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Abstract

The PROV Ontology (PROV-O) expresses the PROV Data Model [PROV-DM] using the OWL2 Web Ontology Language (OWL2) [OWL2-OVERVIEW]. It provides a set of classes, properties, and restrictions that can be used to represent and interchange provenance information generated in different systems and under different contexts. It can also be specialized to create new classes and properties to model provenance information for different applications and domains. The PROV Document Overview describes the overall state of PROV, and should be read before other PROV documents.

The namespace for all PROV-O terms is http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#.

The OWL encoding of the PROV Ontology is available here.

Status of This Document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the W3C technical reports index at http://www.w3.org/TR/.

PROV Family of Documents

This document is part of the PROV family of documents, a set of documents defining various aspects that are necessary to achieve the vision of inter-operable interchange of provenance information in heterogeneous environments such as the Web. These documents are listed below. Please consult the [PROV-OVERVIEW] for a guide to reading these documents.

- PROV-OVERVIEW (Note), an overview of the PROV family of documents [PROV-OVERVIEW];
- PROV-PRIMER (Note), a primer for the PROV data model [PROV-PRIMER];
- PROV-O (Recommendation), the PROV ontology, an OWL2 ontology allowing the mapping of the PROV data model to RDF (this document);
- PROV-DM (Recommendation), the PROV data model for provenance [PROV-DM];
- PROV-CONSTRAINTS (Recommendation), a set of constraints applying to the PROV data model [PROV-CONSTRAINTS];
- PROV-XML (Note), an XML schema for the PROV data model [PROV-XML];
- PROV-AQ (Note), mechanisms for accessing and querying provenance [PROV-AQ];
- PROV-DICTIONARY (Note) introduces a specific type of collection, consisting of key-entity pairs [PROV-DICTIONARY];
- PROV-DC (Note) provides a mapping between PROV-O and Dublin Core Terms [PROV-DC];
- PROV-SEM (Note), a declarative specification in terms of first-order logic of the PROV data model [PROV-SEM];
- PROV-LINKS (Note) introduces a mechanism to link across bundles [PROV-LINKS].
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1. Introduction

The PROV Ontology (PROV-O) defines the OWL2 Web Ontology Language encoding of the PROV Data Model [PROV-DM]. This document describes the set of classes, properties, and restrictions that constitute the PROV Ontology. This ontology specification provides the foundation to implement provenance applications in different domains that can represent, exchange, and integrate provenance information generated in different systems and under different contexts. Together with the PROV Access and Query [PROV-AP] and PROV Data Model [PROV-DM], this document forms a framework for provenance information interchange in domain-specific Web-based applications.

PROV-O is a lightweight ontology that can be adopted in a wide range of applications. With the exception of five axioms, PROV-O conforms to the OWL-RL profile [OWL2-PRIMER]. The PROV Ontology classes and properties are defined such that they can not only be used directly to represent provenance information, but also can be specialized for modeling application-specific provenance details in a variety of domains. Thus, the PROV Ontology is expected to be both directly usable in applications as well as serve as a reference model for creating domain-specific provenance ontologies and thereby facilitates interoperable provenance modeling. To demonstrate the use of PROV-O classes and properties, this document uses an example provenance scenario similar to the one introduced in the PROV-Primer [PROV-PRIMER].

The PROV Data Model [PROV-DM] introduces a set of concepts to represent provenance information in a variety of application domains. This document maps the PROV Data Model to PROV Ontology using the OWL2 ontology language [OWL2-OVERVIEW].

We briefly introduce some of the OWL2 modeling terms that will be used to describe the PROV Ontology. An OWL2 instance is an individual object in a domain of discourse, for example a person named Alice or a car named KITT. A set of individuals sharing common characteristics constitutes a class. Person and Car are examples of classes representing the set of individual persons and cars respectively. The OWL2 object properties are used to link individuals, classes, or create a property hierarchy. For example, the object property "hasOwner" can be used to link car with person. The OWL2 datatype properties are used to link individuals or classes to data values, including XML Schema datatypes [XMLSCHEMAI12-2].

1.1 Compliance with this Document

For the purpose of compliance, the normative sections of this document are Section 1.1, Section 1.2, Section 3, Section 4, and Appendix B

- Information in tables is normative if it appears in a normative section.
- All figures and diagrams are informative.
- All examples are informative.

1.2 Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

1.3 Namespaces

This section is non-normative.

The following namespace prefixes are used throughout this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>prefix</th>
<th>namespace IRI</th>
<th>definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rdf</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#">http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#</a></td>
<td>The RDF namespace [RDF-CONCEPTS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xsd</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/10/XMLSchema#">http://www.w3.org/2000/10/XMLSchema#</a></td>
<td>XML Schema Namespace [XMLSCHEMAI12-2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>owl</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#">http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#</a></td>
<td>The OWL namespace [OWL2-OVERVIEW]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. PROV-O at a glance

This section is non-normative.

PROV-O users may only need to use parts of the entire ontology, depending on their needs and according to how much detail they want to include in their provenance information. For this, the PROV-O terms (classes and properties) are grouped into three categories to provide an incremental introduction to the ontology: Starting Point terms, Expanded terms, and terms for Qualifying relationships.

Starting Point classes and properties provide the basis for the rest of the PROV Ontology and thus it is recommended that readers become comfortable with how to apply these terms before continuing to the remaining categories. These terms are used to create simple provenance descriptions that can be elaborated using terms from other categories. The classes and properties in this category are listed below and are discussed in Section 3.1.

```
prov:Entity prov:Activity prov:Agent
prov:wasGeneratedBy prov:wasDerivedFrom prov:wasAttributedTo
prov:endedAtTime prov:wasAssociatedWith prov:actedOnBehalfOf
prov:startedAtTime prov:used prov:wasInformedBy
```

Expanded classes and properties provide additional terms that can be used to relate classes in the Starting Point category. The terms in this category are applied in the same way as the terms in the Starting Point category. Many of the terms in this category are subclasses or subproperties of those in the Starting Point category. The classes and properties in this category are listed below and are discussed in Section 3.2.

```
prov:Collection prov:EmptyCollection prov:Bundle prov:Person prov:SoftwareAgent prov:Organization prov:Location
prov:alternateOf prov:specializationOf prov:generatedAtTime prov:hadPrimarySource prov:value prov:wasQuotedFrom
prov:wasRevisionOf prov:invalidatedAtTime prov:wasInvalidatedBy prov:hadMember prov:wasStartedBy
prov:wasLabeledBy prov:invalidatedAtTime prov:qualifiedGeneration prov:location prov:generated
```

Qualified classes and properties provide elaborated information about binary relations asserted using Starting Point and Expanded properties. The terms in this category are applied using a pattern that differs from those in the Starting Point and Expanded categories. While the relations from the previous two categories are applied as direct, binary assertions, the terms in this category are used to provide additional attributes of the binary relations. The pattern used in this category allows users to provide elaborate details that are not available using only Starting Point and Expanded terms. The classes and properties in this category are listed below and are discussed in Section 3.3.

```
prov:Quotation prov:ActivityInfluence prov:ActivityInfluence prov:Generation prov:Communication prov:invalidation
prov:wasInfluencedBy prov:qualifiedInfluence prov:qualifiedAssociation prov:qualifiedGeneration
prov:qualifiedDerivation prov:qualifiedPrimarySource prov:qualifiedQuotation
prov:qualifiedRevision prov:qualifiedCommunication
prov:qualifiedInvalidation prov:qualifiedStart prov:qualifiedEnd
prov:qualifiedUsage prov:qualifiedDelegation
prov:influencer provenEntity prov:hadUsage prov:hadGeneration
prov:activity prov:agent prov:hadPlan prov:hadActivity prov:atTime prov:hadRole
```

3. The PROV-O Ontology Description

This section introduces the terms in each of the following categories:

- **Starting Point Terms**
- **Expanded Terms**
- **Qualified Terms**

### 3.1 Starting Point Terms

The Starting Point category is a small set of classes and properties that can be used to create simple, initial provenance descriptions. Three classes provide a basis for the rest of PROV-O:

- **An prov:Entity is a physical, digital, conceptual, or other kind of thing with some fixed aspects; entities may be real or imaginary.**
- **An prov:Activity is something that occurs over a period of time and acts upon or with entities; it may include consuming, processing, transforming, modifying, relocating, using, or generating entities.**
- **An prov:Agent is something that bears some form of responsibility for an activity taking place, for the existence of an entity, or for another agent's activity.**

The three primary classes relate to one another and to themselves using the properties shown in the following figure.

Activities start and end at particular points in time (described using properties prov:startedAtTime and prov:endedAtTime, respectively) and during their lifespan can use and generate a variety of Entities (described with prov:used and prov:wasGeneratedBy, respectively). For example, a blog writing activity may use a particular dataset and generate a bar chart. By expressing usage and generation, one can construct provenance chains comprising both Activities and Entities.

In addition, we can say that an Activity prov:wasInformedBy another Activity to provide some dependency information without explicitly providing the activities' start and end times. A prov:wasInformedBy relation between Activities suggests that the informed Activity used an Entity that was generated by the informing Activity, but the Entity itself is unknown or is not of interest. So, the prov:wasInformedBy property allows the construction of provenance chains comprising only Activities.

