DETECTION OF IMPACT DAMAGE IN CARBON FIBRE COMPOSITES USING AN ELECTROMAGNETIC SENSOR
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This paper presents a comprehensive experimental study of impact damage detection for carbon fibre-reinforced polymer (CFRP) composites using an electromagnetic (EM) sensor with coupled spiral inductors (CSI). Two representative types of damage are detected and evaluated, i.e., barely visible impact damage (BVID) and delamination. A multi-frequency inspection is performed, where the resultant images indicate the potential of the CSI sensor in the characterisation of damage extent. The accuracy and efficiency of the CSI sensor are compared with the open-ended waveguide imaging, near-field microwave microscopy, microwave time-domain reflectometry, the complementary split-ring resonator and ultrasonic scanning. Applications and limitations of these non-destructive testing (NDT) methods for identifying impact damage are discussed. There is a free edge effect on the electromagnetic signal, which is illustrated for the first time with the proposed EM technique. Detection of the air gap produced by inserting a thin piece into a machined subsurface groove is carried out. It is found that the developed CSI sensor is able to accurately resolve the location and extent of the air gap. The experimental results demonstrate that the sensor could offer an alternative relatively low cost method that can be fully automated for structural monitoring of aircraft and other composite structures.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Carbon fibre-reinforced polymer (CFRP) composites are increasingly used in aerospace, marine and automotive industries, due to their superior stiffness and strength characteristics, good fatigue and corrosion resistance and a high strength-to-weight ratio that enables fuel savings [1]. However, the performance of aircraft composite structures can be affected by impact damage, caused by objects and events such as hail stones, runway debris, collision with ground equipment, tool drops and bird strikes. The types of composite damage induced by impact include surface dents, delamination (ply separation or debonding), matrix cracking and fibre breakage. In some low-energy impact cases, damage is hardly visible on the surface, but more severe damage may still exist underneath. Multiple delaminations could develop through the thickness, and the delamination area is significantly increased in comparison to the surface dent [2].

For this reason, different kinds of non-destructive testing (NDT) techniques have been adopted, such as, ultrasonic testing [3, 4], Eddy current technique [5–7], thermography [8], X-ray tomography, optical fibre sensing [9], digital image correlation (DIC) [10], Lamb waves [11] and microwave techniques (e.g., microwave imaging with an open-ended waveguide [12] and near-field microwave microscopy [13]). NDT methods play an important role in the maintenance of structural integrity by enabling timely detection before critical damage occurs. However, at present, no single method exists that can detect all types of defect and damage in all material systems, each method has its own specific advantages and limitations.

Recently, a new kind of electromagnetic (EM) sensor with coupled spiral inductors (CSI) for CFRP composites [14–16] has been proposed. This sensor has several advantages, such as its low power consumption, low cost, potential for high speed
scanning, non-contact, one-sided scanning capability and no need for piezoelectric transducers (e.g., Lamb waves) or couplants (e.g., ultrasonic testing). It operates over a frequency range where the penetration depth is comparable with the sample thickness. The ability to detect an air gap and cracks intentionally produced in a four-layer CFRP plate was reported [14]. Furthermore, the authors optimised the CSI sensor for better impedance matching [17] and extended the applications to the detection of subsurface grooves [18], delamination caused by three-point bending [17] and impact damage [19]. From the detection of subsurface grooves with varied depths and sizes, the pattern of the sensitivity curve was revealed and a linear relationship between the signal response and the location of the defect was established. In addition, an empirical engineering design tool was proposed to relate the setup to its detection performance. In previous work [19], the detection of impact damage using the CSI sensor was limited to either a specific frequency or post-processing of the images produced by the raw data.

