Decentralized adaptive event-triggered H filtering for a class of networked nonlinear interconnected systems

DOI:

[10.1109/TCYB.2018.2802044](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2018.2802044)

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Gu, Z., Peng, S., Yue, D., & Ding, Z. (2018). Decentralized adaptive event-triggered H filtering for a class of networked nonlinear interconnected systems. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 49(5), 1570-1579. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2018.2802044>

Published in:

IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.

Decentralized adaptive event-triggered H_∞ filtering for a class of networked nonlinear interconnected systems

Zhou Gu, Peng Shi, *Fellow, IEEE*, Dong Yue, *Senior Member, IEEE* and Zhengtao Ding, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—This study focuses on the issue of designing an adaptive event-triggered scheme to the decentralized filtering for a class of networked nonlinear interconnected system. A novel adaptive event-triggered condition is proposed by constructing an adaptive law for the threshold. This new type of threshold mainly depends on the error between the states at the current sampling instant and the latest releasing instant, by which the data release rate is adapted to the variation of the system. The limitation of network bandwidth is alleviated on account of a large amount of “unnecessary” packets being dropped out before accessing the network. Sufficient conditions are derived such that the overall filtering error system under the proposed adaptive data transmitting scheme is asymptotically stable with a prescribed disturbance attenuation level. An example is given to show the effectiveness of the proposed scheme.

Index Terms—Adaptive event-triggered scheme, nonlinear networked interconnected system, filtering, Takagi-Sugeno (T-S) fuzzy model.

I. INTRODUCTION

LARGE-scale system has gained a growing attention since the 1970s [1], [2]. It has many practical applications, such as transportation systems [3], power systems [4], mobile robots [5], aerospace vehicles [6], network of Chua’s chaotic circuits [7] and ecosystems [8]. Such a system is composed of several connected low-order subsystems, which is also called as an interconnected system. A common feature of such a system is that the subsystems or components are usually widely located in space [9], [10], [11], [12]. Decentralized strategy allows the control implementation to be more feasible and flexible. But signal transmission becomes more complicated when using point-to-point wired connection under this control strategy.

Manuscript received July 28, 2016; revised September 24, 2017; accepted January 23, 2018. Date of publication **, 201*, date of current version **, 201*. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61473156, 61533010, 61773131, and U150921, in part by 111 Project B17048 and B17017, and in part by the Australian Research Council DP170102644. This paper was recommended by Associate Editor **. (Corresponding author: Zhengtao Ding.)

Z. Gu is with the College of Mechanical & Electronic Engineering, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China (e-mail: gzh1808@163.com).

P. Shi is with the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, S.A. 5005, Australia, and also with the College of Engineering and Science, Victoria University, Melbourne, Vic. 8001, Australia (e-mail: peng.shi@adelaide.edu.au).

Y. Dong is with the Institute of Advanced Technology, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, China (e-mail: medongy@vip.163.com).

Z. Ding is with the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, U.K. (e-mail: zhengtao.ding@manchester.ac.uk).

Wireless/wired communication network is an alternative to transform the control system into a networked control system (NCS). The information is exchanged over the network among subsystems and control components. However, the limitation of network bandwidth or some other factors restraining the network, such as, the consumption of power while using wireless network, will lead to increasing difficulties and challenges of analysis and synthesis [10], [13].

Nonlinearity is an inherent nature of many practical systems that should not be neglected in modelling system. In the past decades, research on control/estimation problems for nonlinear systems has received considerable attention [14], [15], [16], [17]. Takagi-Sugeno (T-S) fuzzy model technique has been proved to be a successful approach in dealing with the problem of modelling and analysing nonlinear dynamic control systems [18], [19], [20]. Based on T-S fuzzy mode, an H_∞ filter design for a class of continuous-time networked control systems (NCSs) with multiple state-delays was investigated in [14]. The objective of H_∞ filtering is to design an estimator for a given system such that the $\mathcal{L}_2$ gain from the exogenous disturbance to the estimation error is less than a given level [21]. The authors in [22] investigated the H_∞ filtering for discrete-time nonlinear systems using T-S fuzzy model with consideration of multiple sensor faults. The sensor saturation and missing measurements were considered in the filtering of T-S fuzzy NCSs in [23], [24]. For NCSs, there are three ways to improve the performance of the control system: 1) By improving the method of control design to adapt network inherent defectives, such as packet drop-out, network-induced delay, etc. 2) By enhancing the network quality of service (QoS), such as quantized method and event-triggered method etc. 3) By co-designing method. The authors in [25] studied the problem of quantized H_∞ filtering for T-S fuzzy systems. However, quantization method is not an efficient way to mitigate the burden of network bandwidth, especially for large-scale systems with a large amount of information to be transmitted, since the number of packets released into the network can not be decreased. Recently, the issue of event-triggered scheme (ETS) to networked control systems has so far attracted much attention. Under this scheme, the packet is transmitted over the network only when a specified threshold is exceeded. Consequently, it results in a great reduction of the amount of releasing data into the network. Meanwhile, the energy consumption is reduced as well owing to the event-triggered releasing mechanism with a lower releasing frequency. It is a crucial problem for a wireless sensor network. The input-to-

state stability of a nonlinear system was investigated in [26] based on ETS. A self-triggered feedback control strategy and its extended result under ETS for decentralized NCSs were proposed in [27] and [28], respectively. The authors aimed to co-designing the controller and ETS for NCSs by using a discrete event-triggered communication scheme (DETCS) in [29], [30], [16]. In comparison with the problem of stabilization, the filter design is much more complicated, especially for large scaled systems. From the published literature, few results are in reference to the problem of filtering of nonlinear interconnected systems based on the ETS, which gives rise to the motivation for our current investigation.

It is known that the threshold of ETS/DETCS plays a significant role in deciding whether or not to release the data into the network. For example, the threshold being a small positive constant yields to the case of time-triggered scheme as in [29]. Even worse, Zeno behaviour may occur under the condition in [28] with an unsuitable threshold, which is unacceptable for hardware. Obviously, it would be better for the threshold regulating with the disturbance of the system, network QoS, etc. to improve the performance of the system adaptively. Note that the threshold in the existing literature was a prior predetermined constant. To the best of authors' knowledge, there is no related research on the issue of adaptive ETS for the filtering of interconnected systems, which is another motivation of the present study.

