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Abstract

Purpose

Determining metabolic ratio from single-point plasma is potentially a good phenotyping method of CYP2D6 to reduce the required time interval and increase the reliability of data. It is difficult to conduct large sample size clinical trials to evaluate this phenotyping method for multiple plasma points. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model can be developed to do simulations based on the large virtual Chinese population and evaluate single-point plasma phenotyping method of CYP2D6.

Methods

Pharmacokinetic data of dextromethorphan (DM) and its metabolite dextrorphan (DX) after oral administration were used for model development. The SimCYP® model incorporating Chinese demographic, physiological, and enzyme data was used to simulate DM and DX pharmacokinetics in different phenotype groups.

Results
The ratios of the simulated to the observed mean AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of DM were 1.01 and 0.81 for extensive metabolizers (EMs), 0.90 and 0.81 for intermediate metabolizers (IMs), and 1.12 and 0.84 for poor metabolizers (PMs). The ratios of the simulated to the observed mean AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of DX were 1.12 and 0.89 for EMs, 0.66 and 0.62 for IMs. All ratios were within the predefined criterion of 0.5-2. The simulations of DM and DX pharmacokinetic profiles in 1000 virtual Chinese subjects with reported frequencies of different phenotypes indicated that statistically significant correlations were found between metabolic ratio of DM to DX ($MR_{DM/DX}$) from AUC and from single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h (all p-values < 0.001).

Conclusion

$MR_{DM/DX}$ from single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h after the administration of 30 mg controlled-release DM could predict the $MR_{DM/DX}$ from AUC well and could be used as the phenotyping method of CYP2D6 for EMs, IMs, and PMs.

Key words: CYP2D6, phenotyping method, physiologically based pharmacokinetic model, virtual population.

Introduction

Cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) is one of the most widely investigated polymorphic enzymes owing to its wide inter-individual and inter-ethnic variability. So far, more than 100 allelic variants have been identified (http://www.cypalleles.ki.se/). Phenotypes are stratified and include poor (PM), intermediate (IM), extensive (EM), and ultra-rapid (UM) metabolizer phenotypes. $^{[1]}$ Differing enzyme activities can result in dose-dependent adverse events or therapeutic failures after administration of CYP2D6 substrates. $^{[2]}$ For instance, CYP2D6 polymorphism affects the clinical outcome of psychoactive drugs. The meta-analysis by Kirchheiner et al $^{[19]}$ reveals that the dosage of about 50% of the commonly used antipsychotics is dependent on the CYP2D6 phenotype.
It is convenient to test genotypes clinically. But given the large number of allelic variants and the presence of structural and copy number variability, it is a challenge to determine the genotype of CYP2D6. Besides, there is big uncertainty in the prediction of the large-scale enzyme activities from genotypes of CYP2D6, given the number of allelic variants and resulting complexity of allele combinations.\(^\text{[1, 2]}\)

Measured phenotypes should provide the most reliable data for enzyme activities. Determining the phenotype of CYP2D6 before administration of substrates with narrow therapeutic index will be beneficial for dose adjustment while cost, time and clinical convenience are considered. Debrisoquine and dextromethorphan (DM) were suggested as the best CYP2D6 phenotyping drugs, with debrisoquine having the problem of very limited availability as a therapeutic drug.\(^\text{[22]}\) So dextromethorphan was currently the most preferred probe drug for CYP2D6 metabolic activity assessment in vivo.\(^\text{[2, 20, 21, 22]}\)

