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Abstract

Aims: Because the term ‘naevoid melanoma’ has variable clinical and pathologic interpretations, we aimed to clarify the features of melanomas referred to as naevoid.

Methods and Results: A review was undertaken of 102 melanomas diagnosed histopathologically as naevoid melanomas and ascertained by European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Melanoma Group Subcommittee pathologists from their records. We found these could be classified morphologically into 3 groups. Thirteen melanomas were overlying genuine naevi and were therefore excluded. Of the 89 melanomas considered to be naevoid, 11 presented clinically as exophytic papillomatous nodules with little junctional component and composed of small atypical cells showing numerous mitoses and no change with depth; we termed these “papillomatous naevoid” melanomas. The other 78 were flat or only slightly raised and had a superficial spreading melanoma (SSM)-like component with maturation to a small cell, but still atypical, dermal component; we termed these “maturing naevoid” melanomas. We showed that papillomatous and maturing naevoid melanomas also have differing immunohistochemical profiles. Preliminary clinical follow-up suggested different outcomes for these two naevoid melanoma types.

Conclusions: Melanomas that have been classified as naevoid melanomas comprise two types with distinct clinical, histopathologic and immunohistochemical features that may also be prognostically significant.
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Introduction
Although the term “naevoid melanoma” could potentially describe a melanoma which resembles any variant of melanocytic naevus, the term is usually restricted to relatively uncommon melanomas resembling common intradermal or compound naevi in which the dermal component predominantly comprises small naevoid cells. Some of these lesions can be easily mistaken for benign naevi and may only be recognised when a recurrence occurs\(^1,\)\(^2\). The existence of such melanomas was noted originally by Levene\(^3\) and by others subsequently\(^4,\)\(^5\). The previous term ‘minimal deviation melanoma’\(^6\) possibly included naevoid melanomas and they have also been referred to as small cell melanoma\(^7,\)\(^8\). Ruhoy et al\(^9\) in describing a melanoma with paradoxical maturation appeared to be referring to the same lesion that Blessing et al\(^8\) termed ‘small cell naevoid melanoma’. Such practice has resulted in confusion among pathologists and clinicians treating patients with potentially different lesions but with the same diagnostic label. The aim of this study was to re-evaluate the histological and clinical features of naevoid melanomas in order to clarify whether lesions so classified include one or more types of melanoma.

Materials and Methods
The study was approved by the Royal Surrey County Hospital Ethics Committee (07/Q190913). All cases diagnosed as naevoid melanoma in the period 2004 to 2016 were retrieved from the files of members of the Pathology Subcommittee of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Melanoma Group (EORTC MG) and the consultation files of one of us (MGC). Cases were included if histological sections were available and patient’s age, sex and anatomical site of lesion known. Naevoid lesions resembling spitz naevi as well as other lesions such
as deep penetrating naevi and blue naevi were regarded as separate entities and were specifically excluded.

All eligible cases underwent histological review of the features in the Royal College Minimum Data Set for melanomas on original H&E stained sections. Immunohistochemical staining of further sections of all cases of both subtypes was carried out to descriptively characterise the tumours. The 64 maturing naevoid melanomas where blocks were available were further stained with CD44 and markers that might help explain the phenomenon of maturation. For example reticulin staining was carried out as a measure of stroma around naevus or melanoma cells, while P16 and RRM2 stains were chosen to reflect senescence. Other markers employed on 24 of the 64 maturing naevoid melanomas were p21, E-Cadherin, N-Cadherin, WHSC1/NSD2 and 5-hmC. Negative controls substituting normal serum for primary antibodies were employed throughout. The antibodies HMB-45, MIB-1, P16, E-Cadherin and CD44 were obtained from DAKO (Cambridgeshire), for N-Cadherin from Leica Bio-systems and for RRM2 from Abcam. Staining for p21 was performed in Rotterdam (by SK), that for WHSC1/NSD2 in Leuven (JvdO), and that for 5-hmC in Boston by courtesy of Dr Christine Lian. All cases were assessed systematically by a single pathologist (MGC) and independently reviewed by other members of the EORTC MG Pathology Group using a multi-head microscope. Any disagreement was resolved in discussion.

Molecular analysis was performed of 11 cases where paraffin tissue was available. DNA from micro-dissected formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) material was isolated using GeneRead DNA FFPE kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to
manufacturer’s instructions. PCR-based target enrichment and next-generation sequencing was performed as previously described\(^{(12)}\).

