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Compound List and Abbreviations

Due to the very long formulae of the compounds presented in this thesis, they have been abbreviated to the following numbers.

1. \([\text{Cr}_8\text{F}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{16}]\)
2. \([\text{H}_2\text{NMe}_2][\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{16}]\)
3. \([\text{H}_2\text{NMe}_2][\text{Cr}_7\text{MnF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{16}]\)
4. \([\text{H}_2\text{NMe}_2][\text{Cr}_7\text{ZnF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{16}]\)
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7. \('\text{BuCONHC}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NHCO}t\text{Bu}[\text{Cr}_7\text{ZnF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{16}]\)
8. \([\text{Csc}\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{16}]\cdot 0.5\text{MeCN}\)
9. \([\text{Csc}\text{Cr}_7\text{MnF}_3(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{16}]\cdot 0.5\text{MeCN}\)
10. \([\text{Csc}\text{Cr}_7\text{ZnF}_3(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{16}]\cdot 0.5\text{MeCN}\)
11. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}(\text{phpy})]\)
12. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{MnF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}(\text{phpy})]\)
13. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{ZnF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}(\text{phpy})]\)
14. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)
15. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}]_2(4,4'^{-}\text{bpy})]\)
16. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyN=Npy})\]
17. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCCpy})\]
18. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCHCHpy})\]
19. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCH_2CH_2py})\]
20. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCH(OH)CH(OH)py})\]
21. \([\text{Cr}_7\text{F}_4(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{13}]\)
22. \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_9(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{10}]_2\)
23. \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C}'\text{Bu})_{10}(\text{acac})_2]\)

24. \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C}'\text{Bu})_{10}(\text{hfa})_2]\)

25. \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C}'\text{Bu})_{10}]\cdot[\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,4-(\text{OH})_2]_2\)
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**Figure IV.2:** Organic Linkers: 4,4'-bipyridine (15), 4,4'-azopyridine (16), 1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethylene (17), \textit{trans}-1,2-diprydylethene (18), 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane (19) and 1,2-ethanediol-1,2-di-4-pyridine (20).
Figure IV.3: Reaction scheme depicting the coordination chemistry of purple-Cr$_7$Ni wheels. All hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.

Figure IV.4: EPR spectra of powdered sample of compounds [Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$](14) and [Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$](phpy) (11) at 4 K at Q-band frequency.

Figure IV.5: EPR spectra at Q-band frequency of compounds [Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$](phpy) (11), [[Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$]$_2$(4,4'-bpy)] (15) and [[Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$]$_2$(pyCHCHpy)] (18), the black lines are experimental spectra and the red lines are simulations.
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### Abbreviations Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acac</td>
<td>Acetylacetone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVS</td>
<td>Bond Valence Sum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIF</td>
<td>Crystal Information File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPR</td>
<td>Electron Paramagnetic Resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.s.d.</td>
<td>Estimated standard deviation (of bond lengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et</td>
<td>Ethyl group ([\text{C}_2\text{H}_5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et(_2)O</td>
<td>Diethyl ether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hfa</td>
<td>Hexafluoroacetylacetone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS</td>
<td>Inelastic Neutron Scattering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Infra-red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Methyl group ([\text{CH}_3])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Phenyl group ([\text{C}_6\text{H}_5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>py</td>
<td>Pyridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMM</td>
<td>Single Molecule Magnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>Spin-Orbit Coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQUID</td>
<td>Superconducting Quantum Interference Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Tesla or Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tof-INS</td>
<td>Time of Flight Inelastic Neutron Scattering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^Bu</td>
<td>Tertiary-butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran [C₄H₈O]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSR</td>
<td>Union of Soviet Socialist Republics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>Variable temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFS</td>
<td>Zero-Field Splitting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Symbols and Constants Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Curie constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>Axial zero field splitting parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>Rhombic zero field splitting parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^{(0)}$</td>
<td>Zero-field energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^{(1)}$</td>
<td>First-order Zeeman coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^{(2)}$</td>
<td>Second-order Zeeman coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>g-factor or g-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_e$</td>
<td>g-factor for a free electron (≈ 2.0023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>Magnetic field strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>Boltzmann constant ($= 1.38044 \times 10^{-16}$ erg mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Molar magnetization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_A$</td>
<td>Avogadro constant ($= 6.0249 \times 10^{23}$ mol$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>Total spin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Temperature (in Kelvin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>Charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi$</td>
<td>Molar magnetic susceptibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( \mu_B \)  \( \text{Bohr magneton (} = 0.92731 \times 10^{-20} \text{ erg gauss}^{-1} \)  

\( \theta \)  \( \text{Weiss constant} \)
Abstract

*EPR Spectroscopy of Antiferromagnetically-Coupled Cr$^{3+}$ Molecular Wheels*

Currently, there is interest in the development of molecular-scale devices for uses in quantum information processing (QIP). With this application in mind, physical studies on antiferromagnetically coupled molecular wheels \([\text{Cr}_7\text{M}^2\text{F}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{16}](\text{phpy})]\), where \(M\) is a divalent metal cation \((M = \text{Mn}^{2+}, \text{Zn}^{2+}, \text{Ni}^{2+})\) have been pursued. The heterometallic wheels contain an octagon of metal centres, which are bridged by fluoride ions, pivalate groups and a chiral N-ethyl-D-glutamine molecule which is penta-deprotonated and bound to the metal sites through all available O-donors. They are deep purple in colour and they have been named purple-Cr$_7$M. There is antiferromagnetic coupling between adjacent metal centres, \(J \approx -8\ \text{cm}^{-1}\), resulting in a non-zero net spin ground state. The spin-Hamiltonian parameters of this family have been determined.

At the heterometal site of purple-Cr$_7$M wheels there is a terminal ligand which can be substituted for a variety of N-donor organic ligands. A series of bidentate N-donor linkers has been used to link Cr$_7$Ni wheels (each wheel \(S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2}\)) to create prototype two-qubit systems. Multi-frequency EPR spectroscopy and SQUID magnetometry has been used to extract the spin-Hamiltonian parameters of this family. It has been shown that the single wheels can be linked together electronically as well as chemically. It has been found that for the unsaturated linkers, there is a weaker interaction between Cr$_7$Ni wheels when longer linkers are used. The strength of interaction is smaller for the saturated linkers than for the unsaturated linkers.

The formation of “green”-Cr$_7$M wheels is different, being templated around a cation. Two new types of wheels have been studied: \([\text{tBuCONHC}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NHCO}^\text{tBu}][\text{Cr}_7\text{M}^{2+}\text{F}_6(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{16}]\) and \([\text{Cs}[\text{Cr}_7\text{M}^{2+}\text{F}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{16}]-0.5\text{MeCN}\) (where, \(M = \text{Mn}^{2+}, \text{Zn}^{2+}, \text{Ni}^{2+}\)), where the former is templated around a long dialkylammonium group and the latter around a caesium cation. The effect of the templating cation on spectroscopic properties has been determined.

Physical studies on a family of antiferromagnetically-coupled *homometallic* clusters have been pursued. They consist of cyclic arrays of homometallic Cr$^{3+}$ ions in either an octametallic wheel or hexametallic horseshoes. The horseshoes have the general formula: \([\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{x+y}\text{L}_{2x+y}^3]\) (where \(L = \text{carboxylate}\)). Cr$^{3+}$ centres are bridged by pivalate groups and fluorides, while Cr$^{3+}$ centres at the ends of the chain have terminal fluorides completing their coordination sphere. These terminal fluoride groups are labile enough to be substituted, e.g. \([\text{EtNH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{7}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{10}\text{(acac)}]_2\) is the product of a substitution reaction with acetylacetone.
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I Introduction
I.1 Introduction

There are two distinct classes of exchange coupled oligomers which in the past two decades have been intensively studied. The most thoroughly investigated of the two are single molecule magnets (SMMs). SMMs can be magnetised as single molecules at very low temperatures. The first SMM that was synthesised was a dodecametallic manganese compound of the formula: \([\text{Mn}_{12}\text{O}_{12}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_{16}\text{H}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot2\text{CH}_3\text{COOH},\) commonly referred to as Mn\(_{12}\).\(^1\) It was first reported in 1980 and its magnetic properties were heavily analysed in the early 1990s.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\) SMM such as Mn\(_{12}\) are characterised by having a large spin ground state (for Mn\(_{12}\), \(S = 10\)) and a large negative zero field splitting (ZFS). The second class of molecules which has been the focus of this work are antiferromagnetically-coupled molecular wheels and chains. In contrast to the SMMs, they have a characteristically low or zero ground spin state. They provide interesting examples to study the quantum theory of total spins generated by coupling together several individual spins. The whole family stems from an octametallic Cr\(^{3+}\) molecular wheel, \([\text{Cr}_8\text{F}_8(\text{O}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_{16}]\) (1), as seen in Figure I.1.\(^4\)
**Figure I.1:** The structure of octametallic Cr\(^{3+}\) wheel \([\text{Cr}_8\text{F}_8\text{O}_2\text{CCMe}_3]_{16}\) (1). Cr\(^{3+}\) atoms: green; O atoms: red; Fluorine atoms: yellow; Carbon atoms: black. All H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

1 was first synthesised in 1985 in the former Soviet Union as part of a study into the uses of Cr\(^{3+}\) complexes in the catalysis of oxidation and polymerisation reactions.\(^{5}\) It was only after its later publication in 1990\(^{4}\) that there was interest in the magnetic properties of this compound. 1 has antiferromagnetic coupling between neighbouring Cr\(^{3+}\) centres (\(S = \frac{3}{2}\)), resulting in an \(S = 0\) ground state.\(^{6}\) A rich family of molecules developed around 1, containing homo- and hetero-metallic wheels and chains.\(^{7}\) They are characterized by having a cyclic motif and by containing Cr\(^{3+}\) atoms which are bridged by fluorides and carboxylates. Their formation is controlled by the use of templating molecules, typically an ammonium cation. They have potential applications...
in quantum information processing (QIP), this application will be discussed further in section IV.1. To investigate the physical properties of this family, typically a range of techniques have been used; magnetisation, inelastic neutron scattering (INS), electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy and magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectroscopy. EPR spectroscopy has been the main technique used in this thesis.

### I.1 Molecular Magnetism

A molecule’s magnetic behaviour is a description of its response to an applied magnetic field. It arises from the intrinsic spin of electrons. The nature of the magnetic behaviour depends upon how electrons on adjacent atoms interact with one another.

An unpaired electron is attracted to an applied magnetic field, this is paramagnetism. This effect is temperature dependent and does not depend on the strength of the applied magnetic field. A pair of electrons in a single orbital, according to the Pauli Exclusion Principle, will be spin paired: one electron will be \( m_s = -\frac{1}{2} \) and the other one will be \( m_s = +\frac{1}{2} \). The spin paired electrons will be repelled by an applied magnetic field, this is diamagnetism. This effect is weaker than paramagnetism and is not usually temperature dependent and does not depend on the strength of the field.

In an applied magnetic field a single unpaired electron can align with or align against the field. An electron being aligned anti-parallel \( (m_s = -\frac{1}{2}) \) with the field is more energetically favourable; therefore there is an energy gap between the two states. The energy gap between the two states is known as the Zeeman splitting and is given by
Equation 1.1. If there is more than one electron present there will be $2S+1$ spin levels, where $S$ is the total spin of the system.

\[
E = g\mu_B H (2S + 1)
\]

Equation 1.1

An energy level diagram depicting the Zeeman splitting of a single electron in an applied magnetic field is shown in Figure I.2.

Figure I.2: An energy level diagram of a single electron in an applied magnetic field.

At RT the spins will be randomly orientated ($kT \gg g\mu_B H$). At lower $T$ the thermal energy is lower than the energy gap of the Zeeman splitting ($kT \ll g\mu_B H$), most of the spins will be aligned anti-parallel with the magnetic field. High magnetic polarisation leads to the molecule having a magnetic field of its own and it is itself a magnet.
A sample in an applied homogenous magnetic field acquires a magnetisation \( M \), which is related to the applied magnetic field through Equation I.2.

\[
M = -\delta E/\delta H
\]

*Equation I.2*

Magnetic susceptibility \( \chi \) is defined as the effect which magnetic field strength has on the magnetisation (*Eqn I.3*).

\[
\chi = \delta M/\delta H
\]

*Equation I.3*

The magnetic susceptibility is a sum of the diamagnetic and paramagnetic susceptibilities (*Eqn I.4*)

\[
\chi = \chi_p + \chi_d
\]

*Equation I.4*

The Curie Law is defined as: molar magnetic susceptibility varies as \( C/T \) as shown in *Equation I.5*. Where \( C \) is the Curie constant, the value of which depends upon the spin multiplicity of the spin ground state.

\[
1/\chi = CT
\]

*Equation I.5*

The Curie Law assumes that a there is a large separation between the ground spin state and the excited state(s) and that the ground state has no first order angular momentum. The magnetic susceptibility is determined by the thermal population of the \( m_s \) levels. Magnetic susceptibility can be calculated with *Equation I.6*.9

\[
\chi T = \frac{g^2 n S(S+1)}{8}
\]

*Equation I.6*
Where, $S$ is spin on individual centres or spin states, $n$ is the number of spin centres present and $g$ is the g-value. If two types of spin centre are present which are referred to as a and b, Equation I.6 can be adjusted as:

$$
\chi T = \frac{n_a g_a^2 S_a(S_a+1)}{(n_a+n_b)H} + \frac{n_b g_b^2 S_b(S_b+1)}{(n_a+n_b)H}
$$

Equation I.7

In 1932 Van Vleck proposed a very important formula in molecular magnetism (Eqn I.8).\textsuperscript{10}

$$
\chi = \frac{N \sum_n (E_n^{(1)}H - 2E_n^{(2)}) \exp\left(-\frac{E_n^{(0)}}{kT}\right)}{\sum_n \exp\left(-\frac{E_n^{(0)}}{kT}\right)}
$$

Equation I.8

The energy levels of a system in a magnetic field can be expanded in a power series (Eqn I.9).\textsuperscript{11}

$$
E_n = E_n^{(0)} + E_n^{(1)}H + E_n^{(2)}H^2 + \cdots
$$

Equation I.9

Where,

$E_n^{(0)} = \text{energy of level } n \text{ in zero field.}$

$E_n^{(1)} = 1^{\text{st}} \text{ order Zeeman Coefficient (which splits the energy level into equally spaced components which are separated by } g\beta H).$

$E_n^{(2)} = 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ order Zeeman Coefficient (which mixes the wavefunctions of the ground state with the excited state).}$

With Equation I.8 the magnetic susceptibility at temperature $T$ can be calculated if $E_n^{(0)}$ is known. $E_n^{(1)}$ and $E_n^{(2)}$ can be calculated using perturbation theory.\textsuperscript{9, 11, 12}. It is a particularly useful equation as only these quantities need to be known.
I.2 Magnetic Interactions

Transition metals often contain unpaired d electrons. Within a polymetallic transition metal cluster two such metal ions which are in close proximity can interact. If the spins of the two metal ions are aligned parallel to each other, the interaction is ferromagnetic. If the spins are aligned anti-parallel the interaction is antiferromagnetic.\(^9\)

Exchange interactions between two paramagnetic centres may occur in two different ways. The first way is a direct interaction, when there is direct orbital overlap between the two metal centres. This is usually only possible for larger metal ions, the orbitals of 3d metals tend to be too small to interact in this way. The second way is via magnetic superexchange. This is when the magnetic coupling is mediated through a diamagnetic bridging ligand. There must be orbital overlap between the atomic orbitals of the bridging ligand and the metal centres. This can be via \(\sigma\)-bonding or \(\pi\)-bonding.\(^11\)

Magnetic superexchange is the most common type of exchange interaction in polynuclear clusters. The sign of \(J\) is simply a matter of convention. In this thesis, a positive \(J\)-value indicates that there is a ferromagnetic interaction and a negative \(J\)-value indicates antiferromagnetic interaction. An isotropic exchange Hamiltonian (\(Eqn\ I.10\)) can be constructed for two interacting spin centres \((S_A\ and\ S_B)\)

\[
\hat{H} = -2JS_A \cdot S_B
\]

\textit{Equation I.10}
I.3 Spin-Orbit Coupling

An electron has spin angular momentum and orbital angular momentum. The interaction of these effects is known as spin-orbit coupling (SOC). Through SOC excited states can mix with orbitally non-degenerate ground state.\(^{13}\) This can cause g-values to deviate from that of a free electron \(\left(g_e = 2.0023\right)\) and for g-anisotropy to be present. This effect is less important for organic radicals; this is reflected in the fact they tend to have quasi-isotropic g-values which are close to \(g_e\). SOC is more important for transition metals; they often have anisotropic sets of g-values that can deviate significantly from \(g_e\). SOC can also lead to zero field splitting (see section I.4), therefore having significant effect on the spectroscopic properties of a transition metal cluster.\(^{12}\)

I.4 Zero Field Splitting

When the spin ground state is \(S \geq \frac{1}{2}\), there may be coupling to excited states through spin orbital coupling (SOC). This has the effect of removing the degeneracy of the \(m_s\) levels in zero field, this is called zero field splitting (ZFS). The \(D\) terms in Equation I.11 describe this interaction.\(^{11}\)

\[
D_{xx} + D_{yy} + D_{zz} = 0
\]

*Equation I.11*

It has three principal values: \(D_x, D_y\) and \(D_z\) and is a traceless tensor.\(^{14}\) Only two independent parameters are required.\(^{14}\) An axial term \((D)\),

\[
D = D_{zz} - (D_{xx} + D_{yy})/2
\]

*Equation I.12*

And a Rhombic term \((E)\),
\[ E = \frac{(D_{xx} - D_{yy})}{2} \]

*Equation I.13*

For a molecule with axial symmetry \( D \) will be required and for one with rhombic symmetry \( D \) and \( E \) will be needed to describe the splitting of the \( m_s \) states.