The objective of this paper is to investigate an adaptive ETS for the filtering of networked nonlinear interconnected systems to save the limited network resource while maintaining the desired filter performance. Under the proposed adaptive ETS, a co-design method to decentralized H_∞ filtering of T-S fuzzy model-based interconnected systems is put forward. The main contributions of this study are summarized as: (1) A framework of decentralized H_∞ filter is developed by introducing a distributed adaptive event-triggered generator (AETG); (2) A novel adaptive ETS is proposed by constructing a new adaptive law of threshold, which accommodates to the variation of the system; (3) A co-design method is developed with the aid of a new Lyapunov function to achieve the parameters of both the filter and the adaptive ETS. Furthermore, simulation results illustrate the proposed adaptive ETS has a good effect on trade-off between the occupied network resources and the desired filter performance.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this study, we are in a position to investigate a novel adaptive ETS for the filtering of networked nonlinear interconnected systems. As shown in Fig. 1, the signals are transferred via a communication network; ZOH (zero-order hold) holds the value received from the network till the next packet arrives. The filter estimates the output of the system in the light of the signals transmitted over the network. To mitigate the burden of network-bandwidth, an AETG is introduced in this study to decide whether or not the sampling data is necessary for the filter. For this purpose, the threshold of ETS is improved to make it regulate with the error of sampling data adaptively such that a good performance of the filter can be preserved.

A. Physical plant

Consider a nonlinear interconnected system which is composed of J subsystems. The subsystem S_i ($i \in \mathcal{J} = \{1, 2, \dots, J\}$) is modelled by IF-THEN fuzzy rules as follows:

Plant Rule p : IF $\theta_{i1}(t)$ is $W_{i1}^p, \dots$ and $\theta_{ig_i}(t)$ is $W_{ig_i}^p$ THEN

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = A_{ip}x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J B_{ijp}x_j(t) + D_{ip}v_i(t) \\ y_i(t) = C_{ip}x_i(t) \\ z_i(t) = E_{ip}x_i(t) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $p \in \mathcal{P} = \{1, \dots, r_i\}$ denotes the p -th fuzzy inference rule; r_i is the number of inference rules of subsystem S_i ; W_{is}^p ($s = 1, 2, \dots, g_i$) is the fuzzy set; $x_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{xi}}$ is the state vector of subsystem S_i ; $y_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{yi}}$ is the measurement output of subsystem S_i ; $z_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{zi}}$ is the signal to be estimated and $v_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{vi}}$ is the disturbance input which belongs to $l_2[0, \infty)$; $A_{ip}, B_{ijp}, C_{ip}, D_{ip}$ and E_{ip} are known matrices with appropriate dimensions, where B_{ijp} represents the interconnection between the i -th and the j -th subsystem.

Denote $\theta_i(t) = [\theta_{i1}(t), \theta_{i2}(t), \dots, \theta_{ig_i}(t)]^T$. The overall dynamic fuzzy model of subsystem S_i can be inferred by using the center-average defuzzifier, product inference and singleton fuzzifier as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} h_{ip}(\theta_i(t)) \left[A_{ip}x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J B_{ijp}x_j(t) + D_{ip}v_i(t) \right] \\ y_i(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} h_{ip}(\theta_i(t)) C_{ip}x_i(t) \\ z_i(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} h_{ip}(\theta_i(t)) E_{ip}x_i(t) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with

$$h_{ip}(\theta_i(t)) = \frac{\mu_{ip}(\theta_i(t))}{\sum_{p=1}^{r_i} \mu_{ip}(\theta_i(t))}, \quad \mu_{ip}(\theta_i(t)) = \prod_{s=1}^{g_i} W_{is}^p(\theta_{is}(t))$$

where $W_{is}^p(\theta_{is}(t))$ being the grade membership value of $\theta_{is}(t)$ in W_{is}^p , and $h_{ip}(\theta_i(t))$ satisfies

$$h_{ip}(\theta_i(t)) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} h_{ip}(\theta_i(t)) = 1 \quad (3)$$

Here, we assume the system (2) is well controlled, i.e. the system (2) is stable. Our task is to design a filter to estimate the signal $z_i(t)$ of each subsystem with some external disturbances precisely. The full-order decentralized fuzzy filter is considered as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{fi}(t) = \sum_{q=1}^{r_i} h_{iq}(\theta_i(t)) [A_{fiq}x_{fi}(t) + B_{fiq}\hat{y}_i(t)] \\ z_{fi}(t) = \sum_{q=1}^{r_i} h_{iq}(\theta_i(t)) L_{fiq}x_{fi}(t) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $x_{fi}(t)$ is the state vector of the filter; $z_{fi}(t)$ is the estimation of $z_i(t)$; the input of the filter $\hat{y}_i(t)$ is the measurement of the subsystem $y_i(t)$ transmitted over the network; A_{fiq}, B_{fiq} and L_{fiq} are filter parameters to be determined.

For notational simplicity, h_{ip} and h_{iq} are used to represent $h_{ip}(\theta_i(t))$ and $h_{iq}(\theta_i(t))$, respectively, in the subsequent

Fig. 2. An example of time sequence of adaptive ETS

not a predetermined constant as in the existing literature.

Remark 4: From the adaptive law in (8), one can see that the threshold is depended on the dynamic error of the measurements between the latest released packet and the current sampled packet. When the error tends to zero, for example, the system tends to be stable at the equilibrium, the threshold then keeps a constant, that is to say, the threshold is regulated with the dynamic error. If the error is disappeared, the regulation is ended.

Remark 5: In [29], [30], the threshold ς_i is a predetermined constant satisfying $0 < \varsigma_i < 1$. If one sets $\phi_i = \frac{1}{\varsigma_i(0)}$ in (8) yields $\varsigma_i(t) \equiv \varsigma_i(0)$, then it reduces to the case of DETCS as in [29], [30]. It should be pointed out that $\varsigma_i(t)$, in this study, is a result of adaptive regulation. If $\varsigma_i(t) < 0$ means that the packet at this instant should be released, for the triggering condition in (6) never be satisfied in this case.