Provenance chains comprising only Entities can be formed using the prov:wasDerivedFrom property. A derivation is a transformation of one entity into another. For example, if the Activity that created the bar chart is not known or is not of interest, then we can say that the bar chart...
While the properties prov:used, prov:wasGeneratedBy, prov:wasInformedBy, and prov:wasDerivedFrom can be used to construct provenance chains among Activities and Entities, Agents may also be ascribed responsibility for any Activity or Entity within a provenance chain. An Agent's responsibility for an Activity or Entity is described using the properties prov:wasAssociatedWith and prov:wasAttributedTo, respectively. Agents can also be responsible for other Agents' actions. In this case of delegation, the influencing Agent prov:actedOnBehalfOf another Agent that also bears responsibility for the influenced Activity or Entity.

The properties rdf:type and rdfs:label are used to express prov:type and prov:label, respectively.

Example 1: The following PROV-O describes the resources involved when creating a chart about crime statistics. The example uses only Starting Point terms and serves as a basis for elaboration that will be described in subsequent sections. In the example, Derek performs an aggregation of some government crime data, grouping by national regions that are described in a separate dataset by a civil action group.

```
Example
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.org#> .
  :bar_chart  a prov:Entity;
      prov:wasGeneratedBy  :illustrationActivity;
      prov:wasDerivedFrom  :aggregatedByRegions;
      prov:wasAttributedTo :derek;
  .
  :derek       a foaf:Person, prov:Agent;
      foaf:givenName       "Derek";
      foaf:mbox            <mailto:derek@example.org>;
      prov:actedOnBehalfOf :national_newspaper_inc;
  .
  :national_newspaper_inc  a foaf:Organization, prov:Agent;
      foaf:name "National Newspaper, Inc.";
  .
  :illustrationActivity  a prov:Activity;
      prov:used              :aggregatedByRegions;
      prov:wasAssociatedWith :derek;
  .
  :aggregatedByRegions  a prov:Entity;
      prov:wasGeneratedBy  :aggregationActivity;
      prov:wasAttributedTo :derek;
  .
  :aggregationActivity  a prov:Activity;
      prov:startedAtTime    "2011-07-14T01:01:01Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
      prov:wasAssociatedWith :derek;
      prov:used              :crimeData;
      prov:used              :nationalRegionsList;
      prov:endedAtTime      "2011-07-14T02:02:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  .
  :crimeData          a prov:Entity;
      prov:wasAttributedTo :government;
  .
  :nationalRegionsList  a prov:Entity;
      prov:wasAttributedTo :civil_action_group;
  .
  :civil_action_group  a foaf:Organization, prov:Agent .
```

The example states that the agent :derek was associated with two activities: :aggregationActivity and :illustrationActivity. The activity :aggregationActivity used the entities :crimeData (a crime statistics dataset) and :nationalRegionsList (a list of national regions), and generated a new entity, :aggregatedByRegions that aggregates the statistics in :crimeData according to the regions in :nationalRegionsList. The :aggregatedByRegions entity was then used by the :illustrationActivity activity, to generate a new entity :bar_chart that depicts the aggregated statistics.

The example also states that the activity :illustrationActivity was informed by the activity :aggregationActivity. Indeed, the former used the entity :aggregatedByRegions, which was generated by the latter.

Because the agent :derek was associated with the activities :aggregationActivity and :illustrationActivity, the entities generated by these activities, i.e., :aggregatedByRegions and :bar_chart, were also attributed to him.
Finally, the example states that the agent derek acted on behalf of the organization national_newspaper_inc.

Figure 2. A graphical illustration of the PROV-O in Example 1, showing how the three Starting Point classes relate.

The diagrams in this document depict Entities as yellow ovals, Activities as blue rectangles, and Agents as orange pentagons. The responsibility properties are shown in pink.

3.2 Expanded Terms

The terms introduced in this section provide additional ways to describe the provenance among Entities, Activities, and Agents. The additional terms are illustrated in the following figure and can be separated into five different categories.

The first category extends the Starting Point terms with subclasses, subproperties, and a superproperty. Three subclasses of Agent (prov:Person, prov:Organization, and prov:SoftwareAgent) and three subclasses of Entity are provided (prov:Collection, prov:Bundle, and prov:Plan).

A prov:Collection is an Entity that provides a structure (e.g. set, list, etc.) to some constituents (which are themselves Entities). The prov:Collection class can be used to express the provenance of the collection itself: e.g. who maintained the collection, which members it contained as it evolved, and how it was assembled. The prov:hadMember property is used to assert membership in a collection.

A prov:Bundle is a named set of provenance descriptions, which may itself have provenance. The named set of provenance descriptions may be expressed as PROV-O or any other form. The subclass of Bundle that names a set of PROV-O assertions is not provided by PROV-O, since it is more appropriate to do so using other recommendations, standards, or technologies. In any case, a Bundle of PROV-O assertions is an abstract set of RDF triples, and adding or removing a triple creates a new distinct Bundle of PROV-O assertions.

A prov:Plan is an entity that represents a set of actions or steps intended by one or more agents to achieve some goals.

More general and more specific properties are also provided by the expanded terms. More generally, the property prov:wasInfluencedBy is a superproperty that relates any influenced Entity, Activity, or Agent to any other influencing Entity, Activity, or Agent that had an effect on its characteristics. Three subproperties of prov:wasInfluencedBy are also provided for certain kinds of derivation among Entities: prov:wasQuotedFrom cites a potentially larger Entity (such as a book, blog, or image) from which a new Entity was created by repeating some or all of the original, prov:wasRevisionOf indicates that the derived Entity contains substantial content from the original Entity (e.g., two editions of a book), and prov:hadPrimarySource cites a preceding Entity produced by some agent with direct experience and knowledge about the topic (such as a reading from a sensor, or a journal written during an historical event).
The second category of expanded terms relates Entities according to their levels of abstraction, where some Entities may present more specific aspects than their more general counterparts. While prov: specializationOf links a more specific Entity to a more general one (e.g., today's BBC news home page versus BBC's news home page on any day), prov: AlternateOf links Entities that present aspects of the same thing, but not necessarily the same aspects or at the same time (e.g., the serialization of a document in different formats or a backup copy of a computer file).

The third category of expanded terms allows further description of Entities. The property prov:value provides a literal value that is a direct representation of an entity. For example, the prov:value of a quote could be a string of the sentences stated, or the prov:value of an Entity involved in a numeric calculation could be the xsd:integer four. The property prov:-instantiates can be used to describe the prov:hadPrimarySource of any Entity, Activity, Agent, or prov:InstantiatesEnum (i.e., the starting or ending of an activity or the generation, usage, or invalidation of an Entity). The properties used to describe instances of prov:location are outside the scope of PROV-O; reuse of other existing vocabulary is encouraged.

The fourth category of expanded terms describes the lifetime of an Entity beyond being generated by an Activity and used by other Activities. For example, a painting could not have been displayed before it was painted, and it could not be sold after it was destroyed by fire. Similar to how Activities have start and end times, an Entity may be bound by points in time for which it was generated or is no longer usable. The properties prov:generatedAtTime and prov:invalidatedAtTime can be used to bound the starting and ending moments of an Entity's existence. The Activities that led to the generation or invalidation of an Entity can be provided using prov:generatedBy and prov:invalidatedBy, respectively. prov:generated and prov:invalidated are the inverses of prov:hadGeneratedBy and prov:hadInvalidatedBy, respectively, and are defined to facilitate Activity-as-subject as well as Entity-as-subject descriptions. For more about inverses, see the Appendix B.

The fifth category of expanded terms describes the lifetime of an Activity beyond its start and end times and predecessor Activities. Activities may also be started or ended by Entities, which are described using the properties prov:startedBy and prov:endedBy, respectively. Since Entities may start or end Activities, and Agents may be Entities, then Agents may also start or end Activities.

The following examples illustrate the expanded terms by elaborating the crime chart example from the previous section. After aggregating the dataset and creating the chart, Derek published a post to exhibit his work.

Example 2:

```xml
<Example>
  @prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
  @prefix sioc: <http://rdfs.org/sioc/ns#> .
  @prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .

  -- a prov:Bundle, prov:Entity;
  prov:generatedAtTime "2011-07-16T02:02:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  --
  derek a prov:Person, prov:Agent;  # prov:Agent is inferred from prov:Person
  prov:givenName "Derek";
  prov:email "derek@example.org";
  prov:actOnBehalfOf :government ;
  a prov:Organization, prov:Agent;  # prov:Agent is inferred from prov:Organization
  foaf:name "National Newspaper, Inc.";

  -- a sioc:Post, prov:Entity;
  sioc:latest_version :post9821v2;
  sioc:previous_version :post9821v1;

  -- # Version 1 of the post
  :post9821v1 a sioc:Post, prov:Entity;
  prov:specializationOf :more-crime-happens-in-cities ;
  prov:permalink "http://www.example.com/post9821/post9821v1";
  sioc:title "More crime happens in cities";
  sioc:primarySource :crimeData;
  prov:hasPrimarySource :crimeData;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :derek;
  prov:generatedAtTime "2011-07-16T02:02:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;

  -- a publicationActivity1123
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:startedAtTime "2011-07-16T01:01:01Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:endedAtTime "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:hadPrimarySource :crimeData;
  prov:hasPrimarySource :crimeData;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :derek;

  -- aggregatedByRegions
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:location "<file://Users/aggr.txt>";

  -- a file:
  a sioc:PrimarySource;
  sioc:primarySource :crimeData;

  -- a crimeData
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:hasPrimarySource :government;
  prov:atLocation "<file://Users/aggr.txt>";

  -- a government
  a prov:Organization, prov:Agent;

  -- version 2
  :post9821v2 a sioc:Post, prov:Entity;
  prov:specializationOf :more-crime-happens-in-cities ;
  prov:permalink "http://www.example.com/post9821/post9821v2";
  sioc:title "More crime happens in cities";
  sioc:primarySource :crimeData;
  prov:hasPrimarySource :crimeData;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :postEditor;
  prov:generatedAtTime "2011-07-16T02:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;

  -- a Bundle, prov:Entity;
</Example>
```
Agent: derek, acting again on behalf of the national_newspaper_inc organization, used the postEditor tool to publish a post about his recent data analysis: aggregatedByRegions. The blog editing tool tracked Derek's actions as PROV-O assertions and published them as a Bundle (the current file <>). The tool recorded that derek started and ended the publishing activity (publicationActivity1123) that generated the post post9821v1. The post included a permanent link where the content of the latest version is available (more-crime-happens-in-cities) in addition to a textual snapshot of the current version (prov:value). Derek also included additional domain-specific descriptions of the post, such as its title.