The rhombicity of the system can be defined by

\[ \lambda = \left| \frac{E}{D} \right| \]

*Equation I.14*

With \( \lambda = 0 \) (axial) up to \( \frac{1}{3} \), which is the rhombic limit.\(^{15}\)

### I.5 The g-value

When an external magnetic field (\( H_{ext} \)) is applied to a molecule which contains an unpaired electron, it is not necessarily the only magnetic field that the unpaired electron is experiencing. In addition to the applied magnetic field there may be local magnetic fields \( H_{local} \) which add vectorially to the external field to produce the total effective \( H_{eff} \) at the electron of interest (*Eqn I.15*).\(^{15}\)

\[ H_{eff} = \left( \frac{g}{g_e} \right) H \]

*Equation I.15*

Where \( g_e \) is the g factor of a free electron and \( g \) is the effective g factor; \( g_e \) has a value of 2.0023; for transition metal complexes, \( g \) can deviate significantly from \( g_e \). If \( g \) is isotropic then \( g_x = g_y = g_z \). If there is axial g-anisotropy then \( g_x = g_y \neq g_z \). If there is rhombic g-anisotropy then \( g_x \neq g_y \neq g_z \). There is the following relationship between a g-value and SOC (*Eqn I.16*).\(^{15}\) Where \( \lambda \) is the spin orbital coupling constant, \( \Delta E \) is the
energy separation associated with the transition and $c$ is a quantum mechanical coefficient which is dependent on the orbitals which are involved in the $\Delta E$ term.

$$g = 2.0023 - \frac{\alpha}{\Delta E}$$

Equation I.16

Hence the $g$-values of $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ complexes are usually lower than $g_e$ as $\lambda$ is small and positive for $\text{Cr}^{3+}$.16

I.6 Hyperfine Splitting

If nuclear spins ($I \neq 0$) are present in the sample, then an unpaired electron can couple with $I$. The resonance will be split into $2I+1$ lines, which are designated as hyperfine splitting. This gives fine structure to a spectrum. If the electron is able to couple to several sets of nuclei, the overall pattern consists of the superposition of spectra due to different species present.14

I.7 Why $\text{Cr}^{3+}$

When the study of paramagnetic cluster compounds began in the 80’s and 90’s, the initial studies in this field were largely the result of the work of a few dominant groups: Lippard’s $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ clusters,17 Christou’s manganese clusters18 and Gatteschi’s clusters (which contain a variety of different metal centres).19-21 These groups reported on all the common paramagnetic 3d metal ions, with the exception of chromium ($S = \frac{3}{2}$). The electronic structure in a octahedral crystal field is shown in Figure I.3.13
The absence of chromium examples is likely to be due to the considerable ligand-field stabilization energy that is associated with \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) complexes. It is this stability which makes the synthesis of \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) complexes difficult. Whilst the stability of these complexes is a challenge, it also follows that the clusters formed will be particularly chemically inert: an essential quality for the utilization of these compounds in quantum information processing (see section IV.1). It wasn't until the publication of 1 in 1991 that \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) began to be used in spin cluster complexes. A \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) backbone favours the formation of these cyclic clusters, as \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) is a stable oxidation state and forms predictable \textit{pseudo}-octahedral geometries, which is a requirement for the wheel motif.

### 1.8 Templating molecules

The synthesis of this family of magnetic materials is not purely serendipitous. A cation (typically a secondary alkylammonium cation) is found at the centre of these clusters. The templating cation mediates the formation of the polynuclear cluster through H-bonding interactions. The size\(^2\) and form\(^2\) of the structure can be selected by choosing an appropriate templating molecule. It has been previously reported that in the system of \((\text{Cr}_n\text{Ni})\) wheels, the size of the ring can be controlled by the choice of secondary ammonium templating cations. When secondary ammonium cations with branched alkyl chains were used, nonanuclear wheels such as \([\text{Iso}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_8\text{Ni}

![Figure I.3: The electronic structure of the d orbitals of octahedral Cr\(^{3+}\).](image)
were formed. When a linear alkyl chain is used as a templating molecule an octametallic wheel such as \([\text{C}_3\text{H}_7\text{NH}_2]\text{Cr}_6\text{CoF}_8\text{(OOCMe}_3\text{)}_{16}\) will be formed.\(^{24}\)

### I.9 Heterometallic “Green” Cr\(_7\)M Wheels

#### I.9.1 A Structure Description of Heterometallic green-Cr\(_7\)M Wheels

The family of isostructural heterometallic wheels \([\text{H}_2\text{NMe}_2]\text{Cr}_7\text{MF}_8\text{(O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{16}\), where \(M^{2+} = \text{Ni}\ (2), \text{Mn}\ (3), \text{Zn}\ (4), \text{Co}, \text{Cd}, \text{and Fe}\) have been extensively studied since being first reported in 2003.\(^{25-29}\). These compounds are green and shall be named green-Cr\(_7\)M for simplicity. Seven Cr\(^{3+}\) and one \(M^{2+}\) centre form a near perfect, planar octagon, Figure I.4.

![Figure I.4: Side and top views of \([\text{H}_2\text{NMe}_2]\text{Cr}_7\text{MF}_8\text{(O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{16}\), M atom: dark green; All other colours as before.](image-url)
Between each metal centre there is a single bridging fluoride ion, and two pivalate groups. Eight of the pivalate groups take an equatorial position in the plane of the wheel between each metal ion. The other bridging pivalates take axial positions and are located alternately on either side of the plane of the wheel. There is a dimethylammonium templating cation at the centre of the wheel.

I.9.2 The Effective Spin State of a green-Cr$_7$M Wheel

The choice of heterometal ion in a green-Cr$_7$M wheel can be used to tune the magnetic and electronic properties of the wheel, whilst retaining an isostructural geometry. The carboxylate functionality provides delocalised π-electrons and hence a pathway for magnetic superexchange around the circle of metal ions. There is antiferromagnetic coupling between adjacent metal centres, $J \approx -6$ cm$^{-1}$, resulting in a non-zero net spin ground state. This has been determined through magnetic measurements$^{30}$ Figure I.5 is the coupling scheme for a Cr$_7$M wheel. The red arrow is the heterometal. For Ni$^{2+}$ $S = 1$, Mn$^{2+}$ $S = 5/2$ and for Zn$^{2+}$ $S = 0$. The light and dark green arrows are Cr$^{3+}$ atoms. For a Cr$_7$Ni wheel the ground spin state will be: $S = 4 \times \frac{3}{2} - (3 \times \frac{1}{2} + 1) = \frac{1}{2}$. For a Cr$_7$Mn wheel: $S = 4 \times \frac{3}{2} - (3 \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{2}) = 1$. For a Cr$_7$Zn wheel: $S = 4 \times \frac{3}{2} - (3 \times \frac{3}{2} + 0) = \frac{3}{2}$. The total spin of the excited state increases in integer steps from the ground state, i.e. for Cr$_7$Mn the first excited state $S = 2$. 
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I.9.3 The EPR Spectroscopy of green-Cr$_7$M Wheels

An EPR spectroscopy study has been conducted on 2, 3 and 4. The spin-Hamiltonian parameters provided in Tables I.1 and I.2 have been fitted to EPR measurements performed on single crystal and polycrystalline samples using the strong exchange limit (SEL).

Table I.1: Spin-Hamiltonian parameters of the ground states of compounds 2, 3 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ground Spin State</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$1/2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$ (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-0.085</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-value</td>
<td>$g_{xy} = 1.78$</td>
<td>$g_{xx} = 2.00$</td>
<td>$g_{xy} = 1.955$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$g_z = 1.74$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$g_z = 1.945$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table I.2: The spin-Hamiltonian parameters of the first excited states of compounds 2, 3 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excited Spin State</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( S = \frac{3}{2} )</td>
<td>( S = 2 )</td>
<td>( S = \frac{5}{2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D ) (cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E ) (cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B'_{0} ) (cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>(-7.5 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>(8 \times 10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-value</td>
<td>( g_{xy} = 2.00 )</td>
<td>( g_{xy} = 2.00 )</td>
<td>( g_{xy} = 1.955 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( g_{z} = 2.05 )</td>
<td>( g_{z} = 1.980 )</td>
<td>( g_{z} = 1.945 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These spin-Hamiltonian parameters will be compared to those determined for the new heterometallic wheels provided in this thesis.

I.10 Measurement Techniques Used

A brief introduction to the principal concepts of the measurement techniques used in this Ph.D. thesis is provided in this section.

I.10.1 EPR Spectroscopy

EPR spectroscopy is a spectroscopic technique which was first discovered during World War II by Soviet physicist Zavoisky.\(^{31}\) EPR spectroscopy is a very useful tool in the investigation of the lowest lying energy levels of transition metal clusters. EPR is the primary technique used to analyse the compounds presented in this thesis. EPR utilizes microwaves ranging in frequency from 1 GHz to 254 GHz\(^{28}\) along with magnetic...
fields to study species with one or more unpaired electrons. A fairly wide range of substances can be investigated in this manner including organic radicals and many transition metal compounds.\textsuperscript{15}

\textit{I.10.1.1 The Experimental Set-up}

Figure I.6 is a block diagram of a perpendicular mode continuous wave (cw)-EPR spectrometer which has been adapted from reference.\textsuperscript{15}

\textbf{Figure I.6:} A block diagram of a cw-EPR spectrometer adapted from reference\textsuperscript{15}. 

\textsuperscript{15} Reference number should be consistent throughout the text.
The sample is mounted inside the spectrometer perpendicular to the applied magnetic field. The applied magnetic field is generated by an electromagnet and is swept during the experiment. In addition to this applied magnetic field, there is a smaller oscillating magnetic field which is applied continuously throughout the experiment to the sample via Zeeman modulation coils. The signal response from the cavity is modulated at the Zeeman modulation frequency. The direction of the perturbation frequency is perpendicular to the electromagnet’s magnetic field. The microwave bridge supplies microwaves which are transmitted to the sample via the waveguide. Table I.3 shows the nominal microwave frequencies and the bands which have been used for experiments reported in this Ph.D. thesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>ν (GHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I.3: Approximate Microwave Frequencies and Bands
I.10.1.2 *What can happen to an unpaired electron in a cw-EPR experiment*

The simplest case we can have in EPR is a single unpaired electron with an $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin state. The applied magnetic field has the effect of lifting the degeneracy of the $m_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ due to the Zeeman effect. Once the Zeeman splitting matches the microwave energy, due to the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution there are typically more electrons in the lower state, there is a net absorption. The first derivative of the absorption spectrum is recorded.

![Energy level splitting diagram](image)

**Figure I.7:** An energy level splitting diagram depicting the splitting of $m_s$ states and the spectrum that is recorded during a cw-EPR experiment. Where $H$ is the applied magnetic field, and $g$ value is a proportionality factor, equivalent to NMR’s chemical shift, which will be discussed in section I.5.

Selection rules can be derived from transition probabilities. For perpendicular mode cw-EPR they are: $\Delta S = 0$, $\Delta m_S = \pm 1$. 
I.10.1.3 A Spin Triplet

The energy level diagram for a spin triplet \((S = 1)\) is shown below (Figure I.8)

![Energy level diagram of a spin triplet](image)

**Figure I.8:** An energy level diagram of a spin triplet

The \(m_s\) states are split by \(D\), and the transitions in the EPR experiment are split by a function of \(D\) and centred on a certain \(g\)-value. This gives the EPR spectrum fine structure. The EPR spectrum shown in Figure I.8 is for a single orientation. In a powder EPR spectrum, for each orientation there will be a pair of peaks.

I.10.1.4 Strong Exchange Limit

A widely used approach in the interpretation of EPR and magnetic data of exchange coupled systems is the strong exchange limit (SEL). This method assumes \(J \gg D\), thus \(D\) is treated as a small perturbation on the total effective spin state. The EPR spectra
are treated as superpositions of the different total effective spin states which are thermally populated at the temperature at which the measurement is performed. Each spin state is modelled independently and then added together. This has been the main technique used to model EPR data in this thesis.

Equation I.17 is a SEL spin-Hamiltonian.

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = S_{\text{eff}} D_{\text{eff}} S_{\text{eff}} + \mu_B g_{\text{eff}} S_{\text{eff}}$$

Equation I.17

Where $D_{\text{eff}}$ is the axial zero field splitting parameter of the total effective spin state and $g_{\text{eff}}$ corresponds to the g-value of the total effective spin state.

I.10.2 Magnetic Measurements

The magnetisation and the magnetic susceptibility data presented in this thesis have been recorded using a Superconducting Quantum Interference Device (SQUID). A SQUID magnetometer has a superconducting magnet which provides a longitudinal uniform magnetic field (up to ~7 T). The superconducting magnet magnetizes the sample. A superconducting detection coil is coupled inductively to the sample and is located in the uniform region of the magnetic field. The sample is moved through the superconducting detection coil and the magnetic moment of the sample induces a current in the coil. The SQUID device converts the current to voltage, a change in magnetic flux in the superconducting detection coil causes a variation in the SQUID output voltage. The change in voltage is proportional to the magnetic moment of the sample. The magnetic moment can be detected as a function of temperature or magnetic field. There are other variables possible. The experimental details of the SQUID measurements presented in this Ph.D. thesis are in section VI.3.
I.10.3 Inelastic Neutron Scattering

During an inelastic neutron scattering (INS) experiment, a monochromatic neutron beam is directed at a polycrystalline sample. When the neutron beam hits the sample three things can happen. (i) The neutron beam can be scattered by the nuclei. (ii) The neutron beam can be absorbed by the nuclei. (iii) The neutron beam can be scattered through magnetic interactions between the intrinsic magnetic moment of the neutrons and the magnetic field generated by the unpaired electrons in the sample. The third case provides information about the electronic structure of the molecule being investigated.\textsuperscript{34-38} The INS presented in chapter V was recorded using a time-of-flight neutron scattering spectrometer using non deuterated polycrystalline samples.\textsuperscript{39} Figure I.9 below is reproduced from reference\textsuperscript{34} and depicts a time-of-flight neutron scattering spectrometer.

\textbf{Figure I.9:} A schematic representation of a time-of-flight inelastic scattering spectrometer, reproduced from reference\textsuperscript{34}. 
The polychromatic neutron beam is generated in a neutron reactor and passes through a monochromator which are a series of choppers. The choppers select the energy and the wavelength of the neutrons, producing a monochromatic beam with a precise initial energy \((E_i)\) and wave-vector \((k_i)\). The monochromatic beam is directed through the sample and is scattered. The scattered neutrons are collected in an array of time-resolved detectors. The time of arrival of the neutrons is recorded, from which the final neutron energy \((E_f)\) is calculated. \(E_f\) experience energy loss or energy gain from transitions in the sample. The final wave-vector \((k_f)\) is deduced from the scattering angle \(2\theta\). \(Q\) is the scattering vector \((Q = k_i - k_f)\). The \(Q\)-dependence of a transition is used to obtain information about its magnetic properties. The selection rules for INS are: \(\Delta S = 0, \pm 1\) and \(\Delta M_S = 0, \pm 1\).

The INS in this Ph.D. thesis was measured at zero applied magnetic field. This is in contrast to EPR spectroscopy which must be measured with an applied magnetic field. This makes INS a very useful technique for determining ZFS directly.
I.11 Project Aims

The first aim of this Ph.D. thesis is to determine the effect of templating cation on the spectroscopic properties on a Cr₇M wheel; this shall be discussed in Chapter II. The second aim is to determine the spin-Hamiltonian parameters of a family of purple-Cr₇M molecular wheels. This is discussed in Chapter III. The purpose of the first two aims is to characterize the electronic structure of single Cr₇M wheels, this is important as the single wheels can be linked into larger supramolecular structures for applications in quantum information processing (QIP). A detailed understanding of the electronic structure of the single wheels is required to understand the properties of the larger supramolecular structures. Thirdly, a series of organic linkers have been used to link purple-Cr₇Ni wheels into dimers, EPR spectroscopy has been used to determine the interaction between the two wheels. The purple-Cr₇Ni dimers are prototype two-qubits systems, which have potential applications in QIP. The structure-property relationship of the organic linkers has been determined. This is discussed in Chapter IV. The fourth aim is to determine the effect of capping ligand on the exchange coupling of a family of hexanuclear horseshoes. This chapter aims for a better understanding how electronic structure is affected by choice of capping ligand and to establish structure-property relationships. This shall be discussed in Chapter V.
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II Physical Studies on a Family of Green-Cr$_7$M Wheels: Investigating the Influence of Templating Cation on Spectroscopic Properties
II.1 Introduction

As mentioned in chapter one the formation of Cr₇M wheels is templated around a mono
cation. This chapter will discuss two new types of templating molecules; long chain
alkylammonium cations and caesium cations. The first family of compounds consists of
a series of isostructural heterometallic wheels,
\[ \text{tBuCONHCH}_2\text{NH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NHCOtBu}][\text{Cr}_7\text{MF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{tBu})_{16}], \text{ where } M = \text{Ni}^{2+} (5), \text{Mn}^{2+} (6) \text{ and Zn}^{2+} (7). \] The structure can be described as a rotaxane, as it consists of a long
dialkylammonium cationic axle group which is threaded through a heterometallic
macrocycle. There are numerous examples in the literature of the use of
dialkylammonium groups acting as axle groups in the synthesis of rotaxanes; for
example they have been used in cyclic peptides°, crown ethers° and in cucurbituril
which is a nonadecacyclic cage compound.° Dialkylammonium salts have been
selected for these studies as the ammonium group has been found to effectively bind
with the molecular ring torus through hydrogen bonding interactions.° The ends of the
axle groups are typically bulky tert-butyl groups, or large aromatic groups. This
functionality has the effect of stoppering the axle, thus preventing the two molecules
from dissociating.

The second templating group is a caesium cation. The cavity at the centre of a
polymetallic wheel can be utilized for host-guest chemistry, providing the cavity is of a
reasonably large size. Several research groups have used this as a method to control
the properties of polymetallic wheels. For example Saalfrank and co-workers in 1996
controlled the size of a polymetallic Fe wheel by choice of guest alkali metal. The size
of the wheel is increased from 6-membered to 8-membered by increasing the size of
the cation giving: Na[Fe₆(N(CH₂CH₂O)₃)₆] and Cs[Fe₈(N(CH₂CH₂O)₃)₈].° It has been
reported that the treatment of \([\text{H}_3\text{N}''\text{Pr}]\text{Cr}_7\text{MF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C''Bu})_{16}\) with an excess of caesium pivalate followed by recrystallization from diethyl ether / acetonitrile, yields the caesium templated wheel \([\text{Cs}\subset \text{Cr}_7\text{MF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C''Bu})_{16}] \cdot 0.5\text{MeCN}, \text{where } M^{2+} = \text{Ni}^{2+} \) (8), \(\text{Mn}^{2+} \) (9) and \(\text{Zn}^{2+} \) (10) . This compound can also be made directly from a direct reaction of pivalic acid, chromium(III) tetrahydrate, the appropriate metal carbonate and caesium pivalate. Further details of this synthesis can be found in the literature.\textsuperscript{6}

An EPR study has been conducted on compounds 5 to 10. With the aim of investigating the influence of the templating cation on the spectroscopic and magnetic properties of \(\text{Cr}_7\text{M} \) wheels. The strong exchange limit has been used to fit the experimental data (see section I.10). All the EPR data in this chapter has been modelled with the following spin-Hamiltonian:

\[
H = \mu_B g \mathbf{S} + D \left[ S_z^2 - S(S+1)/3 \right]
\]

\textit{Equation II.1}

Where \(S\) is the total spin quantum number of the molecule.
II.2 Structural Description of the Rotaxane Wheels: Compounds 5, 6 and 7

Compounds 5 to 7 are isostructural (Figure II.1)

Figure II.1: Top and Side view of 

\[
\text{[}^t\text{BuCONH}_2\text{H}_3\text{NH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\text{NHCO}^t\text{Bu}]\text{[Cr}_7\text{M}^{2+}\text{F}_6(\text{O}_2\text{C}^t\text{Bu})_{16}], \text{ M}^{2+} = \text{Mn, Zn, Ni. Colours; Cr atoms: light green; M}^{2+} \text{ atom: dark green; C atoms: black; F atoms: yellow; N atoms: blue; O atoms: red. All H atoms have been removed for clarity.}
\]

The molecular wheel is assembled around a long dialkylammonium cationic axle group, which is terminated at each end with a bulky tertiary butyl group (Figure II.2). There is a hydrogen bonding interaction between the ammonium group of the axle moiety and the bridging fluorides within the molecular wheel.
Figure II.2: The dialkylammonium templating molecule of compounds 5, 6 and 7.

