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Abstract

Ecological consequences of low-dose radioactivity from natural sources or radioactive waste are important to understand but knowledge gaps still remain. In particular, the soil transfer and bioaccumulation of radionuclides into plant roots is poorly studied. Furthermore, better knowledge of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi association may help understand the complexities of radionuclide bioaccumulation within the rhizosphere. Plant bioaccumulation of uranium, thorium and radium was demonstrated at two field sites, where plant tissue concentrations reached up to 46.93 μg g⁻¹²³⁵U, 0.67 μg g⁻¹²³²Th and 18.27 kBq kg⁻¹²²⁶Ra. High root retention of uranium was consistent in all plant species studied. In contrast, most plants showed greater bioaccumulation of thorium and radium into above-ground tissues. The influence of specific soil parameters on root radionuclide bioaccumulation was examined. Total organic carbon significantly explained the variation in root uranium concentration, while other soil factors including copper concentration, magnesium concentration and pH significantly correlated with root concentrations of uranium, radium and thorium, respectively. All four orders of Glomeromycota were associated with root samples from both sites and all plant species studied showed varying association with AM fungi, ranging from zero to >60% root colonization by fungal arbuscules. Previous laboratory studies using single plant-fungal species association had found a positive role of AM fungi in root uranium transfer, but no significant correlation between the amount of fungal infection and root uranium content in the field samples was found here. However, there was a significant negative correlation between AM fungal infection and radium accumulation. This study is the first to examine the role of AM fungi in radionuclide soil-plant transfer at a community level within the natural environment. We conclude that biotic factors alongside various abiotic factors influence the soil-plant transfer of radionuclides and future mechanistic studies are needed to explain these interactions in more detail.
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1. Introduction

Radionuclides, such as $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra, are concentrated above background levels in environments with naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) because of the underlying geological characteristics, and concentrations may be further enhanced by activities such as mining and processing of radioactive ore. High concentrations of $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra can be a potential risk to ecosystem health because of radioactivity and chemotoxic effects when accumulated at high concentrations within biological tissues (Davies et al., 2015; IAEA, 1994; Saenen et al., 2013). As such, understanding the behaviour, mobility and transfer of radionuclides is critical for the development of management strategies for contaminated sites, and is relevant to NORM contamination, nuclear site clean-up and disposal of radioactive waste. Uranium is of particular research interest because of its abundance, its presence at former mining and ore processing sites (Malin and Petzelka, 2010; Winde et al., 2017), and also because of the dependency of its environmental mobility on chemical oxidation state (IAEA, 1994; Mitchell et al., 2013).

Radioecology surveys have been undertaken at radionuclide contaminated locations around the world, and have mostly examined radionuclide uptake into agricultural plants, including the transfer of $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra into watermelon and zucchini (Al-Kharouf et al., 2008) or wheat plants (Pulhani et al., 2005), and the accumulation of $^{226}$Ra into various leafy vegetables and fruits (Ross et al., 2013) and into rice plants (Karunakara et al., 2013). In all of these examples detectable but often very low concentrations of radionuclides have been observed in edible tissues. Such studies have typically quantified transfer factors (TFs), which are the ratio between radionuclide concentration in the plant to that in soil (IAEA, 2009; Mitchell et al., 2013), to indicate bioaccumulation and support risk estimates. However, relatively few studies have focussed on the non-crop plant species that are native to NORM and technologically enhanced NORM environments. Furthermore, most analyses have only quantified radionuclide bioaccumulation into above-ground plant tissues (Vera Tomé et al., 2003; Vera Tomé et al., 2002) without a detailed analysis of root and
rhizosphere characteristics. This gives an inaccurate picture of the role of plants in radionuclide transfer, especially as roots are essential for the transfer of elements such as $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$ and $^{226}\text{Ra}$ into above-ground tissues, while there is also substantial evidence of the retention of radionuclides in roots; for example, preferential accumulation of uranium was observed in hydroponically grown tobacco plants (Soudek et al., 2014) and soil grown wheat plants showed 75%, 57% and 54% distribution within root tissue of $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$ and $^{226}\text{Ra}$, respectively (Pulhani et al., 2005). A more complete understanding of radionuclide partitioning would be useful for determining whether there are greater risks for radionuclide food chain transfer via above-ground vegetation herbivores or via soil microorganisms and animals who feed on roots.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are associated with 80 – 90% of terrestrial plant species (Harrison, 1997) and provide a vital interface between the soil and plants. AM fungi extend beyond the rhizosphere and contribute significantly to essential element and nutrient uptake from the soil into the roots, in exchange for carbon assimilated by the host plant (Harrison, 1997; Harrison et al., 2002). Evidence from laboratory-based studies that have examined single plant-single fungus species associations has shown that AM fungal hyphae can access and transport $^{238}\text{U}$ from source to root sinks, while root retention of $^{238}\text{U}$ was greater when plants were mycorrhizal, as seen in Medicago plants (Chen et al., 2005a), barley (Chen et al., 2005b), and carrot roots (Rufyikiri et al., 2003). However, no previous radioecology study has included data regarding AM fungi within the natural environment or considered the mycorrhizal status of the plants at a radionuclide contaminated field site. Therefore to identify biotic factors in addition to abiotic factors that may influence radionuclide transfer in these ecosystems this present study was undertaken with the objective to determine the tissue-specific partitioning of $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$ and $^{226}\text{Ra}$ in natural field site plants and secondly to examine the influence of AM fungi association on radionuclide accumulation into these plant tissues. In particular, we aimed to test the hypothesis that AM fungi can enhance the transfer of radionuclides from soil to plants within the field.
We present data describing the partitioning of $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra between plant roots and shoots, together with soil-to-plant TFs of these radionuclides from plants at both sites that show AM fungi association. As well as determining soil chemistry, we performed multivariate analyses and correlations between root concentrations of $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra with soil abiotic parameters and AM fungal infection parameters in order to identify key influencing factors. This has allowed the generation of a more comprehensive dataset, which considers a broader ecological viewpoint of radionuclide-impacted natural environments.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Field sampling

