Synthesis of Triarylamines via Sequential CN Bond Formation

DOI:

[10.1021/acs.joc.7b01778](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.7b01778)

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Modha, S., Popescu, M., & Greaney, M. (2017). Synthesis of Triarylamines via Sequential CN Bond Formation. *The Journal of organic chemistry*, 82, 11933–11938. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.7b01778>

Published in:

The Journal of organic chemistry

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.

Synthesis of Triarylamines *via* Sequential C-N Bond Formation

Sachin G. Modha, Mihai V. Popescu and Michael F. Greaney*

School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Rd, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

ABSTRACT: A one-pot domino N-arylation protocol is described using diaryliodonium reagents under copper catalysis. The reaction uses both aryl groups of the diaryliodonium reagent to generate triarylamines starting from simple anilines, representing an atom-economical preparation of an important class of organic material building blocks.

Diaryliodonium reagents, discovered in 1894,¹ have seen extensive development as electrophilic arylating agents for carbon and heteroatom nucleophiles.² They exhibit an appealing combination of stability, being crystalline solids that are easy to handle and store, and reactivity: Recent applications encompass aryl radical generation and utilization under photoredox catalysis,³ metal-free arylation,⁴ stereo-controlled arylation of double bonds,⁵ aryne generation,⁶ and extensive metal-catalyzed arylation processes.⁷ Despite this extremely versatile portfolio of reactivity, almost all of these applications share the common drawback of generating at least one equivalent of an iodobenzene as a waste product – *ca.* 50% of the atomic mass of the iodonium reagent, depending on the counterion. Whilst the iodobenzene can in principle be separated from the product mixture and recycled, this poor atom economy is clearly an obstacle to wider application of these reagents on scale.

Scheme 1. Atom economical use of diaryliodonium reagents

A: Previous work - domino C / N-arylation⁸

B: Domino borylation / Suzuki-coupling (Muniz)⁹

C: Domino S-arylation (Jiang)¹⁰

D: This Work - domino N-Arylation

We have addressed this atom economy issue by designing domino processes that can capture the aryl iodide side product in a second arylation step *in situ* (Scheme1A).⁸ Copper-catalyzed C-H

arylation of indole with a diaryliodonium salt, for example, can be followed by N-arylation with the liberated aryl iodide to yield di-arylated products. This concept of domino arylation was subsequently demonstrated by Muñiz and co-workers who reported borylation / Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of diaryliodoniums and diboron reagents,⁹ and further developed by Jiang and co-workers, who described double arylation of a sulfur salt under copper catalysis to produce diarylsulfides from diaryliodoniums (Scheme 1B and C).¹⁰ Similar double-functionalization ideas have been explored with ArI(OAc)₂ by Dauban and co-workers, and alkynyl iodine(III) derivatives by the Yoshikai and Waser groups.¹¹ Collectively, these reports demonstrate that aryl iodine(III) reagents can be used as powerful arene synthons in a variety of contexts, without having to sacrifice atom-economy. Indeed, by offering the possibility of two transformations from a single reagent, they create new opportunities for streamlined reaction design.

We were interested in further exploring the scope of domino applications of iodonium reagents, and chose to investigate the synthesis of triaryl amines (Scheme 1D). Triaryl amines are important building blocks in organic electronics, where their electron donor properties and characteristic propeller shapes have seen extensive application in the field of photovoltaics.¹² They are commonly accessed through variants of the Ullman coupling, using excess aryl halide and high temperatures to drive reaction to completion. Synthesis using a single equivalent of iodonium reagent would present an alternative, and very direct route, to their preparation. The groups of Nachtsheim and Wen have described the domino assembly of N-aryl carbazoles through reaction of anilines with cyclic iodonium salts, but the synthesis of triaryl amines remains unexplored.¹³

Our initial trial reactions examined the coupling of *p*-toluidine, **1a**, with one equivalent of diphenyliodonium triflate, **2a**, to give either the mono or di-phenylated products **3a** and **5a** (Table 1), using toluene as the solvent of choice. It was apparent that monophenylation was straightforward under both copper-catalysis at room temperature and metal-free conditions at 130 °C,¹⁴ but there was no trace of the diphenylated product in either case (Entries 1 and 2). Despite the good yields of **3a**, a small amount of starting *p*-toluidine remained in each case, which would potentially complicate the second N-arylation. Switching to the organic base 2,6-di-*tert*-butylpyridine (DTBPY) gave complete conversion and improved the yield up to 86% (entry 3), and catalyst loading could be decreased to 2 mol% without loss of yield or conversion (entry 4).

