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ABSTRACT

Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) carry a huge burden of morbidity and a significant economic impact. It has been shown that home care may be useful for exacerbations of COPD. This paper presents a review of an integrated COPD service in East London.

Hospital Episode Statistics, Public Health Mortality Files and clinical data were used to analyse differences in healthcare usage and COPD patient outcomes, including COPD Assessment Test (CAT) scores for a sub-sample, before and after the introduction of the integrated service.

There was a significant (30%) reduction in the number of bed days for COPD patients (p<0.05), alongside a significant increase in patients with only a short stay (0-1 days) in hospital (p<0.0001). There was a significant increase in the number of patients dying outside of hospital (a proxy for quality of end of life care) following introduction of the service (p=0.00015). Patients also reported a clinically significant improvement in CAT scores. A locally developed economic model shows that the economic benefits of the service (via impact on place of death and reduction in length of hospital stay) were almost equal to the cost of the service.

The increase in proportion of short stay admissions and reduction in bed days suggest an impact of the service on early supported discharge, and that this along with an improvement in patient clinical outcomes and in quality of end of life care shows that an exemplar integrated COPD service can provide benefits which equate to nearly a cost neutral service.

INTRODUCTION

Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) carry a huge burden of morbidity and a significant economic impact.1 People who suffer from more frequent exacerbations (3 or more per year) experience a significant worsening of their quality of life2-4 and a more rapid decline in their lung function.5-7 Moreover, approximately 31% of patients admitted to hospital for exacerbations of COPD have been found to require readmission within 90 days.8 Apart from their effects on quality of life and lung function, exacerbations also cause a financial burden on healthcare systems.9,10 It has been shown that intermediate care may be useful for exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD).11,12 Intermediate care is a multi-professional approach to looking after patients who are too unwell to care for themselves at home but potentially well enough to be out of an acute hospital bed. A specific subtype of intermediate care is Hospital-at-Home (HaH), where active treatment is provided in a patient’s home.13 HaH comprises two main categories: facilitating early discharge and preventing admission into an acute hospital bed.14 Most published literature describes the process of intervening at the point of attendance at the Emergency Department and commencing HaH at this point as an admission avoidance pathway.12 Early discharge schemes (EDS) commence if the intervention is carried out after the patient has already moved from the Emergency Department to a medical bed and comprise enhanced support to patients to allow more speedy discharge from hospital and management within the community. The UK National COPD audit in 2014 showed that while the availability of early discharge schemes has increased over time, there was great variation in the availability of across the UK, with nearly 20% of units not having access to one.15
The area covered by the service within this study is a highly diverse, inner-city borough in East London, with high levels of deprivation. It has been found that higher levels of deprivation are associated with higher rates of hospital admission for COPD. The National COPD Audit of 2003 followed by a peer review highlighted the lack of an early discharge or HaH service for COPD in the local area. The Acute COPD Early Response Service (ACERS) team was established in 2009 as an integrated COPD team with the aim of improving quality of patient care by: working with primary care to improve rates of diagnosis, helping with case finding and education as well as providing a responsive early discharge service to patients admitted, hospital at home, acute exacerbation management within the community (to prevent acute admissions and to reduce future exacerbation frequency, to improve patient quality of life) and end-of-life care service, supported by a comprehensive pulmonary rehabilitation service and a home oxygen service.

The aim of this paper is to report data from the first 3 years of this service to assess the impact it has had on outcome measures for the management of COPD in the region. The objectives of the study were to investigate the impact of the ACERS team on:

1. Management of COPD in primary care
2. Hospital admissions due to COPD
3. Time spent in hospital for COPD admissions
4. Readmissions following discharge from hospital
5. Patient outcomes
6. Place of death for patients with COPD
7. Healthcare usage costs for COPD patients