Eight-hour urinary metabolic ratio (MR) of dextromethorphan to dextrorphan (DX) is widely used to differentiate between EM and PM. However urine collection for 8 hours could be demanding and especially inconvenient for outpatients. Therefore alternative procedures have been developed for easier and quicker phenotyping. Phenotyping method based on single-point plasma sample has been developed.\(^\text{[3, 4]}\) Metabolic ratio of DM to DX (MR\(_{\text{DM/DX}}\)) measured in one plasma sample (3 h post-dose) indicated tight correlation with MR\(_{\text{DM/DX}}\) measured in urine (0-4 h post-dose) in 95 healthy Caucasian subjects including EMs and PMs.\(^\text{[4]}\) Hu et al.\(^\text{[3]}\) suggested MR\(_{\text{DM/DX}}\) measured in single-point plasma (at any point between 1 to 5 h or at 8 h) was feasible for determining the phenotype of CYP2D6 in EMs and IMs base on data from 12 healthy Chinese subjects.\(^\text{[3]}\)

Determining MR\(_{\text{DM/DX}}\) from single-point plasma is potentially a good way to reduce the required time interval and increase the reliability of data. Clinical studies are typically designed to evaluate the plasma phenotyping methods, such as Hu’s study.\(^\text{[3]}\) But it is difficult to conduct large sample size clinical trials to assess the phenotyping method for multiple plasma points, given that many blood samples are
taken from each subject. Therefore the sample size is quite small when multiple plasma points are targeted. It is well known that data from small trials can’t describe the inter-individual variability or the polymorphism-associated effects on pharmacokinetics well, given the wide range of CYP2D6 activities resulting from the allelic diversity. Moreover, it is hard to include subjects with all phenotypes in a small trial, in light of the low frequency of IMs in Caucasian and low frequency of PMs in Asian. The results obtained in EMs and IMs \cite{3} couldn’t be extrapolated to PMs. These shortcomings result in the limited value of evaluating plasma phenotyping methods in small clinical trials. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model can be developed to do simulations based on the large virtual Chinese population and include subjects with more phenotypes.

In the present study, a dynamic PBPK model was developed for DM and its active metabolite DX in three CYP2D6 phenotype groups (EM, IM, and PM). A virtual Chinese population consisting of EM, IM, and PM subjects with the reported frequencies was developed to simulate the pharmacokinetic profiles of DM and DX in a large Chinese population. The simulated data could then be used to evaluate the alternative phenotyping methods of CYP2D6 in Chinese population.

**Methods**

**General**

SimCYP Population-based simulator\textsuperscript{\textregistered}, version 13.0 (SimCYP Ltd, Sheffield, UK) was used to simulate the time courses of DM and DX concentrations in plasma. The DM model file and virtual healthy Chinese population as provided in the software were used. Dextrorphan model file was defined based on literature data.

**Model development**

The input parameters for the DM model are summarized in Table 1. The DM model
used the advanced dissolution, absorption and metabolism (ADAM) model for absorption. A default minimal PBPK model was applied for distribution. The minimal PBPK model could be described as a “lumped” PBPK model which, in its simplest form, has only four compartments, predicting only the systemic, portal vein and liver concentrations. The default value of volume of distribution at steady state ($V_{ss}$) for DM model in SimCYP was 14.3L/kg and was reset to 16.0 L/kg. CYP3A4-, 2B6-, 2C9-, 2C18-, and 2C19-mediated intrinsic clearance values ($CL_{int, 3A4}$, $CL_{int, 2B6}$, $CL_{int, 2C9}$, $CL_{int, 2C18}$, and $CL_{int, 2C19}$) were the default values in DM file in SimCYP library. CYP2D6-mediated intrinsic clearance ($CL_{int, 2D6}$) was estimated in SimCYP by simultaneously fitting the observed mean plasma concentration-time profiles extracted from previous publications for PM subjects and from unpublished data for EM and IM subjects, respectively. The objective function was Weighted Least Square and it was weighted by reciprocal of predicted squared. The pharmacokinetic data of DM in Chinese EM and IM subjects were obtained after administration of controlled-release (CR) DM tablet, which contained controlled-release pellets. However, the release profile of the tablet hasn’t been reported. The release profile of DM was estimated by fitting the observed plasma concentration-time profiles in SimCYP in EM and IM subjects. All parameters except $CL_{int, 2D6}$ were identical across the three phenotype subjects.

