On the effect of dispersed phase viscosity and mean residence time on the Droplet Size Distribution for High-shear Mixers.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.ces.2017.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2017.07.002)

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Carrillo De Hert, S., & Rodgers, T. (2017). On the effect of dispersed phase viscosity and mean residence time on the Droplet Size Distribution for High-shear Mixers. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2017.07.002>

Published in:

Chemical Engineering Science

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.

On the effect of dispersed phase viscosity and mean residence time on the Droplet Size Distribution for High-shear Mixers.

Sergio Carrillo De Hert, Thomas L. Rodgers*

*School of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science, The University of Manchester,
Manchester M13 9PL, U.K.*

Abstract

Properties of emulsified product such as stability, rheology and interfacial area dependent on their micro-structure, specially their mean droplet size and droplet size distribution. Mechanistic models in literature focus on predicting the maximum droplet diameter or Sauter mean diameter but not in their size distribution. The effect of viscosity (9.58-295 mPa s), mean residence time and stirring speed (50-150 s⁻¹) have been investigated using an in-line laboratory scale rotor-stator and dilute (negligible coalescence) coarse emulsions with seven Silicon Oils of different viscosity.

Low viscous oils produced monomodal distributions whereas the ones for intermediate and high viscous oils were bimodal. The mode or modes of the distributions were used for the modelling of the large and small daughter droplet sizes. The droplet size modelling had a mean absolute error (MAE) of 8%. To model the distributions by volume two Generalized Gamma functions were used and fitted using the least absolute error. The distributions were reasonably well-described while predicting the Sauter mean diameter of both mono and bimodal distributions with a MAE of 13.8%.

Keywords: Emulsification, Dispersed Phase Viscosity, Bimodal Size Distribution, Droplet Size Distribution, Rotor-stator mixer, Droplet Break-up Mechanism

*Corresponding author: +44 (0)161 306 8849

Email addresses: sergio.carrillodehert@manchester.ac.uk (Sergio Carrillo De Hert), tom.rodders@manchester.ac.uk (Thomas L. Rodgers)

Nomenclature

Latin symbols

$\dot{Q}$	volumetric flow rate [$\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$]
$\bar{d}_{30}$	volume arithmetic mean [μm]
$\bar{d}_{32}$	Sauter mean diameter [μm]
$\bar{t}_{res}$	mean residence time [s]
A_i	i th fitting constant [-]
$C_{L,j}$	fitting constant for large daughter droplet correlation for variable j [-]
$C_{s,j}$	fitting constant for small daughter droplet for variable j [-]
CI	confidence interval [-]
D	diameter of the impeller [m]
d_i	diameter of the i th droplet [μm]
d_{max}	maximum droplet diameter [μm]
E	energy density [J kg^{-1}]
$f_v(d_i)$	frequency by volume of the droplets of the i th diameter [-]
MAE	mean absolute error [%]
Mo	mode [μm]
Mo_L	mode of the large daughter droplets [μm]
Mo_s	mode of the small daughter droplets [μm]
N	stirring speed [s^{-1}]
n	number of passes [-]
n_{ri}	refractive index [-]
P	power draw [W]
$P_n(d_i)$	probability by number of droplets of i th size [%]
$P_v(d_i)$	probability by volume of droplets of i th size [%]
$P_{v,L}(d_i)$	probability of large daughter droplets of i th size [%]
$P_{v,s}(d_i)$	probability of small daughter droplets of i th size [%]
$P_{v,T}(d_i)$	total probability of droplets of i th size [%]
pn	pump number [-]
R^2	coefficient of determination [-]
s	specific gravity [-]
V	swept volume [m^3]

Greek symbols

α	parameter in the Fréchet probability density function [-]
β	parameter in the Fréchet probability density function [-]
η	Kolmogorov length scale [m]
κ	broadness parameter in the Generalized Gamma distribution [-]
λ	scale parameter in the Generalized Gamma distribution [-]
μ_c	viscosity of the continuous phase [Pa s]
μ_d	viscosity of the dispersed phase [Pa s]
$\bar{\varepsilon}$	mean energy dissipation rate per unit mass of fluid [W kg^{-1}]
ϕ_L	volume fraction of the large daughter droplets [-]
ϕ_s	volume fraction of the small daughter droplets [-]
ρ_c	density of the continuous phase [kg m^{-3}]
ρ_d	density of the dispersed phase [kg m^{-3}]
σ	interfacial tension [N m]
σ_d	standard deviation of the normal distribution [μm]
$\sigma_{\log(d)}$	standard deviation of the log-normal distribution [μm]
τ	skewness parameter in the Generalized Gamma distribution [-]
ε	energy dissipation rate per unit mass of fluid [W kg^{-1}]
ε_m	maximum energy dissipation rate per unit mass of fluid [W kg^{-1}]

Dimensionless numbers

Po	Power number $PN^{-3}D^{-5}\rho^{-1}$
----	---------------------------------------

Abbreviations

DSD	droplet size distribution
GGd	Generalized Gamma distribution
SLES	Sodium Laureth Sulfate

1 Introduction

2 High-shear mixers are able to create small droplets with large interfa-
3 cial areas due to their localized energy dissipation rates, high rotor speeds
4 and the narrow spacing between the rotor and the stator. These mixers are
5 widely used to produce cosmetics, foods, paints, pharmaceuticals and chem-
6 ical (Zhang et al., 2012; Atiemo-Obeng and Calabrese, 2003), but despite
7 their wide applicability there is almost no fundamental understanding on
8 these devices (Atiemo-Obeng and Calabrese, 2003). The two main types of
9 high-shear mixers used are the radial discharge batch and the in-line rotor-
10 stators. In-line rotor-stators allow for continuous processing and offer ver-
11 satility to change from one product formulation to another using the same
12 equipment by valve switching.

13 The droplet size distribution (DSD) of an emulsion affects its stability
14 (Ma et al., 2005), rheology (Derkach, 2009) and absorption in drug delivery
15 systems (Ma et al., 2010). For example, narrow DSDs are less susceptible
16 to coalescence and Ostwald ripening; therefore personal care products with
17 broad DSD are stabilized by large amounts of surfactants which may cause
18 irritation, skin drying and allergic reactions (Nazir et al., 2013). The rheol-
19 ogy of emulsions depends on the droplet-droplet interactions and droplet de-
20 formability among other parameters, which are a function of viscosity (both
21 phases), volume fraction, mean droplet size and their DSD (Derkach, 2009);
22 this is important in products such as paint (Watson and Mackley, 2002).

23 In this study we deal with dilute systems; for these systems drop coales-
24 cence is considered negligible and drop breakage can be isolated for its study.
25 Mechanistic models assume that equilibrium for these systems is reached

when all of the drops are smaller than a maximum stable drop size d_{max} (Leng and Calabrese, 2003). A linear relationship between d_{max} and the Sauter mean diameter $\bar{d}_{32}$ was proposed by Shinnar (1961) and has been used by many authors

$$\bar{d}_{32} = A_1 d_{max} \quad (1)$$

The Sauter mean diameter is one of the most important measures of central tendency used in emulsification technology because it is inversely proportional to the interfacial area of a given distribution. The previous relationship makes $\bar{d}_{32}$ and d_{max} in all the models presented in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 interchangeable. The equations below show how $\bar{d}_{32}$ is calculated if the number frequency $f_n(d_i)$ or the volume frequency $f_v(d_i)$ are given.

$$\bar{d}_{32} = \frac{\sum_{i=1} f_n(d_i) d_i^3}{\sum_{i=1} f_n(d_i) d_i^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1} f_v(d_i)}{\sum_{i=1} \frac{f_v(d_i)}{d_i}} \quad (2)$$

Where d_i is the i th droplet diameter.