In this paper, the potential of the optimised CSI sensor for impact damage detection is thoroughly explored. Firstly, the mechanism and experimental setup are introduced. Two types of damage are discussed, i.e., barely visible impact damage (BVID) and delamination. The detection of the BVID in a plate, an I-shaped beam and samples with varied impact energy levels is carried out. A comparison of the CSI sensor performance with open-ended waveguide imaging, near-field microwave microscopy, microwave time-domain reflectometry (TDR), complementary split-ring resonator (CSRR) and ultrasonic testing is conducted. The effect of the orientation of the sensor on the detection performance and the air gap (one of the characteristics of the delamination) created by a filled subsurface groove are examined, and the effect of the air gap size on the signal response is further analysed.
2. THE EM CSI SENSOR

2.1 Damage Detection Principle

As shown in Fig.1, two square spiral inductors are fabricated on the lower side of a printed circuit board (PCB). By using vias (electrical connections), each inductor is connected to a coplanar transmission line on the upper side to make a two-port sensing device. A sizable defect (e.g., dent, crack or delamination) in the conductive material under test will affect the electromagnetic coupling between the two coils, which can be characterised by the scattering transmission coefficient ($S_{21}$) [20]. $S_{21}$ is selected rather than other S-parameters because a higher signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) can be achieved. The CSI sensing is similar to Eddy current testing, as both methods are based on the Faraday’s principle of electromagnetic induction. However, there are some differences between the two methods, which are listed in Table 1.

![Schematic diagram of the CSI sensor](image)
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(c) Grounded coplanar transmission line  (d) Perspective view

**FIGURE 1.** Schematic diagram of the CSI sensor
Table 1. Main differences between the CSI sensing and Eddy current testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CSI sensing</th>
<th>Eddy current testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensor</td>
<td>Planar coils on a PCB</td>
<td>Three-dimensional coils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage indicator</td>
<td>$S_{21}$</td>
<td>Impedance of the coils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency range</td>
<td>1-500 MHz</td>
<td>Up to 10 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measuring instrument</td>
<td>Vector network analyser</td>
<td>Impedance analyser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction of the magnetic field with respect to the surface</td>
<td>Tangential [14]</td>
<td>Orthogonal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the original design of the CSI sensor [14], the characteristic impedance of the coplanar transmission line is around 80 Ω, which implies that around 5% energy is reflected during the signal transfer from the SMA connector (normally 50 Ω) to the coplanar waveguide (CPW) transmission line. Here, for the optimised sensor the central track of the CPW line on the upper side shown in Fig.1 (c) is increased to 1.0 mm [17] resulting in reducing the reflected energy to 0.05 %. Detailed parameters of the sensor and corresponding values are presented in Fig.1 (a-c) and Table.2, respectively.

2.2 Experimental Setup

The EM sensor is mounted on an X-Y-Z scanning stage and connected to an HP8753B vector network analyser (VNA) by two coaxial cables for $S_{21}$ measurement. As schematically illustrated in Fig.2, a personal computer (PC) is connected to the PIC18C452 Microchip® microcontroller for the logic control of the stepper motors, which have a high positioning accuracy of 1 μm. A VEE software® programme is applied for the implementation of precise and reproducible movements. In addition, the analyser is connected to the PC by an IEEE-488 cable for data acquisition.
TABLE 2. Dimensions of the optimised electromagnetic sensor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Length of the sensor (mm)</th>
<th>Inner dimension of each inductor (D, mm)</th>
<th>Width of the sensor (w₁, mm)</th>
<th>Number of turns</th>
<th>Width of the central track (w₂, mm)</th>
<th>Spacing between two inductors (g₂, mm)</th>
<th>Thickness of the substrate (t, mm)</th>
<th>Spacing between each turn (g₃, mm)</th>
<th>Characteristic impedance (Ω)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 2. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup using the CSI sensor
3. BVID DETECTION