C. Adaptive ETS-based filtering error system

For $t \in \mathcal{L}_{r_k}^l$, we define $\eta_i(t) = t - (r_k^i h + lh)$, then it is true that

$$0 \leq \eta_{i1} \leq \eta_i(t) \leq \bar{\tau}_i + h = \eta_{i2} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, the dynamic of filter in (4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_{fi}(t) = & \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} \sum_{q=1}^{r_i} h_{ip} h_{iq} \left[A_{fiq} x_{fi}(t) \right. \\ & \left. + B_{fiq} e_i(t) + B_{fiq} C_{ip} x_i(t - \eta_i(t)) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Defining new state vectors $\xi_i(t) = [x_i(t) \ x_{fi}(t)]^T$ and $\hat{e}_i(t) = [z_i(t) - z_{fi}(t)]$, one can get the filtering error subsystem for $t \in \mathcal{L}_{r_k}^l$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_i(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} \sum_{q=1}^{r_i} h_{ip} h_{iq} \left[\mathcal{A}_{ipq} \xi_i(t) + \mathcal{B}_{iq}^1 e_i(t) \right. \\ \quad \left. + \mathcal{B}_{ipq}^2 x_i(t - \eta_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J \mathcal{B}_{ijp}^3 x_j(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ip} v_i(t) \right] \\ \hat{e}_i(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} \sum_{q=1}^{r_i} h_{ip} h_{iq} \mathcal{E}_{ipq} \xi_i(t) \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{ipq} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{ip} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{fiq} \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{B}_{iq}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B_{fiq} \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{B}_{ipq}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B_{fiq} C_{ip} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{ijp}^3 &= \begin{bmatrix} B_{ijp} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{D}_{ip} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{ip} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{E}_{ipq} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{ip} & -L_{fiq} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{e}_i(t)$ in (11) tending to zero means that the output of the filter can estimate the one of the system perfectly. Therefore, the objective of this study is to co-calculate the parameters of both the filter in (4) and the adaptive ETS in (6) such that the overall filtering error system (11) with the proposed adaptive ETS is asymptotically stable. The overall H_∞ performance satisfies

$$\|\hat{e}(t)\|_2 < \gamma \|v\|_2 \quad (12)$$

for all nonzero $v(t) \in l_2[0, \infty)$ under zero initial condition, where $\gamma > 0$ is a prescribed scalar, $\hat{e}(t) = [\hat{e}_1^T(t) \ \hat{e}_2^T(t) \ \dots \ \hat{e}_J^T(t)]^T$, $v(t) = [v_1^T(t) \ v_2^T(t) \ \dots \ v_J^T(t)]^T$.

III. ADAPTIVE ETS-BASED H_∞ FILTERING PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

In this section, we aim to derive a stability criterion for the augmented filtering error system based on the adaptive ETS. Firstly, we present the following lemma which will be used in the proof of the theorem below.

Lemma 1: [31] For any symmetric positive-definite matrix R , and vector function $\varphi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $l_R(\varphi)$ is well defined, then the inequality

$$l_R(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{b-a} \left[(\varphi(b) - \varphi(a))^T R (\varphi(b) - \varphi(a)) + 3\tilde{\Omega}^T R \tilde{\Omega} \right] \quad (13)$$

holds, where $l_R(\varphi) = \int_a^b \varphi^T(u) R \varphi(u) du$ and $\tilde{\Omega} = \varphi(b) + \varphi(a) - \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(u) du$.

Lemma 2: [32] For a scalar $\vartheta \in (0, 1)$, matrix $S > 0$, and matrices T_1 and T_2 with appropriate dimensions. If there exists a matrix U such that $\begin{bmatrix} S & * \\ U & S \end{bmatrix} > 0$, then it holds that

$$\min_{\vartheta \in (0, 1)} \Theta(\vartheta, S) \geq \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \xi \\ T_2 \xi \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} S & * \\ U & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \xi \\ T_2 \xi \end{bmatrix}$$

for all vector ξ , where the function $\Theta(\vartheta, S) = \frac{1}{\vartheta} \xi^T T_1^T S T_1 \xi + \frac{1}{1-\vartheta} \xi^T T_2^T S T_2 \xi$.

For convenience of description, let we denote $\mathcal{J}_c = 8n_{xi} + n_{yi} + n_{vi}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_{xi} \times n_{xi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{xi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{n_{xi} \times n_{xi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{xi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_{n_{xi} \times n_{xi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{xi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_{xi} \times n_{xi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{xi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_{xi} \times n_{xi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{xi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_6 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_{yi} \times n_{yi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{yi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_7 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_{vi} \times n_{vi}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{vi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_8 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_{xi} \times n_{xi}} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{xi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_9 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_{xi} \times n_{xi}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_{xi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{10} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n_{xi} \times n_{xi}} \end{bmatrix}_{n_{xi} \times \mathcal{J}_c}\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1: For given scalars $\gamma_i, \phi_i, \kappa_{i1}, \kappa_{i2}, \kappa_{i3}$ and matrices $A_{fiq}, B_{fiq}, L_{fiq}$, the filtering error system in the form of (11) under the adaptive ETS in (6) is asymptotically stable if there exist matrices $P_i > 0, Q_{1i} > 0, Q_{2i} > 0, R_{i1} > 0, R_{i2} > 0, \Phi_i > 0$ and matrix U_i ($i \in \mathcal{J}; p, q \in \mathcal{P}$) with appropriate dimensions such that

