Simon and the Tradition of the Latin Alexander of Tralles

Certainly from the late eighth/early ninth century, the Greek *Therapeutica* of the sixth-century Byzantine physician Alexander of Tralles was transmitted in a Latin translation, but with significant additions to, and omissions from, the Greek text. This paper will present evidence from the *Clavis sanationis* that confirms Simon’s use of the Latin version and will examine the Latin manuscript tradition of specific entries. It will also briefly consider the glosses attributed to Simon in the 1504 (Lyons) printing, *Practica Alexandri yatros greci cum expositione glose interlinearis Iacobi de Partibus et Iauensis in margine posite*, edited by Fr. Fradin.

In the preface (Section 4) of the *Clavis sanationis*, Simon tells us that ‘after Dioscorides’ (*post Diascoridem*) he ‘carefully examined’ (*diligenter inspexi*) the work of one ‘Alexander’ (*Alexandri*).

Simon’s ‘Alexander’ is the sixth-century Byzantine physician Alexander of Tralles who, having settled at an unknown date in Rome and following a long career,\(^2\) wrote - in Greek - the *Therapeutica* and *On fevers* (*Περὶ πυρετῶν*).\(^3\) At some stage, both these works were translated into Latin - certainly by the late eighth/early ninth century, the date of the oldest extant manuscript. David Langslow has called the combination of these two translations the ‘Latin Alexander’.\(^4\)

---

1. The author is a (part-time) PhD student at the University of Manchester, funded by the Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC).
2. For Alexander’s dates and life, see David Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus: The text and transmission of a Late Latin medical book* (London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies, 2006), 1-4 and notes. I would like to thank David Langslow for allowing me to quote extensively from his book, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus* (2006), and for kindly giving me free access to his electronic transcript of Angers, *Bibl. mun. 457*, as well as for his helpful observations on reading the first draft of this paper. My thanks also to the anonymous reviewers for their invaluable comments. Last, but certainly not least, I thank Barbara Zipser for all her help and encouragement. All errors, oversights and misunderstandings are entirely mine.
3. Alexander also wrote a third work, in the form of a letter, *On intestinal worms* (*Περὶ ἔλμινθων*). For a discussion of Alexander’s writings, including details of two works ascribed to Alexander that are regarded as spurious (*On eyes* - *Περὶ ὀφθαλμῶν* - and *On the pulse and urine*), see Langslow *The Latin Alexander Trallianus*..., 4-6 and references therein.
4. *Ibidem*, 5 and n. 33.
Alexander’s *Therapeutica* therefore exists in two distinct traditions, one Greek and one Latin.

There are eighteen known manuscripts containing all or part of the Greek *Therapeutica*, ranging in date from the tenth to the seventeenth century, with the first edition printed in 1548. The most recent edition is that of Theodor Puschmann, a doctor himself, published in two volumes in 1878-1879.

There are twenty-one known complete manuscript copies of the Latin Alexander, nineteen of which are extant, ranging in date from the late eighth/early ninth to the sixteenth century. (See Appendix 1 for a full list of these manuscripts, including the *sigla* used by Langslow in his 2006 book *The Latin Alexander Trallianus*). The Latin Alexander was first printed in 1504 at Lyons as the *Practica Alexandri yatros greci*. It is divided into three books: Book 1 contains chapters dealing with hair-loss to pleuritis; Book 2, chapters dealing with coughing to gout; and Book 3, chapters dealing with fevers. (See Appendix 2 for Langslow’s stemma, showing the relationship between the manuscripts containing the Latin Alexander and the 1504 Lyons edition.)

Given that Alexander’s *Therapeutica* exists in both Greek and Latin, the question arises as to which version did Simon use – or, indeed, did he utilise both? Two statements made by Simon are certainly evidence that he has used the Latin Alexander. The first of these is found in Section 4 of Simon’s preface.

---

5 *Ibidem*, 13 and nn. 1-4. For details of these manuscripts and a stemma showing the relationship between them, see Barbara Zipser, “Die *Therapeutica* des Alexander Trallianus: Ein medizinisches Handbuch und seine Überlieferung,” in Rosa Maria Piccione and Matthias Perkams (Eds.), *Selecta Colligere, II. Beiträge zur Methodik des Sammeln von Texten in der Spätantike und in Byzanz (Collana Hellenika)*. (Alessandria: Edizioni dell’Orso, 2005), 211-234.

6 All references to the Greek *Therapeutica* in this paper are to Theodor Puschmann, *Alexander von Tralles. Original-Text und Übersetzung nebst einer einleitenden Abhandlung. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der Medicin*, 2 vols (repr. 1963, Amsterdam: A. M. Hakkert), (Vienna: W. Braumüller, 1878-1879), giving volume (I or II), page and (where appropriate) line number.

7 Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 37, notes that ‘... the manuscript tradition of the Latin Alexander... is one of the richest, if not the richest, known for such an early medieval Latin medical text as the Latin Alexander must be, in particular for such a long text’.

8 *Ibidem*, 37-8; for full details of the individual manuscripts, see also: 40-53.

9 *Practica Alexandri yatros greci cum expositione glose interlinearis Iacobi de partibus et lanuensis in marginem posite*, edited by Fr. Fradin.

10 Reproduced from Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, Plate XII, with the kind permission of David Langslow.

11 Generally, throughout this paper, where no apparatus existed (at the time of writing) on the *Simon Online* website, I present both the transcription of A (the 1510 printing) taken from the website, alongside my transcription of B (the 1473 printing). Here, I have underlined differences between the two versions.
Preface, § 4 (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 12.03.12)
Post Dyascoridem Alexandri librum de pratica [sic] diligenter inspexi qui qui quamvis tribus distinguishing libellis: tertius qui de febribus est: nec veritatem in nominibus neque tanti philosophi seriem videtur plenius continere.

Preface, § 4 (Print B, 1473)
post Diascoridem Alexandri librum de pratica [sic] diligenter inspexi qui quamvis tribus distinguishing libellis tertius tamen qui de febribus est nec ueritatem in omnibus nec tanti philosophi seriem uidetur plenarie continere.

After Dioscorides I carefully examined the book of Alexander on pratica which although it is divided up in three books, nevertheless the third [book] which is on fevers seems to fully contain neither accuracy in everything nor the context of such a great philosophus.

Simon’s description of the work being divided into three books, with the third book being on fevers, is a description of the Latin Alexander. As well as the Lyons 1504 edition, all the extant Latin manuscripts, except one, transmit the Latin Alexander in three books, the exception being P3: Paris, BNF lat. 6882. This is in stark contrast to the Greek tradition, where the division is either into eleven or twelve books. In the second, found in the latter part of his moderately lengthy entry for sauich, Simon explicitly refers to the use of an Alexander ‘translated from the Greek’:

Sauich (Print B, 1473)
... notandum tamen quod ubicumque habetur in libris [sic] de arabico translatis sauich apud Dia. et Alex. et Paul(...) et alios de greco translatos habetur polenta quare secundum [sic] idem uidetur apud grecos uero vocatur alfita ut patet per Gai(...).