This study used two ‘natural laboratory’ field sites within the UK, at South Terras in Cornwall and Needle’s Eye in Scotland (Fig. 1). Elevated concentrations of $^{238}$U and $^{226}$Ra are present at South Terras because of historic uranium mining, which occurred on site until the early 1900’s (Siddeeg et al., 2015). Secondary uranium ores torbernite and autunite have been identified at South Terras, with organic soils overlaying many of the remaining mine spoil heaps, which in turn sit astride predominantly gravel, sand and silt layers (Corkhill et al., 2017; Purvis et al., 2004; Read et al., 1991). Read et al. (1991) should be referred to for a detailed description of the geochemistry and hydrogeology of South Terras. In contrast, at Needle’s Eye, several uraninite, pitchblende veins are exposed at the local cliff face with an anoxic bog zone of highly organic soil, immediately south of the exposed cliff face (Basham et al., 1991; MacKenzie et al., 1991). The local geology at Needle’s Eye comprises of granodiorite/felsite, silurian hornfelsed and carboniferous sediments and is described in greater detail by Basham et al. (1991). Field sampling was performed at South Terras and Needle’s Eye in late spring (May) 2014. During 2014 annual precipitation at South Terras was 1232.5 mm (102.7 mm mean monthly; 75.4 mm during sample month) and mean temperature was 9.0 °C (minimum) – 14.3 °C (maximum), while annual precipitation at Needle’s Eye was 1263.3 mm (105.3 mm mean monthly; 112 mm during sample month) and
mean temperature was 5.7 °C (minimum) – 13.3 °C (maximum). Sampling at South Terras was carried out at two areas in late May 2014 (Fig. 1a). Sampling at Needle’s Eye took place in early May 2014 and extended from the uraninite source at the cliff face to the area below the cliff face, with most samples taken from this uranium-rich bog area (Fig. 1b). Plant samples were chosen on the basis of dominance across each field site, consequently it was not possible to collect the same plant species from each site. Figure 1 lists the plant species collected and included *Asplenium scolopendrium* L. (*n* = 2) and *Primula vulgaris* Huds. (*n* = 4) from South Terras, and *Chrysosplenium oppositifolium* L. (*n* = 3), *Iris pseudacorus* L. (*n* = 3) and *Oenanthe crocata* L. (*n* = 3) from Needle’s Eye (as identified by DNA analysis detailed in section 2.4 and 3.1). Where possible, three or four plant samples were collected for each species although only two samples of *A. scolopendrium* were collected. Each plant sample was labelled with a site number (S1 – S5 for South Terras; N1 – N9 for Needle’s Eye) (Fig. 1), giving a total of six collected plant samples from South Terras and nine from Needle’s Eye. Whole plant specimens were removed with soil surrounding the roots and the root system left undisturbed, thus there were identical numbers of soil samples as plant samples from South Terras and Needle’s Eye for geochemical analysis.

### 2.2. Soil sample processing and analysis

Soil was carefully removed from the roots of each plant and separated into aliquots for analysis. Large stones or detritus were removed and soil was dried in a 40 °C incubator for 48 h. Soil pH was determined as described previously (Allen, 1974; Krause, 1978). Dried soil samples were ground by pestle and mortar then 0.1 mg samples were furnace heated at 900°C (for total carbon), or furnace heated at 500 °C after phosphoric acid addition (for total inorganic carbon (TIC)) then emitted CO₂ was detected by a non-dispersive infra-red gas analyser (Shimadzu SSM-5000A, Shimadzu, Milton Keynes, UK). TIC was then subtracted from total carbon to give total organic carbon (TOC) values. For anion quantification, 1 g samples of powdered soil were mixed and shaken in 10 mL MilliQ deionised H₂O then ultrasonicated for 10 min, before supernatant was removed and filtered through a 0.45 μm
Millipore MCE membrane. Concentrations of PO$_4^{3-}$, NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ were determined using an ICS-5000 Dual Channel Ion Chromatograph with AG18 Guard Column (50 x 2 mm) and Dionex AS18 Analytical Column (250 x 2 mm) and conductivity detector (ThermoFisher Scientific, Altrincham, UK). The mobile phase (eluent) was produced using a Dionex Potassium Hydroxide Eluent Generator Cartridge (ThermoFisher Scientific, Altrincham, UK). This is electronically controlled and mixes the KOH with HPLC grade water to produce the desired concentration of eluent. This is pumped at a flow rate of 0.25 mL min$^{-1}$ through the columns. For NH$_4^+$ quantification, 200 µL of Nessler’s Reagent (Krug et al., 1979) was mixed with 800 µL of the filtered soil supernatant, incubated for 5 min and measured by absorbance at 420 nm using an NH$_4$Cl standard curve for calibration.

2.3. AM fungal colonization quantification

Root samples were collected after the removal of the soil, were rinsed in HPLC grade water four times to further remove soil then stored in 70% ethanol until staining. Root samples were cleared, rinsed, bleached and acidified as described previously (Koske and Gemma, 1989), then immediately stained and de-stained as described (Newsham and Bridge, 2010). The quantification of AM fungal colonisation was based on an intersection method to rank the presence or absence of fungal structures (McGonigle et al., 1990). De-stained roots were cut into 1 cm segments, laid horizontal and in parallel on a glass slide and viewed under 100 X magnification with an eyepiece graticule marked with a vertical transect line. The transect line (perpendicular to the root) was placed at the left end of a root section. A fungal structure was recorded as present if the transect line ran through one or several AM fungal structures or as absent if no fungal structure was present (an empty root cell), then the root was moved one field of view to the left and the next transect line outcome was observed. Wherever possible, over 100 intersections per root sample with three biological replicates were recorded except where there was limited available root material (Table S1). Percentage abundance = ($\sum A$ or $V$ or $H$ / $\sum$ intersections) × 100, where $A$ is arbuscule, $V$ is vesicle and $H$ is hyphae, and where $H$ is calculated as the total number of intersections.
minus the negative tally. Micrographs were taken using a Zeiss Imager A.1 microscope with an Axio cam 506mono camera (Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

2.4. Plant species identification by DNA sequencing

DNA was extracted from three replicates of leaf tissue per plant sample as described previously (Lodhi et al., 1994), purified by phenol-chloroform-isoamylalcohol extraction and isopropanol precipitation then resuspended in MilliQ H$_2$O. The flowering plant DNA barcode regions of $rbcL$ and $matK$ were used to identify unknown samples to species level. $matK$ was only amplified when $rbcL$ sequences failed to discriminate to species level. The PCR protocol and primer combinations (Table S2) were as described previously (de Vere et al., 2012). PCR amplified and purified $rbcL$ and $matK$ bands were sequenced (GATC Biotech, Konstanz, Germany) and analysed by BLAST against the Genbank database (Table S3).

2.5. Fungal species identification by DNA sequencing

Total DNA was extracted from ~40 mg of frozen plant root tissue using PowerPlant Pro DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories, Qiagen Ltd, Manchester, UK). Amplicons for Illumina HiSeq paired-end sequencing were generated from triplicate PCR reactions using 5.8S-Fun and ITS4-Fun primers (Taylor et al., 2016), modified with Nextera overhang adapters (Table S2) to allow addition of sequencing adapters and sample-specific indices in a subsequent PCR. The Nextera forward adapter was added to the ITS4-Fun primer to allow reverse sequencing across the ITS2 region. Triplicate PCR reactions were performed using Phusion HF Master Mix (New England Biolabs Ltd, Hitchin, UK) and PCR amplification conditions of 98°C for 30 s, then 28 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s; then 72°C for 7 min. The triplicate PCR products were pooled and submitted to the Centre for Genomic Research, University of Liverpool, UK, for downstream sample processing and sequencing using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Illumina, Cambridge, UK). QIIME 1.9.1 was used for sequence processing (Caporaso et al., 2010). Forward and reverse reads were matched.
with a minimum of 50 bp overlap before filtering to remove short (< 200 bp) or low quality
reads (minimum average quality score < 25). Chimeric sequences were removed using
UCHIME (Edgar et al., 2011). Remaining sequences were grouped into operational
taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% sequence similarity using USEARCH 6.1 in order to
approximate species-level groupings across the fungal kingdom (Edgar, 2010). Single
sequence OTUs were removed, and taxonomy was assigned to OTUs in QIIME, by running
the BLAST algorithm (maximum E value, 0.001) against the UNITE fungal database
(http://www2.dpes.gu.se/project/unite/index.htm) accessed on 20 November 2016.