$$\Pi_{ipq} + \Pi_{iqp} < 0, \quad p \leq q \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{R}_{i2} & * \\ U_i & \bar{R}_{i2} \end{bmatrix} > 0 \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{ipq} &= \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{ipq}^{11} & * & * & * & * \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{21} & -\Pi_i^{22} & * & * & * \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{31} & 0 & -\Pi_{ipq}^{33} & * & * \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{41} & 0 & 0 & -\Pi_{ipq}^{44} & * \\ \mathcal{E}_{ipq} H & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{11} &= H^T P_i \mathcal{A}_{ipq} + \mathcal{A}_{ipq}^T P_i H + \kappa_{i1}^{-1} H^T P_i H \\ &+ \mathcal{J}_1^T (Q_{i1} + Q_{i2}) \mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3^T Q_{i1} \mathcal{J}_3 \\ &- \mathcal{J}_5^T Q_{i2} \mathcal{J}_5 - (\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3)^T R_{i1} (\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3) \\ &- 3(\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_3 - 2\mathcal{J}_8)^T R_{i1} (\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_3 - 2\mathcal{J}_8) \\ &- \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \bar{R}_{i2} & * \\ U_i & \bar{R}_{i2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \mathcal{J}_4^T C_{ip}^T \Phi_i C_{ip} \mathcal{J}_4 - \mathcal{J}_6^T \phi_i \Phi_i \mathcal{J}_6 - \mathcal{J}_7^T \gamma_i^2 I \mathcal{J}_7, \\ H &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{J}_1^T & \mathcal{J}_2^T \end{bmatrix}^T, \bar{R}_{i2} = \text{diag}\{R_{i2}, 3R_{i2}\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_i &= \eta_{i1}^2 R_{i1} + (\eta_{i2} - \eta_{i1})^2 R_{i2}, \\ T_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{J}_4 - \mathcal{J}_5 \\ \mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_5 - 2\mathcal{J}_9 \end{bmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{J}_3 - \mathcal{J}_4 \\ \mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4 - 2\mathcal{J}_{10} \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{ipq}^{21} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{A}_{ip} \\ \mathcal{R}_i A_{ip} \mathcal{J}_1 \\ \mathcal{R}_i D_{ip} \mathcal{J}_7 \end{bmatrix}, \Pi_i^{22} = \text{diag}\{\mathcal{R}_i, \kappa_{i2} \mathcal{R}_i, \kappa_{i3} \mathcal{R}_i\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{ip} &= A_{ip} \mathcal{J}_1 + D_{ip} \mathcal{J}_7, \\ \mathcal{A}_{ipq} &= \mathcal{A}_{ipq} H + \mathcal{B}_{iq}^1 \mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{B}_{ipq}^2 \mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{D}_{ip} \mathcal{J}_7, \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{31} &= [\mathcal{J}_1^T B_{1ip}^T \mathcal{R}_1 \cdots \mathcal{J}_1^T B_{jip}^T \mathcal{R}_{j, j \neq i} \cdots \mathcal{J}_1^T B_{Jip}^T \mathcal{R}_J]^T \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{33} &= (J-1)^{-1} \text{diag}\{(1 + \kappa_{i2} + \kappa_{i3})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \\ &\quad (1 + \kappa_{j2} + \kappa_{j3})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{j, j \neq i}, \dots, (1 + \kappa_{J2} + \kappa_{J3})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_J\} \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{41} &= [\mathcal{J}_1^T \mathcal{B}_{1ip}^{3T} P_i \cdots \mathcal{J}_1^T \mathcal{B}_{jip}^{3T} P_{j, j \neq i} \cdots \mathcal{J}_1^T \mathcal{B}_{Jip}^{3T} P_J]^T \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{44} &= (J-1)^{-1} \text{diag}\{\kappa_{i1}^{-1} P_1, \dots, \kappa_{j1}^{-1} P_{j, j \neq i}, \dots, \kappa_{J1}^{-1} P_J\}\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Construct a Lyapunov function for the filtering error system (11) as

$$V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^J (V_{1i}(t) + V_{2i}(t) + V_{3i}(t) + V_{4i}(t)) \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}V_{1i}(t) &= \xi_i^T(t) P_i \xi_i(t) \\ V_{2i}(t) &= \int_{t-\eta_{i1}}^t x_i^T(s) Q_{i1} x_i(s) ds + \int_{t-\eta_{i2}}^t x_i^T(s) Q_{i2} x_i(s) ds \\ V_{3i}(t) &= \eta_{i1} \int_{-\eta_{i1}}^0 \int_{t-s}^t \dot{x}_i^T(v) R_{i1} \dot{x}_i(v) dv ds \\ &+ (\eta_{i2} - \eta_{i1}) \int_{-\eta_{i2}}^{-\eta_{i1}} \int_{t-s}^t \dot{x}_i^T(v) R_{i2} \dot{x}_i(v) dv ds \\ V_{4i}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \zeta_i^2(t)\end{aligned}$$

Along the trajectories of (11), the corresponding time derivative of $V_{ki}(t)$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_{1i}(t) &= \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} \sum_{q=1}^{r_i} h_{ip} h_{iq} 2\xi_i^T(t) P_i [\mathcal{A}_{ipq} \xi_i(t) + \mathcal{B}_{iq}^1 e_i(t) \\ &+ \mathcal{B}_{ipq}^2 x_i(t - \eta_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J \mathcal{B}_{ijp}^3 x_j(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ip} v_i(t)] \\ \dot{V}_{2i}(t) &= x_i^T(t) (Q_{i1} + Q_{i2}) x_i(t) \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^2 x_i^T(t - \eta_{ik}) Q_{ik} x_i(t - \eta_{ik}) \\ \dot{V}_{3i}(t) &= \dot{x}_i^T(t) \mathcal{R}_i \dot{x}_i(t) - \eta_{i1} \int_{t-\eta_{i1}}^t \dot{x}_i^T(s) R_{i1} \dot{x}_i(s) ds \\ &- (\eta_{i2} - \eta_{i1}) \int_{t-\eta_{i2}}^{t-\eta_{i1}} \dot{x}_i^T(s) R_{i2} \dot{x}_i(s) ds\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Define } \zeta_{i1}(t) &= [x_i^T(t) \ x_{fi}^T(t) \ x_i^T(t - \eta_{i1}) \ x_i^T(t - \eta_i(t)) \\ &\ x_i^T(t - \eta_{i2}) \ e_i^T(t) \ v_i^T(t)]^T, \quad \zeta_{i2}(t) = \left[\frac{1}{\eta_{i1}} \int_{t-\eta_{i1}}^t x_i^T(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{\eta_{i2} - \eta_{i1}} \int_{t-\eta_{i2}}^{t-\eta_{i1}} x_i^T(s) ds \ \frac{1}{\eta_i(t) - \eta_{i1}} \int_{t-\eta_i(t)}^{t-\eta_{i1}} x_i^T(s) ds \right]^T \text{ and} \\ \zeta_i(t) &= [\zeta_{i1}^T(t) \ \zeta_{i2}^T(t)]^T.\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\eta_{i1} \int_{t-\eta_{i1}}^t \dot{x}_i^T(s) R_{i1} \dot{x}_i(s) ds \\ & \leq -\zeta_i^T(t) [(\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3)^T R_{i1} (\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3) \\ & \quad - 3(\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_3 - 2\mathcal{J}_8)^T R_{i1} (\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_3 - 2\mathcal{J}_8)] \zeta_i(t) \end{aligned}$$

