Adherence in severe asthma: time to get it right

DOI: 10.1183/13993003.02191-2017

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

Citation for published version (APA):

Published in:
European Respiratory Journal

Citing this paper
Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.
Adherence in Severe Asthma – Time to get it right

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal:</th>
<th>European Respiratory Journal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript ID</td>
<td>ERJ-02191-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Type:</td>
<td>Editorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted by the Author:</td>
<td>25-Oct-2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Complete List of Authors: | McDonald, Vanessa; Hunter Medical Research Institute, Priority Research Centre for Healthy Lungs  
Yorke, Janelle; University of Manchester, Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work |
| Key Words: | adherence to therapy, severe asthma, children |
Adherence in Severe Asthma – It’s time to get it right

Vanessa M McDonald¹,², Janelle Yorke³

Affiliations: Priority Research Centre for Healthy Lungs and Centre of Excellence in Severe Asthma, Faculty of Health and Medicine, The University of Newcastle¹, Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, John Hunter Hospital², ³School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester UK, ⁴Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, University of Manchester, UK

Correspondence: Professor Vanessa M McDonald, Centre of Excellence in Severe Asthma and Priority Research Centre for Healthy Lungs, The University of Newcastle, 1 Kookaburra Circuit, New Lambton Heights, 2305, NSW Australia.

Vanessa.McDonald@newcastle.edu.au

Word Count: 1277
How many times have you sat in an asthma consultation and asked a patient ‘how many doses of your inhaler have you forgotten to take in that last week?’ There are times when you may understandably question the person’s response, and other times when you will be convinced that they ‘take their puffers without fail’ only to subsequently discover that you have been mistaken! Whilst clinicians want to understand the individual’s level of treatment adherence this approach is clearly flawed and we need to do better.

Sub-optimal treatment adherence is common in severe asthma, in both children and in adults, with reports of sub-optimal adherence in over 50% of individuals with asthma (1). There are multiple reasons that people with severe asthma either choose not to take treatment as prescribed or are unintentionally non-adherent (2). Whether treatment non-adherence stems from a conscious decision not to take the treatment, an erroneous understanding or use of the treatment, or simple forgetfulness, the goals remain the same. Sub-optimal treatment adherence in asthma leads not only to poor control, increased risk of asthma attacks and subsequently increased health care utilization (3, 4), but it frequently results in unnecessary escalation of treatments which can be both toxic and expensive. Adherence to asthma treatments by children is further complicated by their dependence on parents (or carers) for the provision and often administration of asthma therapy.

Thus, there are a number of things that we need to get right, we need to be able to identify suboptimal adherence in individuals with severe asthma, understand the reasons for their behaviour and importantly design, test and implement treatment approaches aimed at improving and maintaining adherence to asthma medication. The importance of this has recently been highlighted in the Lancet Commission - After Asthma: Redefining Airways Disease (5), where treatment adherence and management of are reinforced in the key recommendation ‘Make the most of new treatment opportunities in severe disease’(5).

Another challenge in severe asthma is determining where individuals fit in the classification schema of difficult to treat asthma and severe treatment refractory asthma (1, 6). The underlying challenges of difficult to treat asthma usually relate to sub-optimal adherence and self-management skills, unaddressed comorbidities, triggers and aggravating factors (7).
Each of these asthma subtypes requires a different approach to management. Difficult to treat asthma may respond to a multidisciplinary, multidimensional assessment to correct these traits (8), where as individuals with severe treatment refractory asthma may benefit from further phenotyping and endotyping (7, 9, 10) and additional targeted therapies. Identifying reliable ways to distinguish these patient groups is of utmost importance in order to personalize treatment approaches and ensure that each individual is prescribed the right treatment at the right time (11). Furthermore, strategies that can be readily used in the clinic to assess and quantify sub-optimal adherence, and to improve behaviour are also needed in severe asthma, to ensure not only that the right individual is prescribed the right treatment at the right time, but that they indeed also receive it!

