconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Low (&lt; 1.3 mg/L)</td>
<td>Achieved targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Posaconazole 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Itraconazole 1</td>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Satisfactory (1.3-5.7 mg/L)</td>
<td>Achieved targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Posaconazole 0.125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Itraconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Satisfactory (5-17mg/L)</td>
<td>Clinical failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Posaconazole 0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Itraconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Satisfactory (5-17mg/L)</td>
<td>Achieved targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole &gt; 4</td>
<td>Posaconazole 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Itraconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Satisfactory (5-17mg/L)</td>
<td>Clinical failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole 2</td>
<td>Posaconazole 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Itraconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Satisfactory (5-17mg/L)</td>
<td>Achieved targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole &gt; 8</td>
<td>Posaconazole 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Itraconazole 2</td>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Satisfactory (1.3-5.7 mg/L)</td>
<td>Intolerance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole 8</td>
<td>Posaconazole 0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>