As many emulsions may have the same d_{max} and/or $\bar{d}_{32}$ but different DSD, it is highly desirable to obtain a model which describes the whole distribution, specially when the DSD are bimodal.

Theoretical background

Mechanistic models

It is widely accepted that in turbulent flow droplets can break by two types of stresses depending on the droplets size in relation with the size of

the smallest possible eddies. According to Kolmogorov (1949) the length scale of the smallest eddies η for isotropic turbulence is given by

$$\eta = \left(\frac{\mu_c}{\rho_c} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}} \quad (3)$$

Where μ_c and ρ_c are the viscosity and density of the continuous phase and ε is the local energy dissipation rate which value depends on the location of the tank, thereby it is more convenient to use the average energy dissipation rate $\bar{\varepsilon}$ or the maximum energy dissipation rate ε_m , both being proportional for geometrically similar systems (Leng and Calabrese, 2003)

$$\varepsilon_m \propto \bar{\varepsilon} \propto \frac{P}{\rho_c V} \propto \frac{\text{Po} \rho_c N^3 D^5}{\rho_c D^3} \propto \text{Po} N^3 D^2 \quad (4)$$

Where P is the power consumption, V is the volume of the vessel and Po is the dimensionless power number ($\text{Po} = P/\rho_c N^3 D^5$). For a geometrically similar mixers and constant Po : $\varepsilon \sim N^3 D^2$ where N is the impeller speed and D its diameter. The aforementioned stresses are either due to hydrodynamic fluctuations when the droplets are larger than η or by viscous stresses when these are smaller.

The maximum drop diameter d_{max} in the inertia regime according to the Kolmogorov-Hinze theory is (Kolmogorov, 1949; Hinze, 1955):

$$d_{max} \propto \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho_c} \right)^{\frac{3}{5}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{5}} \quad (5)$$

Where σ is the interfacial tension. The previous equation was obtained by balancing the disruptive forces ($\propto (\varepsilon d)^{2/3}$) and the capillary pressure inside

the droplet ($4\sigma d^{-1}$). Equation 5 does not take into account the viscosity of the dispersed phase μ_d and is considered valid only for the inviscid droplets or when μ_d is small. Davies (1985) included a viscous resistance inside the deforming droplet and Calabrese et al. (1986) expanded the model by doing a balance of the disruptive turbulent energy obtaining

$$d_{max} = A_1 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho_c} \right)^{\frac{3}{5}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{5}} \left[1 + A_2 \left(\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu_d \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{3}} d_{max}^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sigma} \right]^{\frac{3}{5}} \quad (6)$$

Where ρ_d is the density of the dispersed phase and A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are fitting constants.

In the turbulent viscous regime $d_{max} < \eta$, in this range μ_c is no longer negligible (as in Eq. 6) as viscous stresses may add to inertial stresses. Two sets of mechanistic models can be derived in this regime depending on the type of stresses considered: inertial stresses for $d_{max} < \eta$ and viscous stress for $d_{max} \ll \eta$. For inertial stresses in the turbulent viscous regime (Padron, 2005)

$$d_{max} = A_3 \left(\frac{\sigma \mu_c}{\rho_c^2 \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[1 + A_4 \frac{\mu_d \rho_c}{\sigma} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_d \mu_c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{max} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (7)$$

If viscous stresses inside the turbulent eddies act as the disruptive forces, according to Shinnar (1961) these are $\sim (\varepsilon \rho_c \mu_c)^{1/2}$. The balance of the disruptive force, capillary forces and viscous forces inside the droplet yield (Padron, 2005)

$$d_{max} = A_5 \frac{\sigma}{(\varepsilon \mu_c \rho_c)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[1 + A_6 \frac{\mu_d}{\sigma} \frac{(\mu_c \rho_c)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\rho_d^{\frac{1}{2}}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \right] \quad (8)$$

Table 1: Summary of limits of the Mechanistic Models and power law indexes on studied variables.

			Limit	Model	Index	
			$\mu_d \rightarrow$	$d_{max} \propto$	μ_d	N
Supra-Kolmogorov	Inertia range (Eq. 6)	sub- (Eq.	0	$\left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho_c}\right)^{3/5} \varepsilon^{-2/5}$ (9)	0	-6/5
			∞	$\left[\frac{\mu_d}{(\rho_c \rho_d)^{1/2}}\right]^{3/4} \varepsilon^{-1/4}$ (10)	3/4	-3/4
Sub-Kolmogorov	Inertia stress model (Eq. 7)		0	$\left(\frac{\sigma \mu_c}{\rho_c^2}\right)^{1/3} \varepsilon^{-1/3}$ (11)	0	-1
			∞	$\left[\left(\frac{\mu_d}{\rho_c}\right)^2 \frac{\mu_c}{\rho_d}\right]^{1/4} \varepsilon^{-1/4}$ (12)	1/2	-3/4
	Viscous stress model (Eq. 8)		0	$\frac{\sigma}{(\mu_c \rho_c)^{1/2}} \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ (13)	0	-3/2
			∞	$\frac{\mu_d}{(\rho_d^2 \mu_c \rho_c)^{1/4}} \varepsilon^{-1/4}$ (14)	1	-3/4

78 The limits for $\mu_d \rightarrow 0$ (inviscid limit) and $\mu_d \rightarrow \infty$ of the three mecha-
79 nistic models presented in Equations 6, 7 and 8 are shown in Table 1. The
80 present study is focused on μ_d , N and $\bar{t}_{res}$ on the Droplets Size Distribution.
81 The same table shows that according to the mechanistic models, μ_d 's power
82 law dependency for highly-viscous oils ranges from 0.5 to 1 and N 's index
83 ranges from -0.75 to -1.5.

84 Atiemo-Obeng and Calabrese (2003) estimated that stirred vessels are
85 usually not operated at a sufficient high power draw to produce droplets of
86 the length of η . Rueger and Calabrese (2013) experimented with a high-shear
87 mixer and found that their data were well correlated by the sub-Kolmogorov
88 inertia stress mechanistic models whereas Hall et al. (2011) obtained droplets

89 of the order of magnitude of η and none of the mechanistic models described
 90 their results, this was attributed to the homogeneous isotropic turbulence
 91 assumption which is unlikely for rotor-stators.

92 *Multi-pass emulsification*

93 The mechanistic models above assume that the equilibrium DSD has been
 94 reached, nevertheless in in-line rotor-stators the residence time is usually in-
 95 sufficient to reach equilibrium and further drop breakage occurs for multiple
 96 passes. Jasińska et al. (2014); Håkansson et al. (2016) and Carrillo De Hert
 97 and Rodgers (2017) performed experiments for $n = 10, 8$ and 20 respectively
 98 and were unable to reach steady-state. Two different approaches for mul-
 99 tipass experiments for in-line rotor-stators have been reported in literature.
 100 The first one is the energy density E proposed by Karbstein and Schubert
 101 (1995)

$$\bar{d}_{32} \propto E^{A_7} \propto (\bar{\varepsilon} \bar{t}_{res})^{A_7} \quad (15)$$

102 Making $\bar{t}_{res} = Vn/\dot{Q}$ where V is the swept volume (Hall et al., 2013), n is the
 103 number of passes through the rotor-stator and $\dot{Q}$ is the volumetric flow rate
 104 throw the rotor-stator. Substituting Equation 4 in the previous equation we
 105 obtain

$$\bar{d}_{32} \propto \left(P \frac{n}{\dot{Q}} \right)^{A_7} \quad (16)$$

106 For a in-line rotor-stator P is a function of $\dot{Q}$, N , the equipment and the
 107 properties of the materials being processed, a method to obtain P can be
 108 found in (Kowalski, 2009).