Shortly after publishing the post, Derek noticed a typographical error in his narrative. Because the fix would be minimal, he did not record the activity that led to the new version. Instead, he related the new version (post9821v2) as a revision of the previous (post9821v1). Since both versions of the blog are forms of the long-standing blog permalink: more-crime-happens-in-cities, the revisions are alternates of one another and each is a prov:specializationOf of: more-crime-happens-in-cities.

An illustration of the PROV-O assertions in Example 2, where Derek published two versions of a blog for the National Newspaper, Inc. The diagrams in this document depict Entities as yellow ovals, Activities as blue rectangles, and Agents as orange pentagons. The responsibility properties are shown in pink.

Shortly after Derek published his blog post, Monica adapted the text for a wider audience in a new post (post9822). This rewrite is an alternate, abbreviated view of the same topic that Derek wrote about and was created from his original text. Since the provenance produced by the activities of Derek and Monica corresponded to different user views, the system automatically published it in a different prov:Bundle. The tool also asserted provenance about the bundle that it produced (e.g., the date of creation, its creator, and the fact that it Derek’s bundle was used). Because a bundle is a kind of entity, all provenance assertions that can be made about entities can also be made about bundles. The use of bundles enables the creation of provenance of provenance.

Example 3:
Example

```prolog
PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
PREFIX foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
PREFIX prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
PREFIX : <http://www.example.org#> .
@base <http://www.example.com/monica-bundle.ttl> .

@<>< a prov:Bundle, prov:Entity;
prov:wasAttributedTo :postEditor;
prov:wasDerivedFrom <http://www.example.com/derek-bundle.ttl> .
prov:generatedAtTime "2011-07-16T03:03:03Z"^^xsd:dateTime .

:post9822 a sioc:Post, prov:Entity;
sioc:title "More crime happens in cities (for dummies)";
prov:wasRevisionOf :post9821v2;
prov:wasAttributedTo :monica;
prov:alternateOf :more-crime-happens-in-cities ;
prov:wasRevisionOf :post9821v2;
prov:wasAttributedTo :monica;
prov:wasRevisionOf :post9821v2 .
```

After some time, John wrote his own conclusions in his own post (post19201) quoting the previous two posts. Each quote that John makes (quote_from_monica and quote_from_derek) is a new entity derived from the previous blogs and is annotated with the time that the quote was taken. The provenance of John’s blog notes that his post is the result of the quotes that he took from Derek and Monica. The blog post is also derived from Derek's aggregatedByRegions dataset because John inspected it and found a concern that he discusses in his blog. All the
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provenance statements related to John’s post are grouped in a new `prov:Bundle`.

**Example 4:**

```xml
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
@prefix sioc: <http://rdfs.org/sioc/ns#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://www.example.org#> .
@base         <http://www.example.com/john-bundle.ttl> .

<>
  a prov:Bundle, prov:Entity;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :postEditor;
  prov:wasDerivedFrom <http://www.example.com/derek-bundle.ttl>,
                         <http://www.example.com/monica-bundle.ttl>;
  prov:generatedAtTime "2012-08-08T08:08:08Z"^^xsd:dateTime;

:publicationActivity1124
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :postEditor,
                      :john;
  prov:generated :post19201;

:post19201
  a sioc:Post, prov:Entity;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :john;
  prov:value "I'm not so sure that...";
  prov:wasDerivedFrom :quote_from_derek,
                        :quote_from_monica,
                        :aggregatedByRegions;
  prov:wasGeneratedBy :publicationActivity1124;

:john
  a prov:Person, prov:Agent;
  foaf:name "John";

:quote_from_derek
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:value "Analysis of the datasets demonstrates that there is more crime."
                     "More crime is the result of more crime."
                     "More crime is the result of more crime."
  prov:wasQuotedFrom :more-crime-happens-in-cities;
  prov:generatedAtTime "2012-08-08T01:01:01Z"^^xsd:dateTime;

:quote_from_monica
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:value "In summary, there are clearly more crimes in the country."
                     "More crime is the result of more crime."
         "More crime is the result of more crime."
  prov:wasQuotedFrom :post9822;
  prov:generatedAtTime "2012-08-08T02:02:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;

Unfortunately, there was a problem in the servers where :post19201 was being stored, and all the data related to the post was lost permanently. Thus, the system invalidated the entity automatically and notified John about the error.

**Example 5:**

```xml
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
@prefix sioc: <http://rdfs.org/sioc/ns#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.org#> .

:post19201
  a sioc:Post, prov:Entity;
  prov:invalidatedAtTime "2012-09-02T01:31:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:wasInvalidatedBy :hard_disk_failure;