Defining $\vartheta(t) = \frac{\eta_i(t) - \eta_{i1}}{\eta_{i2} - \eta_{i1}}$ yields $0 < \vartheta(t) < 1$ due to $\eta_{i1} < \eta_i(t) < \eta_{i2}$. Using Lemma 1, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\eta_{i2} - \eta_{i1}) \int_{t-\eta_{i2}}^{t-\eta_{i1}} \dot{x}_i^T(s) R_{i2} \dot{x}_i(s) ds \\ = & -(\eta_{i2} - \eta_{i1}) \left[\int_{t-\eta_{i2}}^{t-\eta_{i1}} \dot{x}_i^T(s) R_{i2} \dot{x}_i(s) ds \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{t-\eta_{i1}}^{t-\eta_i(t)} \dot{x}_i^T(s) R_{i2} \dot{x}_i(s) ds \right] \\ \leq & \zeta_i^T(t) \left[-\frac{1}{\vartheta(t)} (\mathcal{J}_4 - \mathcal{J}_5)^T R_{i2} (\mathcal{J}_4 - \mathcal{J}_5) \right. \\ & - \frac{3}{\vartheta(t)} (\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_5 - 2\mathcal{J}_9)^T R_{i2} (\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_5 - 2\mathcal{J}_9) \\ & - \frac{1}{1-\vartheta(t)} (\mathcal{J}_3 - \mathcal{J}_4)^T R_{i2} (\mathcal{J}_3 - \mathcal{J}_4) \\ & \left. - \frac{3}{1-\vartheta(t)} (\mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4 - 2\mathcal{J}_{10})^T R_{i2} (\mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4 - 2\mathcal{J}_{10}) \right] \zeta_i(t) \\ = & -\frac{1}{\vartheta(t)} \zeta_i^T(t) T_1^T \bar{R}_{i2} T_1 \zeta_i(t) - \frac{1}{1-\vartheta(t)} \zeta_i^T(t) T_2^T \bar{R}_{i2} T_2 \zeta_i(t) \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2 follows

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\eta_{i2} - \eta_{i1}) \int_{t-\eta_{i2}}^{t-\eta_{i1}} \dot{x}_i^T(s) R_{i2} \dot{x}_i(s) ds \\ & \leq -\zeta_i^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \bar{R}_{i2} & * \\ U & \bar{R}_{i2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} \zeta_i(t) \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^J \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J x_j^T(t) B_{ijp}^T \mathcal{R}_i \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J B_{ijp} x_j(t) \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^J \left((J-1) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J x_i^T(t) B_{jip}^T \mathcal{R}_j B_{jip} x_i(t) \right) \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

The following inequalities can be got by using the similar method:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^J 2\xi_i^T(t) P_i \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J \mathcal{B}_{ijp}^3 x_j(t) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^J \left(\kappa_{i1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J x_j^T(t) \mathcal{B}_{ijp}^{3T} P_i \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J \mathcal{B}_{ijp} x_j(t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \kappa_{i1}^{-1} \xi_i^T(t) P_i \xi_i(t) \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^J \left((J-1) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J \kappa_{j1} x_i^T(t) \mathcal{B}_{jip}^{3T} P_j \mathcal{B}_{jip} x_i(t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \kappa_{i1}^{-1} \xi_i^T(t) P_i \xi_i(t) \right) \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^J \left(2x_i^T(t) A_{ip}^T \mathcal{R}_i \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J B_{ijp} x_j(t) \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^J \left((J-1) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J \kappa_{j2} x_i^T(t) B_{jip}^T \mathcal{R}_j B_{jip} x_i(t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \kappa_{i2}^{-1} x_i^T A_{ip}^T \mathcal{R}_i A_{ip} x_i(t) \right) \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^J \left(2v_i^T(t) D_{ip}^{1T} \mathcal{R}_i \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J B_{ijp} x_j(t) \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^J \left((J-1) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^J \kappa_{j3} x_i^T(t) B_{jip}^T \mathcal{R}_j B_{jip} x_i(t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \kappa_{i3}^{-1} v_i^T D_{ip}^T \mathcal{R}_i D_{ip} v_i(t) \right) \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

From the adaptive law of threshold in (8), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_{4i}(t) & = \varsigma_i(t) \dot{\varsigma}_i(t) \\ & = \frac{1}{\varsigma_i(t)} e_i^T(t) \Phi_i e_i(t) - \phi_i e_i^T(t) \Phi_i e_i(t) \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the event-triggering condition in (6) together with the definition of $\eta_i(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_{4i}(t) & \leq x_i^T(t - \eta_i(t)) C_{ip}^T \Phi_i C_{ip} x_i(t - \eta_i(t)) \\ & \quad - \phi_i e_i^T(t) \Phi_i e_i(t) \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (17)-(22), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{V}(t) + \hat{e}^T(t) \hat{e}(t) - \gamma^2 v^T(t) v(t) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^J \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{r_i} \sum_{q=1}^{r_i} h_{ip} h_{iq} \zeta_i^T(t) \left(H^T P_i \mathcal{A}_{ipq} + \mathcal{A}_{ipq}^T P_i H \right. \right. \\ & \quad + \kappa_{i1}^{-1} H^T P_i H + \mathcal{J}_1^T (Q_{i1} + Q_{i2}) \mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3^T Q_{i1} \mathcal{J}_3 \\ & \quad - \mathcal{J}_5^T Q_{i2} \mathcal{J}_5 - (\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3)^T R_{i1} (\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3) \\ & \quad - 3(\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_3 - 2\mathcal{J}_8)^T R_{i1} (\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_3 - 2\mathcal{J}_8) \\ & \quad - \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \bar{R}_{i2} & * \\ U & \bar{R}_{i2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \quad + \mathcal{J}_4^T C_{ip}^T \Phi_i C_{ip} \mathcal{J}_4 - \mathcal{J}_6^T \phi_i \Phi_i \mathcal{J}_6 - \mathcal{J}_7^T \gamma_i^2 I \mathcal{J}_7 \\ & \quad + \Pi_{ipq}^{21} (\Pi_i^{22})^{-1} \Pi_{ipq}^{21} + \Pi_{ipq}^{31} (\Pi_{ipq}^{33})^{-1} \Pi_{ipq}^{31} \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \Pi_{ipq}^{41} (\Pi_{ipq}^{44})^{-1} \Pi_{ipq}^{41} + H^T \mathcal{E}_{iqp}^T \mathcal{E}_{iqp} H \right) \zeta_i(t) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

By Schur complement, it can be known that if (14) and (15) hold, then

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq -\hat{e}^T(t) \hat{e}(t) + \gamma^2 v^T(t) v(t) \quad (23)$$

Take the integral of (23) from 0 to ∞ with respect to t , it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & V(\infty) - V(0) \leq \\ & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \hat{e}^T(t) \hat{e}(t) dt - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \gamma^2 v^T(t) v(t) dt \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

Then it is true that $\|\hat{e}(t)\|_2 < \gamma \|v\|_2$ under zero initial

condition. With the condition of $v(t) = 0$, we can conclude that $\dot{V}(t) < 0$ from Eq. (23). Thus the proof is completed. ■

IV. ADAPTIVE ETS-BASED H_∞ FILTER DESIGN

With the aid of Theorem 1, we are now ready to develop an approach of filter design for networked interconnected fuzzy systems with the proposed adaptive ETS.