With conditions established for high conversions of one equivalent of iodonium reagent in the first N-arylation, we turned to the two-step one-pot sequence. Copper-catalyzed N-arylation of weakly nucleophilic diaryl amines usually requires a strong base and ligand system – we initially trialed an addition of CuI (10 mol%), bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)diazabutadiene (DAB)¹⁵ (12 mol%) and KO^{*t*}Bu (2.2 equiv) to the reaction following initial N-phenylation, and stirring at 120 °C for 24 h. The desired *N,N*-diphenyl-4-methylaniline (**5a**) could be isolated in 35% yield, but significant amounts of unreacted **3a** (42%) were present (entry 5). Increased ligand loading did not improve the yield (entry 6), and a switch to Pd-catalysis for the second step was completely ineffective (entry 7). Using phenanthroline as ligand was initially unsuccessful when paired with K₃PO₄ (entry 8), but changing back to KO^{*t*}Bu in the presence of 10% CuI, gave good conversions to the product **5a** (59% yield over two steps) along with a small amount of **3a** (Table 1, entry 10).

Having optimized conditions in hand for the one-pot double N-arylation sequence, we examined the diphenylation of various aniline substrates. A variety of substituents (*o*, *m*, *p*-methyl, *o*, *p*-fluoro, *p*-chloro, *p*-methoxy and *m*-phenyl) were well-tolerated to give substituted triaryl amines in good yields over two steps (Table 2). As for *p*-toluidine, small amounts of the mono-arylated product were isolated as side products in some cases (Table 2, entries 1, 5-7, 9). Various substituted diaryliodonium triflates were employed next, to study the scope of the reagent with aniline. Iodonium reagents containing *tert*-butyl, fluoro, chloro, methoxy, and methyl reacted smoothly to give the desired triaryl amines in good yields (Table 3), with a single equivalent of iodonium reagent being employed in each case. In terms of limitations, anilines containing strong electron withdrawing groups (*e.g.* *p*-NO₂) were ineffective, being too deactivated to undergo the second arylation step. Attempts at selective aryl transfer using unsymmetrical diaryliodoniums were also unsuccessful under the current protocol, giving low yields of mixtures of N-arylated material in the first step.

Table 1. Reaction optimization^a

entry	catalyst (mol%)/ligand (mol%)	base (equiv)	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%) (3a : 5a)
1	CuI (10)	K ₂ CO ₃ (1.5)	rt	24	72:0 ^b
2	-	-	130	24	68:0 ^b
3	CuI (10)	DTBPY (1.1)	rt	24	86:0
4	CuI (2)	DTBPY (1.03)	rt	12	87:0
5	CuI (2); then CuI (10) and DAB (12)	DTBPY (1.03); then KO ^t Bu (2.2)	rt; then 120	12; then 24	42:35
6	CuI (2); then CuI (10) and DAB (30)	DTBPY (1.03); then KO ^t Bu (4)	rt; then 120	12; then 24	35:32
7	CuI (2); then Pd(OAc) ₂ (4) and BINAP (8)	DTBPY (1.03); then KO ^t Bu (2.2)	rt; then 120	12; then 24	62:traces
8	CuI (2); then CuI (5) and 1,10-phenanthroline (6)	DTBPY (1.03); then K ₃ PO ₄ (2.5)	rt; then 120	12; then 24	56:traces
9	CuI (2); then CuI (5) and 1,10-phenanthroline (6)	DTBPY (1.03); then KO ^t Bu (2.5)	rt; then 120	12; then 24	52:15
10	CuI (2); then CuI (10) and 1,10-phenanthroline (30)	DTBPY (1.03); then KO ^t Bu (4.5)	rt; then 120	12; then 24	15:59

^aUnless otherwise noted, all reaction were run with **1a** (0.3 mmol) and **2a** (1.02 equiv) in a crimped cap glass vial under an inert atmosphere. ^b Incomplete conversion.