The DM model was evaluated in virtual Chinese EM and IM subjects and was compared to unpublished clinical data. No pharmacokinetic profiles of DM in Chinese PMs have been reported. The reported pharmacokinetic profiles of DM in Caucasian PMs were used instead. Some ethnicity dependent factors, e.g. diet, can affect the exposures of drugs. Therefore, AUC values of DM between Caucasian EMs and Chinese EMs were compared to assess the ethnicity-dependent difference. A predefined twofold deviation boundary – a measure commonly used by others was used to evaluate the difference, which was to say a twofold deviation was allowed. PK profiles of DM in Caucasian PMs would be corrected by a correction coefficient only if the deviation between Caucasian EMs and Chinese EMs was larger.
than twofold. The correction coefficient was calculated by comparison of AUC values of DM between Caucasians and Chinese. If the deviation was within twofold, no data correction would be performed. The deviation between Chinese EMs and Caucasian EMs was 1.25-fold and thus data correction was deemed unnecessary. The observed concentration-time profile of DM in Caucasian PMs was used directly to substitute for that in Chinese PMs.

**Table 1** Parameter values used for the dextromethorphan simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>(271.4)</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log P&lt;sub&gt;ow&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/P Ratio</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f&lt;sub&gt;u,p&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;eff, man&lt;/sub&gt; (10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt; cm/s)</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>Predicted by Physicochemical property-based model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; (L/kg)</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL&lt;sub&gt;int,2D6&lt;/sub&gt; (μL/min/mg)</td>
<td>EM 750</td>
<td>Parameter estimation&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM 98.0</td>
<td>Parameter estimation&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM 1.2</td>
<td>Parameter estimation&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL&lt;sub&gt;int,3A4&lt;/sub&gt; (μL/min/mg)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL&lt;sub&gt;int,2B6&lt;/sub&gt; (μL/min/mg)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL&lt;sub&gt;int,2C9&lt;/sub&gt; (μL/min/mg)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL&lt;sub&gt;int,2C18&lt;/sub&gt; (μL/min/mg)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL&lt;sub&gt;int,2C19&lt;/sub&gt; (μL/min/mg)</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fu&lt;sub&gt;mic&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>Predicted&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt; (L/h)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. [http://www.drugbank.ca/](http://www.drugbank.ca/)
b. Reported (Dollery, 1992).<sup>[23]</sup>
c. Pfizer in-house data.
d. Reported (Capon et al., 1996).<sup>[7]</sup>
e. Reported (Moghadamnia et al., 2003).<sup>[6]</sup>
f. CL<sub>int,2D6</sub> was estimated in SimCYP by simultaneously fitting observed concentration-time profiles of dextromethorphan extracted from unpublished data of EM and IM subjects, and from previously reported data of PM subjects (Capon et al., 1996).<sup>[7]</sup>
g. Scaled up from reported V<sub>max</sub> and K<sub>m</sub> (Yu and Haining, 2001)<sup>[24]</sup> using the abundance and inter system extrapolation factor (ISEF) values in SimCYP version 13.0.
h. Predicted by the prediction toolbox in SimCYP.
i. Reported median CL of dextromethorphan: 9.0 L/h (Capon et al., 1996). [7]

Input parameters for DX were obtained from the literature (Table 2). For distribution, a minimal PBPK model with one compartment was applied. The $V_{ss}$ was the calculated mean value of reported distribution volumes of DM in literature. [12, 13] The $\text{CL}_{\text{int,3A4}}$ was estimated in SimCYP by fitting observed plasma concentration-time profiles from unpublished data. All parameters of DX were identical across the three phenotype populations.