Theorem 2: For given scalars $\gamma_i, \phi_i, \kappa_{i1}, \kappa_{i2}, \kappa_{i3}$, a full-order H_∞ filter in the form of (4) for the networked interconnected system (2) under the adaptive ETS in (6) exists, if there exist matrices $P_{1i} > 0, \bar{P}_{2i} > 0, Q_{1i} > 0, Q_{2i} > 0, R_{1i} > 0, R_{2i} > 0, \Phi_i > 0$ and matrices $U_i, \bar{A}_{fiq}, \bar{B}_{fiq}, \bar{L}_{fiq}$ ($i \in \mathcal{J}; p, q \in \mathcal{P}$) with appropriate dimensions such that

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{ipq} + \tilde{\Pi}_{iqp} < 0, \quad p \leq q \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{R}_{i2} & * \\ U_i & \bar{R}_{i2} \end{bmatrix} > 0 \quad (26)$$

$$P_{1i} - \bar{P}_{2i} > 0 \quad (27)$$

Moreover, the filter gains are given by

$$A_{fiq} = \bar{P}_{2i}^{-1} \bar{A}_{fiq}, B_{fiq} = \bar{P}_{2i}^{-1} \bar{B}_{fiq}, L_{fiq} = \bar{L}_{fiq} \quad (28)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{ipq} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\Pi}_{ipq}^{11} & * & * & * & * \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{21} & -\Pi_{ipq}^{22} & * & * & * \\ \Pi_{ipq}^{31} & 0 & -\Pi_{ipq}^{33} & * & * \\ \tilde{\Pi}_{ipq}^{41} & 0 & 0 & -\tilde{\Pi}_{ipq}^{44} & * \\ \mathcal{Q}_{fiq} H & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Pi}_{ipq}^{11} &= H^T \mathfrak{A}_{ipq} + \mathfrak{A}_{ipq}^T H + \kappa_{i1}^{-1} H^T \mathfrak{P}_i H \\ &+ \mathcal{J}_1^T (Q_{i1} + Q_{i2}) \mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3^T Q_{i1} \mathcal{J}_3 - \mathcal{J}_5^T Q_{i2} \mathcal{J}_5 \\ &- (\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3)^T R_{i1} (\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_3) \\ &- 3(\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_3 - 2\mathcal{J}_5)^T R_{i1} (\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_3 - 2\mathcal{J}_5) \\ &- \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \bar{R}_{i2} & * \\ U_i & \bar{R}_{i2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \mathcal{J}_4^T C_{ip}^T \Phi_i C_{ip} \mathcal{J}_4 - \mathcal{J}_6^T \phi_i \Phi_i \mathcal{J}_6 - \mathcal{J}_7^T \gamma_i^2 I \mathcal{J}_7, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{ipq} = \mathfrak{A}_{ipq}^1 H + \mathfrak{B}_{iq}^1 \mathcal{J}_6 + \mathfrak{B}_{ipq}^2 \mathcal{J}_4 + \mathfrak{D}_{ip} \mathcal{J}_7,$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{ipq}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} A_{ip} & \bar{A}_{fiq} \\ \bar{P}_{2i} A_{ip} & \bar{A}_{fiq} \end{bmatrix}, \mathfrak{B}_{iq}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{B}_{fiq} \\ \bar{B}_{fiq} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_{ipq}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{B}_{fiq} C_{ip} \\ \bar{B}_{fiq} C_{ip} \end{bmatrix}, \mathfrak{B}_{jip}^3 = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} B_{jip} \\ \bar{P}_{2i} B_{jip} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{ip} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} D_{ip} \\ \bar{P}_{2i} D_{ip} \end{bmatrix}, \mathfrak{P}_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} & \bar{P}_{2i} \\ \bar{P}_{2i} & \bar{P}_{2i} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{fiq} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{ip} & -\bar{L}_{fiq} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{ipq}^{41} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{J}_1^T \mathfrak{B}_{1ip}^{3T} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_1^T \mathfrak{B}_{jip}^{3T}, j \neq i & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_1^T \mathfrak{B}_{jip}^{3T} \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{ipq}^{44} = (J-1)^{-1} \text{diag}\{\kappa_{i1}^{-1} \mathfrak{P}_1, \cdots, \kappa_{j1}^{-1} \mathfrak{P}_j, j \neq i, \cdots, \kappa_{J1}^{-1} \mathfrak{P}_J\}$$

Proof: Defining

$$P_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} & P_{2i} \\ P_{2i}^T & P_{3i} \end{bmatrix} > 0, Y_i = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & P_{2i} P_{3i}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \bar{A}_{fiq} = P_{2i} A_{fiq} P_{3i}^{-1} P_{2i}^T, \bar{B}_{fiq} = P_{2i} B_{fiq}, \bar{P}_{2i} = P_{2i} P_{3i}^{-1} P_{2i}^T, \bar{L}_{fiq} = L_{fiq} P_{3i}^{-1} P_{2i}^T.$$

Using Schur complement, one can know that $P_{1i} > 0$ and $P_{1i} - \bar{P}_{2i} > 0$ if $P_i > 0$.

Define $Y_i = \text{diag}\{Y_i, I, \cdots, I\}$, $Y_{2i} = \text{diag}\{I, \cdots, I\}$, $Y_{3i} = \text{diag}\{Y_i, \cdots, Y_i\}$. Multiplying both side of (14) with $\text{diag}\{Y_{1i}, I, I, I, Y_{2i}, Y_{3i}, I\}$ and its transpose, one can be know that (25) is equivalent to (14). Using an equivalent transformation for the transfer function of the system (4) from $y_i(t)$ to $z_{fi}(t)$ yields (28). This completes the proof. ■

V. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Consider a double-inverted pendulums system connected by a spring formulated in [33], [34]. The two rules fuzzy model of the nonlinear plant (2) with the parameters:

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -44.75 & 20 \end{bmatrix}, A_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -44.19 & 20 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -42.55 & 20 \end{bmatrix}, A_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -38.99 & 20 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_{121} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0.8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B_{122} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0.8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B_{211} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_{212} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, D_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix}, D_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix}, E_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, E_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$E_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, E_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In (2), $h_{i1} = |0.637x_{i1}|$, $h_{i2} = 1 - |0.637x_{i1}|$, and $x_{i1} \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ ($i = 1, 2$). The disturbances are chosen by $v_1(t) = 4 \cos(0.001(t-4))e^{-0.001(t-4)}$, and $v_2(k) = 1.6 \sin(0.001(t-4))e^{-0.001(t-4)}$.