The wheel formed is not a perfect octagon. A mean plane of the metal centres can be calculated and the mean deviation from the mean plane of the 8 metal centres (MDMP) has been determined with the MPLN command of the crystallographic program SHELX-97. For compounds 8 to 10, MDMP = 0.175 Å. This is close to the calculated MDMP value for dimethylammonium-templated Cr₇M wheels (5 to 7) which is 0.180 Å. Hence inclusion of the longer chain templates does not significantly distort the wheels.

II.3 Structural Description of Caesium Templated Wheels: Compounds 8, 9 and 10

The structure of [Cs corazCr₇MF₆(O₂CtBu)₁₆]·0.5MeCN can be seen in Figure II.3. Compounds 8, 9 and 10 are isostructural. At the centre of the structure there is a caesium cation, which is bound to all eight bridging fluoride ions and takes a position which is co-planar to the eight metal centres of the wheel. The Cs⋯F bond distances range from 3.131 Å to 3.381 Å.
As with the dimethylammonium templated wheels there are equatorial and axial pivalate groups. However, on one side of the wheel the axial pivalate groups lean in towards the caesium cation and on the other side of the wheel they lean away from the caesium (see Figure II.4). This distortion allows for the inclusion of the partial occupancy of an acetonitrile molecule which is coordinated to the caesium cation. When a Cr₇M wheel is templated around an alkylammonium group the axial pivalate
groups have a geometry which is perpendicular to the plane of the metal centres. Figure II.4 is the molecular structure of a caesium templated wheel with the equatorial pivalate groups removed. This has been done so that the reader can clearly see the stereochemistry of the axial pivalate groups.

**Figure II.4:** The molecular structure of [CscCr₇NiF₈(O₂CtBu)₁₆]·0.5MeCN in the crystal, with the equatorial pivalate groups removed for clarity.

In comparison to the dialkylammonium-templated wheels, the caesium-templated wheels have a more planar arrangement of metal centres. The MDMP of the eight metal centres is 0.148 Å.
II.4 Results

II.4.1 EPR study of \([^{t}BuCONHC_6H_{12}NH_2C_6H_{12}NHCO^{t}Bu][Cr_7NiF_8(O_2C^tBu)_{16}]\)

Variable temperature EPR spectra were measured at X- and Q-band frequencies (Figure II.5 and II.6). There is a single anisotropic resonance due to a \(S = \frac{1}{2}\) ground state. The coupling scheme (Figure I.5) which was used by Dr. S. Piligkos to model the EPR spectra of compounds 2 to 4 can be used for 5. Hence, unsurprisingly replacement of dimethylammonium with a long chain template has not changed the coupling scheme.

![EPR Spectra](image)

**Figure II.5:** Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered polycrystalline sample of \([^{t}BuCONHC_6H_{12}NH_2C_6H_{12}NHCO^{t}Bu][Cr_7NiF_8(O_2C^tBu)_{16}]\) (5) at X-band frequency.
Figure II.6: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered polycrystalline sample of $[^7\text{BuCONHC}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NHCOBu}][\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_6(\text{O}_2\text{C}^7\text{Bu})_6]$ (5) at Q-band frequency.

Figure II.7 compares the Q-band EPR spectra of compounds 2 and 5 at 5 K. In the EPR spectrum of 5 the axial nature of the g-values is resolved; there are two overlapping resonances at $\sim13,850$ G ($g = 1.78$) and $\sim14,000$ G ($g = 1.74$). This splitting is unresolved in 8. The EPR spectrum of 5 can be modelled as $S = \frac{1}{2}$ with the following spin-Hamiltonian parameters: $g_{xy} = 1.780$, $g_z = 1.740$ and an isotropic Gaussian line width of 100 G.\textsuperscript{8}
Figure II.7: Comparison of powder EPR spectra of $[\text{H}_2\text{NMe}_2][\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{16}]$ (2) and $[\text{'BuCONHC}_6\text{H}_2\text{NH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\text{NHCO}^\text{'Bu}][\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{'Bu})_{16}]$ (5) at Q-band frequency. Compound 8 can be modelled with slightly different spin-Hamiltonian parameters: $g_{xy} = 1.780$, $g_z = 1.745$ and isotropic line widths of 100 G (Figure II.8)

Figure II.8: 5 K Q-band spectrum of a powdered sample of 5 and simulation.
II.4.2 EPR Study of $[^tBuCONHC_6H_{12}NH_2C_6H_{12}NHCO^tBu][Cr_7MnF_6(O_2C^tBu)_16]$ 

Variable temperature EPR measurements were performed on powdered samples of 6, in a similar fashion to 5. The EPR spectra obtained give evidence of the population of two different total spin states between 5 K and 20 K. By observing the temperature dependences of the resonances the transitions have been assigned to a $S = 1$ spin ground state and an $S = 2$ excited state. The $S = 1$ transitions decrease in intensity with increasing temperature. The $S = 2$ transitions increase in intensity with increasing temperature. Figure II.9 shows the assignment of these transitions.

**Figure II.9**: EPR spectrum of a powdered polycrystalline sample of 6 at W-band frequency at 5 K and 10 K. Blue stars indicate that the resonance originates from the ground spin state and green stars are from the next adjacent excited state.

The two spin states have been modelled independently. Then each calculated spectrum is normalized to the most intense transition of that spin state. The two calculated spectra are then added together. Figure II.10 shows the modelled and
experimental spectra of 6. The following parameters were obtained: $S = 1$, $D_{S = 1} = +0.800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $E_{S = 1} = 0.085 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 2.00$ and $g_z = 2.05$; $S = 2$, $D_{S = 2} = +0.185 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $E$ not determined, $B'_{00} = -7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g_{xz} = 2.00$, $g_y = 1.98$ with a Gaussian isotropic line width of 200 G, where $B'_{00}$ is a fourth order term in the SEL spin Hamiltonian, this term removes the degeneracy of the $m_s$ states of the $S = 2$ spin state in zero field. These are the same parameters as those previously determined for single crystal and powder samples of $[\text{H}_2\text{NMMe}_2][\text{Cr}_7\text{MnF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C}^1\text{Bu})_{16}]$ (6).\(^8\)

**Figure II.10**: 5 K W-band experimental powder EPR spectrum and simulation of 6. The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters that have been used to fit the experimental spectrum; $S = 1$: $D = +0.800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $E = 0.085 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 2.00$ and $g_z = 2.05$; $S = 2$: $D = 0.185 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $B'_{00} = -7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g_{xz} = 2.00$ and $g_y = 1.98$. At frequency $\nu = 94.5186$ GHz, with Gaussian isotropic line-widths of 200 G.
Figure II.11: 5 K W-band spectrum of a powdered sample of 6 and $S = 1+ S = 2$ simulation. A good fit of the $S = 1$ resonances is achieved with these parameters. However the amplitude of the $S = 2$ resonance at ~30,875 G (pink asterisk) is not very well reproduced in the simulation. This is probably due to a $D$-strain effect which we have not included in the model. Figure II.12 compares the 5 K W-band spectra of compounds 2$^8$ and 6, showing that the spin Hamiltonian parameters are unchanged with the change in templating cation.
**Figure II.12:** Comparison of powder EPR spectra of compounds 6 (red line) and 3 (black line) at W-band frequency.

**II.4.3 EPR Study of \([t^6BuCONHC_6H_{12}NH_2C_6H_{12}NHCO^tBu][Cr_7ZnF_8(O_2C^tBu)_{16}]\)**

Compound 7 gives rich, temperature dependent EPR spectra between 5 and 20 K. EPR measurements were performed on a powdered sample of 7 at Q- and W-band frequencies in a similar fashion to the previous compounds. By observing the temperature dependence of the EPR resonances recorded between 5 K and 20 K, they can be assigned to two different spin states (Figure II.13). The ground state is \(S = \frac{3}{2}\), with an excited state of \(S = \frac{5}{2}\).
Figure II.13: EPR spectrum of a powdered polycrystalline sample of 7 at W-band frequency at 5 K and 10 K. Blue stars indicate that the resonance originates from the ground spin state and green stars are highlighting the resonances from the next excited spin state.

The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters are used to model the spin ground state, $D_{3/2} = 0.41$ cm$^{-1}$, $E_{3/2} = 0.051$ cm$^{-1}$, $g_{iso} = 2.00$. The $S = \frac{5}{2}$ resonances can be modelled with $D_{5/2} = 0.035$ cm$^{-1}$ and $g_{iso} = 2.00$ (Figure II.14).
Figure II.14: 5 K W-band experimental powder EPR spectrum and simulation of 7. The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters that have been used to fit the experimental spectrum; \( S = \frac{3}{2} \): \( D = 0.41 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \), \( E = 0.041 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \), and \( g_{\text{iso}} = 2.00 \). \( S = \frac{5}{2} \): \( D = 0.035 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \) and \( g_{\text{iso}} = 2.00 \). At frequency, \( \nu = 95.31050 \, \text{GHz} \) and with Gaussian isotropic line-widths of 200 G.

Figure II.15: 5 K W-band spectrum of a powdered sample of 7 and \( S = \frac{3}{2} + S = \frac{5}{2} \) simulation.
The spin-Hamiltonian parameters that have been used are identical to those used in the modelling of the EPR spectra of compound 4,8 (Figure II.16) and there are only minor differences in the spectra.

Figure II.16: Comparison of powder EPR spectra of compounds 4 (black line) and 7 (red line) at W-band frequency.

However, one notable difference is that ratio of the resonances at 33,400 G and 34,050 G is not the same for compounds 7 and 10. Attempts have been made to recreate this feature in the modelling, but have been unsuccessful.
II.4.4 EPR Study of \([\text{CsCr}_7\text{NiF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{16}]\cdot 0.5\text{MeCN}\)

Multi-frequency spectra of polycrystalline samples were recorded at X- and Q-band frequencies. Low temperature Q-band spectra (Figure II.17) are consistent with an \(S = 1/2\) ground state, as with the Cr\(_7\)Ni wheels with other templates. However, there is a significant variation in g-values. Compound 8 has considerably less g-anisotropy than 2.

![Figure II.17: Comparison powder EPR spectra of compound 2 and 8 at Q-band frequency. The black lines are experimental data and the red lines are simulation.

Compound 2: \(g_{xy} = 1.79, g_z = 1.74\), isotropic Gaussian line widths of 100 G. Compound 8: \(g_{xy} = 1.79, g_z = 1.77\), isotropic Gaussian line widths of 100 G.

The EPR spectra of 8 can be modelled with the following spin-Hamiltonian parameters:
\(g_{xy} = 1.79\) and \(g_z = 1.77\). Compound 2 can be modelled with \(g_{xy} = 1.780\) and \(g_z = 1.740\). Isotropic Gaussian line widths of 100 G have been used in both simulations.
II.4.5 EPR Study of [Csc\textsubscript{12}Cr\textsubscript{g}MnF\textsubscript{8}(O\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{t}Bu)\textsubscript{16}]·0.5MeCN

Variable temperature EPR measurements were performed at X-, Q- and W-band frequencies on powdered samples of 9. Analysis of the wheel within the coupling scheme given in Figure II.18 indicates that 9 has a ground spin state of $S = 1$, this is confirmed by the EPR measurements. The $S = 1$ spin ground state of compound 9 can be modelled with the following spin-Hamiltonian parameters: $D_{S=1} = 0.700$ cm\textsuperscript{-1}, $E_{S=1} = 0.07$ cm\textsuperscript{-1}, $g_{xy} = 2.00$ and $g_z = 2.02$. The $S = 2$ excited state can be modelled with: $D_{S=1} = 0.075$ cm\textsuperscript{-1} and $g_{iso} = 1.98$. Gaussian line widths of 200 G have been used throughout. The 5 K W-band spectrum of compound 9 and simulation are shown in Figures II.18 and II.19.

Figure II.18: 5 K W-band experimental powder EPR spectrum and simulation of 9. The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters that have been used to fit the experimental spectrum; $S = 1$: $D = 0.70$ cm\textsuperscript{-1}, $E = 0.07$ cm\textsuperscript{-1}, $g_{xy} = 2.00$ and $g_z = 2.02$. $S = \frac{5}{2}$: $D = 0.075$ cm\textsuperscript{-1} and $g_{iso} = 1.98$. At frequency, $\nu = 95.31050$ GHz and with Gaussian isotropic line-widths of 200 G.
Figure II.19 consists of the $S = 1 + S = 2$ simulation and 5 K W-band experiment overlaid, the simulation is a good fit of the experimental data.

![Graph showing derivative intensity vs. magnetic field (G) for 5 K experiment and simulation.]

**Figure II.19:** 5 K W-band frequency spectrum of compound 9 and simulation.

The $D$ values for the $S = 1$ ground state and the $S = 2$ excited state are significantly smaller in compound 9 than 3. Figure II.20 compares the W-band 5 K spectra of 9 and 3, highlighting the much narrower spread of resonance fields.
Figure II.20: Comparison of powder EPR spectra of compounds 3 (black line) and 9 (red line) at W-band frequency.

II.4.6 EPR study of [CsCr₇ZnF₆(O₂C'Bu)₁₆]·0.5MeCN]

EPR spectra were measured at Q- and W-band frequencies of a powdered sample of compound 10. Confirming that there is an spin ground state of $S = \frac{3}{2}$ and an excited state of $S = \frac{1}{2}$. The $S = \frac{3}{2}$ spin ground state can modelled with the following spin-Hamiltonian parameters: $D_S = 3/2 = 0.32 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $E_S = 3/2 = 0.035 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 2.00$ and $g_z = 2.03$. The $S = \frac{1}{2}$ excited state can be modelled with an isotropic g-value of 2.00.
Figure II.21: 5 K W-band experimental powder EPR spectrum and simulation of 10. The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters that have been used to fit the experimental spectrum; $S = \frac{3}{2}$: $D = 0.32$ cm$^{-1}$, $E = 0.035$ cm$^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 2.00$ and $g_z = 2.03$. $S = \frac{1}{2}$: $g_{iso} = 2.00$. At frequency, $\nu = 93.3338$ GHz and with Gaussian isotropic line-widths of 200 G.

Figure II.22: 5 K W-band spectrum of compound 10 and $S = \frac{3}{2} + S = \frac{1}{2}$ simulation.
Figure II.23 compares the 5 K W-band EPR spectra of compounds 4 and 10. Again, there is a significantly smaller $D$ value for the caesium templated wheel, shown by the smaller spread of the resonances. Compound 10 is different from the other Cr$_7$Zn wheels with other templating cations, because there is an $S = \frac{1}{2}$ excited state rather than an $S = \frac{5}{2}$ excited state.

![Comparison of powder EPR spectra of compounds 4 and 10](image)

**Figure II.23:** Comparison of powder EPR spectra of compounds 4 (black line) and 10 (red line) at W-band frequency.

**II.5 Discussion and Conclusions**

The rotaxane-Cr$_7$M wheels (6 and 7), can be modelled with identical ground state $D$ and $E$ values to those provided for the dimethylammonium templated wheels (3 and 4). The EPR spectra of compound 5 has a very similar set of g-values as previously reported for compound 2. The caesium templated wheels are spectroscopically
different. The \( D \) value for the ground spin state of \( 9 \) and \( 10 \) is significantly smaller than those determined for the dimethylammonium templated wheels, \( D = +0.70 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for \( 9 \), +0.80 cm\(^{-1}\) for \( 3 \), +0.32 cm\(^{-1}\) for \( 10 \) and +0.41 cm\(^{-1}\) for \( 4 \). The rhombicity \((\lambda = E/D)\) of the two families is very different. For the ground spin state of \( 9 \) and \( 10 \), \( \lambda = 0.109 \). For \( 3 \) \( \lambda = 0.106 \) and for \( 4 \) \( \lambda = 0.100 \). Apart from \( 2 \) and \( 5 \), line widths of 200 G have been used throughout the green wheel family to model the spectroscopic data. Two different types of \( \text{Cr}_7\text{M} \) wheels have been discussed: rotaxane-\( \text{Cr}_7\text{M} \) wheels and caesium templated \( \text{Cr}_7\text{M} \) wheels. It has been found that when the wheels are templated with a long dialkylammonium group, the spectroscopic properties of the wheel are not altered. This is an expected result; The MPLN for compounds \( 5 \) to \( 7 \) is 0.175 Å and for \( 2 \) to \( 4 \) is 0.180 Å. The MPLN values are similar; therefore the wheels have very similar geometries and we should not expect any significant change in electronic structure. There are more significant differences in the spin Hamiltonian parameters of the caesium templated wheels. There is a \( \sim \)20 % decrease in the ground state \( D \) values of \( 9 \) and \( 10 \) in comparison to the dialkylammonium templated wheels. The ground state \( g \)-values of \( 8 \) are more isotropic than the \( g \)-values of the \( \text{Cr}_7\text{Ni} \) dialkylammonium templated wheels. The local coordination spheres of the metal ions are identical in all “green” wheels; therefore it is unlikely that there are significant differences in the local ZFS of the metal centres. It is more likely that the differences in spectroscopic parameters originate from the differences in MPLN values, the MPLN of \( 8 \) to \( 10 \) is 0.148 Å. This means the metal ions have a more planar arrangement in comparison to the dimethylammonium templated wheels. This increase in symmetry is induced by the templating caesium ion. The central caesium ion is coordinated to all of the bridging fluoride atoms, inducing a greater symmetry. Whereas in the dialkylammonium templated molecular wheels there are only hydrogen bonding interactions between two of the bridging fluoride ions and the hydrogen’s of the dialkylammonium cation. This will affect the relative orientations of the local ZFS and hence their projection onto the total
$D$ of the ground spin state. The caesium templated wheels may have greater alignment of local ZFS, which would result in a smaller $D$ of the total spin state.
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III Physical Studies on a Family of purple-Cr$_7$M Molecular Wheels: Isostructural Compounds with Different Ground Spin States
III.1 Introduction

The physical properties of a family of isostructural heterometallic wheels of the form \([\text{Cr}_7\text{M}^{2+}\text{F}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{15}(\text{L})]\), where \(\text{M}\) is a divalent metal cation (\(\text{M}^{2+} = \text{Mn, Zn, Ni}\); \(\text{Etglu} = N\text{-ethyl-D-glucamine}\)) have been investigated. These compounds are a vibrant purple colour and hereafter for simplicity shall be named purple-\(\text{Cr}_7\text{M}\). These single purple-\(\text{Cr}_7\text{M}\) wheels can be linked together through coordination chemistry into larger supramolecular structures. The purpose of this project is to determine the spin-Hamiltonian parameters and therefore the electronic structure of purple-\(\text{Cr}_7\text{M}\) wheels. The characterization of the single purple-(\(\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}\)) wheels will aid the understanding of the subtle interactions between wheels in the larger linked supramolecular systems.