3.1 Impact Damage in a Plate

3.1.1. 2D scanning

In this test, the dent on the top surface of a 4 mm thick CFRP [+45/90/-45/0]_4s quasi-isotropic composite laminate was created by a drop-weight impact energy of 20 J. The diameter and depth of the dent observed by an optical microscope were roughly 3.85 mm and 443 µm, respectively. This dent could not be easily found during general visual inspection using typical lighting conditions from a distance of 1.50 m. This kind of damage is categorised as BVID. A non-contact 2D scan was performed with a step size of 403 µm and a standoff distance of 100 µm. The median filtering and mean filtering were applied in the image post-processing for noise reduction and edge enhancement. The magnitude of $S_{21}$ (i.e., $|S_{21}|$) in decibels (dB) is shown in Fig. 3 (a), where the bright area showing higher values (less negative) indicates the location and extent of the dent due to impact at a chosen frequency of 300 MHz. In addition, by subtracting the minimum magnitude value (undamaged position) at each pixel, the magnitude variation $\Delta |S_{21}|$ across the scanned area is presented in Fig.3(b).

![Magnitude plot](image1)

![Magnitude variation](image2)

**FIGURE 3.** The distribution of $|S_{21}|$ at a frequency of 300 MHz
3.1.2. Multi-frequency inspection

Multi-frequency inspection is a common practice when using electromagnetic NDT techniques [6], as the penetration of the radio frequency signal is strongly associated with the frequency. Theoretically, for the contact case, the penetration depth \( d_p \) in conductive materials can be estimated by [20]:

\[
d_p \approx \frac{1}{\pi f \mu \sigma}
\]

(1)

where \( f \) is the operating frequency, \( \mu \) is the magnetic permeability of the material, and \( \sigma \) is the electrical conductivity of the sample. In the present case, \( \mu \) is the permeability of free space \( \mu_0 \) (i.e., \( 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \) H·m\(^{-1}\)), as CFRP is nonmagnetic. The penetration depth will significantly decrease when a higher inspection frequency is adopted. It should be noted that the standoff distance used will affect the penetration depth, as the CSI sensing is a kind of near-field detection technique. The sensitivity to the defects would decrease with increasing standoff distance, and the signal response asymptotes to the free space condition [18].

The effective conductivity of the quasi-isotropic sample was measured using the two-probe method [21]. By substituting the conductivity 2.29 kS/m into Eq. (1), the variation of the penetration depth with respect to the frequency is illustrated in Fig.4, where the horizontal axis is in logarithmic scale for better presentation. The images of \( \Delta|S_{21}| \) at four other frequencies are presented in Fig. 5, where the scale of the colour map is the same as that in Fig 3 (b). The apparent size of the impacted region is increased at a lower frequency, which suggests that the internal damage within the penetration depth can be gradually revealed by selecting an appropriate range of frequency (10-500 MHz). Accurate determination of the depth of the delamination can
be done by well-prepared calibration, such as detection of some purposely produced defects with regular shapes [18].

**FIGURE 4.** Variation of the penetration depth over 0.1 MHz-1 GHz

![Variation of the penetration depth over 0.1 MHz-1 GHz](image)
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(d) 10 MHz

**FIGURE 5.** Bright areas indicating the regions of interest at four inspection frequencies
3.1.3. Comparison with other NDT methods

In this section, the sensing performances of the microwave imaging with an open-ended waveguide, near-field microwave microscopy, microwave TDR, a CSRR sensor and ultrasonic testing techniques are compared. The setup and results of each method are described in the following paragraphs:

a) Microwave imaging with an open-ended waveguide: a K-band rectangular waveguide adapter with inner dimensions of 10.70 mm × 4.30 mm was used. A 2D scan was conducted with a step size of 530 µm, a standoff distance of 10 mm and a scanned area of 31.75 mm × 20.64 mm. As a single-port measurement shown in Fig. 6 (a), only the reflection coefficient $S_{11}$ at each point was recorded. Fig. 6 (b) illustrates the distribution of the magnitude at 18.5 GHz. The dent can still be identified, while the shape of the dent is slightly oval. The image distortion is mainly due to the intrinsic limited resolution of this technique that is dependent on the waveguide dimensions and standoff distance [22].