---

13 See Zipser, “Die Therapeutica des Alexander Trallianus...”, especially p.222. It is worth noting that there is an early thirteenth-century, Spanish or Catalanian, manuscript that transmits the Latin On fevers (De febribus) independently, a transmission that has no parallel in the Greek tradition (Langslow, The Latin Alexander Trallianus..., 5 n. 33, referring to the manuscript Barcelona, Archivo de la Corona de Aragón, Ripoll 181; see ibid. 90 and 92 for further details and bibliography for this manuscript).
ca. de alfitis in libro de alimentis item Ste. in sinonimis dicit quod alfiton est sauich.
... Note, however, that everywhere it is written in books translated from Arabic [it is] sauich; in the writings of Dioscorides and Alexander and Paul and others translated from the Greek, it is written polenta, for which reason we may assume they are synonyms. Among the Greeks, indeed, it is called alphita, as is revealed through Galen in the chapter on alphita in his book On foods. Likewise Stephanus in his Synonyms says that alphita is sauich.

On Dioscorides, see Marie Cronier in this volume. With regard to Paul, Peter Pormann notes that Paul’s ‘Book III on diseases from tip to toe was translated into Latin in 11th c. south Italy.’

What further evidence is to be found that Simon used the Latin Alexander and is there any evidence at all to suggest that Simon may have used the Greek Therapeutica? To explore this question, it is first necessary to consider the differences between the Greek Therapeutica and the Latin Alexander. These differences range from what might be thought of as relatively minor discrepancies - from a single word to several sentences - to what can only be considered as major variations in content.

The Latin Alexander contains a considerable number of glosses, which are described by Langslow as:

...[the] more elaborate explication, of technical terms and concepts which are employed, usually without explanation, in the Greek original ... Usually, these involve either simply highlighting that the word is Greek ... or providing a Latin gloss or terminological equivalent ... [28] ... usually with an explicit reference to Greek terminology ...

Significant sections found in the Greek Therapeutica are missing from the Latin Alexander. In Book 1 of the Latin Alexander some remedies for epilepsy present in the Greek text are missing. In Book 2, a larger number of remedies

16 For a comparison of the Greek Therapeutica and the Latin version, see Langslow, The Latin Alexander Trallianus..., 17–35.
18 See ibidem 27-8 and notes.
19 ‘Note, however, e.g. 2.258: “ad eos (scil. neruos) quos Graeci ankilas uocant” (beside II, 539, 32: τὰς καλουμένας ἀγκυλώσεις); 2.266: “cerota de opio confecta quae et ciliogrisa Graeci uocant” (beside II, 561, 18: άς οἱ παλαιοὶ καὶ χιλιοχρύσους καλέειν ἀξιούσιν).’ Ibidem, 27 n. 29.
on coughing, as well as the chapters on hiccoughing, suppurations in the lung, dysentery and paralysis are missing. Also missing from Book 2 is a considerable amount of material from the end of the section on gout. Book 3 has nothing on tertian, quotidian or quartan fevers, stopping abruptly at the end of the chapters on hectic fevers.\textsuperscript{21}

The Latin Alexander: significant omissions from the content of the Greek Therapeutica\textsuperscript{22}

Book 1: I.567-73, ‘further remedies’ (for epilepsy)

Book 2: II.169-83, ‘further remedies for coughing’
II.313-19, Περὶ λυγμοῦ ('On hiccoughing')
II.211-27, Περὶ ἐμπυηματικῶν ('On suppurations')
II.415-39, Περὶ δυσεντερίας ('On dysentery')
I.575-91, Περὶ παρέσεως ('On paralysis')
II.577.1-85.24, ‘additional material on gout’

Book 3: I.371-85, Περὶ τριταίου ('On tertian fever')
I.385-407, Περὶ ἀμφημερινοῦ ('On quotidian fever')
I.407-39, Περὶ τεταρταίου ('On quartan fever')

The Latin Alexander contains significant sections of text that are not found in the Greek Therapeutica. In Book 1 of the Latin Alexander, five chapters on diseases of the nose, face, and teeth have been added. In Book 2, extensive extracts of two lost Greek works, translated into Latin, have been added. The first of these is from what was originally a work of Philumenus, a second-century physician and contemporary of Galen,\textsuperscript{23} on dysentery and diseases of the intestine. The second is from what was originally a work of the fourth-century Greek physician Philagrius,\textsuperscript{24} on diseases of the spleen.

\textsuperscript{21} See ibidem, 17.
\textsuperscript{22} Adapted from Langslow, The Latin Alexander Trallianus..., 15-16, Table 2.1 (ed., P1, A and M), where the references in the right-hand column are to volume (I or II) and page number in Puschmann, Alexander von Tralles...
The Latin Alexander: significant additions to the content of the Greek Therapeutica

Book 1: 1.131-5, 'Nose, face and teeth' (Lyons 1504, 26r-28r)

Book 2: 2.79-103, 'Philumenus, on the stomach and intestines'
  2.79: De reumate ventris Filominis\[sic\] (Lyons 1504, 47r)
  2.104-50, 'Philagrius, on the spleen'
  2.104: Ad splenem Philagrius (Lyons 1504, 53r)

One good indicator that Simon was utilising the Greek Therapeutica would be if there were any entries in the Clavis sanationis that could be identified as being taken only from those sections in the Greek text that are completely absent from the Latin Alexander. Evidence that Simon has used only the Latin Alexander would be if entries in the Clavis sanationis were to be found exclusively in those sections of the Latin Alexander that are not present in the Greek Therapeutica: for example in the Philumenus and Philagrius sections, and the glosses. A third possibility is that Simon has primarily used the Latin Alexander and then supplemented an entry with additional information acquired from the Greek Therapeutica.

I have identified many entries in the Clavis sanationis that are taken from the extensive extracts from Philumenus and Philagrius which have been incorporated into Book 2 of the Latin Alexander (please see Appendices 3 and 4 for details of these). One of these entries, acantis egyptia (where akanthos (ἄκανθος) is 'Bear’s-foot'),\[sic\] contains another explicit reference to the 'Practica of Alexander' (i.e., the Latin Alexander):

\[sic\] Acantis egyptia (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 12.03.12)
Acantis egyptia invenitur in practica Alexandri in confectione collirii ad tingenda leucemata puto quod sit idem quod achantis arabica.

Acantis egyptia (Print B, 1473)
ACHANTIS EGIPTICA [sic] inuenitur in pratica [sic] Ale. in confectione colirii [sic] ad tingenda leucemata puto quod sit idem quod achantis ara.

Egyptian acantis is found in the Practica of Alexander in a preparation of an eye salve for bathing white spots [white corneal opacities]. I think that it is the same as Arabic acantis.

---

25 In my transcriptions, where the witnesses print ‘u’ or ‘v’, I have printed ‘u’ or ‘v’ respectively throughout.
Furthermore, this particular entry represents an example of Simon’s use of only the Latin Alexander. I would like to detail the method used to verify this, partly in order to illustrate the complexity in locating the exact source of Simon’s information, but mainly to serve as an example of an investigation which did briefly raise the prospect that Simon had used the Greek *Therapeutica*.