109 Hall et al. (2011, 2013) could not fit their results using Equation 16. Hall
 110 et al. (2013) proposed a second correlation based on tip speed (ND) and $\bar{t}_{res}$

$$\bar{d}_{32} \propto (ND)^{A_8} \left(\frac{n}{\dot{Q}} \right)^{A_9} \quad (17)$$

111 They found that A_9 decreased as μ_d increased, they found $A_9 = -0.148$ for
 112 the 9.4×10^{-3} Pa s silicon oil and $A_9 = -0.043$ for the 3.39×10^{-1} Pa s one.
 113 Hall et al. (2011) found that $\bar{d}_{32} \propto \dot{Q}^{-0.19}$. In our previous work (Carrillo De
 114 Hert and Rodgers, 2017) we found that the mode Mo was more useful than
 115 $\bar{d}_{32}$ to track emulsification kinetics and that the DSDs were homoscedastic
 116 and hence $d_{max} \propto Mo$. We could not fit the Mo of our DSD using Equation
 117 16 either, nevertheless Equation 17 provided a good fit, making $A_8 = -1.2$
 118 and $A_9 = -0.2$ we obtained $R^2 = 0.985$ and a mean error of 4.7% for 78
 119 DSDs (n and $\dot{Q}$ varied systematically) using a 9.580×10^{-3} Pa s silicon oil.

120 *Droplet Size Distribution*

121 For A_1 in Equation 1 Sprow (1967) found $A_1 = 0.38$. Calabrese et al.
 122 (1986) determined that A_1 decreases as μ_d increases, they found $A_1 = 0.59$
 123 for $\mu_d = 1 \times 10^{-1}$ Pa s and $A_1 = 0.52$ for $\mu_d = 10$ Pa s. Rueger and Cal-
 124 abrese (2013) obtained $A_1 = 0.49$. Li et al. (2014) found that $A_1 = 0.16$,
 125 they attributed the discrepancy to the bimodality of the DSD they obtained.
 126 Liu et al. (2013) also studied bimodal DSD and found that A_1 decreased
 127 from 0.225 to 0.130 as the viscosity of the dispersed phase increased from
 128 9.6×10^{-3} Pa s to 4.25×10^{-1} Pa s, but was independent on N and volume
 129 fraction.

130 Chen and Middleman (1967) fitted a normal distribution to their DSD
 131 by volume.

$$P_v\left(\frac{d_i}{\bar{d}_{32}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_d} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(\frac{d_i}{\bar{d}_{32}} - \frac{\bar{d}_{30}}{\bar{d}_{32}}\right)^2}{2\sigma_d^2}\right] \quad (18)$$

132 Where $P_v(d_i/\bar{d}_{32})$ is the normalized volume probability density, σ_d its stan-
 133 dard deviation and $\bar{d}_{30}$ is the volume arithmetic mean.

134 Calabrese et al. (1986) and Vankova et al. (2007) used similar expressions
 135 to fit the volume DSD. Nevertheless Calabrese et al. (1986) found that for
 136 high viscosities the DSDs broaden and could be better described by a number
 137 log-normal distribution.

$$P_n(d_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\log(d)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\left[\log(d_i) - \overline{\log(d_i)}\right]^2}{2\sigma_{\log(d)}^2}\right\} \quad (19)$$

138 Where $P_n(d_i)$ is the number probability density, $\sigma_{\log(d)}$ its standard devia-
 139 tion and $\overline{\log(d_i)}$ the geometric mean.

140 Li et al. (2014) emulsified a 1.14×10^{-1} Pa s crude oil in water using a
 141 rotor-stator at different N and volume fraction and obtained bimodal DSDs.
 142 They transformed the DSD by volume into a number distribution. This
 143 operation gives higher weight to the smallest droplet, and this conversion
 144 resulted in a monomodal DSD by number. They further used three different
 145 probability density functions to fit the DSD by number; namely a normal,
 146 a log-normal and a Fréchet distribution. The Fréchet distribution provided
 147 the best fit.

$$P_n(d_i) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{d_i}\right)^{\alpha+1} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\beta}{d_i}\right)^\alpha\right] \quad (20)$$

148 Where α and β are obtained using the Equations below

$$\beta^\alpha = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i^\alpha}} \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{n}{\alpha} + n \ln \beta - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln d_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\beta}{d_i} \right)^\alpha \ln \left(\frac{\beta}{d_i} \right) = 0 \quad (22)$$

150 The same fit was used by Liu et al. (2013) for a variety of bimodal emul-
 151 sions obtained using a rotor-stator and silicon oils in the 9.6×10^{-3} Pa s-
 152 4.26×10^{-1} Pa s viscosity range.

153 **Materials and equipment and methods**

154 *Materials*

155 For each experiment 10 L of coarse emulsion was prepared using different
 156 Silicon Oils. The Silicon Oil concentration of the emulsions was 1% by volume
 157 and 1% by weight of surfactant.

158 Texapon N701 (Cognis Ltd., Hertfordshire, U.K.) was used used as sur-
 159 factant. Texapon contains $\approx 70\%$ concentration by weight of sodium laureth
 160 sulfate (SLES) and $\approx 30\%$ water and impurities. SLES is an anionic surfac-
 161 tant consisting of mixture of alkyl ether sulphates (C_{12-14}) with EO sodium
 162 salt. Its molar mass and specific gravity s are 420 g mol^{-1} and 1.03 respec-
 163 tively (EL-Hamouz et al., 2009).

164 Six 200 Silicone Fluid (dimethyl siloxane, Dow Corning, Michigan, U.S.A.)
 165 of different viscosity were used as dispersed phase. Additionally, a blend of
 166 the 1000 cSt and 10000 cSt Silicon Oils was made to obtain an oil of inter-
 167 mediate viscosity, this oil was labelled as 2760 cSt. The specific gravity s ,

dynamic viscosity μ_d , interfacial tension σ of the Silicon Oil-1% SLES/water solution, and refractive index n_{ri} of the seven oils are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Relevant properties of the Silicon Oils used at 25 °C.

Silicon Oil [cSt]	s [-]	μ_d [Pa s]	σ [N m]	n_{ri} [-]
10	0.934	9.580×10^{-3}	8.809×10^{-3}	1.399
50	0.960	4.913×10^{-2}	9.096×10^{-3}	1.402
350	0.965	3.279×10^{-1}	9.129×10^{-3}	1.403
1000	0.970	9.474×10^{-1}	9.172×10^{-3}	1.404
2760	0.970	2.745×10^0	-	1.404
10000	0.970	1.051×10^1	-	1.404
30000	0.970	2.951×10^1	-	1.404

The specific gravities listed are the ones found in the material’s Safety Data Sheet (SDS); the viscosities were determined experimentally using a DV2T Viscometer (Brookfield Vicometers, Essex, U.K.) in a water bath; the interfacial tension was measured using a platinum-iridium KRUSS standard ring (KRUSS GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) and a K11 Mk4 Tensiometer (KRUSS GmbH, Hamburg, Germany); and the refractive indexes using a RFM390 Refractometer (Bellingham & Stanley Ltd, Kent, UK). The σ for Silicon Oils with $\mu_d > 9.474 \times 10^{-1}$ Pa s could not be measured using the available Du-Noüy ring method; nevertheless, as the change of σ as μ increases is small, it is assumed constant for the most viscous oils. As shown in Table 2, Silicon Oils allow to study the effect of the dispersed phase viscosity on the DSD as these are available in a wide range of viscosities while having similar s , σ and n_{ri} .