In this issue of the European Respiratory Journal, Anja Jochmann and colleagues sought to determine whether electronic monitoring in children with persistent asthma could differentiate individuals with severe treatment refractory asthma from those with difficult to control, and secondly to assess whether electronic monitoring of asthma could improve treatment adherence.

They report a prospective observational study involving 93 children with asthma, aged 5-17 years. The children were assessed at baseline and at follow up between 8 and 16 weeks. The follow up times varied as visits were embedded into clinical assessments.

After optimization of inhaler technique the children underwent a period of adherence monitoring using electronic monitoring devices that recorded the time and date of inhaler doses activated. Children also completed an array of assessments at baseline and follow up to measure asthma control, quality of life, lung function, fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) and adherence questionnaires.

A priori the investigators defined ‘good’ adherence as the use of >80% of the prescribed doses, between 60 and 79% was ‘moderate’ and <60% as ‘poor’. The study confirms sub-optimal adherence in children with asthma, as good adherence was only evident in 42% of the population and suboptimal in the remainder. Moreover, there were no baseline clinical
parameters that could distinguish those that were adherent from those that were not, reinforcing the difficulty of establishing these behaviours in the clinical setting.

Electronic monitoring could distinguish those with likely severe treatment refractory asthma from those with difficult asthma related to suboptimal adherence. Given the challenges of doing this in the clinic this is a clinically important observation.

Whilst the use of objective measures to assess adherence had increased in research settings, use and access to suitable methods in clinical practice remains limited. Self-report is frequently used in this setting, but this approach will under estimate adherence. As in this study, where all families indicated that they used their prescribed treatments most of the time and as instructed, but the use of objective monitoring proved otherwise in 58% of cases.

In some countries clinicians can access prescription records and this approach is frequently used, however these data from Jochmann et al. demonstrate the limitations of this approach. There was no correlation \((r = 0.286; p = 0.051)\) between electronic monitoring and records for uptake of prescription. Furthermore, adherence defined by electronic monitoring was as low as 27% in individuals that had 100% prescription uptake.

Electronic monitoring, as the authors have shown, can identify individuals with suboptimal adherence and can help distinguish those with likely severe treatment refractory asthma from difficult to treat asthma related to sub-optimal adherence. However, again these device are not without limitation, cost can be an issue, they don’t assess non adherence due to poor technique and even when patients are aware that they are being monitored sub-optimal adherence remains.

Jochmann and colleagues, acknowledge this and highlight another important need, that is to determine interventions that lead to behaviour change. The observational design of this study did not allow this hypothesis to be tested, but they did show that those with good adherence and good asthma control were the group that achieved improvements in a range
of measures at follow up, including forced expiratory ratio in 1 second, FeNO, quality of life, exacerbations and % of bronchodilator reversibility. In order to progress further, interventions designed to not only promote adherence, but to ensure adherence is maintained are needed. To design interventions such as this, a better understanding of the experiences and beliefs of children, families and adults with severe asthma is needed. These should not be extrapolated from studies of individuals with mild to moderate asthma, as we acknowledge that severe asthma is a different disease, not only from a medical pathophysiological perspective (1, 12) but also from a patients’ perspective (2). This is due to the symptom and exacerbation burden, additional treatment requirements and the impact on work, school and family life. A better understanding of the experience of individuals could help inform the design of such interventions.

There have been advances been made in severe asthma management with the introduction of new biological agents, these agents lead to reduced exacerbations when given to the right patient (13, 14). There are patients with severe asthma who despite good adherence will remain refractory to standard treatment and will likely benefit for these targeted therapies (14), but before escalating treatments we must surely try to get the basics right.

Adherence in asthma is challenging and frustrating, but achieving better adherence is a necessary goal. We must accept that sub-optimal adherence is the norm, and become more objective in our assessment of adherence and seek ways to get it right.
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