To identify where in the Latin Alexander ‘Egyptian *acantis*’ is to be found, I used Opsomer’s invaluable *Index de la pharmacopée du Ier au Xe siècle*\(^\text{27}\), which lists three entries for ‘*Acanthus Aegyptia*’ in the Lyons 1504 edition - in Book 2, Chapters 79, 80 and 98 - all of which are in the Philumenus section of the Latin Alexander; and one entry for ‘*Acanthi Aegyptiae Semen*’, in Book 2, Chapter 123 - the Philagrius section:

Latin Alexander [Philumenus] 2.79: *De reumate ventris Filominis* [sic] (Lyons 1504, 47r-48v)
48r: \(\text{acantis}^{28} \text{e} \text{gi} \text{ti} \text{ae} \text{ [sic]}^{29}\)

Latin Alexander [Philumenus] 2.80: *De dissinteria reumatica* (Lyons 1504, 48v-49r)
48v: \(\text{achantem}^{30} \text{egy} \text{ti} \text{am}^{31}\)

Latin Alexander [Philumenus] 2.98: *Enema ad dissintericos et dolores nimios vel inflammationes* (Lyons 1504, 51v-52r)
52r: \(\text{achan} \text{thos}^{32} \text{egyi} \text{tie}^{33}\)

Latin Alexander [Philagrius] 2.123: *De fomentationibus* (Lyons 1504, 55r-55v)
55v: \(\text{egy} \text{ti} \text{e}^{34} \text{ac} \text{autis} \text{ [sic]}^{35} \text{se} \text{men}\)

---

28 + gloss ‘l: ‘*id est spine albe.*’ (48r).
30 + gloss ‘c: ‘*id est spinam al(_)s.*’ (48v).
32 + gloss ‘z: ‘*id est spine albe.*’ (52r).
34 + gloss ‘m: ‘*id est spinam albe.*’ (55v).
The entry in Simon for 'Egyptian acantis', however, refers to 'an eye salve for bathing white spots' – 'leucomata'. Therefore none of these four entries, found in the Philumenus and Philagrius sections of the Latin Alexander - on dysentery and diseases of the intestine, and diseases of the spleen respectively - are Simon's source. Perhaps this example could have been taken from the Greek Therapeutica. A TLG\textsuperscript{36} lemma search of the Greek Therapeutica for 'λευκώμα' yields three results,\textsuperscript{37} one of which is a recipe for 'white spots/leucomata' (Πρὸς τὸ βάψαι λευκώματα λίαν καλόν; 'For 'dyeing' white spots, a very good [treatment]', II.51.1), which does indeed contain ἀκάνθης Αἰγυπτίας (II.51.3). Furthermore, this particular recipe is not found in the Latin Alexander. Has Simon used the Greek Therapeutica for this entry? Further investigation indicates not. Closer examination of the section in the Lyons 1504 edition of the Latin Alexander that is equivalent to this section of the Greek Therapeutica – within the chapters dealing with eye salves ('colliria') - reveals an entry in the Latin Alexander that does correspond to Simon's entry: Book 1, Chapter 101 'Ad tingendas albugines et leucomata tingend' (Lyons 1504, 21r), and a recipe which contains 'anchotis\textsuperscript{38} egypti' [sic].\textsuperscript{39} This particular chapter heading and recipe are not found in the Greek Therapeutica (II.49). Indeed, to date, I have been unable to identify any entries in the Clavis sanationis that are to be found only in Alexander’s Greek Therapeutica and not in the Latin Alexander.

One thing that is noticeable from the study of 'Egyptian acantis' is the variation/error in spelling. Indeed, spelling variations/ errors abound both between traditions and within traditions. I would like to use Simon’s entry for orodes humores - an entry which refers to glosses found in the Latin Alexander - to illustrate the difference between Print A and Print B of the Clavis sanationis:

\textbf{Orodes humores} (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 13.02.12)

Orodes humores .i. serosi aquosi ut Alex. ca. de nausea. Item ca. de scabie vesice. Item ca. de catartics podagricorum, evocant inquit tenues humores quos greci orodes vocant, interdum oroides invenitur ab oros quod est serum lactis.

\textbf{Orodes humores} (Print B, 1473)

Orodes humores .i. serosi aquosi ut Alex ca. de nausea item ca. de catartics podagricorum [sic] evocuant inquit tenues humores quos gr(_). or(o)des uocant interdum oroides [sic] inuenitur ab oros quod est serum lactis.

\textsuperscript{36} Thesaurus Linguae Graecae: http://www.tlg.uci.edu/
\textsuperscript{37} 2 x λευκώματα, II.37.7 and II.51.1; 1 x λευκωμάτων, II.31.15.
\textsuperscript{38} + gloss 'a': 'id est spine nigre' [sic] (21r).
\textsuperscript{39} ‘Giptias’ in Angers, Bibl. mun. 457 (34ra), using Langslow’s transcript.
Orodes humores, that is serous, aqueous, as in the chapter of Alexander ‘On nausea’; likewise in his chapter ‘On cathartics for gout’. They evacuate, he says, thin humours, which the Greeks call orodes. Occasionally the spelling oriodes [sic] is found. [The word originates] from oros, which is the whey of milk. (Translating Print B, 1473)

As is noted by Langslow⁴⁰, Greek ὀρῥώδης (Latin orodes) is given a ‘rudimentary’ etymology in the Latin Alexander at 2.42 – this is Simon’s chapter ‘On nausea’ (ca. de nausea), and occurs twice more at 2.249⁴¹ – this is Simon’s chapter ‘On cathartics for gout’ (ca. de catarticis podagr[ic]orum).

Note that Print A of the Clavis sanationis also includes the reference ‘likewise in the chapter ‘On itchiness of the bladder’’ (Item ca. de scabie vesice), which is also found in Print C (1486). Print B, however, and Manuscript e (Laur. plut. 73, cod. 31) both omit mention of this particular chapter. This is quite possibly a case of saut du même au même – the eye leaping from item to item – however, I suspect the reason might be more complex.

The additional chapter reference found in Print A and Print C is to Book 2, Chapter 198 of the Latin Alexander, which is in a section that is also found in the Greek (II.491.11 ff.). As with the ‘Egyptian acantis’ example above, there was a brief glimmer that perhaps Simon did have access to and was using a Greek text after all. However, consider the following:

Latin Alexander 2.198: Curatio eiusmodem⁴²

Est autem confection medicaminis quod facit ad orodes [ms. A = ad sorodis, for Greek ψωρώδεις⁴³] passiones et dissurias inflammationes renum et vesice sanat / sed et ulceras vesice cum flegmone facit(m) sanat. (Lyons 1504, 68v)

There is moreover a preparation of a medicament which is effective against orodes diseases and it cures painful urination [and] inflammations of the kidneys and bladder, but also it cures ulcers of the bladder with inflammation.

---

⁴⁰ Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 28 and n. 31
⁴¹ At 2.249, first it is glossed with Latin aquosus, then in the very next sentence it recurs in a quod Graeci vocant formula, where Puschmann’s text has not ὀρῥώδης but λεπτός: 2.249: “dandum est catarticum quod possit educere pingue et spissum flegma et non orode id est aquosum et tenue urinae simile, quemadmodum multi faciunt dantes lacerides et opos titimali et cnidium coccum admiscentes et sic evacuant teniores humores quos Graeci orodes vocant” (II, 521, 5-7: τὸ δυνάμενον ἑλκῦσαι παχὺ φλέγμα καὶ ὀρῥώδες, ὥσπερ ποιοῦσι πολλοὶ λαθυρίδας τε καὶ ὀπὸν τιθυμάλλου καὶ Κνίδιον κόκκον παρέχουσιν, οἳ τὰ λεπτὰ κενοῦντες ῥεύµατα).’ Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 28 and n. 31.
⁴² Where 2.197 is Si vesica scabiosa sit; the same chapter title is found in G2 and L1; P1 and [ms.] A have Signa si uesica scabra sit; Ibidem; 251.
⁴³ Puschmann, *Alexander von Tralles...*, II.493.4
As can be seen, Lyons 1504 (68v) has ‘ad orodes’ which even includes the gloss ‘i’: *id est serosas seu aquosas* (68v). However, the eleventh-century manuscript Angers, Bibl. mun. 457, Langslow’s A, has *sorodis*, a transliteration of Greek ψωρώδεις.** Possibly, Simon had a corrupt exemplar with the reading *orodes*, which is transmitted in Print A and Print C - hence the extra reference – and then, possibly, someone has spotted the error with the result that Print B and Manuscript e represent a corrected version.