183 *Equipment and methods*

184 The equipment used for this study has been thoroughly described in (Car-
185 rillo De Hert and Rodgers, 2017) for the continuous arrangement. A L5M-A
186 Laboratory Mixer (Silverson Machines Ltd, Chesham, U.K.) was used for
187 this study. The rotor used had four blades, an external diameter of 30 mm,
188 blade thickness of 5 mm and a height of 10 mm. The screen used had 240
189 holes in 6 rows of 40 holes each in pitch arrangement. Its external diameter,
190 height and thickness were 32 mm, 20 mm and 1 mm respectively. The Power
191 number Po for this rotor-stator has been previously determined to be 0.215
192 in Ref. (Carrillo De Hert and Rodgers, 2017).

193 A peristaltic pump (501 single channel pumphead, Watson Marlow, Corn-
194 wall, U.K.) with arbitrary pump number ranging from 1 to 999 was used to
195 push the coarse emulsion through the L5M-A Laboratory Mixer (Silverson
196 Machines Ltd, Chesham, U.K.) working at a established impeller speed N .
197 The output was collected in a second 12 L vessel. For experiments involving
198 multiple passes, the feed and collection tank were swapped and the process
199 was repeated for n number of passes.

200 The coarse emulsions were prepared by dissolving 142.94 g of Texapon
201 N701 in 9.77 L of water in an unbaffled 12 L cylindrical vessel using a 6-
202 blade Rushton impeller with 6 cm of diameter. Once the surfactant had been
203 completely dissolved, 0.100 L of Silicon Oil were poured slowly while the
204 vessel was being stirred at a rotational speed $N = 6.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The emulsions
205 were stirred for 24 h to guarantee that the coarse emulsion fed to the rotor-
206 stator had the same drop size and DSD (see supplementary material 1).
207 The stirring speed in the vessel was lowered to $N = 3.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ while the coarse

208 emulsion was pumped through the rotor-stator to ensure that further droplet
 209 burst was only due to the action of the rotor-stator while keeping the coarse
 210 emulsion homogeneous within the vessel.

211 Samples for each pass through the rotor-stator were immediately analysed
 212 in a Mastersizer 3000 (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, U.K.). The n_{ri} used
 213 for each Silicon Oil are shown in Table 2, while the one used for water was
 214 1.333. The absorption index used for all Silicon Oils was 10^{-3} following
 215 Malvern’s suggestion. Each sample was analysed 5 times and at least three
 216 samples were analysed; the arithmetical average of the 15 distributions was
 217 obtained and are the DSD reported in this study.

218 The experiments in this study focus on the effect of μ , $\bar{t}_{res}$ and N on
 219 the DSD. Experiments for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, keeping $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\dot{Q} =$
 220 $2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ constant using the Silicon Oils previously listed in Table
 221 2 were performed. For the 1000 cSt Silicon Oil ($\mu = 9.474 \times 10^{-1} \text{ Pa s}$)
 222 additional experiments varying $\dot{Q}$ were performed for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ keeping
 223 $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Lastly, the effect of N for three Silicon Oils was studied for
 224 $n = 1$ and $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. For this N range all the experiments are
 225 in the turbulent regime (constant Po) (Carrillo De Hert and Rodgers, 2017).

226 The experimental matrix can be seen in Table 3. The first experiments
 227 performed were the ones varying μ_d for constant N and $\dot{Q}$. The results
 228 obtained for these experiments were analysed before doing the rest of the
 229 experiments. The effect of N , n and $\dot{Q}$ for the 10 cSt Silicon Oil have been
 230 previously reported by Carrillo De Hert and Rodgers (2017) using the same
 231 equipment. As will be seen in Section 4, the experiments using the 350 cSt
 232 Silicon Oil yielded DSD where the two distributions of the daughter droplets

Table 3: Experimental matrix. X denoted the experiments performed.

μ_d [Pa s]	$n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $\dot{Q}/10^{-5} [\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}]$				$n = 1$ $\dot{Q}/10^{-5} = 2.217 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $N [\text{s}^{-1}]$				
	0.908	1.506	2.217	2.897	50	75	100	125	150
9.580×10^{-3}	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
4.913×10^{-2}	-	-	X	-	X	X	X	X	X
3.279×10^{-1}	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
9.474×10^{-1}	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2.745	-	-	X	-	X	X	X	X	X
1.051×10^1	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.951×10^1	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-

233 were not distinguishable and thus no more experiments using this oil were
 234 done. For two thickest oils did not follow the model proposed for the 10
 235 cSt-2 760 cSt Silicon Oils, and thus no further experiments were performed
 236 because thicker oils were unavailable.

237 Results and discussion

238 Phenomenology

239 The DSDs by volume obtained for the experiments at constant $\dot{Q}$ and N
 240 for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ for all the silicon oils are shown in Figure 1. Figures 1a and
 241 1b show one distinctive peak whereas the DSDs for the 350 cSt Silicon Oil
 242 (Fig. 1c) have a broader DSD than the obtained for the previously mentioned
 243 oils. Furthermore, the DSD is not symmetrical and as will be discussed later,
 244 this was attributed to the presence of two types of daughter droplets namely
 245 the large and small daughter droplets. Thicker oils (Figs. 1d-1g) showed
 246 a clear bi-modality. Figures 1d-1f show that the distribution of the small

247 daughter drops is broader than the one of the large ones, they also show
 248 that as viscosity increases the peaks get further apart: (1) the size of the
 249 large droplets increase and (2) the small daughter droplets become smaller.
 250 Therefore the asymmetrical shape of the DSDs obtained for the 350 cSt oil
 251 was attributed to the distributions of the large and small droplets being close
 252 together. From this it can be inferred that a change of the droplet break-up
 253 mechanism occurs for a viscosity between 50 cSt and 350 cSt.

Figure 1: DSDs obtained for all Silicon Oils for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

254 For the 30 000 cSt (Fig. 1g) it can be seen than small daughter droplets
255 are larger than the ones obtained using the 10 000 cSt oil, reversing the trend
256 found for the 350 cSt-10 000 cSt viscosity range. Furthermore, the shape of
257 the DSDs in Figure 1g suggest that a third distribution may be present (best
258 observable for $n = 1$).

259 Figs. 1a and 1b shows that as n ($\propto \bar{t}_{res}$) increases the droplets become
260 smaller, this is also true for the large daughter droplets in Figures 1c-1g,
261 suggesting that the large daughter droplets are generated by the same break-
262 up mechanism that gave birth to the ones for the 10cSt and 50 cSt oils. From
263 Figures 1d-1g it can also be observed that n has no influence on the size of
264 the small daughter droplets, but do increase their quantity.

265 In their study on the effect of viscosity on the DSD for stirred vessels,
266 Calabrese et al. (1986) reported a transition from a monomodal to a bimodal
267 DSD for silicon oils with a $\mu \geq 1$ Pa s which was attributed to a transition
268 from a bursting to a transition breakage mechanism. For the bimodal DSDs
269 they noted that as viscosity increases the DSD broadens as the small droplets
270 become smaller and the large larger. This is in agreement with the results
271 obtained in this study, however the appearance of the two types of droplets
272 may appear at viscosities lower than $\mu_d \geq 3.279 \times 10^{-1}$ Pa.s. On the other
273 hand, Hall et al. (2011) reported that for an in-line rotor-stator both types
274 of daughter droplets increased in size with viscosity which is in disagreement
275 with the findings in this study.