**Table 2** Parameter values used for the dextrophan simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>257.4</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\log P_{C_{w}}$</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/P Ratio</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{u,p}$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{ss}$ (L/kg)</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CL}_{\text{int,3A4}}$ (μL/min/mg)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Parameter estimation e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{u,mic}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Predicted f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CL}_{R}$ (L/h)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Assumed (Ke et al., 2013). [13]
d. Calculated mean value of reported $V_d$ in literature (Albers et al., 1995; Ke et al., 2013). [12, 13]
e. $\text{CL}_{\text{int,3A4}}$ was estimated in SimCYP by simultaneously fitting observed concentration-time profiles of dextrorphan extracted from unpublished data of EM and IM subjects.
f. Predicted by the prediction toolbox in SimCYP.
g. Optimized (Ke et al., 2013). [13]

**Simulation**

Simulations were based on virtual Chinese healthy populations by matching distributions of age and sex to the actual study data (age from 27 to 37 y, all male). Input parameters from Tables 1 and 2 were used to simulate the plasma
concentration-time profiles of DM and DX. The enzyme abundance of CYP2D6 was set to be 5.5 pmol/mg-protein, which was the default value of EM in SimCYP library for all phenotype groups. In another word, the enzyme abundance of CYP2D6 was assumed to be the same for all phenotypes, and the enzyme activity of CYP2D6 was different for different phenotypes, to simplify the model. The plasma concentration-time profiles of DM and DX were simulated in EM, IM, and PM populations, respectively, based on the different CL\textsubscript{int} values estimated previously.

The comparisons of observed and predicted plasma concentration profiles of DM and DX following a single oral dose of 30 mg CR DM to eleven EM subjects of CYP2D6 and ten IM subjects of CYP2D6 aged 27 to 37 years (unpublished data) were performed. The same comparison following a single oral dose of 30 mg DM to ten PM subjects of CYP2D6 aged 20 to 40 years was performed. Ten trial simulations were performed for each phenotype group.

The metric for assessing predictive performance of the PBPK model of DM and DX was the ratio of the predicted to the observed mean exposure, with the exposure defined as C\textsubscript{max} or AUC. Two thresholds of 0.8-1.25 and 0.5-2 were predefined for both C\textsubscript{max} and AUC.\textsuperscript{[15]}

Model application

The calculated frequencies of UM, EM, IM, and PM of CYP2D6 in Chinese population were 1.84%, 47.9%, 49.3%, and 0.96%, respectively.\textsuperscript{[16]} No pharmacokinetic profiles of DM in UMs have been reported. Therefore the frequency of UMs was assigned to EM groups, given the enzymatic activity of CYP2D6 in UM group is the most close to EM group. The corrected frequencies of EM, IM, and PM of CYP2D6 in Chinese population were 49.7%, 49.3%, and 0.96%, respectively. A virtual Chinese population of 1000 subjects with the reported frequencies of the three phenotypes was generated. The virtual Chinese population consisted of 500 male and 500 female subjects, with all subjects aged 20 to 50 years. The simulation followed the dosing regimen of the present clinical trial with 30 mg DM CR tablet. The plasma
concentration-time profiles of DM and DX were simulated, respectively.

MR_{DM/DX} from \text{AUC}_{0-\text{inf}} and from serial plasma points were calculated based on the simulated data. MR_{DM/DX} from \text{AUC}_{0-\text{inf}} was taken as gold standard. Spearman’s correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the relationship between AUC MR_{DM/DX} and plasma MR_{DM/DX}, with p-value less than 0.05 regarded as significant.

Results

Model development

*Simulation of plasma drug concentration-time profiles*

Predicted mean pharmacokinetic parameter values of DM for the ten virtual trials were compared with the corresponding observed mean values in EM, IM, and PM subjects, respectively, as shown in Table 3. Predicted mean pharmacokinetic parameter values of DX for the ten virtual trials were compared with the corresponding observed mean values in EM and IM subjects, respectively, as shown in Table 4. Simulated plasma concentration-time profiles of DM and DX following the single dosing of 30 mg DM were able to recover the observed data in EM, IM, and PM subjects, respectively, as shown in Figure 1.