Table 2. Scope of aniline substrate^a

entry	R ¹	product	% yield 5 (% yield 3)
1	4-Me		59 (15)
2	4-F		53
3	H		66
4	4-Cl		59
5	4-OMe		44 (5)
6	2-Me		47 (17)
7	3-Me		68 (18)
8	2-F		40
9	3-Ph		47 (8)

^aAll reactions were run with **1** (0.98 mmol), **2a** (1 mmol) under optimized condition in a Schlenk flask under inert atmosphere.

Table 3. Scope of diaryliodonium reagents^a

entry	R ¹	product	% yield 5
1	4- <i>t</i> Bu		69
2	4-F		65
3	4-OMe		75
4	4-Cl		48
5	4-Me		50
6	3-Me		54

^aAll reactions were run with **1c** (1 mmol), **2** (1.02 mmol) under optimized condition in a Schlenk flask under inert atmosphere.

A mechanistic pathway for the two step process is set out in Scheme 2, and likely involves a switch in mechanism between the first and second arylation steps. Whilst initial aniline arylation with the reactive diaryliodonium salt **2** proceeds through familiar Cu(I) / Cu(III) oxidative addition / reductive elimination processes,¹⁶ Shyu and co-workers have implicated an electron transfer pathway for the second arylation of *N*-arylaniline **3** under KO^tBu and CuI / phenanthroline conditions.¹⁷ Electron transfer from the potassium complex of phenanthroline and diarylamine **D'** to aryl iodide **4a** generates an aryl radical which can be trapped with the Cu(I)-phenanthroline diarylamine species **B'**. One electron oxidation by radical cation **E'** then enables reductive elimination to furnish the triarylamine **5a** and regenerate a Cu(I)-phenanthroline species to continue the catalytic cycle.

Scheme 2. Mechanistic pathway for one-pot double *N*-arylation.

In summary, we have developed a copper-catalyzed, one-pot, domino *N*-arylation sequence to generate triarylamines starting from simple anilines. The protocol uses both aryl groups of diaryliodonium reagents, from a single equivalent of reagent, and a common CuI catalyst. Further atom-economical transformations of aryl iodide (III) reagents are under investigation in our laboratory.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Information. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on 500 or 400 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometers in CDCl₃ at 298 K (unless stated otherwise). All chemical shift values are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to the solvent signal (1H NMR: δ = 7.26 ppm. 13C NMR: δ = 77.16 ppm) with coupling constant (*J*) values reported in Hz. The notation of signals is: Proton: δ chemical shift in ppm (number of protons, multiplicity, *J* value(s), proton assignment). Carbon: δ chemical shift in ppm. Fluorine: δ chemical shift in ppm (Fluorine assignment). Splitting patterns are assigned s = singlet, b = broad, d = doublet, td = triplet of doublet, dt = doublet of triplet, t = triplet, q = quartet, bs = broad singlet. Reactions were carried out under N₂ using pre-dried glassware. All reaction were carried out in Schlenk flask and heated in oil baths with a thermocouple temperature control. Toluene, THF and dichloromethane were freshly distilled over sodium or calcium hydride and stored under N₂. Other solvents, unless otherwise stated, were purchased in reagent grade or anhydrous quality and used as received. Reagents were either purchased directly from commercial suppliers or

prepared according to literature procedures. TLC: Macherey-Nagel, TLC plates Alugram® Sil G/UV254. Detection under UV light at 254 nm. Chromatography: Separations were carried out on Biotage® Isolera Four flash chromatography instrument, with dryloading on Biotage SNAP Ultra columns using ethyl acetate / hexane (5 / 95 - 20 / 80 for triaryl amines, rising to 70 / 30 for elution of diarylamines when they were present). High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS) were recorded on Thermo Finnigan MAT95XP (ion trap). Melting points were determined using a Buchi M565 melting point apparatus. Iodonium salts **2a-g** were prepared according to literature procedures.¹⁸

Representative procedure for domino C-N bond formation: 4-Methyl-*N,N*-diphenylaniline (5a).