276 Most of the DSD obtained allow for the Mode *Mo* of one or two distri-
277 butions to be obtained; the exception being the DSD obtained for the 350
278 cSt where two distributions are merged and produce a distribution with an

single mode.

The Mo of the large Mo_L and small Mo_s daughter droplets of the DSDs presented in Figure 1 are shown in Figure 2 as a function of n and in Figure 3 as a function of μ_d . The results presented in Figure 2a for the most viscous Silicon Oils are scattered due to the difficulty in analysing the samples, nevertheless Figure 2a shows that Mo_L decreases in size as n increases and Figure 2b that its effect on Mo_s is negligible.

Figure 2: Effect of n for constant for $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$ on (a) Mo_L and (b) Mo_s .

Figure 3 shows Mo_L for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ and the averaged Mo_s for all n (Mo_s independent on n). Mo_L first increases with viscosity and then plateaus, on the other hand Mo_s decreases with viscosity and suddenly increases for the most viscous Silicon Oil, suggesting a change in the break-up mechanism. The index found for the large and small daughter droplets were 0.37 and -0.37 respectively. Suggesting that the droplets increase and decrease in size at the same rate respectively.

The power law index found in our study is in disagreement with any of

Figure 3: Mo_L (closed symbols) for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ and averaged Mo_s (open symbols) as a function of μ_d for $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$

the three mechanistic models (Eqs. 6, 7 and 8 and Tab. 1) reviewed in Section 2. The estimated $\eta = 6.3 \mu\text{m}$ which is in the order of magnitude of the d_{max} obtained for the two thinnest oils (See Figs. 1a and 1b), implying that either the Supra-Kolmogorov inertia sub-range or the Sub-Kolmogorov inertia stress model should apply. As shown in Table 1, the expected viscosity dependence index should be either 1/2 or 3/4, which are significantly higher than the 0.37 found in this study. Thicker oils have a $d_{max} > \eta$ and no change in slope was obtained.

The studies found in literature on the effect of μ_d on the DSD use d_{max} or $\bar{d}_{32}$ as parameter. It is important to mention that if the shape (broadness and skewness) of the distribution of the large daughter droplets is independent on property materials and processing parameters, the trends found in this study for Mo_L should be similar to the ones for d_{max} , not to $\bar{d}_{32}$. The $\bar{d}_{32}$ includes both types of daughter droplets, meaning that it is affected by their size, volume fraction and distribution shape unless the DSDs are monomodal and homoscedastic.

310 Arai K. et al. (1977) used Polystyrene in the 7.8×10^{-4} Pa s-1.5 Pa s vis-
 311 cosity range as dispersed phase to study the d_{max} dependency on μ_d . He
 312 found that for 7.8×10^{-4} Pa s $> \mu_d > 7.8 \times 10^{-2}$ Pa s the droplet sizes did
 313 not vary; droplet size increased with μ_d until a 0.75 power-law index was
 314 reached for 2×10^{-1} Pa s $> \mu_d > 1.5$ Pa s, finally a decrease in the index for
 315 polystyrene with $\mu_d > 1.5$ Pa s was found. Ludwig et al. (1997) used a screw
 316 loop reactor to emulsify paraffin oils in the 3.2×10^{-2} Pa s- 1.9×10^{-1} Pa s in
 317 water and SDS (Sodium dodecyl sulfate) as emulsifier. They found the same
 318 trend as Arai K. et al. (1977) but the d_{max} dependency on μ_d started to level
 319 off at μ_d values as low as $\mu_d \geq 1.9 \times 10^{-1}$ Pa s. Liu et al. (2013) developed a
 320 model based on the one of Calabrese et al. (1986) that accounts for dispersed
 321 phase volume fraction and dispersed phase viscosity, their model suggests
 322 that $d_{max} \propto \mu_d^{0.6}$. Our results follow the trend found in previous studies in
 323 that the droplet sizes increase with viscosity and then levels off, but does not
 324 agree on the power-law index value.

325 Arai K. et al. (1977) and Padron (2005) suggested that when μ_d is rel-
 326 atively small deformed drops can restore their spherical shape faster than
 327 the more viscous drops. Because viscous drops have a longer deformation
 328 time-scale, it is difficult to restore their equilibrium shape and thus several
 329 eddies may elongate the drops consecutively forming threads before bursting.
 330 The higher the viscosity the longer these threads are and therefore more and
 331 smaller satellite drops will be generated. This droplet break-up mechanisms
 332 resembles the ones for laminar shear flows known as capillary-wave instability
 333 or Rayleigh instability. Eastwood et al. (2004) used high-speed video images
 334 to study the breakup of materials in the 5×10^{-4} Pa s- 5.09×10^{-2} Pa s viscos-

ity range using a prototypical set-up with a turbulent water jet. They found that droplets stretch dramatically before rupture and that the stretching increases with viscosity.

Figure 4 shows the $\bar{d}_{32}$ as a function of μ_d for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$. As expected, $\bar{d}_{32}$ increases with viscosity for the oils producing monomodal DSDs ($\mu_d = 9.580 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa s}$ and $\mu_d = 4.913 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa s}$). For $4.913 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa s} > \mu_d > 1.051 \times 10^1 \text{ Pa s}$ decreases in a power-law fashion. The gradual decline in $\bar{d}_{32}$ in this viscosity range is due to the decrease in size of the small daughter droplets which have a stronger effect on $\bar{d}_{32}$ than the large daughter droplets. For $\mu_d = 2.951 \text{ Pa s}$ the trend shot up, the cause being the increase in size of the small daughter droplets (see Fig. 3).

Figure 4: $\bar{d}_{32}$ as a function μ_d for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Hall et al. (2011) and Padron (2005) studied the effect of viscosity on the DSD using a batch and in-line rotor-stator respectively. Both authors found a $\bar{d}_{32}$ increase with viscosity followed by a plateau and the appearance of a second distribution for the most viscous oils. Wang and Calabrese (1986) dispersed Silicon Oils in the $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa s}$ - 1 Pa s viscosity range in several

ethanol in water solutions. They also found that a 0.75 power law index described the $\bar{d}_{32}$ dependency on μ_d for intermediate viscosity silicon oils. They could fit their data to an equation similar to Equation 6 but had to exclude the results for the 1 Pa.s. EL-Hamouz et al. (2009) found a 0.46 power law index dependency of $\bar{d}_{32}$ on μ_d for silicon oils in the 4.9×10^{-4} Pa.s- 3.4×10^{-1} Pa.s viscosity range using a pitched blade turbine and a sawtooth impeller. The studies mentioned on the effect of $\bar{d}_{32}$ are in disagreement with our results as was shown in Figure 4.

Figures 5a and 5b depict the effect of N . The former shows the effect of N on the DSD for the 1 000 cSt Silicon Oil for a single pass and constant $\dot{Q}$. This figure shows that as N increases the size of both types of daughter droplets decrease in size and that the amount of small daughter droplets increases. This is further shown in the later Figure for different μ_d .

Figure 5: (a) DSD for the 1 000 cSt Silicon Oil for different impeller speeds N and constant $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $n = 1$. (b) Mo_L (closed symbols) and Mo_S (open symbols) as a function of N for constants $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $n = 1$.