:hard_disk_failure
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:endedAtTime "2012-09-02T01:31:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
```

### 3.3 Qualified Terms

The Qualified Terms category is the result of applying the **Qualification Pattern** [LD-Patterns-QR] to the simple (unqualified) relations available in the Starting Point and Expanded categories. The terms in this category are for users who wish to provide further details about the provenance-related influence among Entities, Activities, and Agents.

The Qualification Pattern restates an unqualified influence relation by using an intermediate class that represents the influence between two resources. This new instance, in turn, can be annotated with additional descriptions of the influence that one resource had upon another. The following two tables list the influence relations that can be qualified using the Qualification Pattern, along with the properties used to qualify them. For example, the second row of the first table indicates that to elaborate how an `prov:Activity` `prov:used` a particular `prov:Entity`, one creates an instance of `prov:Usage` that indicates the influencing entity with the `prov:entity` property. Meanwhile, the influenced `prov:Activity` indicates the `prov:Usage` with the property `prov:qualifiedUsage`. The resulting structure that qualifies the an Activity’s usage of an Entity is illustrated in Figure 4a below.

Seven **Starting Point** relations can be further described using the Qualification Pattern. They are listed in the following normative table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influenced Class</th>
<th>Unqualified Influence</th>
<th>Influencing Class</th>
<th>Qualification Property</th>
<th>Qualified Influence</th>
<th>Influencer Property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>prov:Entity</td>
<td>prov:wasGeneratedBy</td>
<td>prov:Activity</td>
<td>prov:qualifiedGeneration</td>
<td>prov:Generation</td>
<td>prov:activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:Entity</td>
<td>prov:wasDerivedFrom</td>
<td>prov:Entity</td>
<td>prov:qualifiedDerivation</td>
<td>prov:Derivation</td>
<td>prov:entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:Entity</td>
<td>prov:wasAttributedTo</td>
<td>prov:Agent</td>
<td>prov:qualifiedAttribution</td>
<td>prov:Attribution</td>
<td>prov:agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:Activity</td>
<td>prov:used</td>
<td>prov:Entity</td>
<td>prov:qualifiedUsage</td>
<td>prov:Usage</td>
<td>prov:entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:Activity</td>
<td>prov:wasInformedBy</td>
<td>prov:Activity</td>
<td>prov:qualifiedCommunication</td>
<td>prov:Communication</td>
<td>prov:activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:Activity</td>
<td>prov:wasAssociatedWith</td>
<td>prov:Agent</td>
<td>prov:qualifiedAssociation</td>
<td>prov:Association</td>
<td>prov:agent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Seven \textit{Expanded} relations can be further described using the Qualification Pattern. They are listed in the following normative table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influenced Class</th>
<th>Unqualified Influence</th>
<th>Influencing Class</th>
<th>Qualification Property</th>
<th>Qualified Influence</th>
<th>Influencer Property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:wasInfluencedBy}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Agent}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedInfluence}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:influencer}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Agent}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedGeneration}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedGeneration}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedRevision}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedRevision}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedQuotation}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedQuotation}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedPrimarySource}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedPrimarySource}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedQuotation}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedQuotation}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedPrimarySource}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:qualifiedPrimarySource}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:entity}</td>
<td>\textit{prov:Activity}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The qualification classes and properties shown in the previous two tables can also be found in the normative cross reference in the next section of this document. All influence classes (e.g. \textit{prov:Association}, \textit{prov:Usage}) are extensions of \textit{prov:Influence} and either \textit{prov:EntityInfluence}, \textit{prov:ActivityInfluence}, or \textit{prov:AgentInfluence}, which determine the property used to cite the influencing resource (either \textit{prov:entity}, \textit{prov:activity}, or \textit{prov:agent}, respectively). Because \textit{prov:Influence} is a broad relation, its most specific subclasses (e.g. \textit{prov:Communication}, \textit{prov:Delegation}, \textit{prov:end}, \textit{prov:Revision}, etc.) should be used when applicable.

Example 6:

For example, given the unqualified statement:

\begin{verbatim}
:el a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasGeneratedBy :al;

:al a prov:Activity .
\end{verbatim}

One can find that \textit{prov:wasGeneratedBy}, can be qualified using the qualification property \textit{prov:qualifiedGeneration}, the class \textit{prov:Generation} (a subclass of \textit{prov:ActivityInfluence}), and the property \textit{prov:activity}. From this, the influence relation above can be restated with the qualification pattern as:

\begin{verbatim}
:el a prov:Entity;
  prov:qualifiedGeneration :elGen; # Add the qualification.

:elGen a prov:Generation;
  prov:activity :al; # Cite the influencing Activity.
  prov:entity :el; # Describe the Activity :al's influence upon the Entity :el.

:al a prov:Activity .
\end{verbatim}

The asseter can thus attach additional properties to \texttt{:elGen} to describe the generation of \texttt{:el} by \texttt{:al}.

As can be seen in this example, qualifying an influence relation provides a second form (e.g. \texttt{:el prov:qualifiedGeneration :elGen}) to express an equivalent influence relation (e.g. \texttt{:el prov:wasGeneratedBy :al}). It is correct and acceptable for an implementer to use either qualified or unqualified forms as they choose (or both), and a consuming application should be prepared to recognize either form. Consuming applications \textit{should} recognize both qualified and unqualified forms, and treat the qualified form as implying the unqualified form. Because the qualification form is more verbose, the unqualified form should be favored in cases where additional properties are not provided. When the qualified form is expressed, including the equivalent unqualified form can facilitate PROV-O consumption, and is thus encouraged.

In addition to the previous two tables, Figure 4 illustrates the classes and properties needed to apply the qualification pattern to ten of the fourteen qualifiable influence relations. For example, while \textit{prov:qualifiedAssociation}, \textit{prov:Association}, and \textit{prov:entity} are used to qualify \textit{prov:used} relations, \textit{prov:qualifiedAssociation}, \textit{prov:Association}, and \textit{prov:entity} are used to qualify \textit{prov:wasAssociatedWith} relations. This pattern applies to the twelve other influence relations that can be qualified.

In subfigure a the \textit{prov:qualifiedUsage} property parallels the \textit{prov:used} property and references an instance of \textit{prov:Usage}, which in turn provides attributes of the \textit{prov:use} relation between the Activity and Entity. The \textit{prov:entity} property is used to cite the Entity that was used by the Activity. In this case, the time that the Activity used the Entity is provided using the \textit{prov:atTime} and a literal \texttt{xsd:dateTime} value. The \textit{prov:atTime} property can be used to describe any \textit{prov:instantaneousEvent} (including \textit{prov:start}, \textit{prov:generation}, \textit{prov:usage}, \textit{prov:invalidation}, and \textit{prov:end}).

Similarly in subfigure j, the \textit{prov:qualifiedAssociation} property parallels the \textit{prov:wasAssociatedWith} property and references an instance of \textit{prov:Association}, which in turn provides attributes of the \textit{prov:wasAssociatedWith} relation between the Activity and Agent. The \textit{prov:Agent} property is used to cite the Agent that influenced the Activity. In this case, the plan of actions and steps that the Agent used to achieve its goals is provided using the \textit{prov:hadPlan} property and an instance of \textit{prov:Plan}. Further, the \textit{prov:hasPlan} property and \textit{prov:Plan} class can be used to describe the function that the agent served with respect to the Activity. Both \textit{prov:Plan} and \textit{prov:Role} are left to be extended by applications.
Figure 4: Illustration of the properties and classes to use (in blue) to qualify the starting point and expanded influence relations (dotted black).

The diagrams in this document depict Entities as ovals, Activities as rectangles, and Agents as pentagons. Quotation, Revision, and PrimarySource are omitted because they are special forms of Derivation and follow the same pattern as subfigure g.

The following two examples show the result of applying the Usage and Association patterns to the chart-making example from Section 3.1.

Example 8: Qualified Usage

The prov:qualifiedUsage property parallels the prov:used property to provide an additional description to :illustrationActivity. The instance of prov:Usage cites the data used (:aggregatedByRegions) and the time the activity used it (2011-07-14T03:03:03Z).
Example 9: Qualified Association

The `prov:qualifiedAssociation` property parallels the `prov:wasAssociatedWith` property to provide an additional description about the `illustrationActivity` that Derek influenced. The instance of `prov:Association` cites the influencing agent (Derek) that followed the instructions (tutorial blog). Further, Derek served the role of `illustrationist` during the activity.

Example 10: Qualified Generation

The `prov:qualifiedGeneration` property parallels the `prov:wasGeneratedBy` property to provide an additional description to `bar_chart`. The instance of `prov:Generation` cites the time (2011-07-14T15:52:14Z) that the activity (`illustrationActivity`) generated the chart (`bar_chart`).

Example 11: Qualified Derivation

The `prov:qualifiedDerivation` property parallels the `prov:wasDerivedFrom` property to provide an additional description to `bar_chart`. The instance of `prov:Derivation` cites the activity (`illustrationActivity`) and the Usages and Generations that the activity conducted to create the `bar_chart`. 
4. Cross reference for PROV-O classes and properties

This section provides details for each class and property defined by the PROV Ontology, grouped by the categories described above:

- **Starting Point Terms**
- **Expanded Terms**
- **Qualified Terms**

The superscripts \(^{op}\) and \(^{dp}\) denote that a property is an OWL object property or data property, respectively.

Each PROV-O term in this cross reference links to the corresponding PROV-DM concept. The PROV-DM's table Cross-References to PROV-O and PROV-N provides an overview of the correspondences between PROV-O and PROV-DM.

The qualification classes and properties shown in Table 2 and Table 3 of the previous section can also be found in each entry of this cross reference. If the property can be qualified, the can be qualified with header indicates the qualifying property and influence class that should be used. Conversely, the qualifies headers in the listings for qualification terms indicate the unqualified property that they qualify. In the OWL file itself, the annotation properties prov:qualifiedForm and prov:unqualifiedForm provide the same linkages between the unqualified properties and their qualifying terms.

Most examples shown in this cross reference are encoded using the Turtle RDF serialization. When it is convenient to do so (e.g., when an example describes a prov:Bundle), it may use the [TRIG] syntax. Although this document does not specify how to encode Bundles in RDF, TriG’s named graph construct is used only to illustrate the concept of creating a named set of PROV assertions. Note that all examples are non-normative.

4.1 Starting Point Terms

The classes and properties that provide a basis for all other PROV-O terms are discussed in Section 3.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>IRI</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>prov:Entity</strong></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Entity">http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Entity</a></td>
<td>An entity is a physical, digital, conceptual, or other kind of thing with some fixed aspects; entities may be real or imaginary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example**

```
@prefix rdfs:    <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:     <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:     <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov:    <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix dcterms: <http://purl.org/dc/terms/> .
@prefix :        <http://example.com/> .

:bar_chart a prov:Entity;
   dcterms:title "Aggregated statistics from the crime file"^^xsd:string;
   prov:wasAttributedTo :derek;
.
@derek a prov:Agent .
```

**described with properties:**

- prov:invalidatedAtTime \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasAttributedTo \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedGeneration \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasGeneratedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasDerivedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:specializationOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedDerivation \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedInvalidation \(^{op}\)
- prov:generatedAtTime \(^{dp}\)
- prov:qualifiedQuotation \(^{op}\)
- prov:hadPrimarySource \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedPrimarySource \(^{op}\)
- prov:alternateOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:value \(^{dp}\)
- prov:wasInvalidatedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedAttribution \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasQuotedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedRevision \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasRevisionOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasInfluencedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedInfluence \(^{op}\)
- prov:atLocation \(^{op}\)

**in range of**

- prov:hadPrimarySource \(^{op}\)
- prov:generated \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasDerivedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:entity \(^{op}\)
- prov:specializationOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:invalidated \(^{op}\)
- prov:used \(^{op}\)
- prov:hadMember \(^{op}\)
- prov:alternateOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasStartedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasQuotedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasEndedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasRevisionOf \(^{op}\)

**has subclasses**

- prov:Collection
- prov:Plan
- prov:Bundle

**PROV-DM term**

entity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>IRI</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>prov:Activity</strong></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Activity">http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Activity</a></td>
<td>An activity is something that occurs over a period of time and acts upon or with entities; it may include consuming, processing, transforming, modifying, relocating, using, or generating entities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example**

```
@prefix rdfs:    <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:     <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:     <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov:    <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :        <http://example.com/> .