III.2 A Structural Description of the Purple-\(\text{Cr}_7\text{M}\) Wheels

The octanuclear wheel is formed in the reaction of \(N\)-ethyl-\(D\)-glucamine (Figure III.1), chromium(III) fluoride tetrahydrate, the appropriate metal carbonate and an excess of pivalic acid. The yield of this reaction is around 30 \%.\(^1\)

\[
7\text{CrF}_3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \frac{1}{15}[2\text{NiCO}_3\text{3Ni(OH)}_2\text{4H}_2\text{O}] + \text{H}_2\text{Etglu} + \text{xs} \text{ HO}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu} \rightarrow [\text{Cr}_7\text{MF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{15}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]
\]

Figure III.1: The templating molecule \(N\)-ethyl-\(D\)-glucamine.
There are seven Cr$^{3+}$ ions and a single heterometal ion which form an octagon of metal centres (Figure III.2) The formation of the cluster is templated around a chiral $N$-ethyl-$D$-glucamine molecule which is penta-deprotonated and bound to the metal sites through all available O-donors, forming five bridging alkoxide groups inside the wheel. The other three remaining sites inside the wheel are bridged by fluoride ions. For seven of the eight edges of the octanuclear wheel there are two bridging carboxylate groups and at the eighth edge there is only a single bridging pivalate and one bridging fluoride ion. At the heterometal site there is a terminal ligand, which is a water molecule in the original preparation. If the compound is recrystallized, the terminal ligand can be an acetonitrile or diethyl ether molecule, this ligand is circled in Figure III.2. To summarize there are five different metal coordination environments, making this a rather complex system.
Figure III.2: Side and top views of $[\text{Cr}_7M^{2+}F_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}(\text{Et}_2\text{O})]$, $M^{2+} = \text{Mn, Zn, Ni}$. Colours; Cr atoms: purple; M atom: green; C atoms: black; F atoms: yellow; N atoms: blue; O atoms: red. All H atoms have been removed for clarity. The labile terminal ligand at the heterometal site is circled in pink.¹

The terminal ligand can also be substituted for a variety of N-donor organic ligands. For example 4-phenylpyridine, which occupies a site which is perpendicular to the plane of the wheel (Figure III.3)
This chapter will discuss the physical properties of the purple-Cr$_7$M wheels with 4-phenylpyridine at the heterometal site. These types of wheels have been chosen as it closely models “half” of the dimeric systems formed when N,N-bridging ligands (e.g. 4,4'-bipyridine) are used. These systems shall be discussed in chapter IV.
III.3 Results and Discussion

III.3.1 Magnetic Measurements of the Purple-Cr₇M Wheels

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on compounds [Cr₇NiF₃(Etglu)(O₂C′Bu)₁₅(phpy)] (11), [Cr₇MnF₃(Etglu)(O₂C′Bu)₁₅(phpy)] (12) and [Cr₇ZnF₃(Etglu)(O₂C′Bu)₁₅(phpy)] (13). For compounds 11 to 13 the $\chi_mT$ at 300 K has a value of 11.66 emu K mol⁻¹ for 11, 17.03 emu K mol⁻¹ for 12 and 11.37 emu K mol⁻¹ for 13. These values are somewhat smaller the calculated values ($\chi_mT = \sum \frac{g_J^2}{B} S_i (S_i + 1)$, where $g = 2.00$) for seven non interacting Cr³⁺ ions and the appropriate heterometal, which is 14.13 emu K mol⁻¹ for 11, 17.50 emu K mol⁻¹ for 12 and 13.13 emu K mol⁻¹ for 13. The value of $\chi_mT$ falls as the temperature falls for each of the compounds; which indicates that there is antiferromagnetic exchange within the rings. The 2 K value of $\chi_mT$ for 11 is 0.31 emu K mol⁻¹, for 12 is 0.99 emu K mol⁻¹ and for 13 is 1.76 emu K mol⁻¹. This is consistent with ground spin states of $S = \frac{1}{2}$ for 11, $S = 1$ for 12 and $S = \frac{3}{2}$ for 13. The ground spin states are confirmed further by field dependent magnetization measurements at 2 K, the Brillouin function saturates at 0.99 $N_\beta$ for 11, 2.09 $N_\beta$ for 12 and 3.02 $N_\beta$ for 13 ($M_{sat} = gS$).

The magnetic susceptibility and magnetization data has been modelled with the following exchange spin-Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = -2 \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j$$

Equation III.1
The magnetic data and simulations of are shown in Figures: III.4 and III.5 (11); III.6 III.7 (12); III.8 III.9 (13). Best fit parameters are in Table III.1 using the exchange scheme defined in Figure III.10.

**Figure III.4:** The magnetic susceptibility data of [Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (11) measured on a polycrystalline powdered sample which was fixed with eicosaine. Left: temperature dependent susceptibility at 0.1 T, Right: temperature dependent susceptibility at 0.1 T plotted as $\chi_mT$ vs. $T$. The solid black lines were calculated with the parameters given in Table III.1.

**Figure III.5:** The field dependent magnetization at 2 K of [Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (11) measured on a polycrystalline powdered sample which was fixed with eicosaine.
Figure III.6: The magnetic susceptibility data of [Cr$_7$MnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (12) measured on a polycrystalline powdered sample which was fixed with eicosaine. Left: temperature dependent susceptibility at 0.1 T, Right: temperature dependent susceptibility at 0.1 T plotted as $\chi_mT$ vs. $T$.

Figure III.7: The field dependent magnetization at 2 K of [Cr$_7$MnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (12) measured on a polycrystalline powdered sample which was fixed with eicosaine.
Figure III.8: The magnetic susceptibility data of [Cr$_7$ZnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (13) measured on a polycrystalline powdered sample which was fixed with eicosaine. Left: temperature dependent susceptibility at 0.1 T, Right: temperature dependent susceptibility at 0.1 T plotted as $\chi_mT$ vs. $T$.

Figure III.9: The field dependent magnetization at 2 K of [Cr$_7$ZnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (13) measured on a polycrystalline powdered sample which was fixed with eicosaine.
Figure III.10: An annotated picture of a purple-Cr$_7$M wheel defining the differing $J$-values used to model the magnetic susceptibility data.

Table III.1: The spin-Hamiltonian parameters which have been used to model compounds 11, 12 and 13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Purple-Cr$_7$Ni (11)</th>
<th>Purple-Cr$_7$Mn (12)</th>
<th>Purple-Cr$_7$Zn (13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$J_1$</td>
<td>-5.90 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-5.90 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-5.90 cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_2$</td>
<td>-8.66 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-6.01 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_3$</td>
<td>-8.66 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-4.00 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_4$</td>
<td>-8.00 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-8.00 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-8.00 cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{iso}$ (susceptibility)</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{iso}$ (magnetization)</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The simplest model would be to model the magnetic data with a single isotropic exchange interaction. The magnetic data of compound 11 has been previously modelled with a single $J$-value of 8.00 cm$^{-1}$, a good fit of the experimental data has been achieved with this method. However the magnetic data of compounds 12 and 13 cannot be fitted with a single $J$-value. Plus it makes little chemical sense to have a single exchange term when there are 8 unique interactions. However there is a need to avoid over-parameterization, so the structure has been analysed and certain parameters have been fixed to literature values. There are four chemically distinct bridging arrangements: (i) Cr-Cr involving a fluoride and two carboxylates, (ii) Cr-M involving one fluoride and two carboxylates, (iii) Cr-M involving one fluoride and (iv) one carboxylate and Cr-Cr involving an alkoxide and two carboxylates. Hence, in our model we use four distinct $J$-values, $J_{1-4}$ respectively (see Figure III.10). The $J_1$ interaction is equivalent to what has been determined for the Cr···Cr interaction of green-Cr$_7$M wheels from the modeling of INS and EPR data. The $J_2$ interaction is also equivalent to Cr···M$^{II}$ interaction in green-Cr$_7$M wheels, hence the $J_2$ term has also been fixed to literature values. This gives one free variable for 13, namely $J_4$, and two for 11 and 12, namely $J_3$ and $J_4$. The $J_4$ term has been fixed to be common to all three compounds, hence reducing the number of variables further. The g-values have been restrained to be within sensible values. Using this procedure and fitting to the magnetization and the magnetic susceptibility data simultaneously, a good fit of the experimental data has been achieved. Different g-values have been used to model the magnetic susceptibility and the magnetization; this is due to limitations of the MAGPACK software. From the $J$-values which have been determined a zero-field energy level diagram can be calculated using MAGPACK. The 12 lowest lying spin states of compounds 11, 12 and 13 are shown in Figure III.11.
Figure III.11: An zero field energy level diagram of the 12 lowest lying total spin states of 11 purple-(Cr$_7$Ni), 12 purple-(Cr$_7$Mn) and 13 purple-(Cr$_7$Zn). The parameters used to calculate the energy levels are given in Table III.3. This energy level diagram was calculated using the program "MAGPACK".

The calculated ground states of compounds 11, 12 and 13 are $S = \frac{1}{2}$, 1 and $\frac{3}{2}$ respectively, consistent with the low temperature limit of $\chi mT$ and $M_{\text{sat}}$ values. There is a gap between the ground state and the first excited state of 13.46 cm$^{-1}$ for 11, 13.76 cm$^{-1}$ for 12 and 8.27 cm$^{-1}$ for 13. Therefore we should not expect the effective spin ground state to be thermally isolated from the first excited state at the liquid helium temperatures.
III.3.2 UV / Visible Absorption Spectroscopy of Purple and Green Cr$_7$M Wheels

The UV/visible spectra of the purple-Cr$_7$M wheels (11 to 13) and the green-Cr$_7$M (2 to 4) have been recorded in hexane at room temperature (Figure III.12). The UV/visible spectra consist of two broad peaks which resemble that of monomeric Cr$^{3+}$ with two of the three expected spin allowed d-d absorptions. The electronic ground state of a d$^5$ metal ion in octahedral symmetry is $^4$A$_2$. The peak which is observed in both families at 425 nm, originates from a $^4$A$_2$ → $^4$T$_1$ transition. The peak which is at 560 nm in the purple family and 625 nm in the green family corresponds to a $^4$A$_2$ → $^4$T$_2$ transition. The longest wavelength transitions give $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ directly: for the purple wheel family this is 17,874 cm$^{-1}$ and for the green family 16,177 cm$^{-1}$. The value of $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ is bigger in the purple wheel family. This is due to the replacement of five very weak field fluorine ligands with stronger field alkoxide ligands in the purple wheels. The molar extinction

Figure III.12: UV/visible spectra of compounds 2-4 and 11-13, recorded at room temperature.
coefficients of the purple wheel family are much higher than those of the green wheel family.

III.3.3 EPR Study of [Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{16}$(phpy)]

EPR spectra of the molecular wheel [Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{16}$(phpy)] (11) have been previously reported. As described in section III.3.1, the magnetic measurements show a doublet ground spin state and quartet excited spin state. The EPR data has been modelled with the spin-Hamiltonian shown in Equation II.1. The EPR spectrum of 11 at 5 K shows a resonance from an $S = 1/2$ state which can be fitted with an axially symmetric set of g-values, $g_{xy} = 1.84$, $g_z = 1.78$ and isotropic line widths of 65 G. The EPR spectrum of the green analogue [H$_2$NR$_2$][Cr$_7$NiF$_6$(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{16}$] (2) can be modelled with an axially symmetric set of g-values, $g_{xy} = 1.84$ and $g_z=1.74$ and isotropic line widths of 100 G (Figure III.13).
Figure III.13: EPR spectra of polycrystalline samples of [Cr₇NiF₃(Etglu)(O₂C'Bu)₁₆(phpy)] (11) and [H₂NR₂][Cr₇NiF₈(O₂C'Bu)₁₆] (2) at 5 K Q-band frequency.

The g anisotropy is considerably better resolved in compound 11 than in compound 2. This can be partially attributed to the slightly larger g anisotropy in 11, but it is largely due to the reduction in line width. The narrower line width of 11 could be due to there being five less bridging fluoride ions (¹⁹F, I = ½) which may be a source of unresolved hyperfine interactions.

III.3.4 EPR Study of [Cr₇MnF₃(Etglu)(O₂C'Bu)₁₆(phpy)]

A EPR study of the molecular wheel [Cr₇MnF₃(Etglu)(O₂C'Bu)₁₆(phpy)], compound 12, was carried out at X-, Q- and W-band frequencies (Figures III.14, III.15 and III.16 respectively).
Figure III.14: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered polycrystalline sample of [Cr₇MnF₃(Etglu)(O₂C⁷Bu)₁₅(phpy)] (12) at X-band frequency.

Figure III.15: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered polycrystalline sample of [Cr₇MnF₃(Etglu)(O₂C⁷Bu)₁₅(phpy)] (12) at Q-band frequency.
Figure III.16: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered polycrystalline sample of [Cr₇MnF₃(Etglu)(O₂C'Bu)₁₅(phpy)] (12) at W-band frequency.

Magnetic measurements and fitting with MAGPACK (see section III.3.1), lead to a picture of the electronic structure where there is an effective ground spin state of \( S = 1 \), with the first excited spin state being \( S = 2 \). Below 20 K EPR measurements of polycrystalline powders give rich temperature dependent spectra. At all of the frequencies which were measured, the spectra become much broader above 20 K. By observing the temperature dependences of the resonances it is clear that more than one spin state is populated even at 5 K. This is most apparent at W-band frequency, where the high resolution allows assignment of each resonance to either \( S = 1 \) or \( S = 2 \) (Figure III.17).
Figure III.17: EPR spectrum of a polycrystalline sample of [Cr$_7$MnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$^6$Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (12) at W-band frequency at 5 K and 10 K. Blue stars indicate that the resonance originates from the ground spin state and green stars are highlighting the resonances from the first excited spin state.

The SEL approach has been used to model the data. Using this assumption, for the purple-(Cr$_7$M) family the ground spin state and the first excited spin state have been modelled independently, and then summed. The $S = 1$ ground state can be modelled with the following parameters: $D = -0.547$ cm$^{-1}$, $E = 0.09$ cm$^{-1}$ and $g_{\text{iso}} = 2.01$ (Figure III.18). There is not enough resolution to model the $S = 2$ spin state. To provide enough information to determine the spin-Hamiltonian parameters of the $S = 2$ spin state a single crystal EPR study needs to be performed.
Figure III.18: 5 K W-band experimental powder EPR spectrum and simulation of [Cr$_7$MnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (12) using the following spin-Hamiltonian parameters: $S = 1$: $D = -0.547$ cm$^{-1}$, $E = 0.09$ cm$^{-1}$, and $g_{\text{iso}} = 2.01$. At frequency $\nu = 93.9538$ GHz and with Gaussian isotropic line-widths of 100 G. The resonance at ~ 16,000 G originates from a formally forbidden $\Delta M_s = \pm 2$ transition in the $S = 1$ spin state (Figure III.19), and is sometimes called the half field transition. This formally forbidden transition may be observed when $D/\hbar \nu < \frac{3}{4}$. It is observed at a field position which is roughly half of that for $g \approx 2$. 
Figure III.19: (a) An energy level diagram depicting the $\Delta m_s = \pm 2$ transition which is the origin of the half field transition. (b) 5 K W-band experimental spectrum of 12 (red line) and simulation (black line). The hyperfine splitting was modelled with $A_{\text{iso}} = 0.0146$ cm$^{-1}$.

The manganese ($^{55}\text{Mn}$ I = $^{5}/_{2}$) hyperfine structure of this transition has been resolved in the 5 K to 20 K W-band spectra and is also the cause of the unusual "squaring" of the half field resonance in the Q-band spectra.

Figure III.20 compares the 5 K W-band spectra of compound 12 with the green analogue which is $[\text{H}_2\text{NR}_2][\text{Cr}_7\text{MnF}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C}^t\text{Bu})_{16}]$ (3). The EPR spectrum of 3 can be modelled with the following spin-Hamiltonian parameters: $D = +0.800$ cm$^{-1}$, $E = 0.085$ cm$^{-1}$, $\lambda = 0.106$, $g_{\text{iso}} = 2.00$ and isotropic line widths of 200 G.
Figure III.20: EPR spectrum of a powdered polycrystalline samples of 12 (red line) and 3 (black line) at W-band frequency at 5 K.

III.3.4.1 Interpretation of the EPR Data of [Cr$_7$MnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$i$Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (12)

In comparison to 3, compound 12 has considerably narrower line widths, possibly due to the reduction of $^{19}$F unresolved hyperfine interactions. Due to the narrower line widths, the $^{55}$Mn$^{2+}$ hyperfine splitting of the half-field resonance of compound 12 has been resolved. The hyperfine structure of the green-Cr$_7$M family has never been resolved. The hyperfine splitting in the 5 K W-band spectrum of 3 can be fitted with $A_{\text{iso}} = 0.0147$ cm$^{-1}$. This value is larger than a typical Mn$^{2+}$ ion in an octahedral geometry. For example the hyperfine splitting of an Mn$^{2+}$ ion surrounded by an octahedron of fluoride doped in a single crystal of K$_2$MgF$_4$, $A_{\text{iso}}$ was found to be $\sim 0.0090$ cm$^{-1}$. A crude explanation of the large hyperfine value can be found by analysis of the single ion projection coefficients, $c_i$, (Appendix VIII.2) of the eight metal ions. The $c_i$ coefficients relate the total spin hyperfine coupling constants with those of the eight individual metal centres. The values of $c_i$ for Cr$_7$Mn are shown in Table III.2.
SEL approximation we only need to consider the projection coefficient of the $^{55}\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ion, and from this we should expect to see a hyperfine splitting of $x \times 1.75$ that of an isolated $^{55}\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ion ($1.75 \times 90 \text{ G} = 157.5 \text{ G}$). This is in good agreement with the experimental value of 147 G. This assumes that the hyperfine coupling to $^{55}\text{Mn}^{2+}$ is only due to the electrons on the Mn$^{2+}$ ion.

