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Abstract

Self-assembling peptide based hydrogels have encountered increasing interest in the recent years as scaffolds for 3D cell culture or for controlled drug delivery. One of the main challenges is the fine control of the mechanical properties of these materials. The bulk properties of hydrogels not only depend on the intrinsic properties of the fibres but also on the network topology formed. In this work we show how fibre-fibre interactions can be manipulated by design to control the final hydrogel network topology and therefore control the final properties of the material. This was achieved by exploiting the design features of β-sheet forming peptides based on hydrophobic and hydrophilic residue alternation and exploiting the ability of the arginine’s guanidine side group to interact with itself and with other amino acid side groups. By designing octa-peptides based on phenylalanine, glutamic acid, lysine and arginine we have investigated how fibre association and bundling affect the dynamic shear modulus of hydrogels and how it can be controlled by design. This work opens the possibility to fine tune by design the bulk properties of peptide hydrogels.
Introduction

The use of non-covalent self-assembly to construct materials has become a prominent strategy in material science offering practical routes for the construction of increasingly functional materials for a variety of applications ranging from electronics to biotechnology.\textsuperscript{1-4} A variety of molecular building blocks can be used for this purpose, one such block that has attracted considerable attention in the last 20 years is \textit{de-novo} designed self-assembling peptides.\textsuperscript{5-8} One particular class of materials with significant potential in the biological and biomedical fields are self-assembling peptide based hydrogels. These highly hydrated, biodegradable and biocompatible “soft” materials are very attractive for the design of scaffolds for the 3D culture of cells\textsuperscript{9-11} and/or delivery of drugs.\textsuperscript{12, 13} A number of self-assembling peptide designs have emerged in the literature which allow the fabrication of very stable hydrogels. One of the main challenges that remain in the field is the fine tuning of the mechanical properties of these hydrogels as different cell types and/or therapeutical approaches require hydrogels with different properties.

The formation of hydrogels by self-assembling peptides involves two distinct processes; the self-assembly of the peptides themselves to form thin fibrillar structures and the entanglement and/or association of these fibrils into a 3 dimensional percolated network (Figure 1). Developing a fundamental understanding of these two processes at all length scales is crucial as the properties of the final materials will not only depend on the intrinsic properties of the fibres, but also on how they assemble and ultimately on the properties of the network formed. Most of the focus in the literature is on the first process the self-assembly of the peptides into fibres. The second process has been less studied and is less well understood and is the focus of this work.

We have investigated in recent years the self-assembly of a family of amphipathic short $\beta$-sheet forming peptides inspired from Zhang’s group work.\textsuperscript{14-20} These peptides are typically 8 to 16 residues long and their design is based on the alternation of hydrophobic and hydrophilic amino acids. These peptides are well known to readily self-assemble into anti-parallel $\beta$-sheets and form,
above a critical gelation concentration (CGC), hydrogels which have been shown to support the growth of a variety of cells\textsuperscript{21-27} as well as allow the controlled delivery of a variety of drugs\textsuperscript{12, 28-31}. One interesting aspect of this design is that formation of these sheets results in all the hydrophobic side groups being located on the same face of the sheet while all the hydrophilic side groups are located on the opposite face.\textsuperscript{32-35} As a result it is speculated that two of these sheets associate through their hydrophobic faces to form a fibre. Above the CGC these fibres entangle and/or associate to form a 3D percolated network that traps water i.e.: a hydrogel (Figure 1). As a consequence inter-fibre interactions which play a key role in the fibrillar network formation are mainly controlled by the hydrophilic residues.

\textbf{Figure 1:} \textbf{A:} Schematic representation of the self-assembly and gelation process of $\beta$-sheet forming peptides. \textbf{B:} Schematic representation of $\beta$-sheet fibres. \textbf{C:} Chemical structure of lysine containing peptides.
In this work we were interested in understanding how network topology affects the mechanical properties of the hydrogel and how it can be used to design materials with tailored properties. For this purpose we decided to use a family of octapeptides based on the same design that are known to self-assemble into β-sheet rich fibrils. This approach allowed us to keep the fibre structure identical across all the systems and focus on the effect of network topology on the mechanical properties of the hydrogels formed. As mentioned above due to the design chosen inter-fiber interactions are controlled mainly by the hydrophilic residues. Of particular interest to us was arginine which is a residue that has a guanidine side group. This side group can interact strongly with other amino acids side groups as well as with itself in a variety of configurations including through hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions as well as salt bridges.\textsuperscript{36-38} Our hypothesis was that the introduction of this amino acid would result in increased fibre-fibre interactions and therefore would affect the topology of the network formed and the final mechanical properties of the hydrogels. For this purpose a family of phenylalanine based peptides containing lysine (K) and arginine (R) were designed. Hydrogels were prepared and characterised using a range of techniques including Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), small angle neutron scattering (SANS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and oscillatory shear rheology.
Materials and Methods