As another example of spelling errors, consider Simon’s entry for *embalmata* (that is, *embamata*, ‘sauces’):**

> Embalmata (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 12.03.12, entry edited by Barbara Zipser)

Embalmata Alexan. ca. de medicinis ad frigidum stomachum, item de reumatismo ventris sunt intinctiones seu salsamenta in quibus morselli intinguntur.

Embalmata in B e | intinctiones in A | intinctiones B e | intinctiones C

According to Alexander, [in the] chapter on medicines for a cold stomach, also [in the chapter] on flux from the belly, *embalmata* are dips or sauces in which bits of food are dipped.

The second chapter referred to in this entry, ‘On flux from the belly’ (*de reumatismo ventris*), is to be found in Book 2 of the Latin Alexander, Chapter 79** - the ‘Philumenus’ section:

> Latin Alexander 2.79: De reumate ventris Filominis [sic] (Lyons 1504, 47r-48v) 48r: Item *embalmata* hoc modo ad hoc conficiuntur. Cimino cum salis modico et aceto oleo confecto intingitur quod edendum est.

---

** LSJ: ‘of the nature of the itch, scabby’. “[I]n Cassius Felix ... *scabiosus* is synonymous with Greek *psoricus*, it means “for treating *scabies* …’, Langslow, *Medical Latin in the Roman Empire*, 344.

** OLD: *embamma, atis* (n.) [Gk. Ἐμβαμμα] = ‘[a] sauce or dressing for food, esp. one made with vinegar’.

** Cf. Puschmann, *Nachträge zu Alexander Trallianus…*, 26: Item *embammatum* hoc modo ad hoc conficiuntur. Cimino cum sale et modico aceto et oleo confecto intingitur quod edendum est. … *Potest autem iuvare et scorpion piscis assatus et cum cimini praedicti embammatum datus et ostreae in suis* [+ n. 61, re. variant sibi] testis assatae et sumptae. Cf. also Mihăileanu, *Fragmentele latine…*, 112, 8-16: Item *embammatum* hoc modo ad hoc conficiuntur: cimino cum salis modico et aceto et oleo confecto intingitur, quod edendum est. … *Potest enim iuvare et scorpion piscis assus* [+ variants: *assa* and *assatus*] et cum cimini praedicti embammatum datus et ostreae in suis testis assatae et assumptae [+ variants: *adsuma, sumpta* and *sumptae*].

** + gloss ‘c’: ‘*sunt intinctiones seu salse [sic] in quibus morselli intinguntur. ian[_]…’ (48r).

** Cf. Mihăileanu, *Fragmentele latine…*, 112.8: *embammatum* [no variants]; cf. Puschmann, *Nachträge zu Alexander Trallianus…*, 26: *embammatum* [no variants].
48v: Potest autem iuuare et scorpi piscis assatus et cum cimini praedicti embalmate\textsuperscript{49,50} datus et ostree in suis testis asstate et sumpte.

48r: Likewise *embalmata* are prepared in the following way. What is to be eaten is dipped in cumin prepared in oil with a modicum of salt and vinegar.

48v: Moreover scorpion fish, roasted and given with an *embalma* of the aforementioned cumin is also able to help, and oysters roasted in their own shells and eaten.

The first chapter, however, ‘On medicines for a cold stomach’ (‘*de medicinis ad frigidum stomachum*’), is to be found in Book 2, Chapter 46, of the Latin Alexander – not a ‘Philumenus’ section:

Latin Alexander 2.46: *Ad frigidum stomachum embalmata*\textsuperscript{51} (Lyons 1504, 40v) [ms. \textit{A} = *inbamata*]

40v: [*Embalmata ... for Greek ἔμβαμμα [sic]]\textsuperscript{52}

Moreover, it is also found in Book II of the Greek *Therapeutica*. It is important to note, however, that whereas in the Latin we have *embalmata*, in the Greek we have *ἔμβαμμα*.

The following is taken from the entry for *embalmata* in *Simon Online*:

... The apparatus of Puschmann’s edition does not list any variant readings in this heading. ... Most likely, the error was caused by a Greek manuscript: in Greek minuscule, the letters μ and Λ (‘m’ and ‘l’) look very similar and can easily be confused; in majuscule, Μ looks like a double Λ. An unknown scribe or translator mistook a ‘m’ for an ‘l’, erroneously assuming the word was in fact derived from ἔμβάλλειν *emballein* /‘to put inside’.*\textsuperscript{54}
As can be seen in the three entries from the *Clavis sanationis* discussed in detail above - *acantis egyptia*, *embalmata* (that is, *embammatia*) and *orodes humores* – spelling errors/variants can be very misleading. They can also be very useful. Indeed, a close study of these errors/variants, as well as additions and omissions, found when comparing Simon’s *Clavis sanationis* with the Latin Alexander might make it possible to narrow down Simon’s manuscript source or sources, and potentially assign them to a particular branch of Langslow’s stemma (given in Appendix 2, and reproduced with the kind permission of David Langslow). For example, the fact that Simon often quotes from those sections of the Latin Alexander that we know are in fact interpolations from the translated works of Philumenus and Philagrius (Appendices 3 and 4), without referring to Philagrius or Philumenus by name, is potentially useful.

In the Lyons 1504 edition of the Latin Alexander, Chapter 2.79, ‘On flux from the belly’, is clearly headed ‘On flux from the belly, of Philumenus’ (De reumate ventris Filominis [sic], 47r), as indeed it is in the manuscripts *G2, L1, P1* (De reuma ...) and *A*.55 Furthermore, a later chapter, Chapter 2.81, ‘Signs of dysentery’, is also identified as by Philumenus (Signa dissinterice passionis Philomini) in Lyons 1504 (49r), and in the manuscripts *G2, L1* and *A*, and although Philumenus is not mentioned in the title here in *P1*, the chapter begins with ‘Of Philumenus’ (Filomini).56 The same is seen in the heading for Chapter 2.99, ‘On bowel diseases, of Philumenus’ (De ciliaci [i.e., coeliacis] Philomini), with the title in Lyons 1504 (52r) and the manuscripts *G2, L1* and *A* all mentioning Philumenus, but with the chapter in *P1* beginning, this time with an error, ‘Flaminum’ [sic].57

Likewise in Lyons 1504, Chapter 2.104 is clearly headed ‘Philagrius on the spleen’ (Ad splenem Philagrius, 53r), as indeed it is in the manuscripts *G2, L1* and *A*; here *P1* has no title.58 Furthermore, the chapter heading of 2.151 on dropsy, immediately following the end of the Philagrius section, (Causa que est ydropicie Alexandri, Lyons 1504, 59r), is explicitly assigned to Alexander, clearly signalling the return to his work. This explicit reference to Alexander is also seen in the equivalent chapter headings in *G2, L1, P1* and *A*.59

Given that Simon’s entries contain no reference to Philumenus or Philagrius, one does have to wonder whether Simon’s exemplar(s) contained the overt references to Philumenus and Philagrius.