Provided that most of the DSDs obtained allow to obtain one or two Mo , power law dependencies on μ , N and $\bar{t}_{res}$ ($\bar{t}_{res} \propto n/\dot{Q}$) were proposed

$$Mo_L = C_{L,0} \mu^{C_{L,\mu}} N^{C_{L,N}} \left(\frac{n}{\dot{Q}} \right)^{C_{L,\bar{t}}} \quad (23)$$

$$Mo_s = C_{s,0} \mu^{C_{s,\mu}} N^{C_{s,N}} \left(\frac{n}{\dot{Q}} \right)^{C_{s,\bar{t}}} \quad (24)$$

365 For Mo_L it was found that the power model proposed described the data
 366 obtained for the 10 cSt - 2 760 cSt Silicon Oils while failing to describe the
 367 results for the two most viscous oils as $C_{L,\mu} = 0$ (see Fig. 3). Multivariable
 368 linear and transformed power regressions were performed to obtain the value
 369 of the constants and their 95% confidence intervals CI : $C_{L,0} = 1.14 \times 10^5 \pm$
 370 43.3% , $C_{L,\mu} = 0.365 \pm 6.24\%$, $C_{L,N} = -1.06 \pm 12.5\%$ and $C_{L,\bar{t}} = -0.192 \pm$
 371 24.6% . The coefficient of determination R^2 obtained was 0.958 and the mean
 372 absolute error MAE was 8.74%. Carrillo De Hert and Rodgers (2017) found
 373 that $C_{L,\bar{t}} = -0.2$ for the a larger set of experiments using the same 10 cSt
 374 Silicon Oil and the same equipment used for this study. To homologate
 375 both studies, the fit for $C_{L,\bar{t}}$ was forced to -0.2 , the corrected value for the
 376 other constants are shown in Table 4. The result obtained was a fit with an
 377 $R^2 = 0.959$ and $MAE = 8.71\%$.

Table 4: Fitting constants for Eqs. 23 and 24 and their 95% CI .

x	$C_{x,0}$	$C_{x,\mu}$	$C_{x,N}$	$C_{x,\bar{t}}$	MAE
L	$1.18 \times 10^5 \pm 41.2\%$	$0.365 \pm 6.18\%$	$-1.05 \pm 10.3\%$	$-0.2*$	8.71%
s	1.69×10^3	$-0.365*$	$-1.05*$	$0*$	7.47%

* fixed values.

378 Analogously for Mo_s the regression yielded $C_{s,0} = 1.16 \times 10^3 \pm 50.6\%$,
 379 $C_{s,\mu} = 0.385 \pm 10.3\%$, $C_{s,N} = -1.02 \pm 15.8\%$ and $C_{s,\bar{t}} = -0.0211 \pm 242\%$. The
 380 fit yielded $R^2 = 0.851$ and an $MAE = 8.24\%$. As $C_{s,\mu} \sim -C_{L,\mu}$, $C_{s,N} \sim C_{L,N}$
 381 these term were assumed equal and $C_{s,\bar{t}}$ was assumed to be zero as its value
 382 is small and its CI is large. The new values for the constants in Equation
 383 24 can also be consulted in Table 4; the R^2 and MAE were 0.884 and 7.47%
 384 respectively.

385 The Goodness of the fit for both types of daughter droplets is showed in
 386 Figure 6.

Figure 6: Goodness of the fit using Eqs. 23 and 24 and the constant values in Tab. 4. The dashed lines represent 15% error.

387 Our model suggest that bimodality surges when Mo_L and Mo_s acquire
 388 the same value; when the lines in Figure 3 intercept; the constants obtained
 389 in Table 4 suggest that bimodality is independent on N but dependent on
 390 $\bar{t}_{res}$:

$$\mu = 3 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{n}{\dot{Q}} \right)^{0.274} \quad (25)$$

391 For example, for $n = 1$ and $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, Equation 25 suggests

that small daughter droplets will be present for $\mu > 5.65 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa s}$. As $t_{res} \propto n/\dot{Q}$, equation 25 also suggests that the appearance of small daughter droplets for a given viscosity occur for small t_{res} as the Mo of the large daughter droplets approaches the size of the small daughter droplets.

Droplet Size Distribution modelling

As the results obtained from the Mastersizer are reported as frequency distributions by volume $f_v(d_i)$, these were converted into probability density functions $P_v(d_i)$. The conversion was done using the trapezium integration rule.

$$P_v(d_i) = \frac{f_v(d_i)}{\sum_{d_i=0.01 \mu\text{m}}^{3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{m}} \frac{f_v(d_{i+1}) - f_v(d_i)}{2(d_{i+1} + d_i)}} \cdot 100 \quad (26)$$

The former equation allows for the area under the distribution, or the total probability to be 100%. The probability density function used for this study is the Generalized Gamma distribution (GGd). This distribution has three parameter: one scale parameter λ and two shape parameters κ and τ ; the former is related to the broadness of the distribution and the later to its skewness. Its equation is

$$P_v(d_i) = \frac{\kappa}{\lambda \Gamma(\tau)} \left(\frac{d_i}{\lambda} \right)^{\tau\kappa-1} \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{d_i}{\lambda} \right)^\kappa \right\} \quad (27)$$

Two GGd were used when the condition established in Equation 25 was true. To account for the fraction of large and small daughter droplets the parameters ϕ_L and ϕ_s were introduced ($\phi_s = 1 - \phi_L$). The equation for

410 bimodal distributions thus become

$$P_{v,T}(d_i) = (1 - \phi_s)P_{v,L}(d_i) + \phi_s P_{v,s}(d_i) \quad (28)$$

411 Where $P_{v,T}(d_i)$ is the probability distribution of the emulsion, $P_{v,L}(d_i)$ and
 412 $P_{v,s}(d_i)$ are the probability distributions of the large and small daughter
 413 droplets respectively. Each of the two distributions have their own scale and
 414 shape parameters.

415 The modelling consisted of two steps, (1) determining the value of the
 416 parameter for $P_{v,L}(d_i)$ and $P_{v,s}(d_i)$ and (2) modelling of ϕ_s .

The effects of μ , N and $n/\dot{Q}$ on the droplet sizes were previously deter-
 mined to follow Equations 23 and 24. The same power law dependencies
 as well as $C_{x,\mu}$, $C_{x,N}$ and $C_{x,\bar{t}}$ reported in Table 4 were used for λ_L and λ_s ,
 nevertheless the pre-exponential factors should acquire new values ($C_{L,1}$ and
 $C_{s,1}$) as the scale of the GGd are affected by its shape factors α and τ

$$\lambda_L = C_{L,1} \mu^{C_{L,\mu}} N^{C_{L,N}} \left(\frac{n}{\dot{Q}} \right)^{C_{L,\bar{t}}} \quad (29)$$

$$\lambda_s = C_{s,1} \mu^{C_{L,\mu}} N^{-C_{L,N}} \quad (30)$$

417 The DSD for both daughter droplet distributions were assumed to be sym-
 418 metrical, this is attained by making τ_L and τ_s large; as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ a GGd
 419 becomes a normal distribution. Therefore we considered $\tau_L = \tau_s = 10$.

420 To determine the best values for α_L and α_s we assumed that the broadness
 421 of the distributions are constant for all the experiments (shape independent
 422 of N , μ and $\bar{t}_{res}$).

423 Figures 2a and 5a show that the volume fraction of small daughter droplets
 424 ϕ_s is affected by μ , n and N . Another power-law dependency was proposed

$$\phi_s = C_{\phi,1} \mu^{C_{\phi,\mu}} N^{\phi,N} \left(\frac{n}{\dot{Q}} \right)^{C_{\phi,\bar{t}}} \quad (31)$$

425 The fit was carried out by minimizing the absolute error between the re-
 426 scaled experimental DSD and Equation 28 for all our experiments (87 DSDs)
 427 for the 10 cst-2 760 cSt viscosity range. It was found that the constants in
 428 Table 5 produced reasonable results while decreasing the number of variables.