2.6. $^{226}$Ra detection by $\gamma$-spectroscopy

Plant samples were dried to a constant mass over 3 months at room temperature in a plant
press. Dried leaf, stem/petiole, and root tissue was separately homogenized using a KRUPS
F203 Moulin grinder (Krups, Solingen, Germany). Homogenized plant tissue (n = 1 per
tissue type, per individual plant sample), ranging from 0.1 - 1.5 g dry weight, or dried ground
1.5 g soil samples (n = 2 – 4 per plant species), was added to 125 mL, screw-cap
containers. These were placed into 150 mL containers and the screw cap lid was glued with
epoxy resin glue and sealed with parafilm to prevent escape of $^{222}$Rn, then left for 30 days to
ensure that secular equilibrium was reached. The $^{214}$Bi and $^{214}$Pb decay product emissions
were used to quantify $^{226}$Ra activity. Each sample was run on a GEM-S7025-LB-C Ortec
Profile S-series HPGe detector (20% relative efficiency) with a DSPEC-50 Digital signal
processor and Gammapvision software (Ametek Ortec, Wokingham, UK) for 24 h (for plant
samples) and 6 h (for soil samples), to record the net areas of $^{214}$Bi and $^{214}$Pb peaks at 609.5
keV and 352.0 keV, respectively. A blank was run containing no material. Following
completion, three plant tissue samples and two soil samples with the lowest cps values were
chosen for preparation of $^{226}$Ra- spiked standards. Plant samples were covered with MilliQ
H$_2$O while soil samples were covered with ethanol, then 100 µL of 1 kBq mL$^{-1}$ $^{226}$Ra spike
(from a certified standard solution supplied by AEA Technology, Harwell, UK) was added to
each container before being left without lids in a sand bath at 80 °C until all liquid had
evaporated and the samples were dry. After 30 days re-sealed, the spiked standards were
run as before. Plant and soil $^{226}$Ra activity concentrations ± counting error were calculated
using equations described in Supplementary Methods (Supplementary Information), based
on those described previously (Chen et al., 2005c; IAEA, 1989). Plant sample activity
concentrations were adjusted by a Ti correction factor ($\text{Ti}_{\%}$) to account for any remnant soil
left on the plant tissue (Cook et al., 2009). All plant tissue samples from all species gave
average $\text{Ti}_{\%}$ < 6.8% and average Ti concentrations < 4.7 µg g$^{-1}$, aside from the $C$. oppositifolium$^{2}$
tissue samples, which had an average $\text{Ti}_{\%}$ of 36.1% and concentration of
10.24 µg g$^{-1}$. This was likely to be partly due to the small mass of $C$. oppositifolium tissue
samples.

2.7. ICP-MS and ICP-AES analyses
Dried and ground plant tissue (~0.05 – 0.1 g dry weight) and soil samples (0.1 g) were
placed in acid-washed borosilicate boiling tubes, and incubated in 5 mL (or 0.5 mL for ≤ 0.05
g samples) of 70% ultrapure grade nitric acid at 140 ºC for 3 h. For homogenised plant
tissue, $n = 3$ per individual plant sample ($n = 1$ leaf, $n = 1$ stem/petiole and $n = 1$ root) and for
soil, $n = 3$ per sub-site (such that $n = 6 – 12$ per plant species). Cooled samples were diluted
by addition of MilliQ $H_2O$ to a final volume of 10 mL (or 2.5 mL for ≤ 0.05 g samples) then
filtered through a 0.45 µm Millipore MCE membrane before a final dilution with MilliQ $H_2O$ to
10 mL. All soil samples were filtered and diluted (1 in 10) a second time. ICP-MS was
performed to quantify $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th, plus As, Pb, Sn and Ti using an Agilent 7700x fitted
with a collision cell, pressurised with He at a flow rate of 4.5 mL min$^{-1}$ (Agilent, Stockport,
UK). ICP-AES was performed to quantify Ca, K, Mg, P, S, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn using a
Perkin-Elmer Optima 5300 (Perkin-Elmer, Llantrisant, UK). Certified Reference Standard
TM25.5 was used for all ICP analyses. All samples were calibrated using a matrix-matched
serial dilution of Specpure multi-element plasma standard solution 4 (Alfa Aesar, Heysham,
UK) set by linear regression, and only results with a relative standard deviation < 20% were
considered. Plant root sample element concentrations were also adjusted by a $\text{Ti}_{\%}$ to
account for any remnant soil left on the plant tissue. ICP detection limits for plant tissue analyses were: As < 0.132 μg L\(^{-1}\); Ca < 0.004 mg L\(^{-1}\); Cu < 0.029 μg L\(^{-1}\); Fe < 0.002 mg L\(^{-1}\); K < 0.004 mg L\(^{-1}\); Mg < 0.007 mg L\(^{-1}\); Mn < 0.007 mg L\(^{-1}\); P < 0.009 mg L\(^{-1}\); S < 0.017 mg L\(^{-1}\); Sn < 0.021 μg L\(^{-1}\); Th < 0.030 μg L\(^{-1}\); Ti < 0.263 μg L\(^{-1}\); U < 0.002 μg L\(^{-1}\) and Zn < 0.096 μg L\(^{-1}\). The detection limits for soil sample analyses by ICP were < 0.000 μg L\(^{-1}\) for As, Cu, Sn, Th, Ti, U and Zn and Ca < 0.005 mg L\(^{-1}\); Fe < 0.029 mg L\(^{-1}\); K < 0.006 mg L\(^{-1}\); Mg < 0.007 mg L\(^{-1}\); Mn < 0.006 mg L\(^{-1}\); P < 0.011 mg L\(^{-1}\) and S < 0.013 mg L\(^{-1}\).

2.8. Statistical analyses

PRIMER v6 was used to perform principal component analysis (PCA). For this analysis a matrix of 9 – 11 samples with 24 variables was used. In all cases the distribution of eigenvalue variation across PC demonstrated that this sample-to-variable ratio was found to be sufficient for PC consistency (Jung and Marron, 2009). Moreover, all data interpretation derived from PCA was further evaluated by linear regression. All data (except for pH, TOC and TIC values) were natural log transformed for PCA and subsequent linear regression correlation figures, except for linear regression of \(^{232}\)Th data, which was square root transformed. Other statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad PRISM. Unpaired, non-parametric, Mann-Whitney t-tests or unpaired t-tests, with Welch’s corrections, were used to test for significant differences between South Terras and Needle’s Eye soil characteristics (P < 0.05) and soil element (including radionuclide) concentration data (P < 0.05). However, One-Way ANOVA, Kruskall-Wallis, non-parametric tests were used for soil concentration outliers (P < 0.05), whilst Two-Way ANOVA analyses, with Tukey’s multiple comparisons, was used to test for significant element concentrations (including radionuclides) or TF differences between plant leaf, stem/petiole and root tissue (P < 0.05).
3. Results

3.1. Soil characteristics and sampled plant species identification at South Terras and Needle’s Eye sites

The soil at South Terras was significantly more acidic (pH 4.5 to 5.6) than at Needle’s Eye (pH 5.2 to 6.4; Fig. 2a). The amounts of TOC were notably different: 39 ± 5% at Needle’s Eye and 12 ± 11% at South Terras (Fig. 2b). Only one South Terras sample had TOC >30%.