**MATERIALS and METHODS**

**Service description**

The ACERS team was formed as a multi-disciplinary team of COPD Specialist Nurses and Respiratory Physiotherapists led by a Nurse Consultant, with medical advice and leadership provided by a Respiratory Consultant. The role of the team is the provision of integrated care for patients with COPD across traditional primary and secondary care boundaries. This includes management of exacerbations in a HaH setting, end-of-life care as well as education and support to primary care practitioners (around identification of undiagnosed cases of COPD and improvement in management of these patients within primary care). 77% of City and Hackney GP practices had staff involved in at least one ACERS engagement activities (training and education events, practice visits). The team has expanded over time and ACERS now provides a full home oxygen service, four pulmonary rehabilitation groups, nurse-led clinics and a full range of intermediate care services with many referrals coming directly from patients and carers. ACERS work with all GP practices within Hackney and the City of London (the Primary Care Trust and subsequently the Clinical Commissioning Group area) and the Homerton University Hospital.
The tender for ACERS was awarded to Homerton University Hospital in 2009. The team were fully in place in 2010. Therefore, in all analyses comparing pre-ACERS with post-ACERS the pre-ACERS period is financial years 2007/2008 to 2010/2011 (4 years) and the post-ACERS period is 2011/12 to 2013/14 (3 years).

**Methods**

Data about referrals to the ACERS were obtained from their database at Homerton Hospital, and information about the patients was collected via the hospital electronic patient record (EPR) and RIO systems. Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) data were used to analyse the impact on COPD management in primary care and data from Hospital Episode Statistics to compare secondary care usage (including admissions, length of stay and bed days) for COPD patients before and after the introduction of the ACERS team.

**Management of COPD in primary care**

QOF data on COPD management in primary care was accessed via the Health and Social Care Information Centre (obtained in 2015). Data on patient care plans (including care management plan [medication and other], sources of support and who to contact in an exacerbation) and patient condition reviews in primary care were recorded on EMIS Web (in GP practices and submitted to the Clinical Commissioning Group [CCG] as part of the CCG Long Term Conditions GP contract 2013/14).

**COPD admissions to hospital and time spent in hospital for COPD admissions**

Hospital Episode Statistics data was accessed via the Capital Healthcare benchmarking consultancy CHKS portal by North East London Commissioning Support Unit (obtained in 2015). Data on COPD patients (primary diagnosis only) resident in the boroughs of Hackney and the City of London (City and Hackney Primary Care Trust/Clinical Commissioning Group area) were analysed. Data on numbers of COPD admissions, length of stay for COPD admissions and total bed days for admissions due to COPD were collected (accessed via the CHKS portal). The following ICD10 codes for COPD were used: J40, J41, J42, J43, J44. Both elective and non-elective admissions were included.

Trends in hospital admissions for COPD over time for City and Hackney patients along with other ONS London Cosmopolitan Cluster borough/CCGs (the London boroughs with most similar populations to City and Hackney: Haringey, Brent, Lambeth, Southwark, Lewisham, Newham) were compared. To account for the increasing population in City and Hackney (12% increase between 2007/2008 and 2013/14; Office for National Statistics [ONS]) we analysed COPD admissions per 1000 population (ONS resident population).

**Readmissions following discharge from hospital**

Data about readmission rates were obtained from their database at Homerton Hospital, and information about the patients was collected via the hospital electronic patient record (EPR) and RIO systems. 30-day and 90-day readmission rates following a hospital admission for exacerbation of
COPD (where COPD is recorded as the primary diagnosis for both admission and readmission) were measured.

**Patient outcomes from COPD**

The COPD Assessment Test (CAT), a questionnaire designed to measure the impact of COPD on a person’s life, is made up of eight items, each scored on a numeric scale of 0 (no impact) to 5 (very severe impact). The CAT was completed by a sub-set of patients prior to an ACERS nurse-led intervention (physical and holistic assessment of need including the therapeutic management of symptoms, medicines optimisation including the need for nebulisation, inhaler technique and any additional social support; the intervention was tailored to each patient’s needs so varied in intensity and duration e.g. number of visits/clinics) and post-intervention (mean number of days between scores was 48 days). All patients seen in nurse-led clinics between July 2010 and July 2011 who consented were included in the subsample.