b) Near-field microwave microscopy: a near-field microwave profiler developed at the University of Manchester [23] was utilised. As schematically illustrated in Fig. 6(c), the profiler is made up of a microwave closed resonant cavity coupled to a tapered probing tip. When the probe is kept at a fixed standoff distance, defects or a conductivity discontinuity on the surface of the sample can affect the resonance frequency of the cavity. In the test, the resonance frequency at each measurement position was retrieved from a Marconi 6200A scalar network analyser. A 2D scan was performed on the sample with a standoff distance of 100 µm and a step size of 280 µm. The standoff distance was set as small as possible, as only evanescent waves propagate from the tip. In Fig. 6 (d), the area of the dent is clearly defined. In addition, the
symmetric and circular damage shape shows better image quality than the microwave imaging performed with an open-ended waveguide. However, the scanning process of this method is time-consuming. It took approximately 26 minutes to scan an area of 121.64 mm² in the present test.

c) **Microwave TDR:** the methodology of the TDR is based on the fundamental transmission line theory. Any discontinuity in the characteristic impedance along the transmission line caused by the surface defects can be captured from a reflected signal in the time domain [24]. Simpler than the CSI sensor, a CPW transmission line with a length of 90.00 mm was developed [19]. This sensor was placed above the sample with the ground plane uppermost as illustrated in Fig.6 (e). Here, $S_{11}$ (in the frequency domain) was acquired by an HP 3720D vector network analyser. The frequency range 1-18 GHz was utilised with 401 sampling points. Then the data were converted into the time domain by Inverse Fast Fourier Transform (IFFT).

The undamaged and damaged positions were measured with a standoff distance of 100 µm. Signal difference between the two cases in the time domain is given in Fig.6 (f), where the peak of the signal indicates the location of the damage and the horizontal axis is along the length direction. However, the resolution of the image provided is relatively low and unable to reveal the shape and extent of the damage. But it could be improved by optimal design of the sensor configuration; hence, further work is needed especially to optimise the line impedance for a good match in-situ.

d) **CSRR sensor:** The CSRR sensor commonly used for crack detection in metallic surfaces and permittivity measurement [25] is introduced here. As one of the microwave resonance methods, the resonance frequency of the circuit is the indicator of damage. Similar to the CSI and TDR sensors, PCB fabrication was applied as well. The
geometry of the developed CSRR sensor is given in Fig. 6(g), and a microstrip line with a width of 2.8 mm was made on the top of the FR4 substrate. The scan was performed above the sample with a standoff distance of 100 µm. First, the location without impact damage underneath was measured as a reference; then, the region of interest was tested for comparison. The frequency spectrum obtained is illustrated in Fig. 6(h). The resonance frequency is increased due to the existence of the damage. A 2D scan can be employed to obtain the location of the damage.

**e) Ultrasonic testing:** The ultrasonic test was performed with an Olympus OmniScan MX-2®, equipped with a 64-element sensor (transmitter/receiver). The sensor is associated with an encoder that determines its position and enables spatial mapping across the specimen surface [26]. Water was used as the transmission medium in the test. B-scan and C-scan images based on the intensity of the reflected ultrasonic signals are generated. As shown in Fig. 6 (i) and (j), the B-scan reveals the damage distribution through the specimen thickness, while the C-scan is a surface contour plot with all the delaminations stacked together in the top view, which indicates the number and extent of delaminations.
(c) Setup of near-field microwave microscopy

(d) Image by near-field microwave microscopy

(e) Setup of microwave TDR

(f) Image by microwave TDR

(g) Geometry of the CSRR sensor

(h) Spectrum of the CSRR sensing
In summary, among the six NDT methods, ultrasonic testing and CSI sensing could detect both the surface dent and subsurface delamination. However, only the surface defect could be identified by the other four EM NDT methods because of the high frequency range used that limits penetration. In Table 3, inspections using a CSI sensor and the other five above-mentioned NDT methods used for the detection of impact damage in CFRP composites are compared in detail. Some primary factors are listed (e.g., frequency range, cost, equipment setup and scan time) giving an indication of the advantages and limitations to the reader/user.