**Results**

Between 2004 and 2016, 102 cases were retrieved from the participating pathologists’ files. On initial review, 13 melanomas associated with a benign pre-existing naevus were excluded, leaving 89 naevoid melanoma cases for study.

**Patient features**

The average age of patients with papillomatous naevoid melanoma was 40 years (range 12-89 years), significantly younger than 56 years (range 16-89 years) for maturing naevoid melanoma patients ($p = 0.001$), and males comprised 40% vs 56% respectively. For the papillomatous subtype, 40% were on the head and 60% on the trunk, while of the maturing subtype, 8% were on the head; 44% on the trunk and 48% on the limbs.

**Histology**

Detailed histology showed the 89 cases separated into 2 groups with distinctly different patterns of growth (Table 1). One group of 11 cases showed an exophytic papillomatous configuration (Figs 1-3; 4), herein termed “papillomatous naevoid” melanoma. This group resembling predominantly intradermal naevi had a mean thickness of 2.0mm (range 1.3 - 3.7). The epidermis showed prolongations into the underlying lesion with only a scanty or focal junctional proliferation of melanocytes (Fig 1) and occasionally a complete absence of junctional melanocytes. The epidermal prolongations appeared to divide the underlying melanocytes into lobules. The constituent melanocytes appeared small mainly due to a lack of cytoplasm but the nuclei were larger than those of a dermal naevus and appeared hyperchromatic.
and often angulated and facetted against each other (Fig 2). Mitoses were always present (mean count: 5.9 per mm$^2$; range 4 to 7) and the proliferation marker MIB-1 showed a band of cells with a high proportion of positivity adjacent to the epidermal prolongations (Fig 3). The melanocytes did not show maturation but in 2 cases the underlying dermis included benign naevus cells (Fig 4), allowing a direct comparison with the naevoid melanoma cells. Apart from differences in size, shape and chromicity the tumour cells were surrounded by a less conspicuous collagenous stroma and reticulin framework in groups of 3-5 cells whereas the collagen in pre-existing naevi was more prominent and reticulin surrounded smaller groups of cells. The second larger group comprised 78 cases of largely flat or shallow dome-shaped lesions distinguished by their histology as superficially invasive melanoma predominantly of SSM type but showing a change in cell type in the superficial dermis (Table 1). We termed these “maturing naevoid” melanomas. The mean thickness was 0.9mm (range 0.4-3.0) and mitoses were few (mean mitotic rate 0.7/mm$^2$; range 0-1). The evidence of malignancy in this group comprised the histological features of atypia and tumour architecture that indicated infiltration of the dermis. Specifically, the maturing variants had a disorderly junctional proliferation of severely atypical melanocytes (Fig 5) with similar cells extending into the superficial dermis but to a variable depth before showing a change in cell type and arrangement (Fig 6). With depth the cells become smaller, with less cytoplasm, round hyperchromatic nuclei and they show an irregular nested pattern. Light melanin pigmentation was usually evident in the cytoplasm (Table 1).

The essential feature of this maturing naevoid group was the change in cell type at varying levels in the papillary dermis or superficial reticular dermis. The small naevoid cells either showed a sheet-like arrangement (Fig 6) with nesting more
deeply or showed an immediate nesting pattern surrounded by dense collagen (Fig 7). The nests tended to be larger in the deeper part of the lesion, in the same pattern described by others\(^{(7)}\).

Although the deeper cells could be said to be naevoid, in the 13 cases where a true pre-existing naevus was present, the distinction was clear (Fig 8). This was due to the larger hyperchromatic nuclei and their grouping together with reticulin surrounding irregular groups within the larger aggregates in contrast to the smaller naevus cells with reticulin around smaller groups of cells. Of the 78 cases of maturing naevoid melanoma there were 19 where this process did not affect the full width of the lesion. The maturation ranged from focal, affecting 10-20% of the lesion (5 cases) to nearly complete, affecting 80-90% of the lesion (1 case).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemical staining in the papillomatous naevoid melanomas (Table 2) indicated active proliferation and showed characteristics associated with malignancy. In addition CD44 showed uniform strong membranous staining. RRM2 staining was strong throughout.