**Place of death for patients with COPD**

We have used proportion of deaths from COPD that occur outside of hospital as a quantitative indicator of quality of end of life care. The majority of patients express a wish to die in their own home but most deaths occur in hospital and quality of care is rated (by carers) as lowest for patients who have died in hospital. Information on place of death (categorised as in hospital or out of hospital) was obtained via the Public Health Mortality Files (accessed via City and Hackney Public Health team) for all patients resident in Hackney and the City of London (data on deaths from all causes and just deaths from COPD).

**Healthcare usage costs for COPD patients**

An economic model was developed to evaluate the cost of the ACERS team against the cost savings generated by the reduction in healthcare costs (further details in supplemental information).

**Statistical analysis**

For analysis of significance, the unpaired, 2-tailed t-test (Microsoft Excel) was used to compare numbers (total number count for each financial year) of COPD admissions, numbers of COPD readmissions, length of stay and number of COPD bed days in the pre- and post-ACERS periods. The unpaired t-test was also used to compare the % of admissions which were 0-1 days stay and the average length of stay for COPD admissions (total COPD bed days divide total count of COPD admissions) – again, annual values for each financial year were used. Annual values were used in order to mediate any variation within the year due to seasonal effects. A p value of below 0.05 was considered significant.

The Fisher’s exact test was used to compare distribution in two categories (number of admission that had a 0-1 or ≥2 day length of stay; place of death as hospital or non-hospital). A p value of below 0.05 was considered significant.

Effect sizes (mean of difference between pre- and post-ACERS periods divided by the pooled estimate of standard deviation including both pre-and post-ACERS values) were calculated for all results shown to be statistically significant.
RESULTS

Activity of the ACERS team

The ACERS have received just under 6000 referrals/patient contacts since 2011. Nearly a third of these (1660) have been referrals for ‘hospital at home’ management.

There has been a steady increase in referrals over time to the ACERS team since inception, with the ACERS team receiving over 2000 referrals in 2014/15 (Figure 1). The largest proportions of the referrals are for assessment, review or preventing hospital admission.

Impact on management of COPD in primary care

Analysis of Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) data for all GP practices in Hackney and the City of London shows there has been a year on year increase in the number of people with a diagnosis of COPD on the GP practice QOF registers, with a faster rate of increase during 2010-2014 (post-ACERS: 6-8% per year) than in previous years (2-4% per year). In 2014 this equates to 1.04% of the GP list population that have a COPD diagnosis (from 0.8% in 2008; shown in Table 1).

There has also been an improvement in the level of achievement for all QOF indicators relating to COPD management for City and Hackney GP practices between 2009 and 2014 (shown in Table 1), although this improvement is also linked with a commissioning incentive scheme for primary care for management of COPD. Data from the Clinical Commissioning Group Long Term Conditions GP contract (2013/14, collected by practices and submitted to CCG) shows that a high proportion of COPD patients (83%) have a care plan (including care management plan [medication and other], sources of support and who to contact in a exacerbation) and 80% of patients with severe or very severe COPD have had at least 2 reviews in the last 12 months.
Table 1. COPD QOF indicators for City and Hackney, London and England (Source: Health and Social Care Information Centre)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients on COPD register (QOF) – City and Hackney</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>2499</td>
<td>2654</td>
<td>2871</td>
<td>2943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded prevalence of COPD (% of total patient list)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and Hackney</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of COPD diagnoses confirmed by spirometry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and Hackney</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>94.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of COPD pts with a FEV1 measurement in last 15m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and Hackney</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of COPD pts with a breathlessness assessment in last 15m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and Hackney</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of COPD pts who have had flu vaccine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and Hackney</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact on COPD admissions to hospital

Analysis of COPD admission rates (HES) for ONS grouping London Cosmopolitan boroughs over time shows that City and Hackney has experienced decreasing admission rates since 2010/11 (Figure 2): with an average of 1.38 admissions per 1000 population before the establishment of ACERS [2007/08–2010/11] to 1.24 in the post-ACERS period [2011/12–2013/14] (shown in Table 2).