By using Theorem 2 with $\bar{\tau}_1 = \bar{\tau}_2 = 0.03$, $\phi_1 = 0.9, \phi_2 = 0.8, \gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 0.9, \kappa_{ij} = 5$, ($i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, 3$), we can get both the triggering parameters and the filter parameters as

$$\Phi_1 = 1.1675, \Phi_2 = 5.0127,$$

$$A_{f11} = \begin{bmatrix} -5.3378 & 1.9853 \\ -44.5718 & -10.1088 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_{f12} = \begin{bmatrix} -5.6016 & 0.5890 \\ -40.9074 & -17.9413 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_{f21} = \begin{bmatrix} -9.3482 & 0.6553 \\ -39.8035 & -6.5414 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_{f22} = \begin{bmatrix} -8.6514 & 0.6351 \\ -21.2546 & -15.0654 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_{f11} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8736 \\ 4.1808 \end{bmatrix}, B_{f12} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.6240 \\ 31.6200 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_{f21} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.9800 \\ 24.1082 \end{bmatrix}, B_{f22} = \begin{bmatrix} -5.1000 \\ 1.0880 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$L_{f11} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.9008 & 0.4700 \end{bmatrix}, L_{f12} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1100 & 0.3468 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$L_{f21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9610 & 0.4405 \end{bmatrix}, L_{f22} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.0132 & 0.4572 \end{bmatrix}$$

The initial conditions, in this simulation, are given by $x_1(t) = [0.5, 0]^T$, $x_2(t) = [0.2, 0]^T$, $x_{f1}(t) = [-0.05, 0]^T$, $x_{f2}(t) = [-0.1, 0]^T$. Assume the sampling period $h = 0.5s$ together with the solutions above, we can get the results as

shown in Fig. 3-Fig. 8. From Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, one can clearly see that the filter can well estimate the outputs of the plant although the release rates of the sampled data of the subsystem 1 and subsystem 2 are only 39.5% and 26.5% , respectively. It illustrates that some sampling data have litter contribution to the filtering system. The releasing instants and the releasing periods of the two subsystems are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, from which one can see the period of data releasing is not a fixed clock period. The event of data-releasing is generated only when the triggering condition is invoked. Moreover, the average releasing periods are $\bar{h}_1 = 0.13s$ and $\bar{h}_2 = 0.19s$, respectively, which is much longer than the sampling period $h = 0.05s$. Obviously, during the releasing period, lots of “unnecessary” sampled data are discarded, and thus the occupation of the communication resource can be alleviated. Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 depict the responses of the threshold of triggering condition in (6). The threshold is depended on the dynamic error between the latest releasing data and the current sampling data of each subsystems. It is not a pre-set constant as presented in the existing literature but a result of on-line optimization. From the Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 together with the adaptive law in (8), one can see that the threshold keeps a certain value when the system is stable due to $e_i(t) \rightarrow 0$, that is to say, the threshold is regulated with the dynamic of the plant.

Fig. 3. Trajectories of $z_1(t)$ and its estimation $z_{1f}(t)$

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new adaptive ETS is proposed for networked interconnected fuzzy systems. Threshold with dynamic regulation is designed to adapt the variation of the system and the requirement of filter performance. The decentralized filter is designed based on the proposed adaptive ETS by which a plenty of “unnecessary” sampling data are dropped out. These communication resource can be allocated to some other useful task. Furthermore, a lower releasing frequency saves the energy consumption by using adaptive ETS, which is important for the device of wireless network with battery. The parameters of the proposed adaptive ETS and the decentralized filter are co-designed by using Lyapunov function method. The application to a double-inverted pendulums system connected

Fig. 4. Trajectories of $z_2(t)$ and its estimation $z_{2f}(t)$

Fig. 5. The releasing instant and its interval of subsystem 1

Fig. 6. The releasing instant and its interval of subsystem 2

by a spring has shown the effectiveness of the proposed filter design method.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Siljak, *Large-scale dynamic systems: stability and structure*. North Holland, 1978.
- [2] R. Lu, Y. Xu, A. Xue, and J. Zheng, “Networked control with state reset and quantized measurements: Observer-based case,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 5206–5213, 2013.