In contrast, TIC was very low at all sites, in the range 0.01 – 0.02%. Concentrations of PO₄³⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻ and NH₄⁺ varied between individual sub-sites across both field sites, but there was no significant difference in mean values of PO₄³⁻, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ between Needle’s Eye and South Terras, however, there was greater variation in soil PO₄³⁻ concentration at Needle’s Eye due to one sample of 596 µg g⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ compared with a mean value of 114 µg g⁻¹ (Fig. 2c-e). South Terras soils had significantly lower concentrations of SO₄²⁻, all <160 µg g⁻¹, whilst the mean SO₄²⁻ concentration was 390 µg g⁻¹ at Needle’s Eye (Fig. 2f).

Mean ²³⁸U concentrations were slightly lower at South Terras compared with Needle’s Eye where most sample sites had soil ²³⁸U concentrations >200 µg g⁻¹. Soil from Needle’s Eye at site N1, which was closer to the cliff and outside of the anoxic bog, was a clear outlier (P = 0.0064) compared with all other Needle’s Eye samples, with much lower ²³⁸U concentration. However, there was no significant difference between South Terras and Needle’s Eye (Fig. 2g). The concentrations of ²³²Th in soils were generally low in comparison to ²³⁸U concentrations. There was also no significant difference between soil ²³²Th concentrations at both field sites but greater variation at South Terras (Fig. 2h). There was high variation in ²²⁶Ra activity within soils collected from South Terras with the greatest activities coming from those sampled from the former ore processing area. The lowest activity was determined from site S1 at 2 kBq kg⁻¹, whilst an activity of 97 kBq kg⁻¹ was detected at site S2. Such high ²²⁶Ra activities were not present at Needle’s Eye, where 15 kBq kg⁻¹ at site N6 was the highest recorded. However, there was no significant difference between South Terras and Needle’s Eye ²²⁶Ra concentrations (Fig. 2i).
In addition to radionuclides, other soil elements were quantified (Table S4). Apart from K and P, all other macro-elements (Ca, Mg and S) were present at significantly higher concentrations in Needle’s Eye soils compared with South Terras soils (Fig. 3a-e). The trace element Zn was at significantly elevated concentration in Needle’s Eye soils compared with South Terras (Fig. 3i). Fe concentrations were variable but were significantly higher at South Terras in contrast to Needle’s Eye soils (Fig. 3g), whereas there was no significant difference in soil Cu or Mn concentrations (Fig. 3f, h). Soil As and Pb concentrations were significantly higher at the former mine site of South Terras compared with Needle’s Eye (Fig. 3j, k), but the two locations had no significant difference in Sn (Table S4).

South Terras and Needle’s Eye were both heavily vegetated with mature trees and substantial coverage of understory plants. Smaller understory plants were chosen that could be taken from the site intact for subsequent whole-plant analysis (Fig. S1). The species that were dominant at the time of sampling across both field sites were chosen. Taxonomic classification was confirmed by DNA barcoding (Table S3). Samples from South Terras were identified as *A. scolopendrium* (hart's-tongue fern) and *P. vulgaris* (primrose), while *C. oppositifolium* (opposite-leaved golden saxifrage), *I. pseudacorus* (yellow iris), and *O. crocata* (water dropwort) were dominant at Needle’s Eye (Fig. 1). Most of the South Terras species were sampled from the former uranium ore processing area where a layer of dense organic matter was present over a sandy substratum, directly on top of the concrete processing floors. At Needle’s Eye, samples were mainly taken from an anoxic bog area, which was known to exhibit significant retardation of $^{238}$U in the soil (Basham et al., 1991; MacKenzie et al., 1991).

3.2. *AM fungal associations with South Terras and Needle’s Eye plants*

All South Terras and Needle’s Eye plant samples were examined for AM fungal association within the roots. Clear evidence of AM fungal association was found for all plant species examined (Fig. 4). Distinctive morphological characteristics of mycorrhizal fungi such as intraradical hyphae, spores and vesicles, in addition to arbuscules were observed, which
confirmed AM fungal presence, but no obvious presence of saprotrophic or pathogenic fungal associations. Interestingly, *P. vulgaris* roots had interspersed regions densely packed with fungal structures that appear to be vesicles (Fig. 4b). These were strongly suggested to be AM fungal structures due to the observation of directly associated hyphae. Structures that did not resemble AM fungal structures and were potentially oomycetes were also identified, and were differentiated from AM fungal vesicles by their lack of IH connections and/or protrusion outside the root cell. On average 20% of *P. vulgaris* roots were colonised with AM fungal vesicles, with one of the *P. vulgaris* samples from site S4 having 46% colonisation (Fig. 4h). In contrast, samples from Needle’s Eye were mostly lacking in vesicles, with no vesicles present in any *C. oppositifolium* or *I. pseudacorus*, and in just 2% of *O. crocata* roots. However, arbuscules and penetrating hyphae were observed in almost all plant samples from both field sites, but there was variation in the amount of AM fungal infection between individual samples (Fig. 4f,g).

In order to look at the community composition and validate the presence of AM fungi in South Terras and Needle’s Eye samples, sequencing was performed of fungal-specific ribosomal RNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region amplicon libraries generated from root DNA samples. A total of 2,728 non-singleton OTUs, from a total of 6,402,166 sequences, were identified from all samples. After removal of non-fungal OTUs, 2,592 OTUs classified as fungi, and 95 unidentified OTUs remained. Aside from a few fungal OTUs that could be identified to named species level, the majority of OTUs could not be identified further than family or order. Nonetheless, 85 OTUs were listed as those from the Glomeromycota. All four orders of Glomeromycetes (Glomerales, Paraglomerales, Diversisporales and Archaeosporales) were found in both South Terras and Needle’s Eye samples (Fig. 5). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal species of *Rhizophagus irregularis* (formerly *Glomus intraradices*), *Glomus macrocarpum*, *Paraglomus laccatum*, *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* and *Claroideoglomus drummondii* were amongst the named species detected. The profile of AM fungi associated with each plant species was distinct (Fig. 5).
3.3. Non-radioactive element and radionuclide concentrations within plant tissues

Tissue concentrations of essential elements and three non-essential elements (As, Pb, Sn) for each collected plant species were determined (Table S4). All macro-elements (Ca, K, Mg, P, S) and micro-elements (Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn) from nearly all samples from South Terras and Needle’s Eye were within the range of typical plant values (Djingova et al., 2013). There was no evidence of mineral deficiency for any samples and a few samples of *C. oppositifolium* and *I. pseudacorus* from Needle’s Eye had particularly high concentrations of Mn and Zn. Micro-element concentrations were highly varied within plant tissues from some individual samples and, for most species root concentrations, were significantly higher than above-ground tissue concentrations (Table S4). For example, the concentrations of As and Pb from the roots of samples from both South Terras and Needle’s Eye were substantial, with As ranging from 14 μg g⁻¹ to 225 μg g⁻¹. As and Pb concentrations were also higher in the South Terras plants than those from Needle’s Eye. Concentrations of Sn were low in many samples and there was no significant difference between field locations.