To an oven dried 25 mL Schlenk flask containing a stir bar was added the 4-methylaniline (**1a**) (105 mg, 0.98 mmol, 1.00 equiv), CuI (3.8 mg, 0.02 mmol, 2 mol %) and diphenyliodonium triflate (**2a**) (430 mg, 1 mmol, 1.02 equiv). The Schlenk flask was sealed with a glass stopper. A vacuum / N₂ cycle was applied three times to the flask in order to ensure the removal of air. To this flask was added 2,6-di-*tert*-butylpyridine (227 µL, 1.0094 mmol, 1.03 equiv) via glass syringe (250 µL capacity) and dry Toluene (10 mL) via disposable syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h. After confirming completion of the reaction by TLC (Hexane/diethyl ether – 8/2); CuI (18.7 mg, 0.098 mmol, 10 mol %), 1, 10-phenanthroline (53 mg, 0.294 mmol, 30 mol %) and KO^tBu (495 mg, 4.41 mmol, 4.5 equiv) were added to the flask followed by N₂ flush to ensure the inert atmosphere and sealed again with the glass stopper. The flask-stopper joint was sealed by taflon tape and secured with a clip. The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir at 120 °C for 24 h. After confirming the product formation by TLC (Hexane/diethyl ether – 9/1) the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (100 mL) and washed with water (50 mL) (In case of emulsion, it was passed through a celite plug). The organic layer was further washed with brine (50 mL) dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by Biotage flash chromatography instrument to yield 4-methyl-*N,N*-diphenylaniline **5a** (156 mg, 59% yield) as a white solid; MP 72-73 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.24 – 7.20 (m, 4H), 7.08 – 7.05 (m, 6H), 7.02 – 6.99 (m, 2H), 6.97 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 148.0, 145.2, 132.7, 129.9, 129.1, 124.9, 123.6, 122.2, 20.8; HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₉H₁₈N [M+H]⁺ 260.1439, found 260.1433; and 4-methyl-*N*-phenylaniline **3a** (27 mg, 15%) as a white solid; MP 88-90 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.28 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.12 – 7.10 (m, 2H), 7.04 – 7.02 (m, 4H), 6.90 (bt, *J* = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (bs, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.9, 140.3, 130.9, 129.8, 129.3, 120.3, 118.9, 116.8, 20.7; HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₄N [M+H]⁺ 184.1126, found 184.1128.

4-Fluoro-*N,N*-diphenylaniline (5b). White solid (137 mg, 53%). MP 98-100 °C; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.27 – 7.23 (m, 4H), 7.11 – 7.04 (m, 6H), 7.03 – 6.96 (m, 4H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.90 (d, *J* = 243 Hz), 147.8, 143.8 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz), 129.2, 126.5 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 123.5, 122.5, 116.0 (d, *J* = 22.5 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -119.7 (s, 1F); HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₈H₁₅FN [M+H]⁺ 264.1189, found 264.1180.

Triphenylamine (5c). White solid (158 mg, 66%). MP 127-129 °C; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.28 – 7.24 (m, 6H), 7.13 – 7.09 (m, 6H), 7.05 – 7.0 (m, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.8, 129.2, 124.1, 122.6; HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₈H₁₆N [M+H]⁺ 246.1283, found 246.1277.

4-Chloro-*N,N*-diphenylaniline (5d). White solid (162 mg, 59%). MP 108-109 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.27 – 7.24 (m, 4H), 7.20 – 7.17 (m, 2H), 7.08 – 7.06 (m, 4H), 7.03 – 6.99 (m, 4H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.4, 146.5, 129.3, 129.2, 127.3, 124.9, 124.3, 123.1; HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₈H₁₅ClN [M+H]⁺ 280.0893, found 280.0885.

4-Methoxy-*N,N*-diphenylaniline (5e). White solid (120 mg, 44%). MP 102-103 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.23 – 7.19 (m, 4H), 7.08 – 7.06 (m, 2H), 7.05 – 7.03 (m, 4H), 6.94 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 2H) 6.86 – 6.83 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 156.1, 148.1, 140.7, 129.0, 127.3, 122.8, 121.8, 114.7, 55.5; HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₉H₁₈NO [M+H]⁺ 276.1388, found 276.1380; and **4-Methoxy-*N*-phenylaniline (3e).** White solid (9 mg, 5%) Data of this compound were in accordance with those reported in literature.^{14b}