:bar_chart a prov:Activity;
   dcterms:title "Aggregated statistics from the crime file"^^xsd:string;
   prov:wasAttributedTo :derek;
.
@derek a prov:Agent .
```

**described with properties:**

- prov:invalidatedAtTime \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasDerivedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasAttributedTo \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedGeneration \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasGeneratedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasDerivedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:specializationOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedDerivation \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedInvalidation \(^{op}\)
- prov:generatedAtTime \(^{dp}\)
- prov:qualifiedQuotation \(^{op}\)
- prov:hadPrimarySource \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedPrimarySource \(^{op}\)
- prov:alternateOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:value \(^{dp}\)
- prov:wasInvalidatedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedAttribution \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasQuotedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedRevision \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasRevisionOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasInfluencedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:qualifiedInfluence \(^{op}\)
- prov:atLocation \(^{op}\)

**in range of**

- prov:hadPrimarySource \(^{op}\)
- prov:generated \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasDerivedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:entity \(^{op}\)
- prov:specializationOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:invalidated \(^{op}\)
- prov:used \(^{op}\)
- prov:hadMember \(^{op}\)
- prov:alternateOf \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasStartedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasQuotedFrom \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasEndedBy \(^{op}\)
- prov:wasRevisionOf \(^{op}\)

**has subclasses**

- prov:Collection
- prov:Plan
- prov:Bundle
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com#> .

:graduation a prov:Activity, :Graduation;
  prov:startedAtTime "2012-04-15T13:00:00-04:00"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:used :ms_smith;
  prov:generated :doctor_smith;
  prov:endedAtTime "2012-04-15T14:00:00-04:00"^^xsd:dateTime;

:ms_smith a prov:Entity .
:doctor_smith a prov:Entity .

described with properties:
  prov:generated op, prov:qualifiedAssociation op, prov:wasAssociatedWith op, prov:qualifiedEnd op, prov:wasEndedBy op, prov:qualifiedUsage op, prov:used op, prov:invalidated op, prov:endedAtTime op, prov:qualifiedCommunication op

in range of
  prov:activity op, prov:wasInformedBy op, prov:wasGeneratedBy op, prov:hadActivity op, prov:wasInvalidatedBy op

PROV-DM term
Activity

(3) Class: prov:Agent
An agent is something that bears some form of responsibility for an activity taking place, for the existence of an entity, or for another agent's activity.

Example

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:derek
  a prov:Agent, prov:Person;
  foaf:givenName "Derek"^^xsd:string;
  foaf:mbox <mailto:derek@example.org>;
  foaf:homePage <http://derek.example.com>;
  prov:actedOnBehalfOf :national_newspaper_inc;

:national_newspaper_inc
  a prov:Agent, prov:Organization;
  foaf:name "National Newspaper, Inc.";

described with properties:
  prov:actedOnBehalfOf op, prov:qualifiedDelegation op
  prov:wasInfluencedBy op, prov:qualifiedInfluence op, prov:atLocation op

in range of
  prov:actedOnBehalfOf op, prov:agent op, prov:wasAssociatedWith op, prov:wasAttributedTo op

has subclasses
  prov:Organization, prov:Person, prov:SoftwareAgent

PROV-DM term
agent

(4) Property: prov:wasGeneratedBy op
Generation is the completion of production of a new entity by an activity. This entity did not exist before generation and becomes available for usage after this generation.

Example

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:bar_chart
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasGeneratedBy :illustrating;

:illustrating a prov:Activity .

has super-properties
  prov:wasInfluencedBy op

has domain
  prov:Entity

has range
• prov:Activity
can be qualified with
• prov:Generation
• prov:qualifiedGeneration

PROV-DM term
  Generation

(5) Property: prov:wasDerivedFrom

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasDerivedFrom

A derivation is a transformation of an entity into another, an update of an entity resulting in a new one, or the construction of a new entity based on a pre-existing entity.

Example

prefix rdfs: .
prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
```

The more specific subproperties of prov:wasDerivedFrom (i.e., prov:wasQuotedFrom, prov:wasRevisionOf, prov:hadPrimarySource) should be used when applicable.

has super-properties
• prov:wasInfluencedBy

has domain
• prov:Entity

has range
• prov:Entity

has sub-properties
• prov:hadPrimarySource
• prov:wasQuotedFrom
• prov:wasRevisionOf

(6) Property: prov:wasAttributedTo

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasAttributedTo

Attribution is the ascribing of an entity to an agent.

Example

prefix rdfs: .
prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
```

Attribution is the ascribing of an entity to an agent.

has super-properties
• prov:wasInfluencedBy

has domain
• prov:Entity
(7) Property: **prov:startedAtTime**

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#startedAtTime

Start is when an activity is deemed to have been started by an entity, known as trigger. The activity did not exist before its start. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity follows the activity’s start. A start may refer to a trigger entity that set off the activity, or to an activity, known as starter, that generated the trigger.

**Example**

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:geneSequencing
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:startedAtTime "2012-04-25T01:30:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:used              :drosophilaSample-84;
  prov:wasAssociatedWith :lab-technician-GH-32;
  prov:endedAtTime   "2012-04-25T03:40:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
.
:drosophilaSample-84  a prov:Entity .
:lab-technician-GH-32 a prov:Agent .
```

The time at which an activity started. See also prov:endedAtTime.

**has domain**

- prov:Activity

**has range**

- http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime

**can be qualified with**

- prov:Start
- prov:atTime

**PROV-DM term**

- Start

(8) Property: **prov:used**

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#used

Usage is the beginning of utilizing an entity by an activity. Before usage, the activity had not begun to utilize this entity and could not have been affected by the entity.

**Example**

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:sortActivity
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:atTime     "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:used       :datasetA;
  prov:generated  :datasetB;
.
:datasetA  a prov:Entity.
:datasetB  a prov:Entity.

# See qualified Usage for example on how the role of :datasetA can be described for this Activity
```

A prov:Entity that was used by this prov:Activity. For example, :baking prov:used :spoon, :egg, :oven .

**has super-properties**

- prov:wasInfluencedBy

**has domain**

- prov:Activity

**has range**

- prov:Entity

**can be qualified with**

- prov:Usage
- prov:qualifiedUsage

**PROV-DM term**

- Usage
(9) Property: prov:wasInformedBy

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasInformedBy

Communication is the exchange of an entity by two activities, one activity using the entity generated by the other.

Example

```reasoning
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:writing-celebrity-gossip a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasInformedBy :voicemail-interception .
:voicemail-interception a prov:Activity .
```

An activity a2 is dependent on or informed by another activity a1, by way of some unspecified entity that is generated by a1 and used by a2.

has super-properties
- prov:wasInfluencedBy

has domain
- prov:Activity

has range
- prov:Activity

can be qualified with
- prov:Communication
  - prov:qualifiedCommunication

PROV-DM term
- Communication

(10) Property: prov:endedAtTime

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#endedAtTime

End is when an activity is deemed to have been ended by an entity, known as trigger. The activity no longer exists after its end. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity precedes the activity's end. An end may refer to a trigger entity that terminated the activity, or to an activity, known as ender that generated the trigger.

Example

```reasoning
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:geneSequencing a prov:Activity;
  prov:startedAtTime "2012-04-25T01:30:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:used :drosophilaSample-84;
  prov:wasAssociatedWith :lab-technician-GH-32;
  prov:endedAtTime "2012-04-25T03:40:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
:lab-technician-GH-32 a prov:Agent .
```

The time at which an activity ended. See also prov:startedAtTime.

has domain
- prov:Activity

has range
- http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime

can be qualified with
- prov:End
  - prov:atTime

PROV-DM term
- End

(11) Property: prov:wasAssociatedWith

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasAssociatedWith

An activity association is an assignment of responsibility to an agent for an activity, indicating that the agent had a role in the activity. It further allows for a plan to be specified, which is the plan intended by the agent to achieve some goals in the context of this activity.

Example

---

 Usage

 (9) Property: prov:wasInformedBy пров

 IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasInformedBy

 Communication is the exchange of an entity by two activities, one activity using the entity generated by the other.

 Example

 ```reasoning
 @prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
 @prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
 @prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
 @prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
 @prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
 :writing-celebrity-gossip a prov:Activity;
   prov:wasInformedBy :voicemail-interception .
 :voicemail-interception a prov:Activity .
 ```

 An activity a2 is dependent on or informed by another activity a1, by way of some unspecified entity that is generated by a1 and used by a2.

 has super-properties
 - prov:wasInfluencedBy

 has domain
 - prov:Activity

 has range
 - prov:Activity

 can be qualified with
 - prov:Communication
   - prov:qualifiedCommunication

 PROV-DM term
 - Communication

 (10) Property: prov:endedAtTime пров

 IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#endedAtTime

 End is when an activity is deemed to have been ended by an entity, known as trigger. The activity no longer exists after its end. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity precedes the activity's end. An end may refer to a trigger entity that terminated the activity, or to an activity, known as ender that generated the trigger.

 Example