²³⁸U values within all tissues (Table S4) were substantially above the typical (0.005 – 0.06 μg g⁻¹) plant concentration range (Djingova et al., 2013). *A. scolopendrium* showed very high root ²³⁸U concentrations of 31 - 47 μg g⁻¹ (Table S4). Similarly, *P. vulgaris* samples had high root ²³⁸U concentrations up to 17 μg g⁻¹, whilst above-ground concentrations did not exceed 4 μg g⁻¹. The Needle’s Eye plants, such as *C. oppositifolium* from site N6, also had high concentrations of root-localised ²³⁸U while leaf and stem/petiole concentrations were much lower. In contrast, *I. pseudacorus* had relatively low concentrations of ²³⁸U in stems (up to 0.26 μg g⁻¹) and roots (≤ 2.5 μg g⁻¹). In nearly all samples, the amounts of ²³⁸U in root tissues were substantially greater than from the leaf or stem/petiole tissues (Fig. 6a). Only *I. pseudacorus* from site N5 displayed relatively equal proportions of root and leaf ²³⁸U concentration. South Terras samples had mean root ²³⁸U concentrations (per dry weight) that were significantly higher than the stem/petiole and leaf values, however, Needle’s Eye plants did not show a significant difference in ²³⁸U concentration between tissue types.
The $^{232}$Th data were markedly different from those of $^{238}$U. The relative $^{232}$Th tissue partitioning showed that $^{232}$Th was concentrated in the leaves and stem/petiole tissues for a number of samples while the root $^{232}$Th concentration proportions were relatively small (Fig. 6b). Overall, neither South Terras nor Needle’s Eye plants showed significant differences in the concentration of $^{232}$Th between tissue types. Furthermore, $^{232}$Th accumulation was generally low in all collected plant samples, with $P$. vulgaris root tissue (0.67 µg g$^{-1}$) and $O$. crocata leaf tissue (0.71 µg g$^{-1}$) having low but detectable concentrations, while the majority of $C$. oppositifolium tissue concentrations were below detectable limits (Table S4). In general, $^{226}$Ra accumulated more in root tissues than in above-ground tissues although this pattern of plant tissue partitioning was not as distinct as for $^{238}$U (Fig. 6c). There was high variation in $^{226}$Ra concentrations between many samples of the same species; for example, $P$. vulgaris from sites S2 and S5 showed values of >15 kBq kg$^{-1}$, whilst $P$. vulgaris roots from sites S1 and S4 had approximately 1 kBq kg$^{-1}$ $^{226}$Ra. $I$. pseudacorus from site N3 had $^{226}$Ra concentrations in the leaf, stem/petiole and root that ranged from 4.65 - 11.50 kBq kg$^{-1}$, yet $I$. pseudacorus samples from other sites did not exceed 2.37 kBq kg$^{-1}$. $A$. scolopendrium also displayed very low or below detectable $^{226}$Ra activities (Table S4).

3.4. Radionuclide soil to plant transfer factors

TFs from soil-to-root, soil-to-stem/petiole and soil-to-leaf for each individual plant sample were calculated on a dry weight basis. TF values for $^{238}$U were much higher (P <0.015) for soil-to-root compared with the ratios from soil-to-above-ground tissues for the South Terras plant samples (Fig. 7). For example, $P$. vulgaris and $A$. scolopendrium root tissues had TF values up to 0.37, whilst no stem/petiole or leaf tissue TF was greater than 0.03 and 0.04, respectively. The soil-to-root $^{238}$U TFs were considerably higher for the South Terras samples than the Needle’s Eye samples, apart from $C$. oppositifolium from site N6, which gave the highest $^{238}$U soil-to-root TF of 0.09 (Fig. 7c). For many Needle’s Eye samples, such as $I$. pseudacorus, the mean soil-to-root TF value was not significantly different to the soil-to-stem/petiole or soil-to-leaf TF values. The $C$. oppositifolium sample from site N1 had much
greater TF into above-ground tissue in comparison to all other samples. The profile of TFs for $^{232}$Th were quite different from those obtained for $^{238}$U (Fig. 7). The soil-to-leaf, soil-to-stem and soil-to-root TFs were not significantly different (P >0.05), with the majority of samples having TFs less than 0.2, regardless of tissue type. However, the combined O. crocata sample had considerably higher soil-to-leaf $^{232}$Th TF values. The $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th TFs did not indicate any clear differences on the basis of species. However, for the $^{226}$Ra TFs, all three I. pseudacorus samples had elevated $^{226}$Ra TF values (Fig. 7), whereas most of the other samples from Needle’s Eye and South Terras had $^{226}$Ra TFs that were below these ranges.

3.5. Abiotic factors potentially influencing radionuclide bioaccumulation

PCA was performed to ascertain whether specific abiotic and biotic factors of the soils within the rhizosphere were important in influencing $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra bioaccumulation. The root $^{238}$U PCA plot shows clearly separate clustering of the South Terras and Needle’s Eye plant samples, primarily on the basis of PC1 (Fig. 8a). Not all of the samples of the same species were grouped together. For example, P. vulgaris from sites S1 and S4 were positioned either side of the remaining South Terras samples, which were more closely clustered. As shown in the corresponding eigenvector loadings (Table S5), TOC was the main driving factor behind PC1, which explained 45.1% of the variation. Soil pH and soil concentrations of Ca, K, Fe, Zn and SO$_4^{2-}$ also were significant factors behind PC1. Differences between samples within the South Terras and Needle’s Eye locations were due mainly to PC2, which explained 17.3% of the variation. The main factor underlying PC2 was soil PO$_4^{3-}$ concentration, but root $^{238}$U concentration and soil concentrations of $^{238}$U, Cu, Mn, and NO$_3^-$ also contributed. Individual linear regression analysis examined the relationships between root $^{238}$U concentration and key abiotic factors identified by the PCA. Only five abiotic factors, Cu, Pb (Fig. 9a), K, NO$_3^-$, and soil pH (Fig. S2), showed significant correlation ($r \geq 0.5$) with root $^{238}$U concentration, with soil Cu showing the strongest correlation ($R^2 = 0.65$; $r = 0.81$; P = 0.005), followed by soil Pb ($R^2 = 0.41$; $r = 0.64$; P = 0.047). There was no
significant correlation between soil $^{238}\text{U}$ and plant $^{238}\text{U}$ concentration (Fig. 9a). TOC gave a weak correlation with root $^{238}\text{U}$ concentration ($r = -0.38$) yet there was a stronger correlation between TOC and root $^{238}\text{U}$ TF ($R^2 = 0.58; r = -0.76; P = 0.01$) (Fig. S2). Despite soil $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ showing a poor correlation with root $^{238}\text{U}$ ($r = 0.26$), soil $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ gave a very strong positive correlation with soil $^{238}\text{U}$ ($R^2 = 0.69; r = 0.83; P = 0.003$) (Fig. S2).

The $^{232}\text{Th}$ (Fig. 8b) and $^{226}\text{Ra}$ (Fig. 8c) PCA plots displayed very similar sample groupings as seen in the $^{238}\text{U}$ plot, whereby South Terras and Needle’s Eye samples were separated mostly on the basis of PC1, which in both plots contributed over 42% of the variation. Soil TOC was the main influencing factor for PC1 in both plots, while the main factors behind PC2 were soil $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ and Mn for the $^{232}\text{Th}$ plot and $^{226}\text{Ra}$ plot, respectively (Table S5). PC3 explained 12.8% of the variation of the $^{232}\text{Th}$ PCA, with soil $^{232}\text{Th}$ concentration being a main factor of PC3. Five abiotic factors, soil $^{232}\text{Th}$, Ca, K, pH, $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ and TOC, showed significant correlations with root $^{232}\text{Th}$ concentration (Fig. 9b; Fig. S2).