**Table III.2:** Single ion projection coefficients ($c_i$) and zero field splitting projection coefficient ($d_i$) of the ground spin state $S = 1$ of Cr$_7$Mn. The vector coupling scheme used is described in Figure I.5.$^{10}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal Site</th>
<th>Cr(1)</th>
<th>Cr(2)</th>
<th>Cr(3)</th>
<th>Cr(4)</th>
<th>Cr(5)</th>
<th>Cr(6)</th>
<th>Cr(7)</th>
<th>Mn(8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c_i$</td>
<td>-0.525</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.525</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.525</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.525</td>
<td>1.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_i$</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>2.800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compound 12 has a smaller value of $D$ than compound 3 the $S = 1$ ground state. Table III.2 shows the zero field splitting ($d$) projection coefficients of a Cr$_7$Mn wheel, they relate the $D$ tensor of the effective spin state of the wheel with the $D$ tensors of the individual metal centres. The Mn$^{2+}$ centre has a higher $d_i$ projection coefficient than the seven other metal centres ($D_{S=1} = 0.56 \ D_{Cr} + 2.80 \ D_{Mn}$). At the Mn$^{2+}$ centre we have a coordination environment of MnO$_3$F$_2$N in 12 and in 3 MnO$_4$F$_2$; we have replaced one oxygen donor (pivalate) with a nitrogen donor (phenyl-pyridine) in 12. In the spectrochemical series of ligands the N atom of a phenyl-pyridine is a stronger field ligand than the O atom of a pivalate group. A stronger field ligand has the effect of increasing $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$; therefore $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ will be larger in compound 5. An increase in $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ will mean
reduced mixing with excited states via SOC (see section I.3). This means that we should expect a smaller value of $D$ in compound 12. Examples of the relationship between ligand field strength and the $D$ tensor can be found in the literature.\textsuperscript{11,12}

The $E/D$ ($\lambda$) ratio is a measure of rhombicity. In compound 12, $\lambda$ is higher than compound 3 for both spin states. This can be rationalized as there are 5 different coordination geometries in compound 12 and just three in compound 3. The higher $\lambda$ of 12 indicates lower symmetry.

III.3.5 EPR Study of [Cr$_7$ZnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{16}$(phpy)]

Multi-frequency ($W$-, $Q$- and $X$-band) powder spectra were obtained for [Cr$_7$ZnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{16}$(phpy)] (13) giving well resolved, temperature dependent spectra below 20 K. At 5 K, there are features in the spectrum for the spin ground state of $S = \frac{3}{2}$ and the sharp feature at $g \approx 2.00$ arises from the $S = \frac{1}{2}$ first excited state. The temperature dependence of the resonances can be used to assign the spin state that they originate from. This analysis has been done in a similar fashion to compound 12. $W$-band EPR spectra measured at 5 and 10 K are shown in Figure III.21. The green star indicates a resonance which originates from an excited state and the blue stars highlight those from the ground spin state.
Figure III.21: EPR spectra of a polycrystalline sample of [Cr$_7$ZnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (13) at W-band frequency at 5 K and 10 K. Blue stars indicate that the resonance originates from the ground spin state and green stars highlight the resonances from the first excited spin state.

The resonances for the $S = \frac{3}{2}$ ground state can be simulated with an axially symmetric set of $g$ values: $g_{xy} = 1.96$, $g_z = 1.98$, with zero field splitting parameters of $D = -0.329$ cm$^{-1}$ and $E = 0.076$ cm$^{-1}$. The $S = \frac{1}{2}$ excited state can be simulated with: $g_{xy} = 1.97$ and $g_z = 1.98$ (Figure III.22).
Figure III.22: 5 K W-band experimental powder EPR spectrum and simulation of [Cr₇ZnF₆(Etglu)(O₂C⁺Bu)₁₅(phpy)] (13). The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters that have been used to fit the experimental spectrum; $S = \frac{3}{2}$: $D = -0.329$ cm$^{-1}$, $E = 0.076$ cm$^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 1.96$ and $g_z = 1.98$. $S = \frac{1}{2}$: $g_{xy} = 1.97$ and $g_z = 1.98$. At frequency $ν = 93.06387$ GHz, with Gaussian isotropic line-widths of 100 G.

Figure III.23 compares the W-band spectra of compound 13 with the green analogue [H₂NR₂][Cr₇ZnF₆(O₂C⁺Bu)₁₆] (compound 4).
Figure III.23: EPR spectra of a powdered polycrystalline samples of 4 (red line) and 13 (black line) at W-band frequency at 5 K.

The W-band spectra of compounds 4 and 13 are considerably different from one another.

III.3.5.1 Interpretation of the EPR Data of [Cr$_7$ZnF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$^t$Bu)$_{15}$(phpy)] (13)

Compound 13 has narrower line widths than compound 4. A possible explanation of this is analogous to that given for compounds 3 and 12.

The value of the ground state $D$ tensor is much larger in compound 4 compared to 13. This can be explained by analysis of the coordination environment of the seven paramagnetic Cr$^{3+}$ metal centres. The $d$ projection coefficients for the ground state are given in Table III.3, derived from the coupling scheme given in Figure III.10.
Table III.3: Single ion projection coefficients (c_i) and zero field splitting projection coefficient (d_i) of the ground spin state S = 3/2 of Cr_7Zn. The vector coupling scheme used is described in Figure I.5.10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal Site</th>
<th>Cr(1)</th>
<th>Cr(2)</th>
<th>Cr(3)</th>
<th>Cr(4)</th>
<th>Cr(5)</th>
<th>Cr(6)</th>
<th>Cr(7)</th>
<th>Zn(8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c_i</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>-0.600</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>-0.600</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>-0.600</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_i</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The d_i projection coefficients of the seven Cr^{3+} ions take values of 0.318 and 0.200, which alternate around the wheel, the total zero field splitting arises from these individual Cr^{3+} ions. From this it can be seen that it is sensible to look towards the coordination chemistry of the Cr^{3+} metal centres. In compound 13 there are five bridging alkoxide groups, replace the bridging fluoride ligands in compound 4. Fluoride ligands are a weaker field ligand than an alkoxide ligand, therefore 13 will have a larger value \Delta_{oct} than 4. This has been confirmed by UV/visible spectroscopy in section III.3.2. We can therefore expect there to be less SOC in compound 13, and the D tensor to be smaller.

The \lambda value is larger for 13 and this can be explained by the larger number of different coordination environments at the metal centres in comparison to compound 4. There is a greater deviation away from axial symmetry in 4. This is reflected in the larger value of \lambda, which is close to the rhombic limit of E/D = 1/3.
III.3.6 Single Crystal Study of \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'}\text{Bu})_{15}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)

A partial single crystal EPR study at K-band frequency of \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'}\text{Bu})_{15}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\) (14) was attempted in order to determine the principal directions of the g-matrix in relation to the structure of the octametallic wheel. Compound 14 crystallizes in an orthorhombic \(P2_12_12_1\) space group and a single crystal was indexed (see Figure III.24). In a general orientation there will be 4 magnetically inequivalent sites, collapsing to 2 when the field is along a crystal plane and 1 when the field is orientated along a crystal axis.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure III.24:** A schematic diagram demonstrating how the \(\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}\) orthorhombic crystal has been indexed.

Preliminary rotation experiments, performed normal to the large flat face of the plate crystal that contains the crystallographic \(a\) axis, show considerable anisotropy (Figure III.25). At certain orientations there is a clear structure in the spectra, with separations of ca. 30 G. It is possible that these features could be due to hyperfine interaction with bridging \(F^-\) ions within the wheel.
Figure III.25: EPR spectra at K-band frequency of a orthorhombic single crystal of $[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{15}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (14) at 10 K.

This study is incomplete, as spectra from two further, mutually orthogonal planes of rotation will be required in order to perform the required analysis using the method of Schonland. The resolution is insufficient to resolve the magnetically inequivalent sites, thus making analysis impossible.
### III.4 Conclusions

The spin-Hamiltonian parameters which have been determined for the ground states of the purple-\(\text{Cr}_7\)M and green-\(\text{Cr}_7\)M wheels are summarized in Table III.4.

**Table III.4:** The spin-Hamiltonian parameters of the ground states of the green and purple \(\text{Cr}_7\)M wheels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(D \text{ (cm}^{-1})</th>
<th>(E \text{ (cm}^{-1})</th>
<th>(\lambda = E/D)</th>
<th>g-value</th>
<th>Line width (G)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(11) Purple-Cr(7)Ni</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>(g_{xy} = 1.84)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(g_z = 1.78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Green-Cr(7)Ni</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>(g_{xy} = 1.84)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(g_z = 1.74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12) Purple-Cr(7)Mn</td>
<td>-0.547</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>(g_{iso} = 2.01)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Green-Cr(7)Mn</td>
<td>+0.800</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>(g_{iso} = 2.00)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(13) Purple-Cr(7)Zn</td>
<td>-0.329</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>(g_{xy} = 1.96)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(g_z = 1.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Green-Cr(7)Zn</td>
<td>+0.410</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>(g_{iso} = 2.00)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Magnetic and EPR measurements have confirmed that the purple wheels have the same ground spin states as the green wheels. Hence an equivalent coupling scheme can be used for both the green and purple wheels. The purple wheels have a larger gap between the ground state and the first excited state in comparison to the green
wheel family. For the purple wheel family this gap is \( \sim 13.5 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for 11, \( \sim 13.7 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for 12 and \( \sim 8.2 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for 13. For the green wheel family, there is a gap of \( \sim 10.0 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for 2, \( \sim 12.9 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for 3 and \( \sim 7.0 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for 4. The \( J_{\text{CrCr}} \)-values are larger for the purple wheel family. There is a 35% increase in \( J_{\text{CrCr}} \)-values from 5.90 cm\(^{-1}\) to 8.00 cm\(^{-1}\). This must mean that there is a better exchange pathway via bridging alkoxide groups compared to bridging fluoride ions. The ground states of the purple wheel family have smaller \( D \) values than the green wheel family. This is due to crystal field effects. The ground state of the purple wheel family also has larger \( \lambda \) values; this is due to the purple wheels being less symmetrical.

The purple wheel family has narrower EPR line widths than the green wheel family; this is partly due to the larger ground state- to excited state energy gap. The narrower line widths can also be attributed to a reduction in the number of bridging \(^{19}\text{F}^-\) ions, which may be a source of unresolved hyperfine interactions. It is likely that longer spin relaxation times will be measured for the purple wheel family due to the larger ground state to excited state energy gap and the reduction in \(^{19}\text{F}^-\) hyperfine. The narrow line widths of 11 will allow for more accurate determination of the interaction between linked dimers of 11 (see chapter IV).
III.5 Reference


IV Tuning the Interaction between Linked Dimers of Purple-Cr$_7$M Wheels
IV.1 Introduction

Recent times have seen the advent of several powerful quantum algorithms.\textsuperscript{1-3} This has sparked a considerable research effort into development of molecular-scale devices for uses in quantum information processing (QIP).\textsuperscript{4-8} It has been proposed that linked dimers of $[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}(\text{Et}_2\text{O})]$ (purple-$\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}$) may have applications in QIP.\textsuperscript{9, 10}

This introduction will briefly discuss some of the concepts in QIP that are relevant to the implementation of the purple-$\{\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}\}$ wheels in devices. No attempt has been made at an in depth discussion, as there are several good, accessible, introductory books available on the subject.\textsuperscript{11, 12} In classical computing, a bit is a basic unit of information and can only have one of the two states: 0 or 1. A quantum bit (qubit) is a unit of quantum information, which consists of a two-level quantum mechanical system, where the two states are conventionally written as $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, which corresponds to the 0 or 1 state of a classical bit.

There are two important differences between a qubit and a classical bit. Firstly a qubit can exist as a linear combination of states, which are known as superpositions. Secondly, multiple qubits can exhibit quantum entanglement, which is a quantum phenomenon in which the states of two or more quantum systems cannot be described independently from one another. For QIP to be implemented, there must controlled generation of quantum entanglement between qubits. A result of this phenomenon is that the amount of information that can be contained in an entangled state of $n$ qubits grows exponentially with $n$, and not linearly as in the case of classical bits. The combination of these two properties, linear superposition and entanglement, enable the
possibility of performing a large number of operations in parallel. This would enable Shor’s\(^2\) and Grover’s\(^1\) algorithms to be implemented. In 2003 Loss proposed that QIP could be implemented with antiferromagnetically coupled molecular spin clusters with an effective spin state of \(S = \frac{1}{2}\).\(^{13}\) His proposal was that two of these \(S = \frac{1}{2}\) clusters could be joined together to form a two-qubit gate, where between the two halves of the dimer there is a weak and switchable interaction (\(J\)). A schematic diagram of this proposal can be seen in Figure IV.1. The inter-cluster exchange interaction \(J\) must be much weaker than the intra-cluster exchange interaction \(J\). The Manchester Magnetism group is working towards finding a physical realisation of this proposal.

![Figure IV.1 A picture of the proposed two-qubit gate taken from reference\(^{13}\).](image)

There are several examples in the literature of linking discrete polymetallic cages into larger supramolecular structures by coordination chemistry. An example of this is the work of Yamashita’s group where they have linked together \{Mn\}_4 single molecule magnets into 2D and polymer networks. The magnetic properties of the polymers are controlled by the choice of organic linker.\(^{14,15}\) There is only one example of a dimer of clusters. Hill and co-workers conducted EPR studies on two dimers of the SMMs \([\text{Mn}_4\text{O}_3\text{Cl}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CET})_3(\text{py})_3]_2\text{R} (R = \text{MeCN or C}_6\text{H}_{14})\).\(^{16}\) These two dimers are formed by
crystallization from different solvents and the dimer is formed only via H-bonding. Therefore the chemistry of these dimers is not easily controllable.

In contrast, the chemistry of purple-(Cr₇Ni) wheels is highly controllable allowing covalent linking of the clusters. Purple-(Cr₇Ni) wheels have a rich coordination chemistry and are robust building blocks. We are able to engineer weak magnetic couplings between purple-Cr₇Ni wheels by relatively simple synthetic chemistry. Purple-(Cr₇Ni) wheels have been identified as being well suited to applications in QIP as they have a suitable energy level structure. The seven chromium atoms and the single Ni are antiferromagnetically coupled resulting in an effective spin-1/2 system at sufficiently low temperatures.¹⁰ They have few proximal F⁻ ions (I = 1/2) and as a result long decoherence times have been measured for [Cr₇NiF₃(Etglu)(O₂C'Bu)₁₅(phpy)] (11) in comparison to [H₂NMe₂][Cr₇NiF₈(O₂C'Bu)₁₆] (5).¹⁷ The magnitude of the exchange coupling J between the two wheels can be controlled by choice of the N-donor linker.

IV.2 The Coordination Chemistry of the Purple-Cr₇M Wheels

As described in chapter three, at the heterometal site of a purple-{Cr₇Ni} wheel, there is a labile ligand which can be a water molecule, acetonitrile or diethyl ether. This labile ligand can be substituted for a variety of organic ligands. It is through this functionality that the single purple-(Cr₇Ni) wheels can be linked together into oligomers through the use of diimines.¹⁰ The organic linkers which have been used are shown in Figure IV.2.
Figure IV.2: Organic Linkers: 4,4'-bipyridine (15), 4,4'-azopyridine (16), 1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethyne (17), trans-(1,2)-di(4-pyridyl)ethene (18), 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane (19) and 1,2-ethanediol-1,2-di-4-pyridine (20).

Organic linkers of varying length have been selected, for example 4,4'-bipyridine is shorter than trans-(1,2)-di(4-pyridyl)ethane. This is to test if there is a relationship between D and the length of organic linker. The series contains unsaturated and saturated molecules, this to test the effect of conjugation on magnetic superexchange. 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane, trans-(1,2)-di(4-pyridyl)ethene and 1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethyne have been selected because they contain single, double and triple bonds respectively. This is to test if the strength of interaction is dependent on the hybridisation of the carbon-carbon bonds. 4,4'-azopyridine and trans-(1,2)-di(4-pyridyl)ethene are isoelectronic, they have been chosen to test if there is more efficient magnetic superexchange between nitrogen or carbon atoms. Figure IV.3 is a reaction scheme depicting the coordination chemistry of the purple Cr\textsubscript{7}Ni wheels. In this study EPR spectroscopy has been used to determine electronic interaction between the two halves of a series of (Cr\textsubscript{7}M)\textsubscript{2-}L dimers.
Figure IV.3: Reaction scheme depicting the coordination chemistry of purple-Cr$_7$Ni wheels. All hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.

The synthesis has been designed so that $[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3\text{(Etglu)}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{15} (\text{Et}_2\text{O})]$ \text{11} approximately equals one half of the dimeric $[[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3\text{(Etglu)}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{15} (\text{4,4}^\prime\text{-bpy})]_2]$ \text{15}.
Figure IV.4 shows Q-band EPR spectra of powdered samples of 
\([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}(\text{Et}_2\text{O})]\) (14) and 11. The EPR spectrum of 14 shows an
asymmetric single resonance at 4 K due to its \(S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2}\) ground spin state. When the
solvent molecule is substituted for 4-phenylpyridine, a spin doublet is still observed but
with increased g-anisotropy. Compound 11 can be modelled with an axially symmetric
set of g-values, \(g_x = 1.84\) and \(g_z = 1.78\).

![Graph](image.png)

**Figure IV.4:** EPR spectra of powdered sample of compounds 
\([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}(\text{Et}_2\text{O})]\) (14) and \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}(\text{phpy})]\)
(11) at 4 K at Q-band frequency.

When 4,4'-bipyridine is used in the substitution reaction, compound 15 is formed where
the two wheels are coupled through the 4,4'-bipyridine. When trans-(1,2)-di(4-
pyridyl)ethene is used in a substitution reaction with 11
\([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}\}_2][\text{pyCHCHpy}]\) (18) is formed. A spin triplet is observed for
both 15 and 18 at low temperatures, which proves that the wheels are also coupled
electronically. Figure IV.5 shows the 5 K Q-band frequency EPR spectra and
simulations of compounds 11, 15 and 18.
Figure IV.5: EPR spectra at Q-band frequency of compounds

\[[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}](\text{phpy})\] (11), \[[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}](4,4'\text{-bpy})\] (15) and
\[[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{15}](\text{pyCHCHpy})\] (18), the black lines are experimental spectra and the red lines are simulations.

The powder EPR spectrum of 15 can be modelled as $S = 1$ with an axially symmetric set of g-values, which deviate slightly from those of 11, and a small positive zero-field splitting parameter: $D = + 0.013 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 1.84$ and $g_z = 1.77$. The EPR spectrum of 18 can be fitted with: $D = + 0.009 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 1.84$ and $g_z = 1.77$. Compound 15 has a larger $D$ value than 18, this implies that there is stronger exchange between the wheels when there is a shorter organic linker. This indicates that the interaction between the
wheels in a dimer can be tuned. This chapter will investigate further whether the strength of the exchange interaction between a dimer of purple-{Cr-Ni} wheels can be controlled by the choice of bridging ligand, and what factors will afford a stronger or weaker interaction.