In EMs, the ratios of the simulated to the observed mean AUC and C_{max} of DM in the entire virtual population were 1.01 and 0.81, within the predefined criterion of 0.8-1.25. The ratios of the simulated to the observed mean AUC and C_{max} of DX in the entire virtual population were 1.12 and 0.89, within the predefined criterion of 0.8-1.25. In IMs, the ratios of the simulated to the observed mean AUC and C_{max} of DM in the entire virtual population were 0.90 and 0.81, within the predefined criterion of 0.8-1.25. The ratios of the simulated to the observed mean AUC and C_{max} of DX in the entire virtual population were 0.66 and 0.62. In PMs, the ratios of the simulated to the observed mean AUC and C_{max} of DM in the entire virtual population were 1.12 and 0.84, within the predefined criterion of 0.8-1.25. All ratios of the
simulated to the observed mean AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of DM in the virtual populations were within the predefined criterion of 0.5-2.

**Table 4**: Observed $^a$ and predicted pharmacokinetic parameter values of dextromethorphan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Predicted $^b$</th>
<th>Observed $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>5.34 (0.38)</td>
<td>4.95 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.55)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$AUC_{0-\text{inf}}$ (ng/mL/h)</td>
<td>13.8 (7.93)</td>
<td>13.7 (16.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL (L/h)</td>
<td>3379 (2592)</td>
<td>4487 (3337)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>5.44 (0.40)</td>
<td>5.60 (1.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>6.18 (2.54)</td>
<td>7.62 (3.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$AUC_{0-\text{inf}}$ (ng/mL/h)</td>
<td>106 (53.1)</td>
<td>117 (64.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL (L/h)</td>
<td>372 (241)</td>
<td>523 (791)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>3.63 (0.54)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>19.6 (5.16)</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$AUC_{0-\text{inf}}$ (ng/mL/h)</td>
<td>579 (198)</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL (L/h)</td>
<td>56.7 (44.7)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$. Observed pharmacokinetic parameter values of dextromethorphan of EM and IM subjects were from unpublished data. Observed pharmacokinetic parameter values of dextromethorphan of PM subjects were from the reported study (Capon et al., 1996). [$^7$]

$^b$. Mean(SD)

**Table 5**: Observed $^a$ and predicted pharmacokinetic parameter values of dextrorphan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Predicted $^b$</th>
<th>Observed $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>4.79 (0.45)</td>
<td>3.95 (1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.89)</td>
<td>1.87 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$AUC_{0-\text{inf}}$ (ng/mL/h)</td>
<td>15.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>13.6 (5.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>4.91 (0.46)</td>
<td>4.40 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.67)</td>
<td>2.03 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$AUC_{0-\text{inf}}$ (ng/mL/h)</td>
<td>14.5 (7.71)</td>
<td>22.0 (5.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>3.21 (0.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\begin{equation*}
C_{\text{max}} \text{ (ng/mL)} \quad 0.06 \text{ (0.05)} \\
AUC_{0-\text{inf}} \text{ (ng/mL/h)} \quad 1.19 \text{ (0.84)}
\end{equation*}

a. Observed pharmacokinetic parameter values of dextrorphan of EM and IM subjects were from unpublished data. No pharmacokinetic parameter values of dextrorphan of PM subjects have been reported.

b. Mean (SD)

\textbf{Figure 1} Simulated (lines) and observed (circles) mean plasma concentration-time curves of dextromethorphan and dextrorphan in EM, IM, and PM subjects. The gray lines represent the mean of simulations of individual trials (ten trials). The black line represents the mean...
for the total virtual population. The dashes lines represent the 5\textsuperscript{th} and 95\textsuperscript{th} percentiles for the total virtual population.

Model application

*Evaluation of the phenotyping methods of CYP2D6 from single-point plasma*

The corrected frequencies of EM, IM, and PM of CYP2D6 in Chinese population were 49.7\%, 49.3\%, and 0.96\%, respectively. A virtual Chinese population of 1000 subjects consisting of 497 EMs, 493 IMs, and 10 PMs were generated. The virtual population consists of 500 male and 500 female subjects, with all subjects aged 20 to 50 years.