55 Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 246.
56 Ibidem, 246.
57 Ibidem.
58 Ibidem.
Consider again the excerpt taken from the moderately lengthy entry for *sauich* and the reference to the fact that in books translated from the Greek, 'sauich' is written 'polenta':

*Sauich* (Print B, 1473)

... notandum tamen quod ubicumque habetur in liberis [sic] de arabico translati
*sauich* apud Dia. et Alex. et Paul[...] et alios de greco translatos habetur *polenta* quare
secudum [sic] idem uidetur apud grecos vero vocatur alfita ut patet per Gal[...]. ca. de
*alfitis* in libro de alimentis item Ste. in sinonimis dicit quod alfiton est *sauich*.

... Note, however, that everywhere it is written in books translated from Arabic
[it is] *sauich*; in the writings of Dioscorides and Alexander and Paul and others
translated from the Greek, it is written *polenta*, for which reason we may assume
they are synonyms. Among the Greeks, indeed, it is called *alphita*, as is revealed
through Galen in the chapter on *alphita* in his book *On foods*. Likewise Stephanus
in his *Synonyms* says that *alphita* is *sauich*.

Opsomer\(^{60}\) records just one occurrence of *polenta* in the Latin Alexander, in
Book 3, Chapter 66, *Lixoperita epithimata et embroce et emplastra febrrientibus
Martyrii medic\(^{61}\)* (Lyons 1504, 92v-93r):

93r: *Item embroca ex alica\(^{62}\) aut oxilapatos\(^{63}\) autem bene facit et succus si de polenta
.i. alfita\(^{64}\) tenui modicum ei misces infuso duplicato panno molli.*

*If* Simon’s reference to ‘Alexander’ and ‘polenta’ does in fact refer to Book 3,
Chapter 66, it is referring to a section in the Latin Alexander that is not found in
many of the Latin manuscripts,\(^{65}\) and has no equivalent in Puschmann’s Greek
text.\(^{66,67,68}\) Moreover, even where this chapter *is* found in the Latin manuscripts, it
is not always present in its entirety.

---

\(^{60}\) Carmelia Opsomer, *Index de la pharmacopée*...

\(^{61}\) See Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 262 n. 110 for variants.

\(^{62}\) + gloss ‘e’: ‘*alica est genus frumenti quidam speltam putant.*’ (93r).

\(^{63}\) + gloss ‘f’: ‘*id est acetosa herba.*’ (93r).

\(^{64}\) + gloss ‘g’: ‘*id est farina ordei.*’ (93r).

\(^{65}\) Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 59.

\(^{66}\) Puschmann, *Alexander von Tralles...*

\(^{67}\) ‘*... 3.66 ... is not in the Greek Alexander as we have it, and ... could be an addition to
the original version of the Latin Alexander...*’ – Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 58.

\(^{68}\) However, compare the Latin found at Lyons 1504, 93r (*Item embroca ex alica aut
oxilapatos autem bene facit et succus si de polenta .i. alfita tenui modicum ei misces infuso...*
The whole chapter is missing from P1, A, O, Ox, Ge, Ma, P2, L2 and B. It is present in M, G1, Mu, C, P3, G2, L1 and, of course, Lyons 1504 (Langslow’s ed.). Of itself, this is not proof that Simon used this manuscript or that manuscript, not least because I am not absolutely sure that this chapter is the source of Simon’s comment on *polenta*.

Consider now three entries in the *Clavis sanationis* – *amitrocera*, *centron* and *acros* - all of which occur in the chapters on coughing at the beginning of Book 2 of the Latin Alexander; chapters, moreover, which are to be found in Langslow’s published edition with a full apparatus.
First, *amitrocera*, which has the following entry in Simon:

*Amitrocera* (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 10.03.12)

Amitrocera .g. facilis ad cognoscendum. Alexan. ca. de tussi materialis autem et cetera.

*Amitrocera* (Print B, 1473)

*Amicrotera* [sic] gr(_). facilis ad cognoscendum Alex. capitulo de tussi materialis et cetera.

The following text, relevant apparatus and translation of the Latin Alexander is taken from Langslow:

2.4.2 Differunt igitur quod magis operatiu<ae> habent manifestas significationes, materiales autem [si accesserit tussis] amitroteras {for Greek ἀμυδρότερα}, et non oportet <t>alia iterum dicere.

*amitroteras scripsimus* (fort. cf. a materia est M) -a *plerique* (amet- P1 amyt-P2 amicr- G2 L1) amitro terea O amicio tera *ed.* amitrota Ge a materia M om. Mu (post a. habent est M D P3 add. m3) G2

---

**Notes**


73 Cf. Lyons 1504, 32v: *Differunt igitur quod operatiae habent manifestas significationes. Magis manifestas habent significaciones. Cap. 5: De tussi si ex humoribus fiat. Materialis autem si accesserit tussis amicio [+ gloss 'h': id est facilis ad cognoscendum. Ian(_).] tera [+ gloss 'i': est [sic]] et non oportet iterum talter dici [+ gloss 'k': s. signa quibus cognoscatur si materialis est]. Langslow notes that, in an 'apparent corruption common to all the Latin manuscripts', 'si accesserit tussis' has been added 'in an effort to make sense of a Latin text rendered unintelligible by the transposition of the section-heading 2.5.t. De tussis si ex humoribus fiat (itself not in the Greek text either)', Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 104.

74 Puschmann, *Alexander von Tralles...*, II.149.13

75 Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 117: 'The Latin tradition offers various explanatory notes to Greek ἀμυδρότερα, among which P2 and B alone have *leuior ad curandum*, and G1 alone the very similar *leuis ad curandum*. [+ n. 18: 'cf. *leuior facilior ad curandum* Ma i. leuis P3 m3(?), i. facilis ad cognoscendum *Ox Φ*]. Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 129: *Ox and Φ also transpose efficitur and tussis.*

76 Where the reading '<t>alia' is to be credited to Cloudy Fischer.

77 Where Langslow's *ed.* = Lyons 1504.
They differ in that the active tend rather to have clear signs, while the material ones have more indistinct signs. And it is not necessary to say this again.

The following is Langslow’s note on amitroteras:\(^78\)

\textit{amitroteras} ... ἀμυδρός ‘faint’ is transliterated also at 1.59 ‘Pulsus etiam raros et breues et amidros habent’ (= l. 529, 11 καὶ τοὺς σφυγμοὺς ἀραιοὺς καὶ μικροὺς καὶ ἀμυδροὺς ἰσχυσιν) and 3.41 (l. 345, 25); cf. 3.45 (l. 347, 21) where ἀμυδρός is confused with ἀμετρός and translated absque mensura. That the word was less than familiar is seen clearly at 3.48 ‘pulsus ... parus autem et amidros in ethica febre’, where the Latin version adds the note: ‘Amidros autem pulsus dicitur defectus, qui solutam habet uirtutem et percussionem facit imbecillem’. (The marginal gloss at this point ... reads ‘i. imbecillis seu debilis. Gal. in lib. de differentiis febrium’.)\(^79\)

Of interest here are those manuscripts that do not include amitrocera – regardless of spelling - that is: \textbf{M, Mu} and \textbf{Ge}.