### IV.3 Generation of a Spin Triplet within Di-ring Cluster 15

The interaction between the two molecular wheels in dimer 15 is directly routed through the nickel centres via the organic linker. Specific heat and magnetic measurements performed at 30mK by W. Wernsdorfer and co-workers have characterized this interaction. They have found that for 15 the wheel-wheel interaction is weakly antiferromagnetic, giving an $S = 0$ spin ground state (which is EPR inactive) and an $S = 1$ excited state (which is EPR active). There is very small gap between the singlet and triplet state (≈ 0.04 cm$^{-1}$) therefore the triplet excited state is thermally accessible at the liquid helium temperature (4.2 K) at which EPR measurements are performed. The microscopic intermolecular coupling between the two wheels (named A and B) of 15 was modelled with the following Hamiltonian:

$$H_{AB} = J' s_A^A \cdot s_B^B$$

Equation IV.1

It was reported that the wheel-wheel $J'$-value of 15 was ≤ 0.1 cm$^{-1}$. As a wheel-wheel $J$-value of this magnitude is too small to be measured by following VT intensity of triplet transitions in the EPR. However, the triplet ZFS is reporting on the anisotropic part of
the exchange and can therefore be used as a simple gauge of the interaction without
the need for mK micro-squid measurements.

**IV.4 Results and Discussion**

**IV.4.1 EPR Study of \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}‘\text{Bu})_{15}\}_2(\text{pyN=Npy})\}]\) **

\([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}‘\text{Bu})_{15}\}_2(\text{pyN=Npy})\}] \) (16) is a dimer of \(\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}\) molecular wheels. The two \(\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}\) wheels are linked via 4,4’-azopyridine, which coordinates to the Ni\(^{2+}\) site of each wheel. The structure of 16 in the crystal is shown in Figure IV.7.

*Figure IV.6: \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}‘\text{Bu})_{15}\}_2(\text{pyN=Npy})\}] \) (16). Colours; Cr atoms: purple; Ni atom: green; C atoms: black; F atoms: yellow; N atoms: blue; O atoms: red. All H atoms have been removed for clarity. The pink asterisked atoms have been used to define a Cr···Ni···Ni···Cr torsion angle.*
The two wheels of the dimer are in a staggered conformation to one another. To quantify the conformation of the two wheels and to aid comparison to other members of the wheel dimers family, a mean plane has been calculated through the eight metal centres in each of the purple-(Cr\(_7\)Ni) wheels in the dimer. The angle between the two planes was found to be 21.37° for 16 (Figure IV.8). An alternative measure is the Cr⋯Ni⋯Ni⋯Cr torsion angle as defined in Figure IV.7. The torsion angle for 16 is 130.74°. A through bond measurement between Ni centres has been made to determine if there is a relationship between the length of the organic linker and the magnitude of the \(D\) tensor of the \(S = 1\) spin state. For 16 this measurement is 16.33 Å. The through-space distance between Ni\(^{2+}\) centres is 13.12 Å for 16.

**Figure IV.7:** Angle between the mean planes of each wheel in [[Cr\(_7\)NiF\(_3\)(Etglu)(O\(_2\)C\(^{t}Bu\))\(_{15}\)(pyN=Npy)] (16).

EPR spectra have been obtained of powdered samples of 16 at Q-, S- and X-band frequencies (figures IV.9, IV.10 and IV.11).
Figure IV.8: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of [{Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$\text{Bu}$)$_{15}$pyN=Npy}] (16) at Q-band frequency.

Figure IV.9: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of [{Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$\text{Bu}$)$_{15}$pyN=Npy}] (16) at X-band frequency.
Figure IV.10: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of 
\[\text{[Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C'}\text{Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyN=Npy})\] (16) at S-band frequency.

Below 20 K at S-, X- and Q-band frequencies the EPR resonances are well resolved and are clearly due to an \(S = 1\) spin state. Above 20 K the spectra become much broader and single isotropic resonances are observed due to population of further excited states. Figures IV.12 and IV.13 show 5 K Q-band and S-band experimental EPR spectra of 16 and simulations.
Figure IV.11: EPR spectrum (black line) and simulation (red line) of a powdered sample of compound \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3\text{(Etglu)}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\beta\text{Bu})_{13}\text{)(pyN=Npy)}\} \text{ (16)}\) at Q-band frequencies.

Figure IV.12: EPR spectrum (black line) and simulation (red line) of a powdered sample of compound \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3\text{(Etglu)}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\beta\text{Bu})_{13}\text{)(pyN=Npy)}\} \text{ (16)}\) at S-band frequencies.
All of the (Cr$_7$Ni)$_2$ wheel dimers in this section have been modelled with Equation II.2, which is a SEL spin-Hamiltonian for a total spin $S = 1$. The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters have been used to fit the experimental spectra: $D = +0.011$ cm$^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 1.84$ and $g_z = 1.78$. The simulation is sensitive to the sign of $D$ and has been found to be positive.

IV.4.2 EPR Study of [(Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$^\text{t}$Bu)$_{15}$)$_2$(pyCCpy)]

Figure IV.14 shows a crystal structure of [(Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$^\text{t}$Bu)$_{15}$)$_2$(pyCCpy)] (17). The two wheels are linked by 1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethyne.

![Crystal Structure of [(Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$^\text{t}$Bu)$_{15}$)$_2$(pyCCpy)]](image)

**Figure IV.13**: [(Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$^\text{t}$Bu)$_{15}$)$_2$(pyCCpy)] (17) Colours are the same as before. All H atoms have been removed for clarity.

The torsion angle, calculated in a similar fashion to 16, is 135.30°. There is an angle of 21.37° between the two planes of the metal centres and a through-bond distance...
between Ni atoms of 16.34 Å. There is a through-space Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^{2+}$ distance of 13.68 Å.

EPR spectra of powdered samples of 17 were performed at Q-, X- and S-band frequencies (Figure IV.14, IV.15 and IV.16).

**Figure IV.14:** Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3\text{(Etglu)}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}\}_2\text{(pyCCpy)}\}]\) (17) at Q-band frequency.

**Figure IV.15:** Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3\text{(Etglu)}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}\}_2\text{pyCCpy)}\}]\) (17) at X-band frequency.
Figure IV.16: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of $$[[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^{'\text{Bu}})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCCpy})]$$ (17), at S-band frequency.

At Q-band frequency the EPR spectrum is clearly a spin triplet. At X-band frequency the spin triplet is less well resolved and at S-band (the lowest frequency) the fine structure is not resolved. This is due the $D$ tensor of the spin triplet being smaller than that was determined for 15 and 16. The powder EPR spectra can be modelled with: $D = +0.008 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 1.84$ and $g_z = 1.77$. The simulations of 17 at Q- and S-band frequencies are shown in Figure IV.17 and IV.18 respectively.
Figure IV.17: EPR spectrum (black line) and simulation (red line) of a powdered sample of compound $[[\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}_3\text{Etglu}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCCpy})]$ (17) at Q-band frequency.

Figure IV.18: EPR spectrum (black line) and simulation (red line) of a powdered sample of compound $[[\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}_3\text{Etglu}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCCpy})]$ (17) at S-band frequency.
IV.4.3 EPR Study of \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{15}\}\rbrack_2(\text{pyCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{py})]\)

The next purple-\((\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni})\) dimer in the series is \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{15}\}\rbrack_2(\text{pyCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{py})]\) (19). The two wheels of the dimer are linked together through 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane. The two wheels are in a staggered conformation to one another. The torsion angle was found to be 133.59° for 19, the through-bond Ni\(^{2+}\) to Ni\(^{2+}\) distance is 16.59 Å, the through-space Ni\(^{2+}\) to Ni\(^{2+}\) distance is 12.89 Å and the angle between the two planes of the metal centres is 33.06°. The structure of 19 in the crystal is shown in Figure IV.19.

![Figure IV.19: \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{15}\}\rbrack_2(\text{pyCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{py})]\) (19). Colours: same as before. All H atoms have been removed for clarity.](image)

EPR spectra of a powdered sample of 19 were obtained at Q-, S- and X-band frequencies (Figures IV.20, IV.21 and IV.22 respectively).
Figure IV.20: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^t\text{Bu})_{15}\}_2\{\text{pyCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{py}\}]\) (19), at Q-band frequency.

Figure IV.21: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of \([\{\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^t\text{Bu})_{15}\}_2\{\text{pyCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{py}\}]\) (19), at X-band frequency.
Figure IV.22: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of 

\[ [[\text{Cr}_7\text{Ni}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{py})] \] (19), at S-band frequency.

At all the frequencies which have been measured there is no resolved fine structure. Hence, the spectra resemble the \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) spectra of the free wheel. However, the peaks are broader than those of 11. This indicates that there is a weak interaction between the two halves of the (Cr\(_7\)Ni\(_2\)) dimer. Therefore the spectra have been modelled as \( S = 1 \). The simulation and 5 K experiment at Q-band frequency are shown in Figure IV.23.
**Figure IV.23:** EPR spectrum (black line) and simulation of a powdered sample of compound 19 at Q-band frequency.

**Figure IV.24:** EPR spectrum (black line) and simulation of a powdered sample of compound 19 at S-band frequency.
The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters were used to model the experimental EPR data: \( D = +0.005 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad g_{xy} = 1.84 \) and \( g_z = 1.77 \). A \( D \) value of \( +0.005 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) is the upper limit of the anisotropic exchange.

**IV.4.4 EPR Study of \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCH(OH)CH(OH)py})\])**

A multi-frequency, variable temperature EPR study was performed on \([\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCH(OH)CH(OH)py})\]) (20). The crystal structure of compound 20 is shown in Figure IV.25. The conformation of the two wheels in the dimer is atypical to the rest of the family. The two wheels are orientated at almost right angles to one another. The measured angle between the two mean planes of the eight metal centres in each wheel within the dimer is 72.48°: This is the largest angle in the series. The through bond \( \text{Ni}^{2+}\text{-Ni}^{2+} \) distance was also found to be the longest in the series, at 16.78 Å. However the \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) to \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) distance is very short at 10.84 Å.
Figure IV.25: [[Cr$_7$NiF$_3$(Etglu)(O$_2$C'Bu)$_{15}$]$_2$(pyCH(OH)CH(OH)py)] $\text{(20)}$. Colours; Cr atoms: purple; Ni atom: green; C atoms: black; F atoms: yellow; N atoms: blue; O atoms: red. All H atoms have been removed for clarity.

The powder EPR spectra of compound 20 are shown below. Measurements were made at Q-, X- and S-band frequencies. (Figure IV.26, IV.27 and IV.28 respectively).
Figure IV.26: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of \([\text{[Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCH(OH)CH(OH)py})]\) (20), at Q-band frequency.

Figure IV.27: Variable temperature EPR spectra of a powdered sample of \([\text{[Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}]_2(\text{pyCH(OH)CH(OH)py})]\) (20), at X-band frequency.
At all frequencies there are single broad resonances, which are broader than that of 2. This indicates a weak interaction, therefore the spectra have been modelled as $S = 1$. The $D$ value determined is the upper limit of the anisotropic exchange. The spectra can be modelled with: $D = +0.004$ cm$^{-1}$, $g_{xy} = 1.84$ and $g_z = 1.77$. The Q- and S-band experimental spectra and simulations are shown in Figures IV.29 and IV.30.
Figure IV.29: EPR spectrum (black line) and simulation of a powdered sample of $\left[\left[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3 \left(\text{Etglu}\right) \left(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime \text{Bu}\right)_{15}\right]_2 \left(\text{pyCH(OH)}\text{CH(OH)}\text{py}\right)\right]$ (20) at Q-band frequency.

Figure IV.30: EPR spectrum (black line) and simulation of a powdered sample of $\left[\left[\text{Cr}_7\text{NiF}_3 \left(\text{Etglu}\right) \left(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime \text{Bu}\right)_{15}\right]_2 \left(\text{pyCH(OH)}\text{CH(OH)}\text{py}\right)\right]$ (20) at S-band frequency.
IV.5 Conclusions

Figure IV.31 shows Q-band frequency EPR spectra recorded at 5 K of compounds 15 to 20. They are ordered by the magnitude of their $D$ tensor. Black dashes have been used to highlight the decreasing value of $D$ along the series, by marking equivalent transitions within the series. The table below summarizes the spin-Hamiltonian parameters of compounds 15 to 20, along with measurements which were taken from the crystal structures.
Figure IV.31: Q-band spectra of polycrystalline samples of compounds 15 to 20. The black dashes highlight the decreasing value of $|D|$. 
Table IV.1: Summary of $D$ tensor, through-bond and through space Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^{2+}$ distance, the angle between mean planes of the metal centres in each wheel in a dimer, and torsion angle through wheel-linker-wheel for compounds 15 to 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound Number and Organic Linker</th>
<th>$D$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Through-Space Ni···Ni distance (Å)</th>
<th>Through-Bond Ni···Ni Distance (Å)</th>
<th>Angle Between Best Planes (°)</th>
<th>Cr···Ni···Ni···Cr Torsion Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>+ 0.013</td>
<td>11.218</td>
<td>13.796</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>89.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>+ 0.011</td>
<td>13.115</td>
<td>16.331</td>
<td>21.37</td>
<td>130.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>+ 0.008</td>
<td>13.680</td>
<td>16.339</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>135.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>+ 0.009</td>
<td>13.430</td>
<td>16.591</td>
<td>33.06</td>
<td>133.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>+ 0.005</td>
<td>12.898</td>
<td>16.469</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>135.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+ 0.004</td>
<td>10.824</td>
<td>16.784</td>
<td>72.48</td>
<td>113.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• The single purple-(Cr\textsubscript{7}M) wheels have been linked electronically as well as chemically. The individual purple-(Cr\textsubscript{7}Ni) wheels in the dimers behave as effective \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) spin clusters at sufficiently low temperatures. Hence a wheel dimer will produce a spin triplet and a singlet if there is communication between the two purple-(Cr\textsubscript{7}Ni) wheels. For compounds 15 to 18 there is clearly a spin triplet EPR spectrum below 10 K. For compounds 19 and 20 the spectrum is not well resolved, however the EPR spectra of these compounds is distinctly broader than that of a single purple-(Cr\textsubscript{7}Ni). Therefore they have been modelled as spin triplet spectra.

• A relationship between \( |D| \) and the organic linker has been established

It is apparent is that there is a decrease in the magnitude of the \( D \) tensor for the unsaturated linkers from 15 to 18. When rationalizing these results with molecular structure it is evident that there does not appear to be a direct correlation between the torsion angles or with the angle between the planes of the metal centres with the \( D \) tensor. However there does appear to be a trend between the through bond Ni\textsuperscript{2+}∙∙∙Ni\textsuperscript{2+} distance and the \( D \) tensor. The shorter unsaturated linkers, when calculated through bond, exhibit a larger value of \( D \). This is an intuitive result, as you would expect there to be more efficient electronic communication between the two wheels via a shorter organic linker. The magnitude of the \( D \) tensor is smaller when the wheels are linked by an saturated linker, such as compounds 19 and 20. There is a clear difference between saturated and unsaturated linkers. There is more efficient magnetic superexchange through a fully conjugated \( \pi \)-system. Compounds 16 and 18 have isoelectronic organic linkers, however 16 has a larger value of \( D \). This may be due to 4,4'-azopyridine being a shorter linker. An unexpected result is that 17 has a smaller \( D \) value than 18. This result is anomalous and further investigation between D and the structure of the organic linker is required. 1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethyne has a shorter through-bond Ni\textsuperscript{2+}∙∙∙Ni\textsuperscript{2+} distance than \textit{trans}-1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethene. Perhaps the orientation of the wheels in 18 promotes more efficient magnetic superexchange between the two wheels.
Quantum computing demands certain pre-requisites; The (Cr,Ni) family can fulfil at least some of them already.

It has been demonstrated that the purple-(Cr,Ni) wheels are robust enough to be linked together into larger supramolecular structures. This is important as it would be advantageous to use supramolecular chemistry to produce qubits as the synthetic techniques which are used are very simple, and they allow for the production of vast numbers of regular arrays of molecules. What is now desirable would be to introduce switch-ability of the interaction within a \{Cr,Ni\}_2-L dimer, possibly by the use of redox- or photo-active linking molecules. This would be an essential feature to implement the design of a two-qubit gate, which was given by Loss in 2003.\textsuperscript{13} A single crystal EPR spectroscopy experiment has been attempted on compound 15, these initial rotations show considerable anisotropy (See Appendix VIII.3 for further details). Completion of this study will be able to relate the electronic structure with the molecular structure of the prototype two-qubit gate.
IV.6 References


V  Homometallic Cr$^{3+}$ Horseshoes and Wheels
V.1 Introduction

Physical studies on a family of antiferromagnetically coupled homometallic clusters have been pursued. They consist of cyclic arrays of homometallic Cr\(^{3+}\) metal centres in either a wheel or horseshoe shaped formation. The structure of \([\text{Cr}_8\text{F}_8(\text{O}_2\text{C}^ \text{tBu})_{16}]\) (1) was first published in 1990.\(^1\) Since then it has been the subject of numerous physical studies to investigate its magnetic properties. The metal centres within 1 are antiferromagnetically coupled to their nearest neighbours, resulting in an \(S = 0\) ground state. High frequency (230 GHz) EPR measurements and cantilever torque magnetometry have been used to determine the axial zero field splitting of the first two excited states. This was found to be \(D = 1.68 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) for the first \(S = 1\) excited state and \(D = 0.405 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) for the next adjacent \(S = 2\) excited state, with an isotropic \(g\)-value of 1.98 for both spin states.\(^2\) More recently a new type of Cr\(_8\) wheel has been synthesised: \([\text{Cr}_8\text{F}_4(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^ \text{tBu})_{15}]\) (21), essentially the homometallic analogue of the purple-Cr\(_7\)M discussed in Chapter III.\(^3\) Magnetic susceptibility measurements have been performed on both 1 and 21 to determine the exchange interaction between Cr\(^{3+}\) centres. The following exchange Hamiltonian was used:

\[
\hat{H} = -2J_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{i \neq j} S_i S_j
\]

Equation V.1

For 20, an exchange interaction between neighbouring Cr\(^{3+}\) centres of \(J = -5.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) has been determined.\(^2\) For compound 21, much larger \(J\)-values of \(~-11.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) have been fitted to SQUID magnetometry and INS measurements.\(^4\) The large difference in \(J\)-values has led to further investigation by EPR spectroscopy.
Molecular Cr\textsuperscript{3+} horseshoes are finite metal chains. There are very few examples of such species in the literature. Those that have been synthesised include systems which consist of long linear chains of metal ions which have been studied for possible applications as molecular wires. For example, metal centres are bridged by oligo-\(\alpha\)-dipyridylamines are known for Ni\textsuperscript{2+}, \textsuperscript{5} Co\textsuperscript{2+} \textsuperscript{6} and Cr\textsuperscript{2+} \textsuperscript{7} Strong exchange terms have been determined for these compounds. The Cr\textsuperscript{3+} horseshoe studied here exist as the general formula \([\text{Cr}_x\text{F}_{2x+5}\text{L}_{2-x}]^{3+}\) (where \(L = \text{carboxylate and } x = 6 \text{ or } 7\)) and are formed from the reaction of pivalic acid, hydrated chromium fluoride and either diethyl amine or propylamine, at 140 °C for 16 h; for example, \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe}_2)_10]_2\) (22) is formed with the following stoichiometry: \textsuperscript{8}

\[12\text{CrF}_3\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 20\text{HO}_2\text{C'Bu} + 6\text{HNEt}_2 \rightarrow [\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{10}]_2\]

Figure V.1 shows the structure of 22.

