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Abstract—Research work on audible noise emitted from overhead line conductors is reviewed, and a unique experimental set up employing a semi-anechoic chamber and corona cage is described. Acoustically, this facility isolates undesirable background noise and provides a free-field test space inside the anechoic chamber. Electrically, the corona cage simulates a 3 m section of 400 kV overhead line conductors by achieving the equivalent surface gradient. UV imaging, acoustic measurements and a partial discharge detection system are employed as instrumentation. The acoustic and electrical performance is demonstrated through a series of experiments. One conductor sample is used as an example to illustrate results under various spray conditions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Audible noise is critical design consideration for overhead lines. Power utilities have carried out plenty of experimental measurements to evaluate the noise level emitted from high voltage conductors. Not only outdoor measurements, which are carried out close to whole spans of overhead line, but also indoor measurements which employ cage configurations to simulate sections of overhead line have been reviewed. Processes for measuring audible noise, particularly in lower frequency ranges, will be summarized in the following.

The term ‘hum noise’ refers to tonal emission which has a key component of twice the power frequency. Since ‘hum noise’ produced from overhead lines was noticed by Taylor, Chartier and Rice [1] in 1969, its physical mechanism remains a mystery. Although a number of scientific hypotheses [2-11] were introduced to explain the nature of these phenomena, relevant experimental work is still insufficient to identify the primary cause. The difficulty for experimental verification is due to the fact that the 100Hz or 120Hz (depending on the supply frequency) content cannot be readily measured in the laboratory environment. This is because first of all, the frequency spectrum of the background noise in laboratory environment contains peaks at 100 Hz (or 120 Hz) and its harmonics; and secondly, due to the ‘magnetostriction effect’ [12] on transformer windings, the level of ‘hum noise’ produced by transformer increases significantly with the level of the leakage current. In order to mitigate the influence of these, an anechoic chamber was designed and built inside the high voltage laboratory in the University of Manchester. The transformer is outside the chamber eliminating this as a source of noise.

This paper introduces various aspects of the design issues including: the electrical design for the cage, the acoustic design for the anechoic chamber and the design of the spray system. The instrumentation of the testing facility includes sound detection, partial discharge measurement, visual corona observation and high speed imaging. Sound Pressure Level is measured through a commercial integrated acoustic detecting platform. This enables accurate detection of time signals and FFT analysis of the frequency spectrum. Partial discharge measurement is carried out through a separate commercial platform which enables the detection of apparent charge and the plot of phase resolved diagrams. High sensitivity ultra violet cameras are employed for visual observation of corona discharge. A high speed camera is used to record the dynamic motion of water droplets on the conductor under the AC electric field.

The sound measurements reported are considered to be the first trials to accurately measure the acoustic emission from conductors within a well controlled laboratory environment. These data will be utilized to help power utilities evaluate various types of conductors and predict the noise level of overhead line structures. The associated electrical measurements, visual and high speed imaging also contribute to the mechanism study of the 'hum noise'.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The first systematic paper on ‘audible noise from transmission lines’ was published in 1969 by Taylor, Chartier and Rice [1]. During the following 40 years, sequential works have been carried on by various utilities and universities. An intensive period of publications can be found around the 1980s when EHV transmission lines were in the testing stage. Testing lines for audible noise after 1990 tended to evaluate the noise emission level for novel configurations such as
asymmetrical bundles, non-parallel bundles etc. Most recent work can be found from China’s HVDC testing lines.

Taylor, Chartier and Rice were the first to measure noise level from transmission lines. Their results were obtained from both outdoor and indoor tests under or after rainy conditions. Outdoor tests were carried out at the Apple Grove 750 kV test site [13], while indoor tests were made on “very small dry wires and tubes in the shielded room of the Westinghouse RI Lab”. The voltage level for their indoor experiment was 80 kV. The authors concluded that “the major effect of voltage gradient on the sound level occurs in the high range of the frequency spectrum”. However, because no effort had been made to mitigate the background noise (especially the 80 kV transformer ‘hum’), Teich and Weber queried this conclusion in 2002 [4]. Within Taylor’s publication, authors were trying to relate the audible noise level with surface field gradient. However, the method employed for calculating surface gradient is not an accurate method which affects the results and conclusions. There is also no field control method within the indoor test set-up within this early work such as an earthed cage.