Secondly centron, which has the following entry in Simon:

\textit{Centron} (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 10.03.12)

\textit{Centron} Alex. ca. tussi exponunt quidam punctiones.

\textit{Centron} (Print B, 1473)

\textit{Ceneron [sic] Alexan. ca. de tussi exponit quedam [sic] punctiones.}

Again, the following text, relevant apparatus and translation is taken from Langslow:\(^80\)

\textbf{2.9.2} Et spuunt nihil, neque soni aliquid aut cencron {for Greek κέρχνον\(^81\)} patiuntur. Neque enim contingere poterit [nisi] ex indigesto et necdum permixto fymate.

\(^78\) Langslow, \textit{The Latin Alexander Trallianus...}, 201 n.85.

\(^79\) Cf. Simon (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 12.03.12) ‘Amidros: Amidros pulsus Alex. capitulo de litargia exponitur a .G. liber de doctrina pulsuum quod est imbecillis seu debilis. Sed liber de doctrina greca amidron obscurum minus apparens.’


\(^81\) Puschmann, \textit{Alexander von Tralles...}, II.153.2
And they spit nothing up, nor do they suffer any noise (in the ears) or hoarseness, for it cannot possibly occur while the tumour is immature and not yet thoroughly mixed.

Langslow’s note on cencron is as follows:

*cencron* The Latin variants suggest Greek κέγχρος, which means ‘millet’, rather than κέρχνος ‘roughness, hoarseness’, which is very suitably rendered with raucor in the very next section (2.10.1). LSJ, s. vv., suggests some confusion between the two Greek words, attesting κέρχνος ‘millet’ but not κέγχρος ‘hoarseness’.82

What is important in this example are the glosses added to Ma, D and Φ, given that Simon Print A has ‘exponunt quidam punctiones’ and Simon Print B has ‘exponit quedam [sic] punctiones’, where punctiones are ‘stabbing pains’. Thirdly, Simon’s entry for acros:

*Acros* (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 15.03.12)

*Acros* .i. acredo Alex. capitulo de tussi, quando aut de subito et cetera.

*Acros* (Print B, 1473)

*Acros* .i. acredo Alex. capitulo de tussi quando autem subito et cetera.

Once again, the following text and relevant apparatus is taken from Langslow:83

2.10.1 Quando autem desubito qui laborant sentiunt coangustata praecordia se habere et absque febris molestari et siti multa, sed habent etiam quendam raucorem (for Greek κέρχνον84) cum tusse ...

---

raucorem O L2 P3 (ruccorem P1 rugura M)] ranc(h)orem cett |ante cum habent et acromen P3 D Ox Ge G2 ed. acromen Ma

When the patients suddenly feel that the chest is constricted and troubled (although) without fever and without great thirst, but they have also a certain hoarseness with the cough ...

Here, it is the addition of et acromen before raucorem, seen in the manuscripts P3, D, Ox, Ge, G2 and Lyons 1504 (Langslow’s ed.), and acromen before raucorem in the manuscript Ma which is interesting, acor is ‘a bitter taste in the mouth’, hence Simon’s id est acredo, where acredo is ‘a sharp or pungent taste’. There is no equivalent to this in Puschmann’s Greek text.

By collecting further examples, more evidence could be found that perhaps might indeed help locate Simon’s source or sources on Langslow’s stemma. So far, based on the evidence of amitrocera, centron and acros, Φ has ‘three ticks’, but the tradition above is very complex and therefore care must be taken before positing any firm conclusions. However, the shared innovations between both Simon and Φ are striking.

In this paper, I hope to have showed that Simon, when compiling his Clavis sanationis, used the Latin Alexander. I have also made a tentative first attempt to locate Simon’s exemplar(s) on David Langslow’s stemma. I would like to end with a brief comment on the Lyons 1504 edition of the Latin Alexander, the Practica Alexandri yatros greci cum expositione glose interlinearis Iacobi de partibus et Ianuensis in margine posite – to give it its full title - edited by Fr. Fradin. The ‘Iacobus de partibus’ of the title is Jacques Despars (c. 1380-1458) and the ‘Ianuensis’ is ‘[Simonis] Ianuensis’ - Simon of Genoa. The Lyons 1504 edition includes a vast number of glosses that represent the scholarly endeavours of both Jacques Despars and Simon of Genoa (throughout this paper, whenever I have quoted from the Lyons 1504 edition of the Latin Alexander, I have included a reference to any glosses in the footnotes). In conclusion, therefore, not only is the Latin Alexander a ‘source text’ for Simon’s Clavis sanationis, but also the entries in the Clavis sanationis that are taken from the Latin Alexander are of great importance for any consideration of the Lyons 1504 printed edition, as well as the extremely complex later manuscript tradition of the Latin Alexander.

85 Puschmann, Alexander von Tralles...
86 For the ‘Φ-recension’ and ‘sources of Φ’, see Langslow, The Latin Alexander Trallianus..., 126-30.
87 For Jacques Despars, see Thomas Glick, Steven Livesey, and Wallis (Eds.), Medieval science, technology and medicine: An encyclopedia. (New York, NY: Routledge, 2005), 151-2; Langslow, The Latin Alexander Trallianus...,10 and n. 77.
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Appendix 1

Manuscripts containing the Latin Alexander

Complete copies

**P1** Paris, *BN lat.* 9332 (early 9th cent.)
**M** Montecassino, *Archivio della Badia* 97 (early 10th cent.)
**A** Angers, *Bibl. mun.* 457 (11th cent.)
**O** Orléans, *Bibl. mun.* 283 (end 11th cent.)
**D** Durham, *Cathedral C.* 4. 11 (end 12th cent.)
**G1** Glasgow, *University Library Hunter* 435 (12th-13th cent.)
**Mu** Munich, *BSB Clm* 344 (12th-13th cent.)
**Ox** Oxford, *Pembroke College* 8 (12th-13th cent.)
**C** Cambridge, *Gonville & Caius College* 400 (early 13th cent.)
**Ge** Geneva, *Bibl. publ. et univ.* 78 (13th cent.)
**Ma** Madrid, *BN* 1049 (13th cent.)
**P2** Paris, *BNF lat.* 6881 (13th cent.)
**P3** Paris, *BNF lat.* 6882 (13th cent.)
**L2** London, *BL Royal* 12. B. XVI (late 13th cent.)
**B** Brussels, *KBK* 10869 (14th cent.)
**G2** Glasgow, *University Library General* 1228 (second half 15th cent.)
**L1** London, *BL Harley* 4914 (16th cent.)

Complete copies not seen by Langslow (before 2006)

Oxford, *Bodl.* 524 (12th cent)
Vatican City, *BAV Pal. lat.* 1209 (13th cent.)