80% of COPD admissions in City and Hackney patients are to the Homerton Hospital (2007/08-2013/14; HES). The ACERS team work with all patients from City and Hackney GP practices but only work with the Homerton Hospital as an acute trust (90% of COPD admissions to the Homerton are from City and Hackney patients [2007/08-2013/14; HES]). To further analyse the impact of the ACERS team on COPD admissions, we looked at COPD admissions for City and Hackney patients to Homerton hospital only. The rate of admissions has decreased following the introduction of ACERS,
from 1.07 admissions per 1000 population before the introduction of ACERS to 0.99 following the establishment of ACERS (shown in Table 2), although this is not statistically significant (unpaired t-test P value=0.36).

**Impact on time spent in hospital for admissions for COPD**

To analyse whether there was any impact on patient length of stay (LOS) in hospital and number of hospital bed days for COPD from the ACERS team, we again used HES data to analyse City and Hackney patients being admitted with COPD into Homerton Hospital (Figure 3).

The number of patients admitted and only having a short LOS (0-1 days) has increased over time, with the percentage of short-LOS admissions increasing from 27% in the pre-ACERS period to 34% over the post-ACERS period (Fisher’s exact test, p<0.0001) (shown in Table 2).

We also analysed total bed days for COPD (Figure 4). The number of bed days for COPD patients has decreased by more than 30%, from an average of 1817 bed days per year in the pre-ACERS period to 1200 per year post-ACERS (unpaired t-test shows significance at p=0.048) (shown in Table 2). The average LOS per patient (bed days per admission) has decreased from a mean of 6.8 days per admission to 4.5 days following the establishment of the ACERS team (unpaired t-test gives p=0.07) (shown in Table 2).

**Table 2. Admissions and time spent in hospital for admissions for COPD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-ACERS mean value</th>
<th>Post-ACERS mean value</th>
<th>Sample size (n)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Effect Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COPD admissions (City and Hackney patients to all trusts)</td>
<td>1.38 per 1000 population</td>
<td>1.24 per 1000 population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD admissions (City and Hackney patients to the Homerton Hospital only)</td>
<td>1.07 per 1000 population</td>
<td>0.99 per 1000 population</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Non-significant reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of COPD admissions which are 0-1 days</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Significant increase</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of stay for COPD admissions</td>
<td>6.8 days</td>
<td>4.5 days</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>p=0.07</td>
<td>Almost significant decrease</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bed days for COPD</td>
<td>1817 per year</td>
<td>1200 per year</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>p=0.048</td>
<td>Significant decrease</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Impact on readmissions following discharge from hospital**

15.3% of patients discharged following an admission for COPD were readmitted within 30 days in the period before ACERS was in place. Following the introduction of ACERS, an average of 17.3% of patients were readmitted within 30 days. 28.4% of patients were readmitted within 90 days before ACERS was in place, while 30.5% patients were readmitted within 90 days post-ACERS. An unpaired
t-test gave a P score of 0.51, showing there is no significant difference in re-admission rates between the pre-ACERS period and post-ACERS.

**Impact on patient outcomes from COPD**

To assess the change in COPD related quality of life before and after intervention by the ACERS team (which included education on COPD, inhaler technique and self-management advice), CAT scores\(^\text{17}\) were measured for a sample of patients (n=69). The mean CAT score prior to the intervention was 24 (impact level on patient life classed as high\(^\text{21}\)). 75% of patients saw a reduction in the CAT scores post-intervention, with the mean CAT score after ACERS nurse-led case management of 20 (impact level on patient life classed as medium). The mean reduction (all patients) was 4 points, a significant reduction (p=0.0018; effect size = 0.54). A change in score of 2 or more suggests a clinically significant change in health status\(^\text{21}\).

**Impact on place of death for patients with COPD**

There has been a trend towards fewer deaths (from all causes) of City and Hackney patients in hospital over time: 64% of deaths occurring in hospital in 2007/08 reduced to 57% in 2013/14 (Figure 5). There has been a concurrent decrease in the proportion of deaths from COPD that occur in hospital over time but a sharper decrease since the introduction of the ACERS team. The proportion of COPD deaths that occur in hospital was compared between the pre-ACERS period (76%; shown in Table 3) and post-ACERS period (58%) using Fisher’s exact test and showed that there was a significant reduction in the numbers of COPD patients dying in hospital following the introduction of ACERS (p=0.00015).