Statistically significant correlations were found between MR\textsubscript{DM/DX} from AUC\textsubscript{0-inf} and from single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h (average Spearman’s correlation coefficient = 0.969, ranging from 0.948 to 0.986, all p-values < 0.001) based on unpublished clinical data. Likewise, statistically significant correlations were also found between MR\textsubscript{DM/DX} from AUC\textsubscript{0-inf} and from single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h (average Spearman’s correlation coefficient = 0.949, ranging from 0.861 to 0.997, all p-values < 0.001) based on simulated data. Figure 2 showed the Spearman’s correlation coefficients from observed data and the tendency of Spearman’s correlation coefficients from simulated data. For the results from the simulated data, the Spearman’s correlation coefficient increased from 1 h, reached the maximum value (0.997) around 8 to 10 h, and decreased thereafter to 0.861 at around 30 h. As compared with the results from observed data, the trend from the simulated data decreased faster after reaching the maximum value. Even though the minimal value of Spearman’s correlation coefficients from simulated data was smaller than that from clinical data, statistically significant correlations were still found between MR\textsubscript{DM/DX} from AUC\textsubscript{0-inf} and from all single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h based on simulated data (Figure 2). Fourteen plasma points from 1 to 30 h after drug administration based on simulated data were taken as examples to show the
correlations between AUC MR_{DM/DX} and single-point plasma MR_{DM/DX} in the double logarithmic coordinates (Figure 3). This result validated and extended the result from clinical data, indicating that single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h after a single oral dose of 30 mg CR DM could be used as phenotyping method for EM, IM, and PM subjects.

**Figure 2** Spearman’s correlation coefficients between AUC MR_{DM/DX} and single-point plasma MR_{DM/DX} vs. sampling time. The black dots represent the Spearman’s correlation coefficient based on observed data. The black line represents the Spearman’s correlation coefficients based on simulated data.
Figure 3  Correlations between AUC $\text{MR}_{\text{DM/DX}}$ and single-point plasma $\text{MR}_{\text{DM/DX}}$. Fourteen plasma points (y-axis) from 1 to 30 h after drug administration were taken as examples to show the correlations. The dark dots represented the simulated $\text{MR}_{\text{DM/DX}}$ values in 1000 subjects.

Discussion

Determining $\text{MR}_{\text{DM/DX}}$ from single point plasma is potentially a good phenotyping method of CYP2D6 to reduce the required time interval and increase the reliability of data, as compared with the traditional urinary method. Clinical trials are typical and the most reliable way to evaluate the alternative phenotyping methods of CYP2D6. The limitations, however, are obvious. It is hard to do large sample size studies when multiple plasma points are targeted. Data from small sample size trials can’t describe the inter-individual variability well. Given the frequencies of UM, EM, IM and PM in
Chinese were 1.84, 47.9, 49.3 and 0.96%, respectively,\textsuperscript{[16]} it was unlikely to include subjects with UM or PM phenotype in a small trial in Chinese. Therefore the results from small trials could not be extrapolated to PM or UM groups.

In the present study, the PBPK models of DM and its metabolite DX in EM, IM, and PM groups were developed based on the observed data from several clinical studies in the SimCYP simulator software. No observed pharmacokinetic profiles of DM have been reported in UMs. A virtual Chinese population consisting of EM, IM, and PM subjects with the reported frequencies was developed to simulate the pharmacokinetic data in a large Chinese population. This large virtual population overcame the limitations of small clinical trials in many aspects. First, the large sample size could describe the inter-individual variability better. Large population could cover the wide range of CYP2D6 activities resulting from the allelic diversity, with lower sampling error. Second, it included EM, IM, and PM subjects in one simulated trial. It was very difficult to include the three phenotypes in one clinical study, given the small sample size and low frequencies of certain phenotypes. Actually pharmacokinetic studies in Caucasians included very few IMs and studies in Asians included no PMs so far. The large virtual population included all three phenotypes in one trial and evaluated the alternative phenotyping methods of CYP2D6 in the three phenotypes simultaneously. Therefore the analysis results from the virtual population could be applied in EM, IM, and PM subjects, as compared to that the results from the small clinical trial in Chinese could not be extrapolated to PM group. Third, large sample size could provide more reliable analysis results theoretically with lower sampling error.