 ```reasoning
 @prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
 @prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
 @prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
 @prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
 @prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
 :geneSequencing a prov:Activity;
   prov:startedAtTime "2012-04-25T01:30:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
   prov:used :drosophilaSample-84;
   prov:wasAssociatedWith :lab-technician-GH-32;
   prov:endedAtTime "2012-04-25T03:40:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
 :lab-technician-GH-32 a prov:Agent .
 ```

 The time at which an activity ended. See also prov:startedAtTime.

 has domain
 - prov:Activity

 has range
 - http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime

 can be qualified with
 - prov:End
   - prov:atTime

 PROV-DM term
 - End

 (11) Property: prov:wasAssociatedWith пров

 IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasAssociatedWith

 An activity association is an assignment of responsibility to an agent for an activity, indicating that the agent had a role in the activity. It further allows for a plan to be specified, which is the plan intended by the agent to achieve some goals in the context of this activity.

 Example

 ---
An prov:Agent that had some (unspecified) responsibility for the occurrence of this prov:Activity.

**has super-properties**
- prov:wasInfluencedBy

**has domain**
- prov:Activity

**has range**
- prov:Agent

**can be qualified with**
- prov:Association
- prov:qualifiedAssociation

PROV-DM term

Association

(12) Property: **prov:actedOnBehalfOf**

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#actedOnBehalfOf

Delegation is the assignment of authority and responsibility to an agent (by itself or by another agent) to carry out a specific activity as a delegate or representative, while the agent it acts on behalf retains some responsibility for the outcome of the delegated work. For example, a student acted on behalf of his supervisor, who acted on behalf of the department chair, who acted on behalf of the university; all those agents are responsible in some way for the activity that took place but we do not say explicitly who bears responsibility and to what degree.

**Example**

```prolog
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:derek a prov:Agent; 
  foaf:givenName "Derek"^^xsd:string; 
  foaf:mbox <mailto:derek@example.org>; 
  prov:actedOnBehalfOf :national_newspaper_inc; 

:national_newspaper_inc a prov:Agent, prov:Organization; 
  foaf:name "National Newspaper, Inc.";
```

An object property to express the accountability of an agent towards another agent. The subordinate agent acted on behalf of the responsible agent in an actual activity.

**has super-properties**
- prov:wasInfluencedBy

**has domain**
- prov:Agent

**has range**
- prov:Agent

**can be qualified with**
- prov:Delegation
- prov:qualifiedDelegation

PROV-DM term

delegation

4.2 Expanded Terms

The additional terms used to describe relations among Starting Point classes are discussed in Section 3.2.
A collection is an entity that provides a structure to some constituents, which are themselves entities. These constituents are said to be members of the collections.

Example

```rdflib
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix dcterms: <http://purl.org/dc/terms/> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

:today's-us-supreme-court
  a prov:Collection, :RobertsCourt;
  prov:qualifiedGeneration [  
    a prov:Generation;
    # The generation is being qualified to be imprecise;
    # prov:generatedAtTime and prov:atTime specify exact instants in time.
    dcterms:date "2012"^^xsd:gYear;
  ];
  prov:hadMember  
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/John_Glover_Roberts,_Jr.>,
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Antonin_Scalia>,
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Anthony_Kennedy>,
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Clarence_Thomas>,
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Ruth_Bader_Ginsburg>,
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Stephen_Breyer>,
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Samuel_Alito>,
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Sonia_Sotomayor>,
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Elena_Kagan>;  
  prov:wasDerivedFrom :the-first-us-supreme-court;
  dcterms:description :copied-string;
  :copied-string
    a prov:Entity;
    prov:wasQuotedFrom :page-by-composition;
  .

:page-by-seat  
  a prov:Entity, ex:WikipediaPage;
  prov:generatedAtTime "2011-08-31T12:51:00"^^xsd:dateTime;
  .

:page-by-composition  
  a prov:Entity, ex:WikipediaPage;
  prov:generatedAtTime "2012-05-16T14:33:00"^^xsd:dateTime;
  .
```

is subclass of
provenanceEntity
described with properties:
prov:hadMember 56
has subclass
prov:EmptyCollection
PROV-DM term
collection

(14) Class: prov:EmptyCollection

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#EmptyCollection

An empty collection is a collection without members.

Example

```rdflib
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

: : a prov:EmptyCollection .  
# The collection is believed to not contain members.
```

is subclass of
prov:Collection
described with properties:
prov:hadMember 56

(15) Class: prov:Bundle

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Bundle

A bundle is a named set of provenance descriptions, and is itself an Entity, so allowing provenance of provenance to be expressed.

Example

```rdflib
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix my: <http://example.com/my#> .

```

Back to expanded classes
Note that there are kinds of bundles (e.g. handwritten letters, audio recordings, etc.) that are not expressed in PROV-O, but can be still be described by PROV-O.

is subclass of prov:Entity

PROV-DM term bundle-entity

(16) Class: prov:Person

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Person

Person agents are people.

Example

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
prefix : <http://example.com/> .
```

is subclass of prov:Agent
described with properties:

prov:qualifiedDelegation op, prov:actedOnBehalfOf op

PROV-DM term agent

(17) Class: prov:SoftwareAgent

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#SoftwareAgent

A software agent is running software.

Example

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
prefix : <http://example.com/> .
# Googlebot is Google's web crawling bot; it can initiate and participate in web-crawling activities.
:googlebot a prov:SoftwareAgent; rdfs:label "Googlebot"^^xsd:string;```

is subclass of prov:Agent
described with properties:

prov:qualifiedDelegation op, prov:actedOnBehalfOf op

PROV-DM term agent

(18) Class: prov:Organization

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Organization

An organization is a social or legal institution such as a company, society, etc.

Example

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .```
is subclass of
prov:Agent

described with properties:

prov:qualifiedDelegation^^op, prov:actedOnBehalfOf^^op

PROV-DM term
agent

(19) Class: prov:Location

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Location

A location can be an identifiable geographic place (ISO 19112), but it can also be a non-geographic place such as a directory, row, or column. As such, there are numerous ways in which location can be expressed, such as by a coordinate, address, landmark, and so forth.

Example

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

# A Location can be a path or a geographical location.
:post9821
  a prov:Entity, sioc:Post;
  prov:wasGeneratedBy :publicationActivity1123;
  prov:atLocation :more-crime-happens-in-cities;
  prov:qualifiedGeneration [
    a prov:Generation;
    prov:activity     :publicationActivity1123;
    prov:atTime     "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
    prov:atLocation <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Madrid>;
  ];

:publicationActivity1123      a prov:Activity.
:more-crime-happens-in-cities a prov:Location.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Madrid> a prov:Location.
```

in range of
prov:atLocation^^op

PROV-DM term
attribute-location

(20) Property: prov:alternateOf^^op

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#alternateOf

Two alternate entities present aspects of the same thing. These aspects may be the same or different, and the alternate entities may or may not overlap in time.

Example

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:bbc a prov:Agent .

:london_forecast_0412
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :bbc;
  prov:wasGeneratedBy [
    a prov:Activity;
    prov:endedAtTime "2012-04-12T00:00:00-04:00"^^xsd:dateTime;
  ];
  prov:alternateOf :london_forecast_0413;

:london_forecast_0413
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :bbc;
  prov:wasGeneratedBy [
    a prov:Activity;
    prov:endedAtTime "2012-04-13T00:00:00-04:00"^^xsd:dateTime;
  ];
  prov:alternateOf :london_forecast_0412;
```

# :london_forecast_0412 and :london_forecast_0413 are both
# specialization of the more general entity :london_forecast

#:london_forecast_0412
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :bbc;

#:london_forecast_0413
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasAttributedTo :bbc;

#:london_forecast_0412
  prov:specializationOf :london_forecast;

#:london_forecast_0413
  prov:specializationOf :london_forecast;
```
(21) Property: prov:specializationOf

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#specializationOf

An entity that is a specialization of another shares all aspects of the latter, and additionally presents more specific aspects of the same thing as the latter. In particular, the lifetime of the entity being specialized contains that of any specialization. Examples of aspects include a time period, an abstraction, and a context associated with the entity.

Example

``` prefixes { rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> . xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> . owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> . prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> . :prefix : <http://example.com/> . } :london_forecast_0412 a prov:Entity ; prov:wasAttributedTo :bbc ; prov:wasGeneratedBy [ a prov:Activity ; prov:endedAtTime "2012-04-12T00:00:00-04:00"^^xsd:dateTime ; ] ; :london_forecast_0413 a prov:Entity ; prov:wasAttributedTo :bbc ; prov:wasGeneratedBy [ a prov:Activity ; prov:endedAtTime "2012-04-13T00:00:00-04:00"^^xsd:dateTime ; ] ; :london_forecast a prov:Entity ; prov:wasAttributedTo :bbc ; . # :london_forecast_0412 and :london_forecast_0413 are both specialization of the more general entity :london_forecast ; :london_forecast_0412 prov:alternateOf :london_forecast_0413 ; :london_forecast_0413 prov:specializationOf :london_forecast ; } has super-properties
• prov:alternateOf

has domain
• prov:Entity

has range
• prov:Entity

PROV-DM term
specialization

(22) Property: prov:generatedAtTime

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#generatedAtTime

Generation is the completion of production of a new entity by an activity. This entity did not exist before generation and becomes available for usage after this generation.

Example

• prov:Entity
has range
  • http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime

can be qualified with
  • prov:Generation
  • prov:atTime

PROV-DM term
Generation

(23) Property: prov:hadPrimarySource

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#hadPrimarySource

A primary source for a topic refers to something produced by some agent with direct experience and knowledge about the topic, at the time of the topic's study, without benefit from hindsight. Because of the directness of primary sources, they 'speak for themselves' in ways that cannot be captured through the filter of secondary sources. As such, it is important for secondary sources to reference those primary sources from which they were derived, so that their reliability can be investigated. A primary source relation is a particular case of derivation of secondary materials from their primary sources. It is recognized that the determination of primary sources can be up to interpretation, and should be done according to conventions accepted within the application's domain.