Lost copies

**Ch** Chartres, *Bibl. mun.* 342 (12th cent.) – ‘surviving only in a few photographs’
[**Metz** Metz, *Bibl. de la Ville ms.* 278 (early 13th cent.) – ‘no reproductions of, or studies bearing on it are known’]

---

88 Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 38
89 Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus...*, 52-3 and n. 48
Appendix 2

Figure 1. Stemma showing the relations between the mainstream manuscripts of the Latin Alexander (and v1 and u of the secondary tradition). (Probable or certain use of an accessory model is indicated in [blue], possible but uncertain use in [red]. The dating of lost copies is approximate only.). Langslow, *The Latin Alexander Trallianus*., Plate XII. Stemma reproduced with the kind permission of David Langslow.
Appendix 3

Philumenus (Transcript A, 1510, accessed from Simon Online 10.03.12, unless stated otherwise)

_Acanthis egyptia_ (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 12.03.12)

A _Acanthis egyptia_ inventur in practica Alexandri in confectione colirii ad tingenda leucemata puto quod sit idem quod _achantis arabica._

B _Achantis egiptiaca_ (sic) inventur in pratica (sic) Ale. in confectione colirii (sic) ad tingenda leucemata puto quod sit idem quod _achantis ara._

2.79 _De reumate ventris Filominis_ (sic) (Lyons 1504, 47r-48v) 48r: _acantis egiptiace_ (sic)

2.80 _De dissinteria reumatica_ (Lyons 1504, 48v-49r) 48v: _achtenm ejgyptiam_ (sic)

2.98 _Enema ad dissintericos et dolores nimios vel inflammationes_ (Lyons 1504, 51v-52r) 52r: _achanthos ejgyptie_ (sic)

[Philagrius] 2.123 _De fomentationibus_ (Lyons 1504, 55r-55v) 55v: _egyptie acautis_ (sic)

_Epythyma elidion_

A _Epythyma elidion_ Alex. ca. de reumate ventris.

B _Epithima clidion_ (sic) Alex. capitulo de reumate ventris.

2.79 _De reumate ventris Filominis_ (sic) (Lyons 1504, 47r-48v) 47v: _Epithima dydyon_ (sic) hoc modo conficitur ...

---

90 2.79-103: Lyons 1504, 47r-53r.
91 + gloss 'l': 'id est spine albe.' (48r).
92 Cf. Mihăileanu, _Fragmentele latine..., 110.7: acantis ægyptias_ [+ variants]; cf. Puschmann, _Nachträge zu Alexander Trallianus..., 24: acanthe Aegyptiae_ [no variants].
93 + gloss 'c': 'id est spinam al(,)s.' (48v).
95 + gloss 'z': 'id est spine albe.' (52r).
97 + gloss 'm': 'id est spine albe.' (55v).
Ciliaca

A Ciliaca passio est fluxus ventris vitio stomachi nam g. kiliam ventrem vocant ut in passionario suo ca. et est kilia fundus stomachi proprie. Item Alex. fit aut ex indigestione ventris defecti, liber de doctrina greca kilia alvus uter us venter.

B Ciliaca passio est fluxus ventris vitio sto(_a). nam gre. kiliam ventrem vocant ut in passionario [sic] suo ca. et est kilia fundus sto(_a) proprie. Item Alex. fit aut ex indigestione ventris defecti; liber de doctrina gr(_). kilia koria alvus uter [sic] uenter.

2.99 De ciliacis Philomini (Lyons 1504, 52r-52v)

[Notes: "Philumenus section"]

Ciliaceae passiones et indigestiones ex indigestione [sic] ipsius ventris fiunt eo quod non potest ex ipsa defectione ministrare corpori nutrimentum...

Embalmata (Transcript A, 1510, last accessed 12.03.12)

A Embalmata Alexan. ca. de medicinis ad frigidum stomachum, item de reumatismo ventris sunt intinctiones seu salsamenta in quibus morselli intinguntur.

[Notes: "Philumenus section"]

Ad frigidum stomachum embalmata [Lyons 1504, 40v] [ms. A = inbamata]

40v: [Em]balmata...

2.79 De reumate ventris Filominis [sic] (Lyons 1504, 47r-48v)


48v: Potest autem iuare et scorpio piscis assatus et cum cimini praedicti embalmate datus et ostree in suis testis assate et sumpte.
Appendix 4

Philagrius\textsuperscript{108} (All Transcript A, 1510, last accessed from Simon Online 10.03.12)

\textit{Acantis egyptia:} please see Appendix 3, Philumenus

\textit{Arthomeli}

A \textit{Arthomeli} \textit{g. panis cum melle factus Alex. ca. de cathaplasmatibus ad splenem cum ergo cognoveris et cetera.}

B \textit{Artomeli} \textit{g. panis cum melle factus Ale. capitulo de cataplasmatibus ad splenem cum ergo cognoveris et cetera.}

2.129 \textit{De cathaplasmate faciendo} (Lyons 1504, 56r-56v)

... Sepius autem sicut nouisti artomelli\textsuperscript{109,110} usi sumus cum aqua ...

\textit{Cinarum}

A \textit{Cinarum} \textit{g. Alex. ca. de cura calide et humide distemperantie splenis.}

B \textit{Cinarum} \textit{sic} \textit{g. Alexander ca. de cura ca. de. [sic] et humide distemperantie splenis.}

2.109 \textit{Curatio calide et humide distemperantie} [sic] (Lyons 1504, 53v-54r)

... Carnes autem recentes edulina \textit{cunarum}\textsuperscript{111,112} spondilis\textsuperscript{113} speragus\textsuperscript{114} et salsamenta omnia ...

\textit{Clindonas}

A \textit{Clindonas} \textit{greci} Alexander ca. de ventositate splenis, clidonas enim greci vocant quando agitatur aqua sicut in utre in ventre.

B \textit{Clidonas} \textit{sic} \textit{g. Alexander ca. de ventositate splenis clidonas [sic] enim gre. uocant quando agitatur aqua sicut in utre in ventre.}

2.115 \textit{De ventositate splenis} (Lyons 1504, [5]4r)

... \textit{Chimodas} [sic] [ms. A = Clidonas]\textsuperscript{115} namque Greci dicunt quando agitatur sicut in utre aqua ita et in ventre ...

\textsuperscript{108} 2.104-50: Lyons 1504, 53r-59r.
\textsuperscript{109} + gloss "g": 'Artomelli grece panis cum melle factus. ian(...) (56r).
\textsuperscript{111} + gloss 'o': 'id est anser.' (53v).
\textsuperscript{113} + gloss 'p': 'id est caro spondilium.' (53v).
\textsuperscript{114} + gloss 'q': 'id est speragus.' (53v).
Epilata

A

Epilata g. medicine laxative per os sumpte ut Alex. ca. de splene, nunc ergo dicendum et cetera, sunt maxime leves ut idem infra de epilatibus illa ergo danda et cetera.

B


2.121 Curatio flegmonis in splene generati

[Nunc igitur dicendum est de inflammatione in splene generata. ... Alie quidem euacuationes sunt que per ventrem deponunt[16] quas Greci epilatas[17] vocant que sunt in primis utende ...]

2.122 De epilatibus[18][22][Lyons 1504, 55r]


2.127 De catartico aut apozimate vel inunctionibus

[Curatio scyron splenis ... Tunc a nobis duobus aut tribus diebus epilatis[25] 126 catartici purgatus est. ...]

2.134 Curatio scyron splenis

[Postea autem cum bene resolutum esset splen de epilatis[25] 127 dedi catarticis et euacuaui vacantes humores per ventrem fortioribus medicamentis[57v] id est antidotis dyacolloquintidos yere ...]