The relationship between soil and root $^{232}\text{Th}$ was the strongest ($R^2 = 0.65; r = 0.81; P = 0.005$). Similarly, the only positive correlating factor with root $^{226}\text{Ra}$ concentration was with soil $^{226}\text{Ra}$ concentration ($R^2 = 0.70; r = 0.84; P = 0.009$), while soil Mg concentration showed a significant negative correlation with root $^{226}\text{Ra}$ concentration (Fig. 9c).

### 3.6. The relationships between the degree of AM fungal association and root radionuclide concentration

The quantified percentage abundance of AM fungal hyphae, arbuscules and vesicles within root tissues (Fig. 4) was also included in each PCA. Overall, the fungal data were not a major influencing factor for the PCA plots, although % arbuscules was a key factor underpinning PC3 (explaining 12 – 14% of the variation) for each of the plots (Table S5). Linear regression analysis found no significant correlation for any of the fungal characteristics in relation to root $^{238}\text{U}$ or $^{232}\text{Th}$ concentration (Fig. 10). In contrast there was a significant negative correlation between the abundance of AM fungal structures and root
226\textsuperscript{Ra} concentration (Fig. 10c), particularly on the basis of hyphal abundance ($R^2 = 0.44$; $r = -0.66$; $P = 0.042$).

4. Discussion

South Terras and Needle’s Eye are two ideal field locations for the characterisation of radionuclide transfer from soil into the understory vegetation of temperate woodland. While there has previously been substantial radiochemistry research at Needle’s Eye and, to a lesser extent, South Terras (Basham et al., 1991; Corkhill et al., 2017; Foulkes et al., 2017; MacKenzie et al., 1991; Siddeeg et al., 2015), no previous study has investigated the radioecology of these sites. Both locations have soils with 238\textsuperscript{U} concentrations that greatly exceed the global average (UNSCEAR, 2000) and were similar to or exceeded values determined by a number of radioecology surveys (Blanco Rodriguez et al., 2010; Favas et al., 2016; Vera Tomé et al., 2003; Vera Tomé et al., 2002). The 226\textsuperscript{Ra} concentrations reported here were also highly elevated above the natural range reported by the IAEA (2003). In contrast, soil concentrations of 232\textsuperscript{Th} from both environments were in the lower range of natural background soil (IAEA, 2003), as would be expected since both sites have U, but not Th, mineralisation. This may explain the fairly low abundance of 232\textsuperscript{Th} seen in the plant tissues, such as the C. oppositifolium samples, which may be low because of the poor environmental mobility of 232\textsuperscript{Th}(IV). Very few publications have studied plant 232\textsuperscript{Th} bioaccumulation, but samples from South Terras and Needle’s Eye displayed bioaccumulation characteristics that were consistent with another study (Vera Tomé et al., 2002). In contrast, plant 238\textsuperscript{U} and 226\textsuperscript{Ra} bioaccumulation has been more widely examined (Al-Hamarneh et al., 2016; Amaral et al., 2005; Favas et al., 2016; Soudek et al., 2007; Vera Tomé et al., 2002), and the concentrations seen here in above-ground tissues were within similar ranges to those observed before. However, apart from some exceptions (Cordeiro et al., 2016; Favas et al., 2016), few other studies have quantified radionuclide bioaccumulation and partitioning into roots of non-crop plant species as performed here. This has important
implications as it allows better consideration of the low risks of further trophic transfer if the bulk of the accumulated radionuclides is actually retained within the root tissues.

Although there are significantly elevated radionuclide concentrations at both South Terras and Needle’s Eye, soil chemistry conditions were very different, particularly with regard to TOC. This provided an ability to evaluate potential differences in radionuclide bioaccumulation on the basis of different soil abiotic factors under natural field conditions. For example, high levels of organic matter are predicted to cause significant retardation of $^{238}\text{U}$ due to the prevalence of more insoluble and immobile $^{238}\text{U(IV)}$ rather than the more mobile $^{238}\text{U(VI)}$ (Basham et al., 1991; MacKenzie et al., 1991; Mitchell et al., 2013). Therefore $^{238}\text{U}$ from the organic-rich bog zone at Needle’s Eye would be less bioavailable for plant uptake despite the high concentration of $^{238}\text{U}$ in the Needle’s Eye soils. Moreover, a high concentration of humic substances in an organic soil will provide a greater abundance of negatively charged binding sites for the sorption of cations, such as the free uranyl ion ($\text{UO}_2^{2+}$), further reducing the quantity of $^{238}\text{U}$ bioavailable to plants (Ebbs et al., 1998; Mitchell et al., 2013). This may in part explain the clear variation seen in $^{238}\text{U}$ root bioaccumulation between the two sets of plants from the different field sites as quantified on the basis of soil-to-root TF. However, there is the caveat that the two sets of plants were made up of different species as a common dominant species was not present at both field sites. Future research should perform controlled experiments with the same plant species cultivated in South Terras and Needle’s Eye soil to validate this conclusion.

TF values are commonly used as a means to assess toxic metal and radionuclide bioaccumulation. All of the TFs calculated for South Terras and Needle’s Eye plant species were within the ranges seen previously for plant species in a temperate environment (Al-Hamarneh et al., 2016; IAEA, 2003). However, the $^{238}\text{U}$ TF values for soil-to-leaf and soil-to-stem were mostly quite low (<0.05), which is also consistent with the analysis of multiple plant species from a uranium mine site in Portugal (Favas et al., 2016), whilst previous analysis of flora from other former uranium contaminated sites found $^{238}\text{U}$ TF into above-ground tissue of >0.3 (Blanco Rodriguez et al., 2010; Vera Tomé et al., 2002). Indeed one
study identified a sample of *Rorippa sylvestris* (creeping yellowcress) with significantly high uranium transfer into the shoots with a mean TF value of 680 (Cordeiro et al., 2016).

Although most previous studies did not determine soil-to-root TFs, we observed substantial root retention of $^{238}\text{U}$ in nearly all samples, which is consistent with lower TF values into above-ground tissues. Because it is extremely difficult to remove all soil particles from root tissues, caution should often be made in the interpretation of root values from soil-grown plants. Here the ‘root’ $^{238}\text{U}$ values were adjusted using a Ti correction factor to take any remnant soil left on the plant tissue into account (Cook et al., 2009), therefore these $^{238}\text{U}$ root retention values were accepted with greater confidence. This root retention profile is fully consistent with that seen in another recent examination of 53 native plant species (Favas et al., 2016). This root retention of $^{238}\text{U}$ may suggest a reduced concern regarding further ecosystem transfer of the radionuclide through animal or insect herbivory. Furthermore, high concentrations of radionuclides within plant roots may be a concern for soil animals and microorganisms that feed on plant root biomass. $^{226}\text{Ra}$ TFs were much higher than for $^{232}\text{Th}$ and $^{238}\text{U}$, which could be the result of higher solubility. $^{226}\text{Ra}$ may accumulate as $\text{Ra}^{2+}$ through uptake pathways for $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, an essential ion required on a large scale by plants (Skoko et al., 2017; Vera Tomé et al., 2003). This could also explain why $^{226}\text{Ra}$ tissue partitioning was not distinctive.