Cage experiments have been well proven to be an effective way to study the environmental impact of transmission lines. With the help of an ‘Experts’ Noise Seminar’ in the University of Manchester in June 2011, the design criterions for cage experiments have been reviewed in detail. Table I summarizes the design parameters provided by attendees from four leading research institutes.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

#### A. Design Criterion for the Anechoic Chamber

There are two factors creating background low frequency noise inside a high voltage laboratory:
- Electrical switching, such as contactors, produces 100 Hz noise and its harmonics.
- High voltage transformers produce ‘hum’ (mainly 100 Hz and its harmonics) due to the ‘magnetostriiction effect’.

In order to insulate the system from the background noise, the first challenge for an anechoic chamber is to effectively reduce low frequencies. This is achieved by constructing an enclosure using acoustic insulation material.

The second objective is to prevent sound reflections inside the chamber, thereby create a ‘free field’ for sound measurements. Wedges with sound absorbing material are employed to address this. The overview of the anechoic chamber construction is shown in Figure 2.

#### B. Design Criterion for the HV Supply

The design criterion for the HV feed into the chamber is to reduce unwanted corona discharges while maintaining the adequate voltage level for experimental needs. Any protrusions on the high voltage body would initiate corona discharges, especially for joints and terminations, and so must be avoided.

As shown in Fig 3, two corona rings and spheres are introduced to mitigate corona discharge from joints and terminations in the chamber. These stress release devices are tested to ensure they are corona free under high potential. Corona activities are visually detected by UV camera.

The surface gradient distribution for overhead line conductor is reviewed in a recent paper [14]. The voltage level within the experiment is designed to reproduce typical surface gradient values under service.

---

**Table I. A COMPARISON OF TEST FACILITIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tsinghua (China)</th>
<th>ETH (Swiss)</th>
<th>JPS (Japan)</th>
<th>Manchester (UK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of Conductor</strong></td>
<td>6m (overall)</td>
<td>6m (overall)</td>
<td>7.5m (overall)</td>
<td>4m (overall)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cage Size</strong></td>
<td>Square 1.7m*1.7m</td>
<td>12 edge shape ~1.5m radius</td>
<td>Cylinder 1m radius</td>
<td>Cylinder 0.75m radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voltage Level</strong></td>
<td>90~130kV</td>
<td>166.6kV</td>
<td>Max 150kV</td>
<td>90~150kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surface Stress</strong></td>
<td>23~32kV/cm</td>
<td>17.6kV/cm</td>
<td>10~17kV/cm</td>
<td>16~24kV/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acoustic Noise Control</strong></td>
<td>Indoor with no specific noise control</td>
<td>Indoor with correction (background)</td>
<td>Outdoor with no specific noise control</td>
<td>Anechoic chamber with 22.5dBA(100Hz) reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tensioning Design</strong></td>
<td>Maximum 2 tons</td>
<td>No tension force for straighten</td>
<td>Load cell unit for tension</td>
<td>Maximum 1 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrical Measurements</strong></td>
<td>PD, RIV, Leakage current</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PD, RIV, Leakage current</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acoustic Measurements</strong></td>
<td>Sound level meter with FFT</td>
<td>Microphone with FFT</td>
<td>Bruel &amp; Kjær PULSE platform for analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 1. Various corona cage experiments: a) Tsinghua University; b) JPS; c) University of Manchester; d) ETH.**

**Fig. 2. Sound proofing and anechoic wedges.**
The diameter of the corona cage is 1.5 meters. Given a 32 mm diameter conductor sample, the voltage required for an 18 kV/cm surface gradient is around 110 kV.

C. Bushing

As introduced previously, in order to achieve the sound insulation, a chamber enclosed with sound proof panels is essential. This enclosed chamber is at ground potential and requires a bushing to lead the high voltage through the sound proof panel without violating the acoustic insulation of the anechoic chamber (Fig 4).

D. Spray Conditions

There are three wetting conditions used within the experimental facility routinely:

1) Manual spray
   Uses a bottle sprayer to manually wet the conductor sample on a one-off basis, to apply excessive water droplets on the whole surface of conductor sample (both sessile and pendent drops are formed).

2) Continuous light spray
   Containers are filled with water and pre-pressurized before experiment. Four nozzles are arranged to provide spray to cover the whole length of conductor sample. The spray can last consistently for ten minutes. The precipitation rate is 21 mm per hour.

3) Continuous heavy spray
   Pressurized water feeds four nozzles, covering the whole length of conductor sample. The precipitation rate is 50-60 mm per hour.

E. Instrumentation

As highlighted in Fig 5, measurement devices employed in cage experiment include:

- Two ultra violet cameras
- Two free field microphones
- A high speed camera
- A partial discharge detecting system

The UV cameras are employed to visually detect the corona discharges. They are also important tools when mitigating unwanted corona from joints and high voltage terminations.