Materials: Peptides were purchased as TFA salts from Cambridge Research Biochemicals (UK) (FEFEFKFK and FEKFKEFK) and Biomatik (USA) (FEFEFRFK and FEFEFRFR) and used without further purification. The purity of the compounds was verified by HPLC (> 95% - see ESI for HPLC traces) and mass spectrometry. HPLC grade and deuterated (99.9 atom% D) water were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK).

Sample preparation: Depending on the desired formulation and concentration the required amount of peptide(s) powders (or powder mixtures) was suspended in HPLC grade water. The samples were vortexed and sonicated (VWR ultrasonicator bath, 30 W) until the peptide(s) was fully dissolved. Due to presence of TFA (typically 3:1 TFA to peptide ratio as the TFA is bound to the two cationic residues as well as the terminal NH₃⁺ end group) the pH of the sample was 2.3-2.8. In order to have a common pH for all the samples their pH was adjusted to 3.0 using a 1 M solution of NaOH (Typically ~ 10-20 μL added depending on starting pH). The samples were then stored at room temperature overnight and the pH checked again before use.

Phase diagram: The samples were prepared in a test tube as described above and placed in a water bath, the temperature of which was controlled by both mercury and Grant analogue thermometers. The temperature of the bath was increased from 25 to 90 °C by steps of 5 °C. The samples were left to equilibrate at each temperature for 15 min before their macroscopic state was assessed through the “test-tube tilting” method.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR): Multiple bounce attenuated total reflectance (ATR) FTIR experiments were undertaken using samples prepared in water. Spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet 5700 spectrometer equipped with a trough plate comprising of a zinc selenide crystal, which permitted 12 reflections with a 45° angle of incidence. The samples were spread directly on the surface of the trough plate. Spectra were acquired in the 4000 – 400 cm⁻¹ range with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ over 256 scans. The water spectrum was used as background and subtracted
from all spectra using Omnic software (version 7.2, Thermo Electron Corporation) provided with
the instrument.

**Dynamic oscillatory shear rheometry:** Viscoelastic properties were assessed in an oscillatory
mode, using a stress-controlled rheometer (TA Instruments AR-G2) equipped with a Peltier plate to
temperature. A parallel plate geometry was used with a diameter of 20 mm and a gap of
250 μm. To ensure the measurements were made in the linear regime, amplitude sweeps were
performed and showed no variation in $G'$ and $G''$ up to a strain of 1 %. The dynamic shear moduli
of the hydrogel were measured at 1 Hz with a strain of 0.1%. All experiments were performed at
25 °C at least three times to ensure reproducibility.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM):** Samples were prepared at 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ and diluted 10
fold. The solutions were vortexed until they were fully homogeneous. 20 μL of sample was
adsorbed onto glow-discharged carbon coated copper grid (400 mesh, Agar Scientific) for 30 s. The
loaded grids were washed in distilled water for 15 s and negatively stained with 20 μL of 1% (w/v)
uranyl acetate. The grids were then blotted on Whatman 50 filter paper and allowed to air-dry for
30 min prior to observation. Data was collected at high vacuum on a FEI Tecnai12 BioTwin
transmission electron microscope connected to a high resolution Orius CCD SC1000 camera.

**Small Angle Neutron Scattering (SANS):** SANS experiments were performed at the
Forshungszentrum Jülich (FRJ-2) on diffractometer KWS-2. The white beam was monochromated
with a velocity selector by Dornier. The neutron wavelength was $\lambda = 0.48$ nm with a wavelength
distribution characterized by a full width at half-maximum $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = 0.1$. Samples were irradiated on
an area of $0.8 \times 0.8$ cm$^2$. The beamline was equipped with a two-dimensional detector with a
$50 \times 50$ cm$^2$ active area with a spatial resolution of $0.8 \times 0.8$ cm$^2$ (further details are available on
request at Forshungszentrum Jülich). By varying the sample-detector distance, the available
momentum transfer vector ($q$) was in the range of $0.1 < q$ (nm$^{-1}) < 2.4$, with $q = (4\pi/\lambda) \sin (\theta/2)$,
where $\theta$ is the scattering angle. The collected data was corrected for the detector efficiency and dark
current background. Counter normalization was achieved by using the incoherent scattering of an amorphous hydrogenous poly(methyl methacrylate) secondary standard. After ensuring the scattering was isotropic, the data were radially averaged to obtain a one-dimensional scattering curve. Under these conditions the normalized intensity scattered by a sample is:

\[
I_N(q) = \frac{I_s(q) - I_e(q)}{T_s \delta_s - T_e \delta_e}
\]  