116 + gloss ‘e’: ‘id est euacuant.’ (55r).
118 + gloss ‘h’: ‘id est medicine laxative per os sumpte [sic] Ian(_).’ (55r).
121 + gloss ‘i’: ‘gincus est crocus hortulanus. Ian(_).’ (55r).
122 + gloss ‘k’: ‘id est urtice.’ (55r).
123 + gloss ‘b’: ‘id est medicinis laxatuis per os assumpis [sic]’ (55r).
125 + gloss ‘d’: ‘id est medicinis laxatuis per os sumptis. [sic]’ (57r).
Epythyma ypotirion

A Epythyma ypotirion idem Alex. de splene.

B Epithima ypotirion idem Alex. capitulo de splene.

2.145 Epithima ypotirion\textsuperscript{128} (Lyons 1504, 58v)
\[ [S]unt autem et alia epithimata\textsuperscript{129} ad spleneticos et ydropicos que nominantur ypotirion\textsuperscript{130}, ...

Eukrion

A Eukrion Alex. de cura ventositatis splenis prassii eucrion et cetera.

B Eukrion Alex. de cura uentositatis splenis prassii eukrion et cetera.

\[ [[5]4v] ... Sed et alia sunt qualis est herpillus et thimus et lauri cortex et origanum. Et calamentum siccum et viride et piper et ruthe semen et maxime si siluestris sit et pencedanum\textsuperscript{131} [sic] et maxime apos\textsuperscript{132} ipsius et costum et cardamomum et prassium. et eucrion\textsuperscript{133} 134 [ms. A = ucrion] et centaurea subtilis...

Omotribum oleum

\[ Omotribum oleum Alex. ca. de cepahela, omotrubus oleum inquit octobriscum et cetera. Idem in ca. de fomentis ad splenis inflamation. Item in ca. de linimentis ad podogram calidam, oleo inquit omotribio quod primo tempore fit et recipit folia olive ut sit amarum et cetera. Ga. vero xi. de ingenio, oleum onfacinum, ab aliis vero omotriuos. i. ex acerbis olivilis constructum et cetera. \]

B Omotribum oleum Alex. ca. de cepahela omotrubus oleum inquit i. octobriscum et cetera idem in ca. de linimentis idem in c. de fomentatione ad splenis in foliacionem idem in capitulo de linimentis ad podogram calidam oll(e)o [sic] inquit omotribio quod primo tempore fit et recipit folia olive ut sit amarum et cetera. Ga[(_)] vero in io [sic] de ingenio sanitis ol[(_)]eum onfaci[(_)]um [sic] ab aliis uero omotriuos [sic]. i. ex acerbis olivilis constructum et cetera.

131 + gloss ‘m’: ‘id est feniculus porcinus.’ ([5]4v).
133 + gloss ‘o’: ‘eukrion per k scribitianuensis allegans hunc passum. sed non exponit estimo quod sit eupatoriam.’ ([5]4v).
2.123 De fomentationibus (Lyons 1504, 55r-55v)
[55v] ... Quod si calor sit mittendum est oleum omotribe\textsuperscript{135} et rosa ...

[Not ‘Philagrius section’] 2.155 De ydrope asclite et tympanite (Lyons 1504, 68v)
... Nihilominus autem faciende sunt fricationes cum sale et oleo omotribe\textsuperscript{136} et sicionio\textsuperscript{137} ... et ciprino ...

[Not ‘Philagrius section’, section on gout] 2.245 De amplastris (76r-76v)
... Quod si oleum roseum non adest cum oleo omotrible\textsuperscript{137} id est amaro quod primo tempore fit et recipit folia oliue ut sit amarum utilissimum est ...

[Not ‘Philagrius section’] 1.40 Curatio cephalargicorum (Lyons 1504, 6r-6v)
... oportet adhibere et fomentationes cum altea vel oleo aut herbis in aqua coctis non satis calidis sed et robur habentibus ut confortare valeant caput quale est omotribe\textsuperscript{138} id est octobrinum [ms. A = octobrisicum] [for Greek ωμοτριβὲς\textsuperscript{139}] oleum s. amarum quod primo tempore fit et accipit [sic] folia oliue ut sit amarum. utilissimum enim est ...

Pegmata
A Pegmata \textsuperscript{g}. Alex. ca. de dieta inflationis splenis li. de doctrina \textsuperscript{g}. pegmata vel pigmata vel pigmenta.
B Pegmata\textsuperscript{sic} gr\textsuperscript{(_)}. Alex. ca. de dieta inflationis splenis liber de doctrina gr\textsuperscript{(_)} pegmata \textsuperscript{sic} pigmata pigmenta [sic]

2.105 Signa frigide distemperantie splenis (Lyons 1504, 53r)
... Qualia sunt aqua frigida ostrea sterilis\textsuperscript{140} caro porcina pomorum copia accepta et diversa poma [ms. A = pimmata]\textsuperscript{141} et maxime in estate accepta ...

\textsuperscript{135} + gloss ‘b’: ‘id est oleum oliuarum quod primo tempore fit et recipit folia oliue ut sit amarum. lanuensis.’ (55v).
\textsuperscript{136} Cf. Masullo, Filagrio\textsuperscript{...}, 326.313: omotribe [+] variants; cf. Mihăileanu, Fragmentele latine\textsubscript{...}, 164.1: omotribe [+] variants; cf. Puschmann, Nachträge zu Alexander Trallianus\textsubscript{...}, 94: omotribes [+] n. 83: ωμοτριβὲς.
\textsuperscript{137} + gloss ‘e’: ‘id est oleum oliuarum quod primo tempore fit et recipit folia oliue ut magis sit amarum.’ (68v).
\textsuperscript{138} Puschmann, Alexander von Tralles\textsubscript{...}, II.449.33.
\textsuperscript{139} + gloss ‘f’: ‘id est de radice cucumeris agrestis.’ (68v).
\textsuperscript{140} Puschmann, Alexander von Tralles\textsubscript{...}, II.517.20.
\textsuperscript{141} Puschmann, Alexander von Tralles\textsubscript{...}, I.491.1
\textsuperscript{142} + gloss ‘r’: ‘id est omasa.’ (53r).
\textsuperscript{143} Cf. Masullo, Filagrio\textsubscript{...}, 312.15: pemmata [+] variants; cf. Mihăileanu, Fragmentele latine\textsubscript{...}, 148.16: pemmata [+] variants; cf. Puschmann, Nachträge zu Alexander Trallianus\textsubscript{...}, 74: pemmata [+] variants.
Sisti

A  *Sisti* etiam aliquando pro eodem alumine reperitur et etiam pro arsenico nam utrumque *scissile* est ut Alex. confectione ethionica ad splenem.

B  *Sisti* etiam aliquando pro eodem alu(m)i(n)e reperitur et etiam pro arsenico nam utrumque *scisille* [sic] est ut Alex. in confectione epithonica [sic] ad splenem.

2.141. *Confectio epithimatis atonotici* 144 ad splen [sic] confortandum (Lyons 1504, 58r) *Medicamen quod supra diximus de arsenico et stipterea et confortatio ad splenem seu splenis aathomam quam superposui homini illi quem sepius memorauimus. quod recipit ... arsenici sciscis* 146 147 ... *auripigmenti scissilis* 148 ... *stipterea [ms. A = stipteria scistis]* 149 ...

---

144 + gloss 'b': ‘id est confortatiui seu roboratiui.’ (58r).
145 + gloss 'c': ‘debilitatem. lan(...)’ (58r).
146 + gloss 'e': ‘a loco.’ (58r).