The model application was evaluation of the phenotyping method of CYP2D6 from single-point plasma. The result from unpublished clinical data indicated that single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h after a single oral dose of 30 mg CR DM could be used for phenotyping of CYP2D6. This result, however, couldn’t be extrapolated to PM subjects. The evaluation result from simulated data demonstrated that
single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h after a single oral dose of 30 mg CR DM could be used for phenotyping of CYP2D6 in EM, IM, and PM subjects. The simulated pharmacokinetic profiles of DX in PMs showed a narrow sampling window of 3 to 16 h in light of the lower limit of quantification of DX being 0.025 ng/mL. But another point of thought, if the sampling time is not within the narrow time window and the concentration of DX is undetectable and meanwhile the concentration of DM is quite high, then the subject is likely to be PM. Therefore the sampling window may not have to be narrowed for potential PM subjects, which is seldom seen in Chinese.

The observed concentration-time profiles of DM and DX in PM subjects were reported in very few studies and the PBPK model in PM subjects was developed only based on Capon’s data. The measured concentration of DX in EMs and IMs in the unpublished study was unconjugated DX. The concentrations of DX in Capon’s study were based on total DX (conjugated + unconjugated), and therefore the concentrations of unconjugated DX in PMs haven’t been reported yet. The limited data in PMs may result in deviation of the simulated data. The clinical data of EMs and IMs were from 11 and 10 subjects, respectively. The mean concentration-time profiles were assumed to be the mean profiles of the Chinese population, but the mean data from a small sample might have deviations from the mean of the population. The pre-defined inter-individual variability of the virtual population was based on the coefficient of variances of all physiological parameters. The values of the coefficient of variances were based on in vitro studies or assumed, and they could be different in vivo. The cut-off values between different CYP2D6 phenotypes based on single-point plasma phenotyping method were not calculated in the present study due to the small sample size. More data with regard to different phenotyping methods of CYP2D6 as well as genotypes of CYP2D6 should be collected at the same time in large populations in the future. The rate of misclassification when using the single-point plasma phenotyping method as compared with traditional phenotyping methods of CYP2D6 (e.g., urinary phenotyping method) as well as genotypes of CYP2D6 could thus be calculated to validate this single-point
Conclusion

A PBPK model of DM and its metabolite DX was developed in EM, IM, and PM subjects of CYP2D6 in Chinese population. A large virtual Chinese population consisting of EM, IM, and PM subjects with reported frequencies in Chinese was developed. MR_{DM/DX} from single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h after administration of 30 mg CR DM could predict the MR_{DM/DX} from AUC well and could be used as the phenotyping method of CYP2D6 for EM, IM, and PM subjects based on the simulation data.
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Graphical abstract
In the present study, a dynamic PBPK model was developed for DM and its active metabolite DX in three CYP2D6 phenotype groups (EM, IM, and PM). A virtual Chinese population consisting of EM, IM, and PM subjects with the reported frequencies was developed to simulate the pharmacokinetic profiles of DM and DX in a large Chinese population. Statistically significant correlations were found between MR_{DM/DX} from AUC_{0-inf} and MR_{DM/DX} from single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h (all p-values < 0.001). The simulation overcame some limitations of small clinical trials and indicated that MR from single-point plasma from 1 to 30 h after the administration of 30 mg CR DM could predict the MR from AUC well and could be used as the phenotyping method of CYP2D6 for EM, IM, and PM subjects.