(1)

where \(I(q)\), \(\delta\), and \(T\) are the normalised measured intensity, the thickness, and the transmission of the sample (s) and the empty cell (e) respectively. To extract the coherent intensity scattered by the peptides, we subtracted the coherent intensity scattered by the solvent and the incoherent intensity scattered by the peptide and the solvent from the total scattered intensity. The coherent intensity scattered by the peptides in absolute units is then:

\[
I_a(q) = \frac{1}{K} \left[ I_N(q) - (1 - C_p) I_D(q) - I_b \right]
\]  

(2)

where \(I_D(q)\) is the normalized intensity scattered by the deuterated solvent, \(C_p\) the peptide concentration in \(g \text{ cm}^{-3}\), \(I_b\) the background scattering mainly due to the incoherent scattering of the hydrogenous peptides and \(K\) the contrast factor. The background scattering, \(I_b\), was estimated using the Porod law which gives the scattered intensity of a two phase system at high \(q\) values:

\[
I(q) = \frac{K_P}{q^4} + I_b
\]  

(3)

where \(K_P\) is the Porod constant. \(I_b\) was estimated by fitting the last 10 data points (2.5 to 3.0 nm\(^{-1}\)) of the scattering curves, where the background scattering is dominant, using a Porod representation \((q^4I(q)\) vs. \(q^4\)). Solutions and hydrogels samples for neutron experiments were prepared as described above using deuterated water directly in HELMA quartz cells with an optical path length of 2 and 5 mm depending on the sample concentration. Deuterated water (D\(_2\)O) was used instead of hydrogenated water to increase the contrast between the peptides and the solvent.
Results and discussion:

In an earlier study we investigated the self-assembly of a series of octa-peptides that included FEFKF and FEFKFK (F: phenylalanine; K: lysine; E: glutamic acid). These two peptides differ only by the position of the central E and K residues (Figure 1). In this earlier study FTIR was used to show that both peptides had the same tendency to form β-sheet fibres. In addition SANS experiments carried out at low concentration, i.e.: below the critical gelation concentration (CGC), revealed that similar fibres were formed by both peptides. One puzzling result obtained since that study has been the difference in mechanical properties of the hydrogels formed. Indeed, as can be seen from Figure 2A higher shear moduli, in particular at higher peptide concentrations were obtained for FEFKF. In addition the temperature-concentration phase diagrams of these two systems were also found to differ significantly (Figure 2B). FEFKF formed hydrogels at lower concentration (> 5 mg mL⁻¹), that could not be melted while FEFKF formed hydrogels at higher concentration (> 10 mg mL⁻¹), that could be melted into viscous liquids at high temperature. Based on our earlier work our hypothesis was that the differences in mechanical properties and phase behaviour were due to differences in network topologies rather than differences in fibre intrinsic properties. TEM supported this assumption. As can be seen from Figure 2C TEM images show the formation of a network of uniform thin entangled fibres for FEFKF which dimensions, 3-5 nm, which agrees with our earlier work. “Y” shaped branching points can be observed where one fibre “splits” into two fibres of the same dimensions. The branching of β-sheet forming peptides was discussed by Pochan and co-worker for a family of β-hairpin peptides. These authors suggested that branching was due to the mis-assembly of the peptides. Keeping in mind that each fibre is formed by two β-sheets (Figure 1) it is reasonable to assume a similar origin for the “Y” shaped branching observed here. For FEFKF a slightly different network topology is observed. Although “Y” shaped branching of fibres can still be observed, thicker fibre bundles, formed from the association along their length of 2 or more fibres, can also be seen (Figure 2D).
This suggests that the position of K and E affects the tendency of the fibres to interact. It should be noted that at pH 3 these peptides, and therefore the fibres they form, carry a positive charge and that the presence of TFA in the sample will result in some level of charge screening which will promote peptide self-assembly and sample gelation. The position of the lysine residues affects the overall charge distribution on the fibre surface and therefore the way the electrostatic repulsion acts. The different topologies observed for these two networks agree well with the observations above. Indeed the association of fibres along their length is expected to result in stiffer fibre bundles and a stiffer network resulting in a higher shear modulus. Fibre bundling is expected to increase with concentration, as fibres come into closer proximity, therefore the effect on the mechanical properties is also expected, as observed, to be more marked at higher concentrations. In addition this association tendency is thought to also promote the formation of a more stable percolated network resulting in a lower CGC and a reduced melting tendency. Indeed, fibre bundles are expected to be more difficult to melt / disassociate than "Y" branching points as the latter can be considered weak points.
It is well known that hydrogel mechanical properties are very sensitive to network topology. A number of theoretical models can be found which describe the mechanical properties of hydrogels. They usually relate the modulus of the hydrogel to the concentration through power laws. One such model was proposed by Jones and Marques for a network of semi-rigid fibres joined at frozen junction points. It relates the shear modulus of the hydrogel to the sample concentration through:

$$G' \sim C^{(3+FD)/(3-FD)}$$  \hspace*{1cm} (4)
where \( FD \) is the fractal dimension of interconnecting objects. For semi-rigid fibres \( FD \) is expected to be in the range of 1.0 to 1.2 corresponding to an exponent of 2.0 to 2.3. The following power laws were obtained for our two peptides:

\[
\text{FEFEFKFK} \Rightarrow G' \sim C^{2.4} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{FEFKFEEK} \Rightarrow G' \sim C^{4.6}
\]

A good agreement was obtained for \( \text{FEFEFKFK} \) system with Jones and Marques model. The network topology observed for this system (uniform semi-rigid fibres connected at frozen junction points) resembles the most the network topology assumed in this model. For \( \text{FEFKFEEFK} \) a larger exponent than predicted was obtained. There are number of reasons for the deviation from the model. First of all, the model does not take into consideration fibre polydispersity (through bundle formation) and therefore variation in network basic element properties such as stiffness. In addition as the model was developed for polymeric systems the concentration is assumed to directly relate to the concentration of connecting elements in the network. As shown by Ramzi et al. the presence in a polymeric network of pendant chains, that do not participate to the network elasticity, can result in a higher than expected exponent as the “effective” network element concentration is lower than the polymer concentration. \(^{47}\) In our case there is no direct evidence of the presence of pendant chains. Nevertheless the fibre thickening observed for \( \text{FEFKFEEFK} \) system is thought to have a similar effect. Indeed fibre bundles will act as a single element contributing to the network elasticity resulting in a lower apparent network “effective” concentration and therefore in a higher exponent.

The results discussed above suggest that fibre association can be used to control network topology and therefore the mechanical properties of the peptide hydrogels. To confirm that such an approach can be used to design hydrogels with tailored properties arginine residues were introduced in our peptide design by replacing one or both of the lysines. As mentioned in the introduction arginine is expected to promote through its guanidine side group strong fibre-fibre interactions.
The following two sequences were designed: FEFEFrfK and FEFEFrfR (Figure 3A). For FEFEFrfR opaque viscous solutions / precipitates we obtained at all concentrations. FTIR confirmed the adoption by this peptide of a β-sheet conformation (Figure 3B) and TEM revealed the presence of similar fibres as above (Figure 3C). The fibres though were observed to form in this case large bundles / aggregates, clearly showing that as hypothesised R promotes strong fibre-fibre interactions. To prevent the formation of such large scale aggregates and their precipitation we decided to “dope” FEFEFrfKFK hydrogels with small amounts of FEFEFrfR, 10 and 20% (wt.), keeping the overall sample peptide concentration constant. These two samples will be referred as FK90:FR10 and FK80:FR20 respectively.
FK90:FR10 and FK80:FR20 were found to form slightly “hazy” hydrogels while FEFEFFK was found to form clear transparent hydrogels in the concentration range investigated. As can be seen from Figure 4A FTIR results suggest that the introduction of arginine did not affect the peptides tendency to form β-sheets, indeed similar relative peaks intensities in the amide region were observed for all samples. The formation of fibrous networks was confirmed by TEM (Figure 4B).

Figure 4: A: FTIR spectra of hydrogels samples (β-sheet band: 1624 cm⁻¹); B: TEM image obtained for hydrogel samples (scale bar: 100 nm); C: SANS scattering pattern (log I(q) vs log q) obtained for the sample below the CGC (5 mg mL⁻¹); D: SANS scattering pattern at low q plotted in a ln[qIA(q)] vs. q² representation. Best fits of
curves linear sections are presented with the corresponding values of \( R_\sigma \) extracted (see text for more details).