3.2. Impact Damage in an I-shaped CFRP Composite Beam

3.2.1. Magnitude and phase profiling

An I-shaped composite beam similar to those used in an aircraft structure has been tested. As shown in Fig. 7 (a) and (b), the impact damage was induced on the surface of the top flange, the dimensions of which are 300 mm × 30 mm. The scanning area and the orientation of the sensor for the 2D scanning are presented in Fig. 7(c). The scanning was conducted with a step size of 427 μm and a standoff distance of 250 μm.
TABLE 3. Comparison between CSI and five other NDT methods employed in the test for impact damage detection of carbon fibre composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NDT methods</th>
<th>Frequency range</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSI sensor</td>
<td>10-500 MHz</td>
<td>Low-cost (&lt;£10); Reasonable penetration</td>
<td>Point scanning; Accurate positioning needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave imaging</td>
<td>18.0-26.5 GHz (K-band)</td>
<td>Easy setup</td>
<td>Limited penetration; Limited resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with an open-ended waveguide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near-field microwave microscopy</td>
<td>3.0-3.1 GHz</td>
<td>High resolution</td>
<td>Poor penetration; Point scanning; Accurate positioning needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave TDR</td>
<td>1-18 GHz</td>
<td>Low-cost; Simple fabrication</td>
<td>Relatively complicated data processing; Limited resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRR sensor</td>
<td>2-3 GHz</td>
<td>Low-cost; Low-power</td>
<td>Point scanning; Limited resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic testing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Good resolution; 3D imaging; Good penetration</td>
<td>In contact; Couplants required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 7. Detection of the BVID on an I-shaped carbon-fibre composite beam
Images of $S_{21}$ in the form of magnitude and phase plots are shown in Fig. 8, where the bright region within the red rectangle indicates the impact dent. It is seen that the phase information could be chosen as a damage indicator as well. However, it can be seen in Fig. 8 (a) and Fig. 9 that the $S_{21}$ values along the specimen edge are higher than those inside the flange area. This unexpected phenomenon is similar to the edge effect in the Eddy current technique, where the induced currents are distorted near the free edge [27]. Nevertheless, in the present case, the dent-peak in the image is still distinct from edge-peaks for recognition by an experienced operator, as the dent is located a sufficient distance from the edge.

**FIGURE 8.** The $S_{21}$ distribution obtained by the impact damage detection of the I beam at the frequency 300 MHz with the sensor perpendicular to X-axis.
3.2.2. Effect of the orientation of the sensor

The effect of the orientation of the sensor with respect to the scanning direction was studied. The vertical orientation along the X-axis was adopted in the tests mentioned above, while the setup of the horizontal case used here is illustrated in Fig. 10. It is observed from Fig. 11 that the BVID is barely identifiable, which is primarily due to the anisotropic characteristics of the conductivity. Hence, the sensor is preferably placed along the fibre direction in order to enhance the sensitivity, as the induced currents flow along the fibres. This of course requires prior knowledge of the curing and stacking sequence of the composite plate for best performance.

FIGURE 9. $|S_{21}|$ distribution across A-A section (width direction)

FIGURE 10. Horizontal scan across the surface using the CSI sensor
FIGURE 11. The $S_{21}$ distribution for the case with the CSI sensor parallel to X-axis

3.3 BVID with Different Impact Energy Levels

As shown in Fig.12 (a), four CFRP specimens were impacted at different energy levels, which are 30 J, 45 J, 54 J and 66 J. The location of the impact point for each sample is not the same. A 2D scan was performed with a step size of 403 µm and a standoff distance of 500 µm. As illustrated in Fig. 12(b), the origin of the scan is away from the edge so as to avoid the edge effect. The images of magnitude and phase variations produced at the same inspection frequency of 10 MHz are presented in Fig. 13 and Fig. 14. The dent can be readily observed in all the images. It is found that the size of damage enlarges with the increasing impact energy. The impacted region is better defined at higher energies as would be expected.
FIGURE 12. Detection of the impacted samples with varied impact energy levels
FIGURE 13. Magnitude variations of $S_{21}$ for four different impact energy levels at the same frequency of 10 MHz