Fig. 7. The trajectory of threshold of subsystem 1

Fig. 8. The trajectory of threshold of subsystem 2

- [3] H. Liu, Z. Hu, Y. Song, and J. Lin, "Decentralized vehicle-to-grid control for primary frequency regulation considering charging demands," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 3480–3489, 2013.
- [4] A. S. Tlili, S. Dhbaibi, and N. B. Braiek, "Robust decentralised observer based guaranteed cost control for nonlinear uncertain interconnected systems. Application to multi-machine power systems," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 1713–1727, 2012.
- [5] K. K. Tan, S. Huang, and T. H. Lee, "Decentralized adaptive controller design of large-scale uncertain robotic systems," *Automatica*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 161–166, 2009.
- [6] W. Ren and R. Beard, "Decentralized scheme for spacecraft formation flying via the virtual structure approach," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 73–82, 2004.
- [7] C. K. Ahn, P. Shi, and M. V. Basin, "Two-dimensional dissipative control and filtering for roesser model," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 1745–1759, 2015.
- [8] J. R. Garnas, B. P. Hurley, B. Slippers, and M. J. Wingfield, "Biological control of forest plantation pests in an interconnected world requires greater international focus," *International Journal of Pest Management*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 211–223, 2012.
- [9] C. Hua, Y. Wang, Y. Li, and H. Li, "Decentralized output feedback control of interconnected stochastic nonlinear time-delay systems with dynamic interactions," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 221, pp. 48–59, 2016.
- [10] E. Tian and D. Yue, "Decentralized control of network-based interconnected systems: A state-dependent triggering method," *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1126–1144, 2015.
- [11] H. Zhang, H. Zhong, and C. Dang, "Delay-dependent decentralized H_∞ filtering for discrete-time nonlinear interconnected systems with time-varying delay based on the T-S fuzzy model," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 431–443, 2012.
- [12] S. Schuler, U. Münz, and F. Allgöwer, "Decentralized state feedback control for interconnected systems with application to power systems," *Journal of Process Control*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 379–388, 2014.
- [13] O. Demir and J. Lunze, "Optimal and event-based networked control of physically interconnected systems and multi-agent systems," *International Journal of Control*, vol. 87, no. 1, pp. 169–185, 2014.
- [14] B. Jiang, Z. Mao, and P. Shi, " H_∞ filter design for a class of networked control systems via T-S fuzzy-model approach," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 201–208, 2010.
- [15] C. Hua and X. Guan, "Smooth dynamic output feedback control for multiple time-delay systems with nonlinear uncertainties," *Automatica*, vol. 68, pp. 1–8, 2016.
- [16] P. Shi, H. Wang, and C.-C. Lim, "Network-based event-triggered control for singular systems with quantizations," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 1230–1238, 2016.
- [17] C. Hua, Y. Li, and X. Guan, "Finite/fixed-time stabilization for nonlinear interconnected systems with dead-zone input," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 2554–2560, 2017.
- [18] K. Tanaka and H. O. Wang, *Fuzzy control systems design and analysis: a linear matrix inequality approach*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2001.
- [19] X. Xie, Y. Dong, H. Zhang, and Y. Xue, "Control synthesis of discrete-time T-S fuzzy systems via a multi-instant homogenous polynomial approach," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 630–640, 2016.
- [20] X. Xie, D. Yue, and C. Peng, "Multi-instant observer design of discrete-time fuzzy systems: A ranking-based switching approach," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1281 – 1292, 2016.
- [21] H. Dong, Z. Wang, and H. Gao, "Robust H_∞ filtering for a class of nonlinear networked systems with multiple stochastic communication delays and packet dropouts," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1957–1966, 2010.
- [22] X. Xu, H. Yan, H. Zhang, and F. Yang, " H_∞ filtering for T-S fuzzy networked systems with stochastic multiple delays and sensor faults," *Neurocomputing*, 2016.
- [23] H. Yan, F. Qian, F. Yang, and H. Shi, " H_∞ filtering for nonlinear networked systems with randomly occurring distributed delays, missing measurements and sensor saturation," *Information Sciences*, vol. 370–371, no. 20, pp. 772–782, 2016.
- [24] E. Tian and D. Yue, "Reliable H_∞ filter design for T-S fuzzy model-based networked control systems with random sensor failure," *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 15–32, 2013.
- [25] H. Zhang, H. Yan, F. Yang, and Q. Chen, "Quantized control design for impulsive fuzzy networked systems," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1153–1162, 2011.
- [26] P. Tabuada, "Event-triggered real-time scheduling of stabilizing control tasks," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 52, no. 9, pp. 1680–1685, 2007.
- [27] X. Wang and M. D. Lemmon, "Self-triggered feedback control systems with finite-gain stability," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 452–467, 2009.
- [28] X. Wang and M. D. Lemmon, "Event-triggering in distributed networked control systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 586–601, 2011.
- [29] D. Yue, E. Tian, and Q.-L. Han, "A delay system method for designing event-triggered controllers of networked control systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 475–481, 2013.
- [30] C. Peng, Q.-L. Han, and D. Yue, "To transmit or not to transmit: a discrete event-triggered communication scheme for networked takagi-sugeno fuzzy systems," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 164–170, 2013.
- [31] A. Seuret and F. Gouaisbaut, "Wirtinger-based integral inequality: application to time-delay systems," *Automatica*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 2860–2866, 2013.
- [32] K. Gu, V. Kharitonov, and J. Chen, "Stability and robust stability of time-delay systems," 2003.
- [33] H. Zhang, C. Dang, and J. Zhang, "Decentralized fuzzy H_∞ filtering for nonlinear interconnected systems with multiple time delays," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics)*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1197–1203, 2010.
- [34] Z. Zhang, C. Lin, and B. Chen, "New decentralized H_∞ filter design for nonlinear interconnected systems based on takagi-sugeno fuzzy models," *IEEE transactions on cybernetics*, vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 2914–2924, 2015.

Zhou Gu Zhou Gu received the B.S. degree from North China Electric Power University, Beijing, China, in 1997 and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in control science and engineering from Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, China, in 2007 and 2010, respectively. From September 1996 to January 2013, he was with the School of Power engineering, Nanjing Normal University as an associate professor. He was a visiting scholar with Central Queensland University, Rockhampton, Queensland, Australia and The University of Manchester, Manchester, U.K. He is currently a Professor with Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, China. His current research interests include networked control systems, time-delay systems, reliable control and their applications.

Zhengtao Ding received the B.Eng. degree from Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, and the M.Sc. degree in systems and control and the Ph.D. degree in control systems from the University of Manchester, Institute of Science and Technology, Manchester, U.K. After working as a Lecturer with Ngee Ann Polytechnic, Singapore, for ten years, in 2003, he joined The University of Manchester, Manchester, U.K., where he is currently Professor of Control Systems with the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering. He is the author of the book *Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Systems* (IET, 2013) and a number of journal papers. His research interests include nonlinear and adaptive control theory and their applications. He serves as an Associate Editor for the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON AUTOMATIC CONTROL, IEEE CONTROL SYSTEMS LETTERS, Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control, Control Theory and Technology, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Unmanned Systems, and the International Journal of Automation and Computing.

Peng Shi (M-95/SM-98/F-15) received the Ph.D. degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Newcastle, Australia in 1994; the Ph.D. degree in Mathematics from the University of South Australia in 1998. He was awarded the Doctor of Science degree from the University of Glamorgan, Wales in 2006; and the Doctor of Engineering degree from the University of Adelaide, Australia in 2015. He is now a professor at the University of Adelaide. His research interests include system and control theory, computational intelligence, and operational research.

He is now a Board of Governors Member, IEEE SMC Society, and was an IEEE Distinguished Lecturer; Chair of Control Aerospace and Electronic Systems Chapter, IEEE South Australia Section, and Australian Research Council College of Expert Member. He is a Fellow of the Institution of Engineering and Technology. He received the Andrew Sage Best Transactions Paper Award from IEEE SMC Society in 2016, and was a Runner-Up of the SCOPUS Researcher of the Year in 2017. He has served on the editorial board of a number of journals, including *Automatica*, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*; *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*; *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*; *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*; *IEEE Access*; and *IEEE Control Systems Letters*.

Dong Yue (SM'08) received the Ph.D. degree from the South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China, in 1995.

He is currently a Professor and the Dean with the Institute of Advanced Technology, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing, China, and also a Changjiang Professor with the Department of Control Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China. His current research interests include analysis and synthesis of networked control systems, multiagent systems, optimal control of power systems, and internet of things. He has published over 100 papers in international journals, domestic journals, and international conferences.

Prof. Yue is currently an Associate Editor of the IEEE Control Systems Society Conference Editorial Board and the *International Journal of Systems Science*.