A first series of SANS experiments were performed below the CGC of the samples in the dilute regime. In this regime the scattering observed is dominated by the form factor of the diluted scattering entities. As can be seen from Figure 4C, all the samples scattering patterns present a \( \sim q^{-1} \) behaviour at low \( q \) typical of the scattering of fibres. For infinitely long rod-like structures, i.e.: semi-rigid fibres, in the \( q \) range investigated the scattered intensity can be written as:39, 48, 49

\[
q^2 I_A(q) = \pi q C_p \mu_L f(q R_\sigma) + Cst
\]

(5)

where \( \mu_L \) is the mass per unit length of the rod in g mol\(^{-1}\) nm\(^{-1} \), \( C_p \) the peptide concentration in g cm\(^{-3} \) and \( f(q R_\sigma) \) represents the cross-section scattering, \( R_\sigma \) being the cross-section radius of gyration of the rod. \( Cst \) is a constant term taking into account inter-scattering effects. For \( q R_\sigma < 1 \) Equation 5 reduces to:39, 48-50

\[
q I_A(q) = \pi C_p \mu_L \exp\left(\frac{q^2 R_\sigma^2}{2}\right)
\]

(6)

If the scattering observed is of the form described by Equation 6 then at low \( q \) a linear behaviour should be obtained in a \( \ln[q I_A(q)] \) vs. \( q^2 \) representation. This is indeed the case as can be seen from Figure 4D. The cross-section radius of gyration, \( R_\sigma \), of the fibres can be estimated from the slope of the linear section. If we assume that the fibres can be modelled by a plain infinitely long cylinder then \( R_\sigma \) is related to the diameter of the fibre, \( d \), through: \( R_\sigma = \sqrt{d^2 / 8} \). As can be seen from Figure 4 for FEF-EFKFK a \( R_\sigma \) of 1.1 ± 0.2 nm was obtained corresponding to a fibre diameter of 3.1 ± 0.6 nm, in good agreement with our previous work and the TEM image in Figure 2. For FEF-EFRFK a slightly higher \( R_\sigma \) is obtained corresponding to a slightly larger fibre diameter of 4.0 ± 0.5 nm. For FK90:FR10 and FK80:FR20 larger \( R_\sigma \) are obtained corresponding to fibre
diameters of 5.6 ± 0.6 nm and 7.1 ± 0.8 nm respectively. From the FTIR and TEM results above we can assume that the same basic β-sheet fibres are formed for all the systems and therefore the increase in fibre sizes below the CGC suggests the formation of fibre bundles through lateral fibre association. Indeed it should be kept in mind that the scattering observed is the average scattering of all the rod-like objects, in this case fibres and fibre bundles, present in the sample. Although FEFERFsample contains a larger nominal amount of arginine residues (12.5 % of all residues are R in this sample compared to 5 % for FK80:FR20 and 2.5 % for FK90:FR10) this system shows a “lower” drive to fibre association / bundling. These results suggest that the localisation of two R on the same peptide promotes strong fibre association and bundle formation.

**Figure 5:** A&B: SANS scattering pattern (Iₐ(q) vs q) obtained for samples prepared at 20 mg mL⁻¹ (A) and 30 mg mL⁻¹ (B), above the CGC of the samples. C: 2D idealised representation of the effect of fibre association / bundling on overall network topology.
In order to investigate the samples morphology a second series of SANS experiments were carried out above the CGC, at 20 and 30 mg mL\(^{-1}\). In this regime the scattering observed is due to the overall sample morphology i.e.: fibres and network. The results presented in Figure 5A&B confirm that the introduction of R affects the morphology of the sample.

For \text{FE}E\text{F}KFK a scattering peak is observed at 0.3 and 0.4 nm\(^{-1}\) for the 20 and 30 mg mL\(^{-1}\) samples respectively. The presence of such a peak suggests that the samples’ morphology is highly homogeneous and that a characteristic density fluctuation length is present across the sample. This density fluctuation length is related to the characteristic length scale of the morphology which in turn is related to the characteristic length scales of the two phases forming it: the low density phase (water rich phase i.e: mesh size), and the high density phase (fibre rich phase: i.e.: fibre cross-section).\(^{50}\) As a result, if it is assumed that the fibre cross-section is significantly smaller than the network mesh size, the position of the scattering peak can be related through Bragg’s law, \((2\pi) / q\) to the network mesh size: \(\sim 20\) and 15 nm for the 20 and 30 mg mL\(^{-1}\) samples respectively. As expected the mesh size decreases with increasing peptide concentration as the density of fibres increases. It should be kept in mind that, as discussed above, for \text{FE}E\text{F}KFK no fibre association / aggregation is expected. In addition at pH 3 this peptide and therefore the fibres carry a positive charge resulting in fibre-fibre repulsion. We hypothesize that as a result there is the formation of highly homogeneous fibres that “avoid” contact due to electrostatic repulsion, a highly homogeneous network and morphology is obtained with branching points and entanglements (no fibre association / bundling).