The two microphones are introduced for acoustic measurements. This set-up allows not only sound pressure level measurements but also sound intensity measurements. Signals are integrated by a data processing front-end which enables FFT analysis and sound intensity computation.

The high speed camera (up to 2000 frames per second for a resolution of 1024x768) produces slow motion video of water droplets behavior within the AC electric field.

The partial discharge detection system is introduced for two functions:

1. To detect the discharge level of the bushing and supply circuit (an undesired noise source).
2. To quantify the apparent charge $Q_{\text{app}}$ of corona discharges.
IV. PERFORMANCE OF THE TEST FACILITY

A. Acoustic Performance of the Anechoic Chamber

A series of acoustic measurements are carried out to evaluate the performance of the anechoic chamber. There is no existing standard to regulate the measurement for an anechoic chamber, so most of the measurements carried out here refer to the standard for building acoustics (ISO 140-4). The most representative measurements are:

1) sound insulation measurements for background noise

Measurements are taken in ten selected positions, and average result is obtained (shown in Fig 6). There is approximately 22 dB reduction for the 100 Hz noise and the A-weighted overall sound pressure level is reduced by 35 dBA.

2) reverberation time measurement

The reverberation time is the decay time for sound within the chamber after an impulsive excitation. It is a significant index for the performance of the sound absorbing wedges inside the anechoic chamber. An impulsive sound signal is first applied on a standardized sound source for a short period of time, then is stopped instantaneously. The microphone is utilized to record the sound pressure level for the whole period time to capture the decay curve of sound.

As shown in Fig 7 the impulsive sound signal stops at 1 s, and experienced decay after that. The decay curve for high frequency component (6 kHz) is more rapid than for the low frequency component (63 Hz) indicating that the low frequency acoustic wave decays more slowly than the high frequency acoustic wave.

B. Partial Discharge Measurement for the HV supply

The partial discharge (PD) measurement system is employed to detect the PD index from the whole HV supply. Apart from the corona discharge on the surface of conductor sample, there are potentially PD activities from undesired places such as the HV bushing. The PD signal from the HV bushing can be treated as background noise when evaluating the corona discharge level from the conductor sample. Measurements are carried out to evaluate this background PD level when the high voltage supply is solely applied on the HV bushing, and no conductor is under test.

From the phase resolved diagram (Fig 8), the maximum single discharge has an equivalent charge of approximately 1 nC while other discharge activities are below 1 nC. If $Q_{IEC}$ is plotted against the applied voltage (Fig 9), the apparent charge according to IEC standard is below 1nC below 140 kV. This indicates that PDs from HV bushing contribute apparent charge less than 1 nC.

Based on the PD bushing test, it is appropriate to choose 1 nC as the threshold value for conductor samples. The corona discharge inception voltage is defined as the voltage that leads to PD levels exceeding the threshold value.
V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To demonstrate the measurement capability, results are given for one conductor sample.

A. Acoustic Measurement Results

The A-weighted overall level of sound pressure level (SPL) is plotted against voltage gradient in Fig 10. Three types of spray conditions are compared, and conclusions are:

- below surface stresses of 10 kV/cm, the noise is generated mainly from the spray system: no noise from manual spray, 44 dBA from the light spray and 60 dBA from the heavy spray
- SPLs for manual spray and light spray increase rapidly after the voltage gradient exceeds 10 kV/cm (noise inception)
- when the voltage gradient is above 15 kV/cm, manual spray and light spray produce similar noise levels due to corona discharge and droplets vibration

![Fig. 10. Overall sound pressure levels plotted against voltage gradient](image)

B. Corona Inception and Extinction

As introduced previously, the corona threshold (1 nC) is selected above the bushing PD level (0.8 nC). PD detection is carried out through the following procedure:

1) Gradually increase the voltage level until the standardized apparent charge ($Q_{bas}$) exceeds the threshold value and stable corona discharge is established.
2) Keep the voltage level constant for approximately one minute.
3) Gradually reduce the voltage level until $Q_{bas}$ drops below the threshold value.

In order to maintain reproducibility, this set of tests is repeated ten times and the average value is obtained as shown in Table II.

**TABLE II. CORONA INCEPTION AND EXTINCTION RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conductor Sample</th>
<th>Dry Inception (kV/cm)</th>
<th>Dry Extinction (kV/cm)</th>
<th>Wet Inception (kV/cm)</th>
<th>Wet Extinction (kV/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorbus AAAC'2012</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSION

A test facility has been engineered which is capable of comparing conductor performance under wet and dry conditions. Results are extremely consistent. Uniquely both high frequency and low frequency acoustic emissions can be compared.
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