FIGURE 14. Phase variations of $S_{21}$ for four different impact energy levels at the same frequency of 10 MHz
4. DETECTION OF AIR GAPS

The capability of detecting the delamination induced by three-point bending has been reported in previous research [18]. Here, the effect of the air gap size (that could simulate delamination) on the signal response is further studied. In this test, three small fillers with the same width of the subsurface groove (i.e., 4.00 mm) and the same CFRP material were machined as illustrated in Fig. 15, so that an air gap could be produced by partially filling the groove. The thickness of the CFRP panel is 2.58 mm, and the strips are denoted by Strip A, B, C, respectively. The size of each induced air gap is 0.88 mm, 0.54 mm and 0.07 mm, respectively.

![Schematic diagram of the subsurface groove and strips](image)

**FIGURE 15.** Schematic diagram of the subsurface groove and strips for the simulated air gaps

The line scanning was conducted above the defect with a standoff distance of 250 µm and a step size of 127 µm. The effect of the air gap size is evaluated by the signal sensitivity, which is defined as the difference of $|S_{21}|$ (dB) between the origin and the present position. As shown in Fig. 16, it is revealed that the sensitivity values is slightly reduced (less than 5%) as the air gap size decreases. This insensitivity to the air gap size could be acceptable in the real world, as real delamination ‘thickness’ is relatively small compared to specimen thickness.
**FIGURE 16.** Variation of the sensitivity in the detection of the simulated delamination (air gap)

In addition, the trend of the sensitivity curve is in agreement with the three-stage analysis introduced in [18], where the curve experiences increasing stage (Stage I), temporarily stable stage (Stage II) and decreasing stage (Stage III), see Fig.17. The width of the stable stage (approximately 4.00 mm) corresponds to the length of the subsurface groove.

**FIGURE 17.** Analysis of the interaction between the sensor and the subsurface defect (length of the subsurface groove $w_g$ and the width of the coupling region $\ell$) [18]
5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work, the recently developed electromagnetic CSI sensor has been successfully employed for detection and evaluation of BVID and delamination in CFRP composites. The original Salski’s CSI sensor is optimised by improved impedance matching. A multi-frequency inspection is performed for the detection of BVID in a composite laminate. The damage propagation through the thickness is characterised by an increase of the region of interest with decreasing inspection frequencies. Five other non-destructive testing methods including microwave imaging with an open-ended waveguide, near-field microwave microscopy, microwave time-domain reflectometry, a complementary split-ring resonator technique and ultrasonic B- and C-scanning have been carried out for comparison. The advantages and limitations of each method for damage detection have been presented for the reader’s consideration.

In BVID detection of the I-shaped beam, it has been seen that not only the magnitude data can be used as a damage indicator but also the phase data can contribute to this effort. The edge effect of the CSI sensor is described here to the best of the authors’ knowledge for the first time. Current crowding is evident towards the edges of the CFRP and is revealed in this scan. The effect of the orientation on the performance is investigated as well. The optimal orientation of the sensor is dependent on the fibre architecture of the sample under test. The detection of BVID with different impact energy levels has been conducted as well. It is shown that the size of the detected damage increases with the increasing impact energy.

Lastly, the air gap in the CFRP sample created by the combination of subsurface grooves and fillers of various thicknesses (in the effort to simulate delamination) has been examined. It is concluded that the sensor is highly sensitive to the presence of the
air gap. However, further work is required on this since the smallest air gap detected in this work is 0.07 mm, while the gap created by ply debonding in a composite laminate subjected to impact may be smaller, especially if delaminations grow by shear stresses (sliding rather than an opening mode).
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