In contrast, immunohistochemistry of all 78 maturing naevoid melanomas showed a diminution in proliferative activity in the deeper component, and other markers also showed features tending towards senescence (Fig 9). In cases showing lack of uniformity, such immunochemical findings applied only to the part with features suggesting maturation. In the maturing naevoid melanomas stained with RRM2, the maturing part of the tumour was no longer represented in 7 cases but the superficial invasive component was positive in all. In the 13 cases where both superficial and maturing components remained available for assessment, 3 showed weak or negative staining in the deep maturing components but the rest remained positive.
Molecular analysis

We analysed 1-3 micro-dissected areas of 11 available cases: 3 papillomatous and 8 maturing naevoid melanomas. Specifically, we performed targeted sequencing of BRAF and NRAS genes using next-generation sequencing technology. All 3 papillomatous naevoid cases carried a hotspot mutation in the NRAS gene (seen consistently across 1, 2 and 3 micro-dissected areas for each case respectively) but were wild type for BRAF (Table 2). Of the 8 maturing naevoid melanomas, 2 carried a BRAF hotspot mutation (consistently observed across 2 and 4 micro-dissected areas respectively), 4 carried an NRAS hotspot mutation (consistently observed across up to 3 micro-dissected areas), and 2 cases were wild type for both BRAF and NRAS (Table 2).

Preliminary follow-up

Early follow-up data were available for 90% patients with the papillomatous type (average follow-up, 3.3 years) and 64% of those with the maturing type (average follow-up, 2 years). In-transit or lymph node metastases had occurred in 33% of patients with papillomatous naevoid melanomas (with measured thicknesses <1.0mm, 2.1mm and 3.7mm respectively) but no disease progression was seen in those with maturing naevoid melanomas (including 2 with measured thickness 3.0mm and 3.5mm respectively).

Discussion

In this study of atypical melanocytic tumours with features of benign melanocytic naevi and melanoma, we confirmed that most cases could be classified as melanoma on the basis of atypia, disorderly growth pattern or mitoses. These could be separated into two groups, one resembling a raised predominantly intradermal nevus that we termed “papillomatous naevoid” and a second resembling a
compound naevus with junctional dysplasia that we termed “maturing naevoid”. The less frequent papillomatous naevoid group has been previously described by Blessing et al\cite{8} as similar to benign naevi but potentially aggressive, and others\cite{3,4,13} who also noted similar prognosis to other melanomas, with recurrence and metastatic rate of 50% reported\cite{2} for papillomatous naevoid melanomas\cite{1}.

Apart from Blessing et al\cite{8}, LeBoit and Massi\cite{14}; Ruhoy et al\cite{9} and Kossard and Wilkinson\cite{7}, other authors appear not to have recognised the maturing naevoid group as a distinct variant of melanoma. Blessing et al\cite{8} referred to them as small cell variants of naevoid melanoma that may be less aggressive than other melanomas. Ruhoy et al\cite{9} described them as melanoma with paradoxical maturation without mention of difference in behaviour while others\cite{14} recognised papillomatous and non-papillomatous naevoid melanomas corresponding to our findings but again did not note any difference in behaviour between the two morphological types. Kossard and Wilkinson\cite{7} described a small cell naevoid melanoma with a lentiginous epidermal component and features which appear similar to some of our maturing naevoid melanomas. They noted a nested dermal component with fibrosis and they suspected a less aggressive behaviour.

On the other hand, Yélamos et al\cite{15} described naevoid melanoma as a heterogeneous group: those with high grade atypia having worse prognosis.

Our observations of two distinct types of naevoid melanoma were supported by immunochemical differences. All papillomatous variants showed raised Ki67/MIB1 counts, consistent with its marked proliferative activity, while the maturing naevoid cells showed staining with P16 and 5-hmC consistent with being intermediate between melanoma and true naevus cells\cite{12} presumably suggesting progression towards senescence. Indeed the immunohistochemical data raise the question
whether the maturing naevoid melanoma is appropriately classified. RRM2 was present in the superficial dermal part supporting their classification as invasive melanomas and senescence not being the sole basis of observed changes (16). For the moment, maturing naevoid lesions appear appropriately classified as melanomas but the intralesional changes suggest acquisition of a less aggressive behaviour and our preliminary follow-up data support this notion. Finally, the molecular findings that all 3 of the papillomatous naevoid cases carried a hotspot mutation in the NRAS gene but were wild type for BRAF, was a striking result even in so small a cohort, given that BRAF is the most commonly mutated melanoma driver gene. The observations for the 8 maturing naevoid melanomas, largely recapitulated previous findings that NRAS and BRAF hotspot mutations are generally mutually exclusive (in one case, a non-hotspot BRAF mutation was observed in 2 of the 3 micro-dissected areas of an NRAS mutated maturing naevoid melanoma), although the relatively more common occurrence of NRAS mutations compared to BRAF mutations was notable. A larger cohort of cases would need to be analysed at the molecular level to assess whether these types of lesions are more commonly driven by RAS.