Multiple abiotic factors are likely to influence radionuclide TFs and the overall mobility of radionuclides. PCA validated TOC as a major influencing factor behind the variation in root concentrations of $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$ and $^{226}\text{Ra}$. Linear regression of soil TOC relative to total root $^{238}\text{U}$ concentration gave a weak correlation, although the relationship was much stronger when $^{238}\text{U}$ TF was considered. Other factors in addition to TOC may contribute to $^{238}\text{U}$ bioaccumulation characteristics in these environments. Previous research has identified factors including soil pH, cation exchange capacity, organic matter, particle size distribution, $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, $\text{K}^+$ and $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ concentration that can affect $^{238}\text{U}$ bioaccumulation, in part due to changes to its oxidation state (Boghi et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2005c; Ebbs et al., 1998; Gupta et al., 2016; Pulhani et al., 2005; Rodriguez et al., 2017). PCA indicated soil pH as a
key factor. Based on previous modelling (Ebbs et al., 1998), free uranyl cations are predicted
to be prevalent in South Terras soil (pH range 4.5 - 5.6), while at Needle’s Eye (pH range 5.2
- 6.4) U-hydroxides and U-carbonates are predicted to be more highly abundant, but these
are less readily bioavailable (Ebbs et al., 1998; Vandenhove et al., 2007). The negative
correlation of soil pH versus \(^{238}\text{U}\) root concentration supports this.

It was interesting to observe strong positive correlations for root \(^{238}\text{U}\) concentration
with soil Cu and Pb. It is clear that there is a phylogenetic basis to plant species-specific
variation in element and radionuclide concentrations within tissues (Broadley et al., 2004;
Willey, 2010). Although phylogenetic variation in plant U concentration is yet to be fully
understood (Watanabe et al., 2007), the correlation between Cu, Pb and U may have a
phylogenetic basis. Soil chemistry characteristics may also underpin the correlation. It has
been observed that U minerals at South Terras are Cu-phosphate and Cu-arsenate rich,
such as metatorbernite and metazeunerite, and were present at depths of ~25 cm (Corkhill
et al., 2017; Foulkes et al., 2017; Purvis et al., 2004), consistent with the rhizosphere soils
analysed here. In addition to a close association between U, Cu and P in these soils, Cu and
P showed similar chemical behaviour to U as determined by sequential extraction analysis
(Corkhill et al., 2017). Therefore plant roots and/or mycorrhizal hyphae accessing essential
Cu and \(\text{PO}_4^{3-}\) nutrients from the soil might coincidentally bioaccumulate \(^{238}\text{U}\). Likewise, as a
result of similarity in behaviour of U and Pb interactions with dissolved organic compounds
(Dessureault-Rompre et al., 2008; Pedrot et al., 2008), these elements could be
coincidentally bioaccumulated by a plant, although the precise molecular pathway
underlining U uptake in plant roots remains unknown. Both of these hypotheses need testing
in the future. However, while the South Terras samples displayed significant Cu, Pb and U
root tissue partitioning, the Needle’s Eye samples, with much reduced soil-to-root \(^{238}\text{U}\)
transfer, did not share this pattern, suggesting that soil characteristics may be particularly
important.

There were fewer significant correlations between \(^{226}\text{Ra}\) or \(^{232}\text{Th}\) and other factors.
This may be unsurprising as these radionuclides are less complicated than \(^{238}\text{U}\) with regard
to redox chemistry. Soil Mg was weakly correlated with root $^{226}$Ra concentration, supporting suggestions of a competitive interaction between these cations (Mitchell et al., 2013).

However, the strongest correlation for root $^{226}$Ra concentration was with soil $^{226}$Ra concentration, which contradicts previous findings (Bettencourt et al., 1988; Blanco Rodriguez et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2014). In contrast, significant correlations between root $^{232}$Th and soil Ca or K were in support of previous discussions (Pulhani et al., 2005). The data also support the proposition that Th adsorption to organic matter is positively related to increasing pH, inferring that bioavailability of $^{232}$Th would decrease with increasing pH in the presence of organic materials (Syed, 1999).

Microbial influences on radionuclide bioaccumulation into plants must be considered, including the potential role of AM fungi (Davies et al., 2015). A key aim of this study was therefore to determine the root mycorrhizal status of the collected plants by quantification of AM fungal association and fungal species identification. It is clear that AM fungi can form associations with the roots of all of the plant species sampled, although each plant species displayed distinct fungal community structures. This included O. crocata, which is not usually considered as mycorrhizal (Harley and Harley, 1987). Previous research has demonstrated the ability of AM fungi to increase the transfer of $^{238}$U into plants and to increase the retention of $^{238}$U in roots (Chen et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2005a; Chen et al., 2005b; Rufyikiri et al., 2004; Rufyikiri et al., 2003; Rufyikiri et al., 2002). These predominantly laboratory-based studies typically used a single AM fungal species, R. irregularis, alongside a single plant species, such as carrot. In contrast, there was no significant correlation between abundance of AM fungi and $^{238}$U transfer into the roots of the field samples. Molecular identification of the fungi confirmed that multiple AM fungal taxa were associated with each plant, questioning the validity of the previous single species interaction studies. R. irregularis was detected within plant roots in the field at both South Terras and Needle’s Eye alongside other AM fungi. While one or more of these AM fungi might contribute to the significant $^{238}$U root partitioning seen in the field plant samples, it is clear that interpretation of field-scale data involving different plant species associated with a community of AM fungal taxa is very
challenging. A primary role of AM fungi is the assimilation and transfer of \( \text{PO}_4^{3-} \) from the soil and into the associated plant, but in a typical deciduous woodland there is substantial functional diversity between different AM fungal species displaying distinct \( \text{PO}_4^{3-} \) transfer characteristics (Helgason et al., 2002). It is equally likely that transfer characteristics of \( ^{238}\text{U} \) will differ between AM fungal species, particularly if co-uptake of \( ^{238}\text{U} \) with \( \text{PO}_4^{3-} \) may occur (Chen et al., 2005b; Davies et al., 2015; Rufyikiri et al., 2004; Vandenhove et al., 2007). Therefore future experiments may need to isolate fungal species from uranium contaminated field sites and evaluate \( ^{238}\text{U} \) transfer efficiencies of native species under controlled conditions.

To date there has been little study of AM fungi in relation to \( ^{232}\text{Th} \), however, it has been previously observed that in the presence of \( \text{R. irregularis} \), \( \text{Medicago truncatula} \) plants showed reduced shoot concentration of \( ^{232}\text{Th} \) but the fungi had no influence on root concentration of the radionuclide (Roos and Jakobsen, 2008). Likewise, there was no significant correlation between abundance of AM fungi and \( ^{232}\text{Th} \) transfer into the roots of the field samples. The possible relationship between plant-AM fungi association and \( ^{226}\text{Ra} \) transfer is unstudied. Here a significant negative correlation was observed between \( ^{226}\text{Ra} \) transfer and fungal hyphae abundance, indicating the possibility that fungal association may buffer against \( ^{226}\text{Ra} \) accumulation into the plant. AM fungi have been shown to protect plants against toxic concentrations of metals such as Cu, Pb and Cd by restricting root and shoot accumulation (Hristozkova et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2017).