\textbf{Figure V.1:} The structure of \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C'Bu})_{10}]_2\) (22) in the crystal. Colours; Cr atoms: light green; C atoms: black; F atoms: yellow; N atoms: blue; O atoms: red. All H atoms have been removed for clarity.
Between adjacent Cr$^{3+}$ centres, there is a bridging fluoride ion and two pivalate groups, as in the parent molecule compound 1. The horseshoes share the same cyclic motif as 1, with either one or two metal centres missing. The two terminal Cr$^{3+}$ cations at the tips of the horseshoe each have three terminal fluoride anions completing the coordination sphere. These fluoride anions are involved in hydrogen bonding interactions with the secondary ammonium cations at the centre of the structure, thus forming a dimer. The terminal fluoride groups have been shown to be labile enough for substitution reactions. Thus, the di-horseshoe structures can be split apart into single horseshoes by a substitution reaction with a β-diketonates. The β-diketonate ligand chelates to the terminal chromium ions of each horseshoe, replacing two of the terminal fluorides and breaking the H-bonding interaction between the horseshoe and the dialkyl ammonium cation.

V.2 Results and Discussion

V.2.1 An EPR Study of [Cr$_8$F$_4$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$^t$Bu)$_{15}$]

An EPR study of [Cr$_8$F$_4$(Etglu)(O$_2$C$^t$Bu)$_{15}$] (21) was performed. The wheel consists of eight Cr$^{3+}$ centres in an octagon. A chiral glutamine molecule is penta-deprotonated and bound to the metal centres through all available metal donors. There are three bridging fluoride ions. There is one terminal fluoride ion at one of the metal centres. This is in an analogous position to the uncharged terminal ligand in purple-Cr$_7$M, thus maintaining charge balance. The compound is a deep purple colour and the chromium ions are depicted as purple in Figure V.2 to highlight the difference between the green homometallic wheel 1.
Figure V.2: Top and side views of $[\text{Cr}_8\text{F}_4(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{15}]$ (21) in the crystal. Colours; Cr atoms: purple; C atoms: black; F atoms: yellow; N atoms: blue; O atoms: red. All H atoms have been removed for clarity.

Polycrystalline samples of 21 were measured at X-, Q- and W-band frequencies at VT. The first two excited states are observed by EPR. Figure V.3 shows the W-band 5 K EPR spectrum of 21 and simulation. This simulation was modelled within the SEL, using the spin Hamiltonian given in Equation II.1. The following spin-Hamiltonian parameters were used to model the $S = 1$ first excited state: $D = 1.215$ cm$^{-1}$, $E = 0.15$ cm$^{-1}$, $g_{xz} = 1.97$, $g_y = 2.01$; and for the $S = 2$ next excited state: $D = 0.17$ cm$^{-1}$, $E =$
0.003 cm\(^{-1}\), \(B'_0 = 0.002\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(g_{iso} = 1.97\). The \(B'_0\) term is required to account for the resonance at 34.780 cm\(^{-1}\). Isotropic line widths of 200 G were used throughout.

**Figure V.3:** 5 K W-band EPR spectrum of \([Cr_8F_4(Etglu)(O_2C'Bu)_{15}]\) (21) and simulation. \(D_{3-1} = 1.215\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(E = 0.15\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(g_{xz} = 1.97\), \(g_y = 2.01\). \(D_{3+2} = 0.17\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(E = 0.003\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(B'_0 = 0.002\) cm\(^{-1}\), \(g_{iso} = 1.97\). Isotropic line widths of 200 G have been used throughout. At \(\nu = 94.756\) GHz.

**Figure V.4:** 5 K W-band EPR spectrum of \([Cr_8F_4(Etglu)(O_2C'Bu)_{15}]\) (21) and \(S = 1 + S = 2\) simulation.
The magnitude of $D$ for the $S = 1$ first excited state is in good agreement with INS measurements that have been performed by Dr. M. L. Baker of Institute Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France using IN5b (Figure V.5). There is zero field splitting of 0.15 meV ($1.215 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) between $|S = 1, M = 0\rangle$ and $|S = 1, M = \pm 1\rangle$.\(^4\)

**Figure V.5:** Inelastic-neutron-scattering results for $[\text{Cr}_8\text{F}_4(\text{Etglu})(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{15}]$ (21) obtained with an incident wavelength of 6 Å at 2 K, 6 K and 15 K.

Figure V.6 compares the Q-band EPR of 1 and 21. The spectra are distinctly different, proving that different spin-Hamiltonian parameters are required to model the EPR data of the two compounds.
Compound 21 has a smaller value of $D$ than 1 (for 21, $D = 1.68 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and for 1, $D = 1.215 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The explanation for this is analogous to what was given for the magnitude of the spin-Hamiltonian parameters of the purple-Cr$_7$M wheels in Chapter III. The smaller $D$-value is possibly due to the replacement of fluoride ions with alkoxide donors. Oxygen donors are stronger field ligands than fluoride anions. This results in 21 having a larger value of $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ and therefore less SOC, leading to a smaller $D$ tensor. The percentage decrease in $D$-value of 20 and 21 is ~30 %. The percentage decrease in $D$ of the purple-Cr$_7$M wheels in comparison to the green-Cr$_7$M (Chapter III) is also ~30 %. $\lambda$ is larger for compound 21, this can be simply explained by the increased number of different coordination environments in 21, thus increasing the rhombicity of
the system. Larger $\lambda$ values were also observed for the purple-Cr$_7$M wheels in comparison to the green-Cr$_7$M wheels.

V.2.2 Synthetic and Structural Studies of Hexanuclear Horseshoes

A family of hexanuclear Cr$^{3+}$ horseshoes were studied. The dimeric horseshoe 22 is the parent molecule to two types of monomeric \(\{\text{Cr}_6\}\) horseshoes \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{10}(\text{acac})_2]\) (23, Figure V.7) and \([\text{EtNH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{10}(\text{Hfa})_2]\) (24, Figure V.9). They are formed from 22 by substitution reactions with acetylacetone (acacH) and hexafluoroacetylacetone (hfaH) respectively. The formation of 23 proceeds with the following stoichiometry:

\[
[\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{10}]_2 + 4 \text{Hacac} \rightarrow
[\text{EtNH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{10}(\text{acac})_2] + 4 [\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]\text{F} + 4 \text{HF}.
\]

Compound 23 is a new compound, details of the synthetic preparation are given in section VI.1. It crystallizes in a triclinic \(\text{P}\overline{1}\) space group. Crystallographic information of 23 is given in Appendix VIII.4. Figure V.8 is a crystal packing diagram of 23, it shows that the horseshoes are not arranged in pairs. The \(\{\text{Cr}_6\}\) polymeric horseshoe, \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{10}]_2\cdot\{\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\cdot1,4\cdot\text{(OH)}_2\}_2\) (25) is formed from the crystallization of 22 with hydroquinone from Et$_2$O/ THF. The horseshoes are arranged in pairs and the dimers are connected in a polymeric structure through H-bonding interactions with hydroquinone. A packing diagram of 25 is shown in Figure V.8 to show the intermolecular arrangement of the horseshoes. There are 3 types of terminating groups in this family: 3F-, acac and Hfa.
Figure V.7: The structure of $[\text{EtNH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C'}\text{Bu})_{10}(\text{acac})_2] \ (23)$ in the crystal. The colours are as before. All H atoms have been removed for clarity.

Figure V.8: Packing diagram of $[\text{EtNH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C'}\text{Bu})_{10}(\text{acac})_2] \ (23)$ in the crystal.
Figure V.9: The structure of \([\text{EtNH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{10}(\text{Hfa})_3] (24)\) in the crystal. The colours are as before. All H atoms have been removed for clarity.

Figure V.10: Packing diagram of \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_3][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\prime\text{Bu})_{10}]\cdot\{\text{C}_6\text{H}_4^\prime\cdot1,4-(\text{OH})_2\}_2 (25)\) in the crystal.
Table V.1 shows bond lengths and bond angles of compounds 22 to 25 (Average Esd = 0.006 Å and 0.2 °). There are small variations in bond lengths and bond angles between the four compounds. The Cr-O (diketonate) bond lengths are slightly longer in 24 in comparison to 23. Also the Cr-F (terminal) bond lengths are slightly longer in 23. The Cr-O (carboxylate) bond lengths are longer in 22 and 25, than in the \{Cr₆\} monomers. All of the horseshoes have very similar Cr∙∙∙Cr distances.

Table V.1: The bond angles (°) and bond lengths (Å) of compounds 22, 23, 24 and 25. Average Esd = 0.006 Å and 0.2 °.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr-F (bridging)</td>
<td>1.891-1.943</td>
<td>1.893-1.945</td>
<td>1.902-1.934</td>
<td>1.883-1.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr-O (carboxylate)</td>
<td>1.930-2.006</td>
<td>1.943-1.992</td>
<td>1.962-1.969</td>
<td>1.913-2.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr-O (diketonate)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.930-1.951</td>
<td>1.960-1.980</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr-F (terminal)</td>
<td>1.852-1.879</td>
<td>1.873</td>
<td>1.842</td>
<td>1.847-1.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Cr-O cis</td>
<td>87.04-91.00</td>
<td>87.5-92.9</td>
<td>85.8-97.2</td>
<td>87.66-92.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Cr-O trans</td>
<td>177.8-179.1</td>
<td>176.3-178.8</td>
<td>176.2-179.6</td>
<td>178.0-179.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Cr-F cis</td>
<td>90.27-93.28</td>
<td>86.5-93.8</td>
<td>87.8–93.0</td>
<td>88.57-92.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Cr-F trans</td>
<td>177.4-79.5</td>
<td>177.3–179.7</td>
<td>174.3–179.8</td>
<td>176.84-79.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-Cr-F cis</td>
<td>87.85-89.10</td>
<td>87.4–89.8</td>
<td>87.6–90.6</td>
<td>88.5 – 91.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V.2.3 EPR Studies of Cr$^{3+}$ Hexanuclear Horseshoes

The Q-band 5 K EPR spectra of 22 to 25 are shown in Figure V.11. What is immediately apparent is that the EPR of 22 and 25 are very similar and that 23 and 24 are very similar. The half field resonance at ~3,800 G in 22 and 25 is due to a formally forbidden $\Delta M_s = \pm 2$ transition in the $S = 1$ spin state. At Q-band frequency this transition is not observed in 23 and 24, but it is observed at W-band frequency. The other features in the spectra are mostly due to the spin quintet state.

![EPR Spectra](image)

**Figure V.11:** Q-band EPR of polycrystalline samples of compounds 22 to 25.

The EPR data of the four compounds were modelled with the SEL, using the Hamiltonian given in Equation II.2. In summary:

- The EPR of 22 can be modelled with the following spin Hamiltonian parameters for the $S = 1$ excited state: $D = -0.713$ cm$^{-1}$, $E = 0.146$ cm$^{-1}$, $g_x = 1.995$, $g_y = 1.980$, $g_z = 1.970$. For the $S = 2$ excited state, $D = +0.1377$ cm$^{-1}$, $E = 5.67 \times$
10-3 cm\(^{-1}\), \(B_0^4 = 2.754 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}\) \(g_{xy} = 1.976\) and \(g_z = 1.972.\) The \(B_0^4\) term is required to model the intensity of the highest field feature of the \(S = 2\) state.

- The EPR spectra of 23 can modelled with the following spin Hamiltonian parameters for the \(S = 1\) excited state: \(D = -1.07 \text{ cm}^{-1}, E = 0.15 \text{ cm}^{-1}, g_{\text{iso}} = 1.97.\) The \(S = 2\) excited state: \(D = -0.19 \text{ cm}^{-1}, E = 0.006 \text{ cm}^{-1}, g_{\text{iso}} = 1.97\) and isotropic Gaussian line widths of 200 G. The simulation is shown in Figures V.12 and V.13.

**Figure V.12:** 5 K W-band EPR spectrum of \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{tBu})_{10}(\text{acac})]_2\) (23) and simulation. \(S = 1: D = -1.07 \text{ cm}^{-1}, E = 0.15 \text{ cm}^{-1}, g_{\text{iso}} = 1.97.\) \(S = 2: D = -0.19 \text{ cm}^{-1}, E = 0.006 \text{ cm}^{-1}, g_{\text{iso}} = 1.97\) and isotropic Gaussian line widths of 200 G. At frequency, \(\nu = 95.119 \text{ GHz}.\)
Figure V.13: 5 K W-band EPR spectrum of [Et₂NH₂][Cr₆F₇(O₂C’Bu)₁₀(acac)₂] (23) and S = 1 + S = 2 simulation.

- Compound 24 can be modelled with the following spin Hamiltonian parameters:
  \[ D = -1.07 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \ E = 0.15 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \ g_{\text{iso}} = 1.97 \]. The S = 2 spin state can be modelled with \[ D = 0.135 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \ E = 0.003 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \ g_{xz} = 1.97 \] and \[ g_y = 1.94 \].

- Compound 25 can be modelled with the same spin-Hamiltonian parameters as 22 (Figure V.14 and V.15).
Figure V.14: 5 K W-band spectrum of \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{10}]\cdot\{\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,4-(\text{OH})_2\text{H}_2\}_2\) \textbf{(25)} and simulation. \(S = 1\): \(D = -0.713 \text{ cm}^{-1}\), \(E = 0.146 \text{ cm}^{-1}\), \(g_x = 1.995\), \(g_y = 1.980\), \(g_z = 1.970\). \(S = 2\): \(D = +0.1377 \text{ cm}^{-1}\), \(E = 5.67 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-1}\), \(B_0^4 = 2.754 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}\), \(g_{xy} = 1.976\), \(g_z = 1.972\) and isotropic Gaussian line widths of 200 G.

At frequency, \(\nu = 98.199 \text{ GHz}\).

Figure V.15: 5 K W-band Experiment and \(S = 1 + S = 2\) simulation of \([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2]_3[\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{10}]\cdot\{\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,4-(\text{OH})_2\text{H}_2\}_2\) \textbf{(25)}. 
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V.2.4 Inelastic Neutron Scattering Measurements on 
\([\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C'\text{Bu}})_10(\text{acac})_2]\)

INS measurements were performed on 23. Figure V.6 shows 6 Å incident neutron wavelength measurements on a polycrystalline non-deuterated sample of 23. The broad peak at ~0.2 meV to ~+0.2 meV has a non-magnetic origin and is due to the large incoherent scattering cross section of hydrogen. The sharp doublet at ~0.4 meV can be assigned to magnetic excitations, this feature is labeled in Figure V.16. These are cold transitions, the intensity of these transitions increases with decreasing temperature. They are most intense at 1.8 K, therefore they originate from the \(S = 0\) ground state to a transition to the zero field split \(S = 1\) excited state.

\[\text{Figure V.16: INS spectrum of } [\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C'\text{Bu}})_10(\text{acac})_2] (23) \text{. Obtained with an incident wavelength of 6 Å at 6 K.}\]
Figure V.17 shows INS which was measured at 15 K using an incident wavelength of 6.5 Å. These measurements also show a transition from the $S = 0$ ground state to the $S = 1$ excited state of $23$.

**Figure V.17**: $S = 1$ INS doublet of $\text{[Et}_2\text{NH}_2]\text{[Cr}_6\text{F}_7\text{(O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{10}\text{(acac)}_2]}$ ($23$). Obtained with an incident wavelength of 6.5 Å at 15 K.

The $S = 1$ transition is split into a doublet by zero field splitting. As the INS experiment has been done at zero magnetic field. The zero field splitting of the $S = 1$ spin state can be directly read from the INS spectrum. There is a gap of 1.07 cm$^{-1}$ between the peaks of the doublet. The broadening of the higher energy resonance is due to a rhombic splitting of the $m_s$ states and confirms a negative $D$ value. This is in agreement with the EPR measurements. The following microscopic Hamiltonian has been used to fit the INS data:

$$
\hat{H} = -J_a (\hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3 \hat{S}_6) - J_b (\hat{S}_2 \hat{S}_3 + \hat{S}_4 \hat{S}_4 + \hat{S}_4 \hat{S}_5)
+ D_{Cr} \sum_i \left( S_{i,x}^2 - \frac{1}{3} S_i (S_i + 1) \right) + E_{Cr} \sum_i (S_{i,x}^2 - S_{i,y}^2)
$$

Equation V.2
The first two terms describe the isotropic exchange between nearest neighbouring $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ atoms, where $J_a$ and $J_b$ are exchange coupling constants. The definition of $J_a$ and $J_b$ is given in Figure V.18. Different exchange interactions are needed for the terminal $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ atoms and their nearest neighbour. The third term is the axial ZFS of each $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ ion, where $D_{\text{Cr}}$ is the single ion axial ZFS parameters. The fourth term describes the rhombic ZFS anisotropy, where $E_{\text{Cr}}$ is the single ion rhombic ZFS parameter.

**Figure V.18:** Coupling Scheme used to model the INS data of 23.

Figure V.19 shows experimental data recorded with an incident wavelength of 3.2 Å at 2 K (blue) and 15 K (red) and simulation (solid lines). The energy level diagram in Figure V.20 shows the origin of the transitions in the INS. The energy level diagram is colour coded and the transition in the INS spectrum are asterisked.
Figure V.19: INS experimental data and simulation of $[\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{10}(\text{acac})_2]$ (24).

Figure. V.20: Energy level diagram of 24, the energy levels are obtained by observing the temperature dependence and energy of the INS transitions.
The following parameters have been used in the simulation: $J_a = -11.4$ cm$^{-1}$, $J_b = 11.8$ cm$^{-1}$, $D_{Cr} = -0.045$ cm$^{-1}$ and $E_{Cr} = 0.007$ cm$^{-1}$. A good fit of the experimental data has been achieved.

V.2.5 Comparison of $[\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_4(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{Bu})_{10}\text{acac})_2]$ to Other Wheels and Horseshoes.

Table V.2 compares $J$-values and single ion ZFS tensors of selected homometallic Cr$^{3+}$ clusters. The same $D_{Cr}$ and $E_{Cr}$ have been used to fit the INS data of 24 and 25. The $J_b$ exchange term is for the neighboring Cr$^{3+}$ atoms in the body of the horseshoe. $J_a$ relates to the exchange between terminal Cr$^{3+}$ atoms and the adjacent Cr$^{3+}$ atom. $J_b$ is the same for all horseshoes and 1. Different $J_a$-values are required for 22, 23 and 24. The nature of the β-diketonate capping ligand has an effect on the exchange coupling between the terminal Cr$^{3+}$ and its neighboring Cr$^{3+}$ atom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$J_a$-value</th>
<th>$J_b$-value</th>
<th>$D_{Cr}$</th>
<th>$E_{Cr}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>${\text{Cr}_6}_2$ (22)</td>
<td>-8.8 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-11.8 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-0.23 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.041 cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${\text{Cr}<em>6}</em>\text{Hfa}$ (24)</td>
<td>-12.0 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-11.8 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-0.36 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.057 cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${\text{Cr}<em>6}</em>\text{acac}$ (23)</td>
<td>-11.34 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-11.8 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-0.36 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.057 cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${\text{Cr}<em>8}</em>\text{ring}$ (20)</td>
<td>-11.8 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-11.8 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-0.31 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.032 cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the $J$-values which have been determined, a zero field energy level diagram can be calculated. An isotropic model has been used for simplicity. The 12 lowest lying spin state of compounds 1, 22, 23 and 24 are shown in Figure V.21.