Table 5: Values for the constants $C_{x,y}$ obtained by fitting the DSD using *MAE* as criteria.

Scale parameters $C_{x,y}$			
y	x		
	L	s	ϕ
1	6.19×10^3	2.63×10^1	1.33×10^{-4}
μ	0.365	-0.365	-0.365
N	-1.05	-1.05	1.05
$\bar{t}$	-0.2	0	0.2
Shape parameters			
α	0.735	0.488	
τ	10	10	

429 Figure 7 shows how the model fits our results for different viscosities for
 430 constant $n = 5$, $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$. It is worth
 431 mentioning that despite discarding the results for the 350 cSt Silicon Oil
 432 in the previous sections, the model proposed is capable of estimating the
 433 asymmetrical shape of the DSD by volume (see Fig. 7c).

434 Regarding the region where Mo_L and Mo_s are viscosity independent
 435 (plateau in Fig. 3), $C_{L,\mu}$ and $C_{L,\mu}$ become zero in Equations 23 and 24

Figure 7: Experimental and modelled DSD for 5 Silicon Oils for $n = 5$, $\dot{Q} = 2.217 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The error bars represent two standard deviations.

436 respectively. Assuming that the rest of the power indexes keep the same
 437 value $C_{L,0} = 8.41 \times 10^8$ and $C_{s,0} = 9.60 \times 10^2$ with a $MAE = 10.4\%$ for the
 438 large daughter droplets and $MAE = 16.9\%$ for the small ones.

439 The fit shown in Figure 7f for the 10 000 cSt Silicon Oil shows that even
 440 though the modes of the DSD and of the fit coincide, the volume fractions do
 441 not and that there are droplets present in the valley in-between both types
 442 of daughter droplets; this worsens for the 30 000 cSt (not shown).

443 The Goodness of our model can be further assessed in Figure 8 where the
 444 experimental and modelled Sauter mean diameter $\bar{d}_{32}$ are compared.

445 In Figure 9 a 3-D representations of our model is shown. To enhance
 446 visibility, the $P_v(d_i)$ results were transformed into $f_v(d_i)$ doing the inverse
 447 operation done previously by Equation 26.

Figure 8: Goodness of the fit considering the Sauter mean diameter $\bar{d}_{32}$ as parameter. The obtained MAE was 9.4%. The dashed lines represent $\pm 15\%$

Figure 9: Modelled DSD as a function of μ for $(n/\dot{Q}) = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ s m}^{-3}$ and $N = 150 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

448 Figure 9 shows that as viscosity increases, the DSD evolved from a narrow
449 monomodal distribution into a broader DSD (such as the 350 cSt DSD in
450 Figs. 1c and 7c) and finally into a bimodal DSD. As stated previously, large

451 and small daughter droplets are present when the condition established by
 452 Equation 25 is fulfilled, this is the cause for the sudden drop in $f_v(d_i)$ and
 453 increase in broadness. The large and small daughter distributions separate
 454 as viscosity increases; the large droplets become larger and the small become
 455 smaller at the same rate ($C_{L,\mu} = -C_{s,\mu}$). Even though $C_{\phi,\mu}$ is negative, it
 456 can be observed that the amount of small daughter droplets increases with
 457 viscosity, this is because of the transformation of probability density into a
 458 relative frequency.

Figure 10: Modelled DSD as a function of N for $\mu = 1 \text{ Pa s}$ and $(n/\dot{Q}) = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ s m}^{-3}$.

459 Figure 10 depicts the effect of N on a bimodal DSD. It shows that as N
 460 increase the size of both large and small droplets decrease (at the same rate
 461 $C_{L,N} = C_s(N)$), furthermore has a strong impact on ϕ_s ; as N increases so
 462 does the amount of small droplets.

463 The effect of $\bar{t}_{res}$ or $(n/\dot{Q})$ on the DSD is more subtle than the one of

the two aforementioned variables as previously shown in Figure 1; the size of the small daughter droplets is $\bar{t}_{res}$ independent and the large droplets sizes have a -0.2 power dependency. The volume fraction of the small droplets dependency has a 0.2 index.

Conclusions

The effects of dispersed phase viscosity, stirring speed and mean residence time on the droplet size distribution have been investigated with special emphasis on the first by using 7 silicon oils of different viscosity in the 9.58×10^{-3} Pa s- 2.95×10^1 Pa s range. As viscosity increased a transition from monomodal to bimodal distributions was observed, this was attributed to a change in the droplet break-up mechanism. The mode or modes of the DSD were used to characterize the sizes of the large and small daughter droplets under the assumption that the broadness and skewness of the DSD were independent of viscosity.

It was found that the sizes of the large daughter droplets first increased with viscosity with a power law index of 0.37 before levelling off at approximately 2.75 Pa s suggesting a third break-up mechanism. The 0.37 dependency is in disagreement with the one proposed by the mechanistic models. The modes belonging to the small daughter droplets decreased in size at the same rate as the large ones increased.

Furthermore the large daughter droplets decreased in size as the mean residence time increased while the small daughter droplets were unaffected. The power law dependency found was very close to the one found in our previous study Carrillo De Hert and Rodgers (2017) where a more extensive

488 study on mean residence time was done. The effect of stirring speed affected
 489 the sizes of both types of daughter droplets equally with a power law index
 490 of $-1.05 \pm 10.3\%$ which is in agreement with the mechanistic models.

491 Two Generalized Gamma probability density functions were used to fit
 492 the DSD by volume. The scale parameter was parametrized using the same
 493 power functions used to describe the modes; with a 0.365 viscosity index for
 494 viscosities up to 2.745 Pa s and zero for the two most viscous oils. The shape
 495 of both types of daughter droplets were assumed symmetrical (in log scale)
 496 and thus a large value for τ was fixed. The broadness of the distributions
 497 was considered an independent variable but constant throughout the viscos-
 498 ity, mean residence time and stirring speed range. The other independent
 499 variable for fitting the DSD was the volume fraction of the small daughter
 500 droplets, which was assumed to follow another power function for all vari-
 501 ables. The independent variables were adjusted using the minimum absolute
 502 error criteria.

503 For low and intermediate viscosities the fit was successful in that the
 504 shape of the DSD is described and in that the *MAE* of the experimental
 505 and predicted $\bar{d}_{32}$ was *MAE* = 9.4%. As the shape of the large daughter
 506 droplets was homoscedastic throughout the viscosity range, the modes are
 507 proportional to d_{max} .

508 However for the most viscous oils, the region in-between the two distri-
 509 butions could not be described with two GGf and the volume fractions of
 510 each type of daughter droplets could not be predicted. Further studies could
 511 focus on how to predict the DSD for the high viscosity end where an apparent
 512 maximum droplet size is reached.

513 **Funding**

514 The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Mexican Na-
515 tional Council for Science and Technology (CONACYT) for supporting this
516 project as part of the first author’s PhD studies through the CONACYT-The
517 University of Manchester fellowship program.

518 **Acknowledgements**

519 The authors would also like to thank the workshop staff of The University
520 of Manchester’s School of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science for
521 their help with the modifications and maintenance of the equipment.