When \text{FE}E\text{F}R\text{F}R is introduced (FK90:FR10 and FK80:FR20 samples) the peak scattering intensity decreases while the scattering intensity at low \(q\) increases. These changes in scattering profiles suggest that the sample morphology becomes less homogeneous and that larger scattering entities form respectively. As mentioned above the scattering observed in this regime originates from the overall sample morphology: fibres and network, therefore the increase of the scattering
intensity at low $q$ is thought to be related to the formation of fibre bundles as well as to the resulting increase in network mesh size (Figure 5C). It is interesting to note that the changes in scattering patterns are more pronounced at higher concentration. The drive of fibre association is indeed expected to increase with increasing concentration as the fibres are in closer proximity. These results seem to be supported by the TEM images (Figure 4B) showing a more coarse network topology for FK20:FR80 sample although it should be kept in mind that TEM images can be misleading as the samples are subject to significant conditioning (see materials and method section) and the images give a 2D representation of a 3D network.

For the FEFEF_RFK sample a small shoulder can be observed at 20 mg mL$^{-1}$ while at 30 mg mL$^{-1}$ the scattering peak has disappeared altogether. For this sample too, scattering at low $q$ is observed to increase significantly again pointing towards the formation of larger scattering entities and a decrease in morphology homogeneity. The loss of morphological homogeneity, while fibre bundles form is not surprising. Indeed fibre lateral association as occurring here is not a controlled process and therefore fibre bundles of different sizes are expected to form. This is expected to lead to a polydispersed mesh size and diffuse scattering (Figure 5). Interestingly, the transparent appearance of the hydrogel formed with FEFEF_RFK suggests that any morphological heterogeneity formed is below the half-micron size above where light scattering is observed. On the other hand FK90:FR10 and FK80:FR20 form slightly hazy hydrogels suggesting in this case morphological heterogeneities reach the half-micron scale. These observations suggest that the introduction of only one R on all the peptides leads to more “controlled” fibre association / aggregation resulting in fibre bundles of limited size. On the other hand, introduction of FEFEFRFR leads to uncontrolled fibre association / aggregation resulting in the formation of large bundles and as discussed above for pure FEFEF_R sample to their precipitation.
As expected the change in network topology has a strong effect on the mechanical properties of the hydrogels. In Figure 6 the dynamic storage shear moduli obtained for the 3 samples at 20 and 30 mg mL$^{-1}$ are presented. The introduction of FFEF$RFR$ results in a gradual increase in the $G'$ of the sample. Surprisingly FFEF$RFK$ forms stronger hydrogel at both concentrations although as discussed above the fibre association drive seems to be “weaker” for this sample. This clearly points out that in order to control the properties of the hydrogel the fibre association process needs to occur in a controlled fashion. Indeed the bulk properties of the hydrogels will be a combination between the intrinsic properties of the fibres / fibre bundles and the overall properties of the network which will depend on the mesh size and therefore on the level of fibre bundling. These results though clearly point out the importance of network topology in controlling the properties of this type of hydrogels.
Conclusions

We have investigated how network topology affects the mechanical properties of a family of β-sheet forming peptides whose design is based on the alternation of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. Our results clearly show that in addition to the fibre intrinsic properties, the way these fibres self-assemble themselves to form a 3D percolated network has a significant impact on the final macroscopic properties of the resulting hydrogels. Taking advantage of the peptide design features used here, we have shown how by design, in this specific case introducing a highly interacting hydrophilic amino acid arginine, the fibre-fibre interaction can be manipulated to control the level of fibre lateral association / bundling. This approach allows carefully engineering by design, not only the type of fibre formed, in our case anti-parallel β-sheet, but also the type of network topology formed, branched vs associated. The approach described in this work will be key for the design of hydrogels exploiting β-sheet forming peptides with highly controlled mechanical properties, in particular for the biomedical field.
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