2-Methyl-*N,N*-diphenylaniline (5f). White solid (120 mg, 47%). MP 57-59 °C; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.29 – 7.15 (m, 8H), 7.02 – 6.98 (m, 4H), 6.97 – 6.93 (m, 2H), 2.07 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.4, 145.3, 136.5, 131.7, 129.6, 129.0, 127.3, 126.0, 121.5, 121.3, 18.6; HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₉H₁₈N [M+H]⁺ 260.1439, found 260.1432; and **2-methyl-*N*-phenylaniline (3f).** White solid (30 mg, 17%). Data of this compound were in accordance with those reported in literature.¹⁹

3-Methyl-*N,N*-diphenylaniline (5g). White solid (173 mg, 68%). MP 67-68 °C; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.28 – 7.24 (m, 4H), 7.16 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.12 – 7.09 (m, 4H), 7.04 – 7.0 (m, 2H), 6.95 – 6.90 (m, 2H), 6.88 – 6.85 (m, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.9, 147.7, 139.0, 129.1, 129.0, 124.9, 124.0, 123.7, 122.4, 121.5, 21.4; HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₉H₁₈N [M+H]⁺ 260.1439, found 260.1434; and **3-methyl-*N*-phenylaniline (3g).** Oil (32 mg, 18%). Data of this compound were in accordance with those reported in literature.²⁰

2-Fluoro-*N,N*-diphenylaniline (5h). White solid (105 mg, 40%). MP 70-72 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.28 – 7.24 (m, 4H), 7.22 – 7.19 (m, 1H), 7.17 – 7.09 (m, 3H), 7.06 – 7.0 (m, 6H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.4 (d, *J* = 250 Hz), 147.2, 134.6 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz), 129.2 (d, *J* = 2Hz), 129.1, 126.0 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 124.9 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 122.4, 122.3, 117.1 (d, *J* = 19.9 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -119.2 (m, 1F); HR-MS (EI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₈H₁₅FN [M+H]⁺ 264.1189, found 264.1184.

***N,N*-diphenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine (5i).** White solid (148 mg, 47%). MP 153-155 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.51 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.40 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.30 (bt, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.3 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.28 – 7.23 (m, 5H), 7.15 – 7.13 (m, 4H) 7.07 – 7.01 (m, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz,

CDCl₃): δ 148.3, 147.7, 142.3, 140.9, 129.5, 129.2, 128.6, 127.3, 127.1, 124.2, 122.9, 122.8, 122.7, 121.5; HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₂₄H₂₀N [M+H]⁺ 322.15996, found 322.1584.

***N*-phenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine (3i).** White solid (19 mg, 8%). MP 86-88 °C; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.59 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.28 (m, 5H), 7.18 – 7.12 (m, 3H), 7.08 – 7.06 (m, 1H), 6.96 (tt, J = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (bs, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.5, 142.9, 142.5, 141.1, 129.7, 129.4, 128.7, 127.4, 127.1, 121.2, 120.0, 118.0, 116.6, 116.4; HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₁₈H₁₆N [M+H]⁺ 246.1283, found 246.1277.

4-(*tert*-butyl)-*N*-(4-(*tert*-butyl)phenyl)-*N*-phenylaniline (5j). White solid (238 mg, 69%). MP 106-108 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.26 – 7.20 (m, 6H), 7.08 – 7.06 (m, 2H), 7.03 – 7.0 (m, 4H), 6.95 (tt, J = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 1.31 (s, 18H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 148.1, 145.4, 145.1, 129.0, 125.9, 123.7, 123.3, 121.8, 34.2, 31.4; HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₂₆H₃₂N [M+H]⁺ 358.2535, found 358.2529.

4-fluoro-*N*-(4-fluorophenyl)-*N*-phenylaniline (5k). White solid (183 mg, 65%). MP 92-93 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.27 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.07 – 6.96 (m, 11H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.8 (d, J = 243 Hz), 148.0, 143.9 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 129.2, 125.9 (d, J = 7.8 Hz), 122.8, 122.3, 116.1 (d, J = 22.5 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -119.8 (m, 2F); HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₁₈H₁₄F₂N [M+H]⁺ 282.1094, found 282.1084.