Whilst it is well known that many tumours including melanoma show heterogeneity, it is less accepted that tumours having acquired properties of invasion and proliferation can reverse those properties. Whether the observations are correctly interpreted as maturation (9) and if this is a response to cell signalling, suppressed mutations or an effect produced by the microenvironment, are unknown, and we will seek to address these questions in further studies.
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Table 1. Microscopic features of two subtypes of naevoid melanomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Papillomatous</th>
<th>Maturing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raised/papillomatous nodule</td>
<td>Flat or shallow dome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent epidermal strands causing segmentation</td>
<td>Epidermis without segmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little intraepidermal melanocytic proliferation</td>
<td>Intraepidermal severe atypia amounting to melanoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperchromatic angulated cells</td>
<td>Epithelioid upper, small cells in deep part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little cytoplasm</td>
<td>More cytoplasm in upper part, less in deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheets showing little variation</td>
<td>Changes in cell type from top to deep nests becoming larger deeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitoses numerous at periphery of nodules</td>
<td>Mitoses few and superficial only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Immunohistochemistry and molecular analysis of two subtypes of naevoid melanomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Papillomatous</th>
<th>Maturing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMB-45</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Superficial positive, deep negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIB1/Ki67</td>
<td>High count, notably periphery of compartments</td>
<td>Negative deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16</td>
<td>Negative throughout</td>
<td>Negative becoming positive deeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHSC1 (NSD2)</td>
<td>Positive throughout</td>
<td>Superficial positive, deep negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-hmC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Variable positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P21</td>
<td>Positive throughout</td>
<td>Positive superficial, scanty or negative deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reticulin</td>
<td>Around large groups of dermal cells</td>
<td>Around small groups but not individual cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Cadherin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative becoming positive deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Cadherin</td>
<td>Variable positive</td>
<td>Superficial variable positive, weaker deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44</td>
<td>Strong positive</td>
<td>Negative to variable weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRM2</td>
<td>Positive throughout</td>
<td>Superficial dermal positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deeper component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable to negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF hotspot</td>
<td>0% positive (0/3)</td>
<td>25% (2/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS hotspot</td>
<td>100% positive (3/3)</td>
<td>50% (4/8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figs 1** Typical exophytic papillomatous naevoid melanoma with epidermal strands compartmentalising the tumour cells and showing little junctional proliferation.

**Fig 2** Same papillomatous naevoid melanoma as Fig 1 showing hyperchromatic angulated nuclei centrally and mitoses evident peripherally.

**Fig 3** Same papillomatous naevoid melanoma as Figs 1, 2 with high MIB-1 count at the periphery of the lobules.

**Fig 4** Hyperchromatic naevoid melanocytes with little cytoplasm adjacent to true benign naevus cells.

**Fig 5** Maturing naevoid melanoma. Disordely large atypical melanoma cells (upper) contrasting with smaller round cells with little cytoplasm (deep).

**Fig 6** Another maturing naevoid melanoma showing the change from larger epitheloid cells in the papillary dermis to smaller hyperchromatic cells in the deeper dermis.

**Fig 7** A different maturing naevoid melanoma showing a change from epithelioid pleomorphic nested melanocytes in the superficial dermis to, in the deeper part, smaller but still atypical cells arranged in nests by dense collagen.

**Fig 8** A maturing naevoid melanoma in which small atypical melanocytes in superficial dermis are arranged in nests surrounded by dense collagen. A true benign naevus is present at the deep aspect of this melanoma.

**Fig 9** An example of the immunohistochemical staining P21 is seen positive in the junctional and superficial dermal component of another maturing naevoid melanoma that is largely negative in the deeper small cell component.