In conclusion, we have presented the first data regarding plant uptake of \( ^{238}\text{U}, ^{232}\text{Th} \) and \( ^{226}\text{Ra} \) from two significant radionuclide-rich environments in the UK. Native plants displayed elevated concentrations of these radionuclides, particularly \( ^{238}\text{U} \), which was highly partitioned in plant roots. We indicate that abiotic factors including TOC may be a key influence on radionuclide transfer. We also show that AM fungi are prevalent in these environments and suggest that the study of plant-fungal association in the context of radionuclide bioaccumulation at field scale is challenging and will require a better understanding of fungal community dynamics.
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Fig. 1. Sampling sites and summary of collected plant species at South Terras (Cornwall, UK) and Needle’s Eye (Scotland, UK). (a) Location of the South Terras and Needle’s Eye sites within the UK. (b) Sampling at South Terras took place at the site of the old ore processing floor (OPF) and from the area near the old chimney stack (NC). (c) Sampling at Needle’s Eye predominantly took place in a bog area adjacent to the cliff face. Maps were created by the authors using Digimap Ordinance Survey data and Aerial Digimap data (https://digimap.edina.ac.uk/), and field survey measurements.
Fig. 2. Soil chemistry characteristics and soil $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra concentrations from South Terras (ST) and Needle’s Eye (NE). (a) pH, (b) TOC, and (c–f) macronutrients, and (g–i) radionuclides. Boxes show the 25th and 75th percentiles, the line within the boxes shows the median values, and the whisker bars show minimum and maximum values. Asterisks indicate when values were significantly different between sites, on the basis of a Mann-Whitney t-test ($P < 0.05$) for pH values converted to $H^+$ values, or on the basis of an unpaired t-test ($P < 0.05$) for the remaining variables.
Fig. 3. Soil element concentrations from South Terras (ST) and Needle’s Eye (NE). (a - e) essential macro-elements, (f - i) essential micro-nutrients, and (j – k) non-essential elements. Boxes show the 25th and 75th percentiles, the line within the boxes shows the median values, and the whisker bars show minimum and maximum values (n = 18 – 27). Asterisks indicate when values were significantly different between sites, on the basis of an unpaired t-test (P < 0.05).
Fig. 4. AM fungal association in plants from South Terras and Needle’s Eye. (a – e) Aniline blue stained micrographs of mycorrhizal root samples taken from South Terras plants A. scolopendrium L. (A.s.) (a) and P. vulgaris Huds. (P.v.) (b), and Needle’s Eye plants C. oppositifolium L. (C.o.) (c), I. pseudacorus L. (I.p.) (d) and O. crocata L. (O.c.) (e). Stained AM fungal structures include arbuscules (A), intraradical hyphae (IH), extraradical hyphae (EH), penetrating hyphae (PH), vesicles (V) and hyphal coils (HC). Non-fungal structures including oomycetes (OM) were also seen. Scale bar = 10 μm unless indicated. (f - h) Quantification of AM fungal colonisation in root tissue of plant specimens collected from South Terras and Needle’s Eye on the basis of mean % intraradical hyphae (f), % arbuscules (g), and % vesicles (h). Triplicate replicates (n = 3) from each sample per plant species were analysed. The total number of root intersections and replications are detailed in Supplementary Table S1.
Fig. 5. Percentage abundance of AM fungal sequences within different taxonomic genera from root samples collected from South Terras and Needle’s Eye. Individual segments representing more than 2% of the total are labelled with a letter to aid identification. The total number of sequences belonging to Glomeromycota for each plant species is indicated. Triplicate root replicates (n = 3) from each sample per plant species were analysed.
Fig. 6. Relative plant tissue proportions of $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra from plant samples collected from South Terras and Needle’s Eye. *C. oppositifolium* stem/petiole and root material from site N4 was combined for $^{238}$U analysis (striped bar). *O. crocata* tissue from sites N7, N8 and N9 was combined, with root tissue divided into fibrous root and tuber root (T) material. ND, not determined; BDL, below detectable limits. Samples were analysed from South Terras sites S1, S2, S4 and S5, and Needle’s Eye sites N1 – N9. *A.s.*, *A. scolopendrium* L.; *P.v.*, *P. vulgaris* Huds.; *C.o.*, *C. oppositifolium* L.; *I.p.*, *I. pseudacorus* L.; *O.c.*, *O. crocata* L. Each bar represents an individual plant sample. Data was derived from ICP-MS ($^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th) and $\gamma$-spectroscopy ($^{226}$Ra) analysis.
Fig. 7. Transfer factors of $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra from soil to plant tissues of samples collected from South Terras and Needle’s Eye. *C. oppositifolium* stem/petiole and root material from site N4 was combined for $^{238}$U analysis (striped bar). *O. crocata* tissue from sites N7, N8 and N9 was combined, with root tissue divided into fibrous root and tuber root (T) material. ND, not determined; BDL, below detectable limits. Samples were analysed from South Terras sites S1, S2, S4 and S5, and Needle’s Eye sites N1 – N9. *A.s.*, *A. scolopendrium* L.; *P.v.*, *P. vulgaris* Huds.; *C.o.*, *C. oppositifolium* L.; *I.p.*, *I. pseudacorus* L.; *O.c.*, *O. crocata* L. Each bar represents an individual plant sample. Data was derived from ICP-MS ($^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th) and $\gamma$-spectroscopy ($^{226}$Ra) analysis.
Fig. 8. Identification of variables that discriminate plant samples on the basis of root concentrations of $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{226}$Ra. (a – c) PCA plots for root $^{238}$U (a), root $^{232}$Th (b) and root $^{226}$Ra (c). Factors with the largest loading in PC1 and PC2 are highlighted in red and blue, respectively. Eigenvalue tables for each PCA are shown in Table S5. A.s., A. scolopendrium L.; P.v., P. vulgaris Huds.; C.o., C. oppositifolium L.; l.p., l. pseudacorus L.

The plant variables are: 1, root U/Th/Ra; 2, % arbuscules; 3, % hyphae; 4, % vesicles; and the soil variables are: 5, As; 6, Ca; 7, Cu; 8, Fe; 9, K; 10, Mg; 11, Mn; 12, NH$_4^+$; 13, NO$_3^-$; 14, P; 15, Pb; 16, pH; 17, PO$_4^{3-}$; 18, Ra; 19, S; 20, SO$_4^{2-}$; 21, TIC; 22, Th; 23, TOC; 24, U; 25, Zn.
Fig. 9. Linear regression analyses for selected soil abiotic factors in relation to $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th or $^{226}$Ra root concentrations. (a – c) Correlation plots for $^{238}$U (a), $^{232}$Th (b) and $^{226}$Ra (c). All element concentration data were ln(0.1+V) transformed for $^{238}$U and $^{226}$Ra data, but was square root transformed for $^{232}$Th data, with South Terras and Needle’s Eye data points presented as open and closed circles, respectively.
Fig. 10. Linear regression analyses for AM fungal colonisation in relation to $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$ or $^{226}\text{Ra}$ root concentrations. (a – c) Correlation plots for $^{238}\text{U}$ (a), $^{232}\text{Th}$ (b) and $^{226}\text{Ra}$ (c). All element concentration data were ln(0.1+V) transformed for $^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{226}\text{Ra}$ data, but was square root transformed for $^{232}\text{Th}$ data, with South Terras and Needle’s Eye data points presented as open and closed circles, respectively.