4-methoxy-*N*-(4-methoxyphenyl)-*N*-phenylaniline (5l). White solid (229 mg, 75%). MP 104-106 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.19 – 7.16 (m, 2H), 7.06 – 7.03 (m, 4H), 6.95 – 6.93 (m, 2H), 6.88 – 6.85 (m, 1H), 6.84 – 6.81 (m, 4H), 3.80 (s, 6H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.6, 148.7, 141.1, 128.9, 126.4, 120.9, 120.5, 114.6, 55.5; HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₂₀NO₂ [M+H]⁺ 306.1494, found 306.1486.

4-chloro-*N*-(4-chlorophenyl)-*N*-phenylaniline (5m). White solid (150 mg, 48%). MP 76-78 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.28 – 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.21 – 7.18 (m, 4H), 7.06 – 7.03 (m, 3H), 7.0 – 6.97 (m, 4H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.0, 146.1, 129.5, 129.3, 127.8, 125.0, 124.4, 123.5; HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₁₈H₁₄Cl₂N [M+H]⁺ 314.0503, found 314.0498.

4-methyl-*N*-phenyl-*N*-(*p*-tolyl)aniline (5n). White solid (137 mg, 50%). MP 113-114 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.22 – 7.18 (m, 2H), 7.06 – 7.02 (m, 6H), 7.0 – 6.98 (m, 4H), 6.94 (bt, J = 7.25 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (s, 6H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 148.2, 145.4, 132.3, 129.8, 129.0, 124.4, 122.9, 121.6, 20.8; HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₂₀N [M+H]⁺ 274.1596, found 274.1591.

3-methyl-*N*-phenyl-*N*-(*m*-tolyl)aniline (5o). White solid (147 mg, 54%). MP 68-70 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.27 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.10 – 7.08 (m, 2H), 7.01 (bt, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (bs, 2H), 6.92 – 6.89 (m, 2H), 6.87 – 6.84 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 6H); ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 148.0, 147.8, 139.0, 129.1, 128.9, 124.9, 123.9, 123.5, 122.3, 121.4, 21.4; HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₂₀N [M+H]⁺ 274.1596, found 274.1588.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: Michael.Greaney@manchester.ac.uk

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the EPSRC for funding (postdoctoral support for S.G.M. and Leadership fellowship to M.F.G.). We also thank the RSC for Undergraduate Research Bursary to M.V.P.

Supporting information

Copies of ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra are available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

REFERENCES

-
- ¹ Hartmann, C.; Meyer, V. *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1894**, 27, 426.
- ² Reviews: a) Merritt, E. A.; Olofsson, B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 9052. b) Zhdankin, V. V.; Stang, P. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, 108, 5299. c) Aradi, K.; Tóth, B. L.; Tolnai, B. L.; Novák, Z. *Synlett* **2016**, 27, 1456. d) Fananas-Mastral, M. *Synthesis* **2017**, 49, 1905.
- ³ a) Neufeldt, S. R.; Sanford, M. S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, 354, 3517. b) Fumagalli, G.; Boyd, S.; Greaney, M. *F. Org. Lett.* **2013**, 15, 4398. c) Hopkinson, M. N.; Sahoo, B.; Glorius, F. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, 356, 2794. d) Jiang, H.; Cheng, Y.; Wang, R.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, S. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, 50, 6164.
- ⁴ Selected examples: a) Lindstedt, E.; Ghosh, R.; Olofsson, B. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, 15, 6070. b) Jalalian, N.; Ishikawa, E. E.; Silva, L. F.; Olofsson, B. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 1552. c) Ackermann, L.; Dell'Acqua, M.; Fenner, S.; Vicente, R.; Sandmann, R.; *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 2358. d) Wen, J.; Zhang, R.-Y.; Chen, S.-Y.; Zhang, J.; Yu, X.-Q. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 77, 766. e) Gonda, Z.; Novak, Z. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, 21, 16801. f) Wu, S.-Y.; Ma, X.-P.; Liang, C.; Mo, D.-L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 82, 3232. g) Wang, Z.-X.; Shi, W.-M.; Bi, H.-Y.; Li, X.-H.; Su, G.-F.; Mo, D.-L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, 81, 8014. h) Li, P.; Weng, Y.; Xu, X.; Cui, X. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, 81, 3994.
- ⁵ a) Allen, A. E.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 4260. b) Bigot, W.; Williamson, A. E.; Gaunt, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 13778. c) Harvey, J. S.; Simonovich, S. P.; Jamison, C. R.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 13782. d) Kieffer, M. E.; Chuang, K. V.; Reisman, S. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 5557. e) Lukamto, D. H.; Gaunt, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, 139, 9160.
- ⁶ Sundalam, S. K.; Nilova, A.; Seidl, T. L.; Stuart, D. R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 8431.
- ⁷ Selected recent examples: a) Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. *Science* **2009**, 323, 1593. b) Xiao, B.; Fu, Y.; Xu, J.; Gong, T.-J.; Dai, J.-J.; Yi, J.; Liu, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 468. c) Fananas-Mastral, M.; Feringa, B. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, 136, 9894. d) Zhang, F.; Das, S.; Walkinshaw, A. J.; Casitas, A.; Taylor, M.; Suero, M. G.; Gaunt, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, 136, 8851. e) Xie, H.; Yang, S.; Zhang, C.; Ding, M.; Liu, M.; Guo, J.; Zhang, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 82, 5250. f) Gemoets, H. P. L.; Laudadio, G.; Verstraete, K.; Hessel, V.; Noel, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 7161.
- ⁸ a) Modha, S. G.; Greaney, M. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, 137, 1416. b) Teskey, C. J.; Sohel, S. M. A.; Bunting, D. L.; Modha, S. G.; Greaney, M. F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 5263.
- ⁹ Mirrales, M.; Romero, R. M.; Fernández, E.; Muñoz, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, 51, 14068.
- ¹⁰ Wang, M.; Wei, J.; Fan, Q.; Jiang, X. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, 53, 2918.