Example

```plaintext
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:     <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:     <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix foaf:    <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
@prefix prov:    <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix lang:    <http://lexvo.org/id/iso639-3/> .
@prefix dcterms: <http://purl.org/dc/terms/> .
@prefix frbr:    <http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#> .
@prefix :        <http://example.com/> .

## Having an primary source is a particular case of derivation.
<http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/996> a prov:Entity, frbr:Work;
  dcterms:title          "Don Quixote";
  prov:wasAttributedTo   :ormsby;
  dcterms:language       lang:eng;
  prov:hadPrimarySource <http://cultura.linkeddata.es/BNE/resource/C1001/XX2197892>;
.
#### The English version book is a translation that is based on the original Spanish book
  prov:wasAttributedTo :cervantes;
  dcterms:language     lang:spa;
.
:cervantes
  a prov:Person;
  foaf:name "Miguel de Cervantes";
.
:ormsby
  a prov:Person;
  foaf:name "John Ormsby";
.
```

has super-properties
  • prov:wasDerivedFrom

has domain
  • prov:Entity

has range
  • prov:Entity

can be qualified with
  • prov:qualifiedPrimarySource
  • prov:PrimarySource

PROV-DM term
primary-source

(24) Property: prov:value

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#value

Provides a value that is a direct representation of an entity.

Example

```plaintext
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:copied-string
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:value
  prov:wasQuotedFrom <http://purl.org/twc/page/wikipedia/us-supreme-court-by-composition>;
.
```

has domain
  • prov:Entity
(25) Property: prov:wasQuotedFrom

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasQuotedFrom

A quotation is the repeat of (some or all of) an entity, such as text or image, by someone who may or may not be its original author. Quotation is a particular case of derivation.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:bl-dagstuhl
a prov:Entity;
prov:value "During the workshop, it became clear to me that the consensus based models (which are often graphical in nature) can not only be formalized but also be directly connected to these database focused formalizations. I just needed to get over the differences in syntax. This could imply that we could have nice way to trace provenance across systems and through databases and be able to understand the mathematical properties of this interconnection.";
prov:wasQuotedFrom <http://purl.org/twc/page/thoughts-from-the-dagstuhl-workshop>;
.

<http://purl.org/twc/page/thoughts-from-the-dagstuhl-workshop>
a prov:Entity;
.
```

An entity is derived from an original entity by copying, or 'quoting', some or all of it.

has super-properties
- prov:wasDerivedFrom

has domain
- prov:Entity

has range
- prov:Entity

can be qualified with
- prov:qualifiedQuotation

PROV-DM term
quotation

(26) Property: prov:wasRevisionOf

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasRevisionOf

A revision is a derivation for which the resulting entity is a revised version of some original. The implication here is that the resulting entity contains substantial content from the original. Revision is a particular case of derivation.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix sioc: <http://rdfs.org/sioc/ns#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:post9821v1
a prov:Entity, sioc:Post;
prov:wasRevisionOf :post9821;
prov:qualifiedRevision;

rdfs:comment ":post9821v1 is a post, which is a revision of the original post :post9821.";
.
```

A revision is a derivation that revises an entity into a revised version.

has super-properties
- prov:wasDerivedFrom

has domain
- prov:Entity

has range
- prov:Entity

can be qualified with
- prov:Revision
- prov:qualifiedRevision

PROV-DM term
revision
(27) Property: prov:invalidatedAtTime
IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#invalidatedAtTime
Invalidation is the start of the destruction, cessation, or expiry of an existing entity by an activity. The entity is no longer available for use (or further invalidation) after invalidation. Any generation or usage of an entity precedes its invalidation.

Example
```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
 :the-Painter a prov:Entity, ex:Painting;
   rdfs:label "Le Peintre"@fr, "The Painter"@en;
   prov:wasAttributedTo <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Pablo_Picasso>;
   prov:invalidatedAtTime "1998-09-02T01:31:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
```

The time at which an entity was invalidated (i.e., no longer usable).

has domain
- prov:Entity

has range
- http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime

can be qualified with
- prov:Invalidation
- prov:atTime

PROV-DM term
Invalidation

(28) Property: prov:wasInvalidatedBy
IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasInvalidatedBy
Invalidation is the start of the destruction, cessation, or expiry of an existing entity by an activity. The entity is no longer available for use (or further invalidation) after invalidation. Any generation or usage of an entity precedes its invalidation.

Example
```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
 :the-Painter a prov:Entity, :Painting;
   rdfs:label "Le Peintre"@fr, "The Painter"@en;
   prov:wasAttributedTo <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Pablo_Picasso>;
   prov:wasInvalidatedBy :Swissair_Flight_111_crash; #The painting was destroyed in an airplane crash
 .
 <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Pablo_Picasso> a prov:Agent;
   foaf:depiction <http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/9/98/Pablo_picasso_1.jpg>;
 .
 :Swissair_Flight_111_crash a prov:Activity;
   prov:used <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Swissair_Flight_111>;
```

has super-properties
- prov:wasInfluencedBy

has domain
- prov:Entity

has range
- prov:Activity

can be qualified with
- prov:Invalidation
- prov:qualifiedInvalidation

PROV-DM term
Invalidation

(29) Property: prov:hadMember
IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#hadMember
A collection is an entity that provides a structure to some constituents, which are themselves entities. These constituents are said to be member of the collections.

Example
```
```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

has super-properties

- prov:wasInfluencedBy

has domain

prov:Activity

has range

prov:Entity

PROV-DM term

collection

(30) Property: prov:wasStartedBy

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasStartedBy

Start is when an activity is deemed to have been started by an entity, known as trigger. The activity did not exist before its start. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity follows the activity’s start. A start may refer to a trigger entity that set off the activity, or to an activity, known as starter, that generated the trigger.

Example

```rdf
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

# Use prov:qualifiedStart to see when and where the activity was started
:experiment
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasStartedBy :researcher;
  .

:researcher a prov:Agent .
```

Start is when an activity is deemed to have started. A start may refer to an entity, known as trigger, that initiated the activity.

has super-properties

- prov:wasInfluencedBy

has domain

prov:Activity

has range

prov:Entity

can be qualified with

- prov:Start

- prov:qualifiedStart

PROV-DM term

Start

(31) Property: prov:wasEndedBy

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasEndedBy

End is when an activity is deemed to have ended. An activity may have ended before its end. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity follows the activity’s end. A end may refer to an entity that ended the activity, or to an activity, known as ends, that generated the end.

Example

```rdf
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

# Use prov:qualifiedEnd to see when and where the activity was ended
:experiment
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasEndedBy :researcher;
  .

:researcher a prov:Agent .
```
**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasEndedBy

End is when an activity is deemed to have been ended by an entity, known as trigger. The activity no longer exists after its end. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity precedes the activity's end. An end may refer to a trigger entity that terminated the activity, or to an activity, known as ender that generated the trigger.

**Example**

```reasoning
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:experiment
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasEndedBy :inconsistentResult;
  prov:qualifiedEnd
    a prov:End;
    prov:entity     :inconsistentResult;
    prov:atTime    "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
    prov:atLocation :scienceLab_003;
    .

:inconsistentResult a prov:Entity .
:scienceLab_003 a prov:Location .
```

End is when an activity is deemed to have ended. An end may refer to an entity, known as trigger, that terminated the activity.

has super-properties
- `prov:wasInfluencedBy`

has domain `prov:Activity`

has range `prov:Entity`

can be qualified with
- `prov:End`
- `prov:qualifiedEnd`

**PROV-DM term**

**End**

(32) Property: `prov:invalidated`

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#invalidated

Invalidation is the start of the destruction, cessation, or expiry of an existing entity by an activity. The entity is no longer available for use (or further invalidation) after invalidation. Any generation or usage of an entity precedes its invalidation.

**Example**

```reasoning
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:swissair_Flight_111_crash
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:used        <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Swissair_Flight_111>;
  prov:invalidated :the-Painter;

:the-Painter
  a prov:Entity, ex:Painting;
  rdfs:label "Le Peintre"@fr, "The Painter"@en;
  prov:wasAttributedTo <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Pablo_Picasso>;
  # Inferred from prov:invalidated
  prov:wasInvalidatedBy :swissair_Flight_111_crash;
  .
  <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Pablo_Picasso>
  a prov:Agent;
  foaf:depiction <http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/9/9b/Pablo_picasso_1.jpg>;

:swissair_Flight_111_crash
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:invalidatedBy <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Swissair_Flight_111>;

:the-Painter
  a prov:Entity, ex:Painting;
  rdfs:label "Le Peintre"@fr, "The Painter"@en;
  prov:wasAttributedTo <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Pablo_Picasso>;
  # Inferred from prov:invalidated
  prov:wasInvalidatedBy :swissair_Flight_111_crash;
  .

```

has super-properties
- `prov:influenced`

has domain `prov:Activity`

has range `prov:Entity`

has inverse `prov:wasInfluencedBy`

**PROV-DM term**

**Invalidation**

(33) Property: `prov:influenced`
Influence is the capacity of an entity, activity, or agent to have an effect on the character, development, or behavior of another by means of usage, start, end, generation, invalidation, communication, derivation, attribution, association, or delegation.

Example

```plaintext
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix w3: <http://example.com/w3/> .
@prefix tr: <http://example.com/tech-report/> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

# prov:influenced is a top-level property that links any
# Entity, Activity, or Agent to any other
# Entity, Activity, or Agent that it had an effect upon.

w3:Consortium
a prov:Agent;
prov:influenced tr:WD-prov-dm-20111215;
.
```

The Location of any resource.