**Figure V.21:** An zero field energy level diagram of the 12 lowest lying total spin states of compounds 1, 22, 23 and 24. The parameters used to calculate the energy levels are given in Table V.2 This energy level diagram was calculated using "MAGPACK".

From this energy level diagram we can see there are significant differences in electronic structure across the series. For compound 23 there is a gap of 6.7 cm$^{-1}$ between the ground state and the first excited state. This is smaller than the ground state-excited state gap of 24, which is 7.06 cm$^{-1}$. Hence, having a acac capping ligand instead of a Hfa has reduced the gap between the ground state and the first excited
state. The gap is smaller still for 22, at 5.3 cm\(^{-1}\). Therefore when the horseshoes are arranged in dimers, there is a smaller gap between the ground state and the first excited state. The capping ligand in both cases has increased the gap between the ground state and the first excited state. Compound 1 has the largest gap in the series between the ground state and the first excited state, at 13.2 cm\(^{-1}\). Therefore, a wheel formation has the effect of increasing the gap between the ground state and the first excited state.

V.3 Conclusions

- Alkoxide bridging ligands in 21 provide a better pathway for magnetic superexchange, this is reflected in the ~49 % increase in J-values of 21 in comparison to 1.
- Compound 21 has a smaller \(D\) tensor than 1, \(D = 1.215\) cm\(^{-1}\) for 21 and \(D = 1.68\) cm\(^{-1}\) for 1. This is due to crystal field effects, which have been explained in the discussion. A similar trend has been determined in the purple-Cr\(_{7}\)M wheels discussed in Chapter III.
- The ZFS tensor of 21 is more rhombic than 1. This is due to a greater number of different coordination environments in 21. This has also been observed in purple-Cr\(_{7}\)M wheels.
- The first two excited states (\(S = 1\) and \(S = 2\)) of 25 and 22 can be modelled with identical spin Hamiltonian parameters. The polymeric nature of 25 does not affect its electronic structure.
- The \(J_a\)-value of the single horseshoe is different when different \(\beta\)-diketonate ligands are used. The choice of capping ligand has been found to affect the electronic structure of the single horseshoes.
- The \(J_a\)-value of the horseshoe dimer 22 is smaller than the analogues term in the single horseshoes 24 and 25. The \(J\)-values have been used to calculate an zero field energy
level diagram; a dimeric horseshoe has been found to have a larger ground state to excited state gap than the two single horseshoes

- The electronic structure of 1 is different to both the single horseshoes and the horseshoes dimer. There is a much larger gap between the ground state and the first excited state.

- The $D$ value of the $S = 1$ excited state of $21$ and $23$, has been determined by both INS and EPR. INS is a useful technique to determine the zero field splitting of a spin state.
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VI Experimental Methods
VI.1 Synthesis

Compounds 2-4 were synthesized by Dr. G. A. Timco of The University of Manchester and were published in reference\(^1\). The rotaxane templated wheels (5-7) which were discussed in Chapter II were also synthesized by Dr. G. A. Timco and were published in reference\(^2\). The linked purple-Cr\(_7\)Ni dimers (15-20) which were discussed in chapter IV were synthesized by Mr. T. B. Faust of The University of Manchester.\(^3\) Compound 25 was synthesized by Dr. G. A. Timco,\(^4\) 24 was synthesized by Dr. Marzio Rancan of The University of Manchester and is published in reference\(^5\) and 23 was synthesized by the author, was published in reference\(^6\). The author is very grateful for these contributions. The synthetic details are given below.

All reagents were purchased from Aldrich and were used as received.

**Synthesis of Compounds 15, 16, 18 and 19**

11 (650 mg, 0.293 mmol) was refluxed in a 1:2.5 molar ratio with the appropriate ligand in acetone (50 mL) for 1 h. The reaction mixture was left to cool to RT and a crystalline product was formed. The solution was then left for 12 h, after which time the product was filtered off and was washed in acetone, until the washing were colourless. 15 (460 mg, 0.100 mmol, 89.3%), microanalysis for (C\(_{176}\)H\(_{306}\)N\(_6\)O\(_{70}\)F\(_6\)Cr\(_{14}\)Ni\(_2\)), calcd: C, 46.09; H, 6.73; N, 1.83; Cr, 15.87; Ni, 2.56%, found: C, 45.74; H, 6.47; N, 1.78; Cr, 15.94; Ni, 2.52%. A crystallographic data file (cif) can be found on the CD provided with this thesis it is labeled 15.cif. 16 (320 mg, 0.070 mmol, 62.5%), microanalysis for (C\(_{178}\)H\(_{308}\)N\(_4\)O\(_{70}\)F\(_6\)Cr\(_{14}\)Ni\(_2\)) calcd: C, 46.66; H, 6.73; N, 1.22; Cr, 15.89; Ni, 2.56%, found: C, 46.40; H, 7.02; N, 1.13; Cr, 15.90; Ni, 2.47%. A cif file can be found on the CD provided with this thesis it is labeled 16.cif. 18 (490 mg, 0.107 mmol, 95.5%),
microanalysis for \((\text{C}_{178}\text{H}_{310}\text{N}_4\text{O}_{72}\text{F}_6\text{Cr}_{14}\text{Ni}_2)\), calcd: C, 46.62; H, 6.81; N, 1.22; Cr, 15.87; Ni, 2.55%, found: C, 46.43; H, 7.05; N, 1.08; Cr, 15.69; Ni, 2.46%. A cif file can be found on the CD provided with this thesis it is labeled 18.cif. 19 (334 mg, 0.072 mmol, 64.6%), microanalysis for \((\text{C}_{178}\text{H}_{310}\text{N}_4\text{O}_{72}\text{F}_6\text{Cr}_{14}\text{Ni}_2)\), calcd: C, 46.30; H, 6.77; N, 1.21; Cr, 15.76; Ni, 2.54%, found: C, 45.72; H, 6.91; N, 1.18; Cr, 15.83; Ni, 2.45%. A cif file can be found on the CD provided with this thesis it is labeled 19.cif.

**Synthesis of Compound 23**

Synthesis of 23: 3.00 g (0.85 mmol) of 22 and 3.4 g (3.4 mmol) of Hacac were dissolved in toluene (200 ml) producing a deep green solution. This solution was stirred and refluxed for 24 h at 130 °C. After this time the solution was left to cool to RT. The solvent was removed and the product was purified by column chromatography using THF/n-hexane (1:2) (with increasing proportions of THF). The product was the third fraction. The product was crystallized from acetone/acetonitrile, affording X-ray quality crystals; yield 1.1 g (37.4 %). Elemental analysis: (calculated (%) for \(\text{C}_{64}\text{H}_{116}\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}\text{O}_{24}\)) : Cr 18.20. C 44.47, H 6.76, N 0.81; found Cr 17.90, C 44.63, H 6.95, N 0.85. ES MS (sample dissolved in THF/MeOH, run in MeOH): \(m/z\): - 1654 [\(\text{M} - (\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2)\)]\(^+\), 1802 [\(\text{M} + (\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2)\)]\(^+\)

**Synthesis of Compound 25**

To a solution of \([\{(\text{Et}_2\text{NH}_2)_3\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_{11}(\text{O}_2\text{C}^\text{i}\text{Bu})_{10}\}]_2\) (1.2 g, 0.342 mmol) dissolved in \(\text{Et}_2\text{O}\) (55 mL) and THF (25 mL), hydroquinone (300 mg, 2.725 mmol) was added and stirred for
15 min at RT. A green microcrystalline product started to form during this time, which
was collected by filtration 24 h later. The product was washed with Et2O, and then
extracted with THF (100 mL). The product was then filtered off and dissolved in MeCN
(10 mL). Slow evaporation of the solution yielded a crystalline product. Yield 1.15 g. A
cif file can be found on the CD provided with this thesis, it is labeled 25.cif

VI.2 X-ray Crystallography

All crystal structures have been published previously in the same references which
provide the synthetic details, crystallographic information files (cif files) have been
provided on the CD which accompanies this Ph.D. thesis

VI.3 Magnetic Measurements

The super conducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometry
measurements were performed on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID
magnetometer, which was equipped with a 7 Tesla magnet. The samples were
polycrystalline and were fixed in eicosaine to prevent the sample from orientating in the
field. The diamagnetic susceptibility of the molecule was estimated using Pascal’s
constants and was subtracted from the experimental data. The diamagnetism of the
sample holder and of the eicosaine was also subtracted from the experimental data.

The SQUID magnetometry calculations were performed using the isotropic version of
Magnetic Properties Analysis Package for Spin Clusters (MAGPACK).
VI.4 EPR Measurements

The Q- and K-band EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Elexsys Spectrometer, which uses a 2 T Bruker electro magnet and a Oxford Instruments helium cryostat. The S- and X-band spectra were recorded on a Bruker EMX system, which uses a 1.5 T Varian electro magnet and an Oxford Instruments helium cryostat. The W-band EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Elexys Spectrometer which was equipped with a helium cryostat and a 6 T superconducting magnet. Baseline corrections were applied to the spectra when necessary and were done in a manner not to distort the spectral features. Polycrystalline samples were measured. The samples were lightly powdered. When required the samples were immobilized in eicosaine, this was to prevent the sample orientating in the strong magnetic fields which were applied to the sample. This is not required at lower frequencies such as S-band. In order to record accurate g-values, the magnetic field was field corrected using a radical for which the g-value is accurately known. The organic radical 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl \((g = 2.0037 \pm 0.0002)\) was used. A unknown g-value can be obtained with Equation VI.1.

\[
g_x - g_{std} = \frac{H_x - H_{std}}{H_{std}} g_{std}
\]

\textit{Equation VI.1}

The EPR spectra were modelled using Weihe’s EPRSIM program. The program works by generating an energy matrix for each orientation of the molecule relative to the magnetic field. The resonance of each transition is determined by successive
diagonalizations and iterations. The relative intensity is calculated from the eigenvectors. The transitions are summated over the whole space, and each transition is represented by a Gaussian curve produces the simulated spectra.

The simulated spectra are compared by eye to the experimental spectra, the spin-Hamiltonian parameters are then varied until a good fit of the experimental spectrum is achieved.

VI.5 Inelastic Neutron Scattering Measurements

Inelastic neutron scattering measurements discussed in chapter V were performed in IN5b time of flight inelastic spectrometer\textsuperscript{10} at Institute Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France. These measurements were performed in collaboration with Dr. M. L. Baker. A non deuterated, polycrystalline sample of 23 was put in a hollow aluminium cylinder and was measured.
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VII Concluding Remarks and Future Work
A spectroscopic study has been carried out on two types of green-Cr₇M wheels; rotaxane-Cr₇M wheels and caesium-templated Cr₇M wheels.

- It has been found that when the wheels are templated with a long dialkylammonium group, the spectroscopic properties of the wheel are the same as the dimethylammonium templated wheels. Both of these families have very similar MPLN values, therefore the wheels have very similar geometries and we should not expect any significant change in electronic structure.

- It has been found that the caesium templated wheel are spectroscopically different. There is a ~20 % decrease in the ground state \( D \) values of 9 and 10 in comparison to the dialkylammonium templated wheels. The ground state \( g \)-values of 8 are more isotropic than the \( g \)-values of the Cr₇Ni dialkylammonium templated wheels. The differences in spectroscopic parameters, originate from the differences in MPLN values.

- Magnetic measurements could be carried out in the future to determine if the caesium templated wheels have different \( J_{CrCr} \)-values in comparison to dialkylammonium-templated wheels.

- Future work could include templating the formation of a Cr₇M wheel around a rubidium ion and conducting an EPR study to see if the spectroscopic properties are altered in the same manner as the caesium templated wheels.

The spin-Hamiltonian parameters of a family of purple-Cr₇M wheels have been determined.

- Magnetic measurements and EPR have confirmed that the purple-Cr₇M wheels have the same ground spin state as the green wheels. Hence equivalent coupling schemes can be used for both families. The reduced
symmetry of a purple-Cr$_7$M has not disrupted the exchange coupling between neighbouring metal ions.

- Magnetic measurements have confirmed that the purple-Cr$_7$M wheels have 35 % larger $J_{Cr-Cr}$-values (from 5.90 cm$^{-1}$ to 8.00 cm$^{-1}$) than the green-Cr$_7$M wheels. This must mean that there is a better exchange pathway via bridging alkoxide groups compared to bridging fluoride ions. The purple-Cr$_7$M wheels have a larger ground state to excited state gap than Cr$_7$M wheels which are templated around a dialkylammonium group.

- The purple-Cr$_7$M wheels have smaller $D$-values than the green wheel family; this is due to crystal field effects. The ground state of the purple wheel family also has larger $\lambda$ values; this is due to the purple wheels being less symmetrical.

- The purple wheel family has narrower EPR line widths than the green wheel family; this is partly due to the larger ground state- to excited state energy gap. The narrower line widths can also be attributed to a reduction in the number of bridging $^{19}$F$^-$ ions, which may be a source of unresolved hyperfine interactions. It is likely that the purple-Cr$_7$M family will have longer spin decoherence times. Pulsed EPR studies are currently being carried out to determine if this is correct.

EPR spectroscopy has been used to study the interaction between linked dimers of purple-Cr$_7$Ni wheels.

- Single purple-Cr$_7$M wheels have been linked chemically as well as electronically. For compounds 15 to 18 there is clearly a spin triplet EPR spectrum below 10 K. For compounds 19 and 20 the spectrum is not well resolved, however the EPR spectra of these compounds is distinctly broader.
than that of a single purple-(Cr\textsubscript{7}Ni). This confirms that there is electronic communication between the wheels.

- A relationship between $D$ and the organic linker has been established. $D$ is smaller for the saturated linkers. There is more efficient communication through an unsaturated linker. This means that there is more efficient magnetic superexchange through a fully conjugated $\pi$-system.

- The shorter unsaturated linkers (when calculated through bond) exhibit a larger value of $D$. This is an intuitive result, as you would expect there to be more efficient electronic communication between the two wheels via a shorter organic linker.

- Future work will include introducing switch-ability of the interaction within a purple-Cr\textsubscript{7}Ni dimer. This could possibly be done by the use of redox or photo-active linking molecules. This would be an essential feature to implement the design of a two-qubit gate.

A family of homometallic Cr\textsuperscript{3+} cluster have been studied.

- The alkoxide bridging ligands of 21, provide a better pathway for magnetic superexchange, there is a 49 % increase in $J$-values of 21 in comparison to 1. 21 also has a smaller $D$-value and larger $\lambda$ than 1. This is what has also been determined for the purple-Cr\textsubscript{7}M wheels in Chapter III, the same explanation can be used.

- The choice of $\beta$-diketonate capping ligand can be used to alter the electronic structure of a single horseshoe. 24 has a larger gap between the ground state and the excited state than 23. Having an acac capping ligand instead of Hfa reduces the gap between the ground state and excited state and has the effect
of reducing the exchange interaction between the terminal Cr$^{3+}$ ion and its nearest neighbour.

- Future work could include investigating the effect of other β-diketonate capping ligands on spectroscopic properties of hexanuclear Cr$^{3+}$ horseshoes.
VIII Appendix
VIII.1 Appendix: Definition of the single ion projection coefficient ($c_i$) of the hyperfine splitting tensor for an exchanged coupled dinuclear system AB

Adapted from reference\(^1\)

For a dinuclear systems the total spin is defined as:

$$\hat{S} = \hat{S}_A + \hat{S}_B$$

There are the following relationships between the Hyperfine splitting tensors, $g$ tensors and ZFS tensors of the coupled pair and the individual metal centres:

$$A_i^t = c_1A_i^k + c_2A_i^k$$

$$g_s = c_1g_1 + c_2g_2$$

$$D_s = d_1D_1 + d_2D_2 + d_{12}D_{12}$$

Where

$$c_1 = \frac{1+c}{2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{1-c}{2}$$

$$d_1 = \frac{c_+ + c_-}{2}, \quad d_2 = \frac{c_+ - c_-}{2}$$

and

$$c = \frac{S_A(S_A + 1) - S_B(S_B + 1)}{S(S + 1)}$$
\[ c_+ = \frac{3[S_1(S_1 + 1) - S_2(S_2 + 1)]^2 + S(S + 1)[3S(S + 1) - 3 - 2S_1(S_1 + 1) - 2S_2(S_2 + 1)]}{(2S + 3)(2S - 1)S(S + 1)} \]

\[ c_- = \frac{4S(S + 1)[S_1(S_1 + 1) - S_2(S_2 + 1)] - 3[S_1(S_1 + 1) - S_2(S_2 + 1)]}{(2S + 3)(2S - 1)S(S + 1)} \]

VIII.2 Appendix: Results of Single Crystal Study of
[[Cr\textsubscript{7}NiF\textsubscript{3}(Etglu)(O\textsubscript{2}C\textsuperscript{t}Bu)\textsubscript{15}]\textsubscript{2}(4,4'-bpy)] (15)

Road map of the resonances of 15 at Q-band

Frequency 5 K, bc plane of a monoclinic crystal and molecular orientation with respect to applied magnetic field:

Road map of resonances of 15 at Q-band frequency, 5 K, ac* plane of a monoclinic crystal and molecular orientation with respect to field:
VIII.3  Appendix: Crystallographic Data of 23

Analysis after data collection showed that the crystal of 23 was twinned. The twins were reduced individually and were then combined to make a HKLF5 file.

Table VIII.4.1: Crystallographic data of 23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Empirical formula</th>
<th>( \text{C}<em>{66}\text{H}</em>{119}\text{Cr}_6\text{F}_7\text{N}<em>2\text{O}</em>{24} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1769.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>100(2) K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>( a = 11.8455(5) \text{ Å}, \alpha = 100.750(4)^\circ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( b = 17.3967(9) \text{ Å}, \beta = 98.088(4) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( c = 24.6928(11) \text{ Å}, \gamma = 108.911(4) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>4617.3(4) \text{ Å}^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.226 g cm(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape and colour</td>
<td>green plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size</td>
<td>0.8 x 0.4 x 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>0.757 cm(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique data</td>
<td>32120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction</td>
<td>Multi-scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission max/min</td>
<td>0.9281, 0.5825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique data ((F_0&gt;4\sigma F_0))</td>
<td>23612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter/restraints</td>
<td>1042/1919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_1, wR2^a )</td>
<td>0.0982, 0.2775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting scheme((w^{-1}))</td>
<td>( \sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0898 P)^2 + 84.9472P )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness of fit</td>
<td>1.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest residuals</td>
<td>+1.256 Å(^{-3}), -1.239 Å(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>