-
- ¹¹ a) Lu, B.; Wu, J.; Yoshikai, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 11598–11601. b) Hari, D. P.; Waser, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 2190. c) Buendia, J.; Darses, B.; Dauban, P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 5697. d) Xie, F.; Zhang, Z.; Yu, X.; Tang, G.; Li, X. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 7405. e) Buendia, J.; Grelier, G.; Darses, B.; Jarvis, A. G.; Taran, F.; Dauban, P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7530.
- ¹² Wang, J.; Liu, K.; Ma, L.; Zhan, X. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 14675–14725.
- ¹³ a) Zhu, D.; Chen, M.; Li, M.; Luo, B.; Zhao, Y.; Huang, P.; Xue, F.; Rapposelli, S.; Pi, R.; Wen, S. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *68*, 81. b) Riedmüller, S.; Nachtsheim, B. J. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *9*, 1202.
- ¹⁴ a) Beringer, F. M.; Brierley, A.; Drexler, M.; Gindler, E. M.; Lumpkin, C. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 2708. b) Carroll, M. A.; Wood, R. A. *Tetrahedron* **2007** *63*, 11349.
- ¹⁵ Liu, Y.-H.; Chen, C.; Yang, L.-M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 9275.
- ¹⁶ Kang, S.-K.; Lee, S.-H.; Lee, D. *Synlett* **2000**, 1022.
- ¹⁷ For a discussion of the mechanistic pathway in operation for CuI / phenanthroline and KO^t-Bu, see: a) Tseng, C.-K.; Lee, C.-R.; Tseng, M.-C.; Han, C.-C.; Shyu, S.-G. *Dalton Trans.* **2014**, *43*, 7020. b) Barham, J. P.; Coulthard, G.; Emery, K. J.; Doni, E.; Cumine, F.; Nocera, G.; John, M. P.; Berlouis, L. E. A.; McGuire, T.; Tuttle, T.; Murphy, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 7402.
- ¹⁸ a) Bielawski, M.; Zhu, M.; Olofsson, B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 2610. b) Bielawski, M.; Aili, D.; Olofsson, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 4602. c) Toh, Q. Y.; McNally, A.; Vera, S.; Erdmann, N.; Gaunt, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 3772. d) Kuriyama, M.; Hamaguchi, N.; Onomura, O. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 1591.
- ¹⁹ Mostafalu, R.; Kaboudin, B.; Kazemi, F.; Yokomatsu, T. *RSC. Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 49273.
- ²⁰ Anuradha; Kumari, S.; Pathak, D. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 4135.