| Table 3. Percentage of deaths in hospital and not in hospital (deaths from COPD only) following the introduction of the ACERS team. Source: Public Health Mortality Files |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pre-ACERS | Hospital deaths | Non-hospital deaths |
| 161 | 76% | 51 |
| Post-ACERS | 104 | 58% | 76 | 42% |

**Healthcare usage costs for COPD patients**

An economic model (further details in supplemental information) was developed to evaluate the cost of the ACERS team against the cost savings generated by:

- The reduction in the average length of stay of patients, leading to a reduction in tariff costs and a decrease in bed days = £400,000 saving per year
- The increase in patients dying outside of hospital = £252,000 saving per year

The CCG fund the Homerton Hospital £730,500 for the ACERS team. Adjusting for the cost savings above (a total of approximately £652,000), this therefore equates to a net cost of the ACERS of £78,500 in financial year 2015/16.
DISCUSSION

This real-world evaluation shows that an integrated COPD team can lead to an improvement in the quality of care provided to COPD patients alongside financial benefits such as shorter patient stays in hospital, reduced hospital bed days and reduced concurrent spend, with only a relatively small net cost. There was no significant reduction in the number of COPD admissions, however this should be viewed in the context of rising admissions nationally. An audit conducted by the ACERS team in 2014 suggested that the majority of COPD admissions to the Homerton are from patients not known previously to the team (57% of admissions, unpublished data) and that 10% of admissions are for patients not on any COPD treatment at all. This suggests that further work on early diagnosis and initiation of appropriate therapy is still required.

Integrated care models have been shown to be effective and reduce hospitalisation and reduce the costs associated with managing COPD. A key aim of the ACERS was to reduce the time spent in hospital unnecessarily by COPD patients. The early supported discharge element of the service has shown a significantly reduced length of stay, increased the number of patients who stay in hospital for less than one day and reduced hospital bed days due to COPD. The reduction in length of stay was achieved in the absence of an increase in readmission rates and total bed days. Walker et al propose bed days per 1000 population could function as a summary proxy indicator for quality of COPD care in a local area (meets the often expressed wishes of patients not be in hospital, reflects the success of primary and integrated care to reduce admissions and the success of hospitals in avoiding or shortening admissions) and variation be analysed and reported on to drive improvements in care. The current data therefore suggest that this service has improved quality of COPD care in the borough. The COPD national audit showed a decrease nationally in the average length of stay for COPD patients, from an average of 5 days in 2008 and 4 days in 2014. The current data evidences a greater reduction locally, from 6.8 days to 4.5 days, therefore closing the gap with the average national length of stay. This greater reduction could either suggest that either there was greater room for improvement in this population to start with, or the introduced model led to a more efficient service.

The current data did not show a reduction in the readmission rate for COPD patients following the introduction of the ACERS team. This is in keeping with previous analyses and adds further evidence that treating patients at home rather in hospital does not lead to increased risk of readmission. However, the fact that readmission rates did not fall could be related to the focus of the team being primarily directed towards community care. Further work is underway to try to improve the focus within the hospital to provide additional support to higher risk patients likely to be readmitted and further analysis will reveal if this leads to a change in readmission rates.

Hernandez et al found that a hospital-at-home service for COPD did not lead to any significant difference in COPD related quality of life, measured using the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire, compared to the standard hospital therapy group. However, in a sub-group of patients in the current study it was found that following case management by a member of the specialist nursing team, there was a clinically significant improvement in the impact of COPD on patient’s lives (as measured by CAT scores). Scores improved from a level pre-intervention that COPD had a high impact on patients’ lives (reduced quality of life, stopped patients completing everyday tasks, disturbed sleep, and patients did not feel in control of their condition) to scores post intervention...
indicating only a medium impact of COPD on their lives (some good days each week, can complete everyday activities but breathlessness slows down housework or walking, 1-2 exacerbations each year). This is likely to reflect both improvement in physical parameters (from a review of medication, appropriate additional therapies, support for smoking cessation, pulmonary rehabilitation) as well as emotional and social support leading to further improvements in well-being. It has been shown that patients with end stage COPD do not seem to be as well supported as patients with lung cancer in terms of their well-being and quality of life. A service like this may help to improve this situation.