522 **Bibliography**

- 523 Arai K., K. M., Y., M., Saito, S., 1977. Effect of dispersed-phase viscosity
524 on the maximum stable drop size for breakup in turbulent flow. Journal of
525 Chemical Engineering of Japan 10 (4), 325–330.
526 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1252/jcej.10.325>
- 527 Atiemo-Obeng, V. A., Calabrese, R. V., 11 2003. Handbook of Industrial
528 Mixing: Science and Practice. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Ch. 8 Rotor-Stator
529 Mixing Devices, pp. 479–506.
- 530 Calabrese, R. V., K., C. T. P., Dang, P. T., apr 1986. Drop breakup in tur-
531 bulent stirred-tank contactors. part i: Effect of dispersed-phase viscosity.
532 AIChE Journal 32 (4), 657–666.
533 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aic.690320416>

534 Carrillo De Hert, S., Rodgers, T., 2017. Continuous, recycle and batch
535 emulsification kinetics using a high-shear mixer. Chemical Engineering
536 Science 167, 265 – 277.
537 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009250917302671>

538 Chen, H. T., Middleman, S., sep 1967. Drop size distribution in agitated
539 liquid-liquid systems. AIChE Journal 13 (5), 989–995.
540 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aic.690130529>

541 Davies, J., 1985. Drop sizes of emulsions related to turbulent energy dissipa-
542 tion rates. Chemical Engineering Science 40 (5), 839–842.
543 URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509\(85\)85036-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509(85)85036-3)

544 Derkach, S. R., oct 2009. Rheology of emulsions. Advances in Colloid and
545 Interface Science 151 (1-2), 1–23.
546 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2009.07.001>

547 Eastwood, C. D., Armi, L., Lasheras, J. C., mar 2004. The breakup of immis-
548 cible fluids in turbulent flows. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 502, 309–333.
549 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112003007730>

550 EL-Hamouz, A., Cooke, M., Kowalski, A., Sharratt, P., feb 2009. Dispersion
551 of silicone oil in water surfactant solution: Effect of impeller speed, oil vis-
552 cosity and addition point on drop size distribution. Chemical Engineering
553 and Processing: Process Intensification 48 (2), 633–642.
554 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2008.07.008>

555 Håkansson, A., Chaudhry, Z., Innings, F., apr 2016. Model emulsions to
556 study the mechanism of industrial mayonnaise emulsification. Food and

557 Bioproducts Processing 98, 189–195.
 558 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2016.01.011>

559 Hall, S., Cooke, M., El-Hamouz, A., Kowalski, A., may 2011. Droplet break-
 560 up by in-line silverson rotor-stator mixer. Chemical Engineering Science
 561 66 (10), 2068–2079.
 562 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2011.01.054>

563 Hall, S., Pacek, A. W., Kowalski, A. J., Cooke, M., Rothman, D., nov 2013.
 564 The effect of scale and interfacial tension on liquid–liquid dispersion in
 565 in-line silverson rotor–stator mixers. Chemical Engineering Research and
 566 Design 91 (11), 2156–2168.
 567 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2013.04.021>

568 Hinze, J. O., sep 1955. Fundamentals of the hydrodynamic mechanism of
 569 splitting in dispersion processes. AIChE Journal 1 (3), 289–295.
 570 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aic.690010303>

571 Jasińska, M., Bałdyga, J., Hall, S., Pacek, A. W., oct 2014. Dispersion of oil
 572 droplets in rotor–stator mixers: Experimental investigations and modeling.
 573 Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification 84, 45–53.
 574 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2014.02.008>

575 Karbstein, H., Schubert, H., jun 1995. Developments in the continuous me-
 576 chanical production of oil-in-water macro-emulsions. Chemical Engineering
 577 and Processing: Process Intensification 34 (3), 205–211.
 578 URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0255-2701\(94\)04005-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0255-2701(94)04005-2)

- 579 Kolmogorov, A., 1949. O droblenii kapel v turbulentnom potoke. Doklady
580 Akademii Nauk SSSR 66 (5), 825–828.
- 581 Kowalski, A. J., jan 2009. An expression for the power consumption of in-
582 line rotor-stator devices. Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process
583 Intensification 48 (1), 581–585.
584 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2008.04.002>
- 585 Leng, D. E., Calabrese, R. V., 11 2003. Handbook of Industrial Mixing:
586 Science and Practice. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Ch. 12 Immiscible Liquid-
587 Liquid Systems, pp. 639–754.
- 588 Li, M., Liu, C., Liang, C., Liu, C., Li, J., mar 2014. Study of bimodal drop
589 size distributions of emulsion. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technol-
590 ogy 35 (3), 397–402.
591 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01932691.2013.791989>
- 592 Liu, C., Li, M., Liang, C., Wang, W., oct 2013. Measurement and analysis
593 of bimodal drop size distribution in a rotor–stator homogenizer. Chemical
594 Engineering Science 102, 622–631.
595 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2013.08.030>
- 596 Ludwig, A., Flechtner, U., Prss, J., Warnecke, H.-J., apr 1997. Formation
597 of emulsions in a screw loop reactor. Chemical Engineering & Technology
598 20 (3), 149–161.
599 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ceat.270200302>
- 600 Ma, G., Gong, F., Hu, G., Hao, D., Liu, R., Wang, R., dec 2005. Multi-scale
601 structures in emulsion and microsphere complex systems. China Particuol-

ogy 3 (6), 296–303.
 URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1672-2515\(07\)60206-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1672-2515(07)60206-1)

Ma, G.-H., Yang, J., Lv, P.-P., Wang, L.-Y., Wei, W., Tian, R., Wu, J., Su, Z.-G., feb 2010. Preparation of uniform microspheres and microcapsules by modified emulsification process. *Macromolecular Symposia* 288 (1), 41–48.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/masy.201050206>

Nazir, H., Zhang, W., Liu, Y., Chen, X., Wang, L., Naseer, M. M., Ma, G., nov 2013. Silicone oil emulsions: strategies to improve their stability and applications in hair care products. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science* 36 (2), 124–133.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ics.12104>

Padron, G. A., 2005. Effect of surfactants on drop size distributions in a batch, rotor-stator mixer. Ph.D. thesis, The University of Maryland.

Rueger, P. E., Calabrese, R. V., nov 2013. Dispersion of water into oil in a rotor-stator mixer. part 1: Drop breakup in dilute systems. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* 91 (11), 2122–2133.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2013.05.018>

Shinnar, R., mar 1961. On the behaviour of liquid dispersions in mixing vessels. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 10 (02), 259.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112061000214>

Sprow, F., mar 1967. Distribution of drop sizes produced in turbulent liquid—liquid dispersion. *Chemical Engineering Science* 22 (3), 435–442.
 URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509\(67\)80130-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509(67)80130-1)

- 625 Vankova, N., Tcholakova, S., Denkov, N. D., Ivanov, I. B., Vulchev, V. D.,
626 Danner, T., aug 2007. Emulsification in turbulent flow. *Journal of Colloid*
627 *and Interface Science* 312 (2), 363–380.
628 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.03.059>
- 629 Wang, C. Y., Calabrese, R. V., apr 1986. Drop breakup in turbulent stirred-
630 tank contactors. part ii: Relative influence of viscosity and interfacial ten-
631 sion. *AIChE Journal* 32 (4), 667–676.
632 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aic.690320417>
- 633 Watson, D. J., Mackley, M. R., jan 2002. The rheology of aqueous emulsions
634 prepared by direct emulsification and phase inversion from a high viscosity
635 alkyd resin. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering*
636 *Aspects* 196 (2-3), 121–134.
637 URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757\(01\)00813-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757(01)00813-5)
- 638 Zhang, J., Xu, S., Li, W., jul 2012. High shear mixers: A review of typical
639 applications and studies on power draw, flow pattern, energy dissipation
640 and transfer properties. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process*
641 *Intensification* 57-58, 25–41.
642 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2012.04.004>