A key area of work for the ACERS team is identifying and supporting COPD patients who are approaching the end of life. The majority of patients express a wish to die in their own home. However, it is difficult to manage patients with increasing frequency and severity of COPD exacerbations at home and the majority of patients with respiratory disease nationally die in hospital. The increase in quality and patient experience from being supported to express their wishes for future care and their care delivered in accordance with these wishes should not be understated and The National Survey of Bereaved People (VOICES) shows that relatives of people who died in hospital rated overall quality of care significantly worse than any other place of death. Hence, a target of the ACERS team was to identify patients who were potentially in the last year of life and to support them to express their wishes, and work with other agencies to allow death at home or in a hospice if wished. Patients were helped to access end of life care services (including a joint Respiratory and Palliative care clinic for COPD patients, held at St Joseph’s Hospice). These steps have led to a reduction in the proportion of deaths in hospital for people with COPD. The corresponding cost-saving could provide a big incentive to commissioners to implement such services.

The authors developed an economic model to weigh the costs of this service against the financial savings from reduced healthcare usage. The total cost-savings associated with the service shows that the implementation of an integrated COPD team can be nearly cost neutral. This does not take into account the quality benefits and increased patient satisfaction that can be achieved and thus these benefits come at little net cost to commissioners and we would suggest that the overall benefits for patients outweigh the costs.

A particular strength of this real-world analysis is that it reflects actual care delivery conditions and no patients who were within the catchment area of the service were excluded. Although other studies have looked at web-based interventions, lack of access or illiteracy prevented the use of such interventions in a large sub-group of patients: no such limitations apply to this analysis.

The main learning points from the implementation of the ACERS team are around the importance of early embedding of the service, improved pathways both in primary care (particularly around integration of ACERS with schemes in primary care to improve COPD management) and within the acute trust. Internationally, there is a drive towards more such services. By implementing an integrated COPD service with due engagement and clear pathways, significant improvements in quality of care as well as health economic benefits may be obtained.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Referrals to the ACERS team

Number and reasons for referral to the ACERS team for each quarter (Q1-Q4) of financial years 2011/12 to 2014/15. The total number of patients seen by the ACERS team from 2011/12 to 2014/15 is nearly 6000. The number of referrals to the ACERS team has increased over time from 450 in 2011/12 to 2000 per year in 2014/15. Data from hospital electronic records on RIO. Large numbers of ‘Not recorded’ for Q3 and Q4 for 2014/15 are due to a decrease in recording linked with changes to the RIO system (making ‘reason for referral’ a non-mandatory field).

Figure 2. Rate of admissions to hospital for COPD

The number of admissions to hospital (any trust) for London clinical commissioning group (CCG) areas (ONS group London Cosmopolitan) for COPD (primary reason for admission) per 1000 population (ONS resident population data) over time. Data on admissions from Hospital Episode Statistics. Admissions have decreased in City and Hackney between 2007 and 2014.

Figure 3. Length of stay in hospital for COPD admissions

Hospital Episode Statistics data on length of inpatient hospital stay for COPD admissions to Homerton Hospital (by City and Hackney residents only). For each year, percentage of all admissions that were short stay (0-1 days), medium stay (2-5 days) or long stay (6 or more days) is shown. Line shows percentage of all episodes with a length of stay (LOS) which were 0 or 1 day. This proportion increases over time with the introduction of the ACERS team.

Figure 4. Hospital bed days for COPD admissions

Total bed days spent by City and Hackney residents on COPD admissions at the Homerton Hospital (data from Hospital Episode Statistics). Total bed days and number of admissions shown for each year, along with average length of stay per patient (calculated from number of bed days per admission). The total bed days has decreased between 2007 and 2014.

Figure 5. Place of death for deaths from COPD and all deaths

ONS data on place of deaths for City and Hackney residents for all causes of death and deaths from COPD alone. Graph shows proportion of deaths in hospital and not in hospital (covering home, hospice and other). The proportion of deaths occurring in hospital has decreased over time for all causes of death but proportion of deaths from COPD that occur in hospital has decreased at a faster rate.