This property has multiple RDFS domains to suit multiple OWL Profiles. See PROV-O OWL Profile.

has domain
  * prov:Activity or prov:Agent or prov:Entity or prov:instantaneousEvent

has range
  * prov:Location

PROV-DM term
  attribute-location

Generation is the completion of production of a new entity by an activity. This entity did not exist before generation and becomes available for usage after this generation.

Example

```plaintext
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

# A Location can be a path or a geographical location.

:post9821
a prov:Entity, sioc:Post;
prov:wasGeneratedBy :publicationActivity1123;
prov:atLocation :more-crime-happens-in-cities;
prov:qualifiedGeneration 
  a prov:Generation;
  prov:activity :publicationActivity1123;
  prov:atTime "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:atLocation <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Madrid>;
};

:publicationActivity1123 a prov:Activity .
:more-crime-happens-in-cities a prov:Location .
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Madrid> a prov:Location .
```

PROV-O: The PROV Ontology

http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/REC-prov-o-20130430/
4.3 Qualified Terms

The terms used to qualify the Starting Point and Expanded properties are discussed in Section 3.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualified Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>prov:Influence</td>
<td>The capacity of an entity, activity, or agent to have an effect on the character, development, or behavior of another by means of usage, start, end, generation, invalidation, communication, derivation, attribution, association, or delegation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix my:   <http://example.com/ontology#> .
prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

# Although a domain extension (e.g. ':wasConductedBy') is not defined by PROV-
# the relation between a surgery and an agent can still be qualified
# by reusing prov:Influence and one of its three subclasses
# (depending on the type of influencer):
# AgentInfluence, EntityInfluence, and ActivityInfluence.

my:wasConductedBy rdfs:subPropertyOf prov:wasAssociatedWith .
```

Because prov:Influence is a broad relation, its most specific subclasses (e.g. prov:Communication, prov:Delegation, prov:End, prov:Revision, etc.) should be used when applicable.

An instance of prov:Influence provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasInfluencedBy relation from some influenced Activity, Entity, or Agent to the influencing Activity, Entity, or Agent. For example, stomach_ache prov:wasInfluencedBy :spoon; prov:qualifiedInfluence [ a prov:Influence; prov:entity :spoon; prov:hadRole my:surgeon ];.

Back to qualified classes
(37) Class: prov:EntityInfluence

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#EntityInfluence

EntityInfluence is the capacity of an entity to have an effect on the character, development, or behavior of another by means of usage, start, end, derivation, or other.

Example

```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:sortActivity a prov:Activity;
    prov:used :rawData;
    prov:qualifiedUsage [
        a prov:Usage,
        prov:EntityInfluence;  ## Instances of Start, End, Usage, Derivation, and Invalidation
        prov:entity    :datasetA;  ## qualify the influenced of an Entity (cited by prov:entity).
        prov:hadRole   :inputToBeSorted;
    ];
    prov:generated :sortedData;

:rawData    a prov:Entity .
:sortedData a prov:Entity .
```

EntityInfluence provides additional descriptions of an Entity's binary influence upon any other kind of resource. Instances of EntityInfluence use the prov:entity property to cite the influencing Entity.

It is not recommended that the type EntityInfluence be asserted without also asserting one of its more specific subclasses.

is subclass of
prov:Influence
described with properties:
prov:entity
prov:hadRole, provinfluencer, prov:hadActivity

has subclasses
prov:End, prov:Start, prov:Usage, prov:Derivation

(38) Class: prov:Usage

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Usage

Usage is the beginning of utilizing an entity by an activity. Before usage, the activity had not begun to utilize this entity and could not have been affected by the entity.

Example

```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:sortActivity a prov:Activity;
    prov:startedAtTime  "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
    prov:qualifiedUsage [
        a prov:Usage;
        prov:entity    :datasetA;         ## The entity used by the prov:Usage
        prov:hadRole   :inputToBeSorted;  ## the role of the entity in this prov:Usage
    ];
    prov:generated :datasetB;

:datasetA        a prov:Entity .
:datasetB        a prov:Entity .
:inputToBeSorted a prov:Role .

## The role of :datasetA cannot be expressed using only starting-point terms:
:sortActivity a prov:Activity;
    prov:startedAtTime     "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
    prov:used       :datasetA;
    prov:generated  :datasetB;
```

An instance of prov:Usage provides additional descriptions about the binary provoked relation from some prov:Activity to an prov:Entity that it used. For example, :keynote prov:used :podium; prov:qualifiedUsage [ a prov:Usage; prov:entity :podium; :foo :bar ].

is subclass of
prov:InstantaneousEvent, prov:EntityInfluence
described with properties:
prov:atTime, prov:entity
in range of
prov:hadUsage, prov:qualifiedUsage

qualifies
(39) Class: prov:Start

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Start

Start is when an activity is deemed to have been started by an entity, known as trigger. The activity did not exist before its start. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity follows the activity’s start. A start may refer to a trigger entity that set off the activity, or to an activity, known as starter, that generated the trigger.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

### Start can be used to qualify wasStartedBy with time and location information.
### In this example, a consistency checking activity is started by the update of a data record.

:consistency_checking a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasStartedBy :updated_data_record;
  prov:qualifiedStart 
    a prov:Start;
    prov:entity       :updated_data_record;
    prov:atTime       "2011-07-06T01:48:36Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
    prov:atLocation   :scienceLab_003;
    prov:hadActivity  :syntax_checking;
    .

:updated_data_record a prov:Entity .
```

An instance of prov:Start provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasStartedBy relation from some started prov:Activity to a prov:Entity that started it. For example, .foot_race prov:wasStartedBy :bang; prov:qualifiedStart [ a prov:Start; prov:entity :bang; :foo :bar; prov:atTime '2012-03-09T08:05:08-05:00'^^xsd:dateTime ].

is subclass of

- prov:InstantaneousEvent
- prov:EntityInfluence

described with properties:

- prov:hadActivity
- prov:atTime
- prov:entity

in range of

- prov:qualifiedStart

qualifies

- prov:wasStartedBy

PROV-DM term

Start

(40) Class: prov:End

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#End

End is when an activity is deemed to have been ended by an entity, known as trigger. The activity no longer exists after its end. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity precedes the activity’s end. An end may refer to a trigger entity that terminated the activity, or to an activity, known as ender that generated the trigger.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

### End can be used to qualify wasEndedBy with time and location information.
### In this example, an experiment is stopped because an intermediate inconsistent result.

:experiment a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasEndedBy :inconsistent_result;
  prov:qualifiedEnd 
    a prov:End;
    prov:entity       :inconsistent_result;
    prov:atTime       "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
    prov:atLocation   :scienceLab_003;
    prov:hadActivity  :analyse_intermediate_result;
    .

:inconsistent_result a prov:Entity .
```
An implicit process analyzes the intermediate result to confirm its expected consistency.

An instance of prov:End provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasEndedBy relation from some ended prov:Activity to an prov:Entity that ended it. For example, :ball_game prov:wasEndedBy :buzzer; prov:qualifiedEnd [ a prov:End; prov:entity :buzzer; :foo :bar; prov:atTime '2012-03-09T08:05:08-05:00'^^xsd:dateTime ].

is subclass of

prov:InstantaneousEvent, prov:EntityInfluences
described with properties:

prov:hadActivity op
prov:atTime op, prov:entity op
in range of

prov:qualifiedEnd op
qualifies
prov:wasEndedBy op
PROV-DM term
End

(41) Class: prov:Derivation

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Derivation

A derivation is a transformation of an entity into another, an update of an entity resulting in a new one, or the construction of a new entity based on a pre-existing entity.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
# The simplest (and least detailed) form of derivation.
:bar_chart a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasDerivedFrom :aggregatedByRegions;
.
# The simple form can be accompanied by a qualified form:
# which provides more details about how :bar_chart was
derived from :aggregated Regions.
:bar_chart a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasDerivedFrom :aggregatedByRegions;
  prov:qualifiedDerivation [ a prov:Derivation;
                            prov:entity      :aggregatedByRegions;
                            # Derivations can cite the influencing Activity in doing the derivation.
                            prov:hadActivity   :create_the_chart;
                            # They can also cite the Usage and Generation that the Activity
                            # performed is generate :bar_chart.
                            prov:hadUsage      :data_loading;
                            prov:hadGeneration :plot_the_chart;
  ];

# The process during which the chart was created, from loading the data to the software, to process the data and plot the chart.
# Additional metadata was recorded, like when it started (before the usage), ended (after the generation of the chart) and who was associated with it
:create_the_chart a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasAssociatedWith :derek;
  prov:startedAtTime "2012-04-03T00:00:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:endedAtTime "2012-04-03T00:00:10Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
.
# The final chart was plotted
:plot_the_chart a prov:Generation, prov:InstantaneousEvent;
  prov:atTime "2012-04-03T00:00:01Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
.
# The data was getting used to create the chart
:load_data a prov:Usage;
  prov:atTime "2012-04-03T00:00:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
```

The more specific forms of prov:Derivation (i.e., prov:Revision, prov:Quotation, prov:PrimarySource) should be asserted if they apply.

An instance of prov:Derivation provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasDerivedFrom relation from some derived prov:Entity to another prov:Entity from which it was derived. For example, :chewed_bubble_gum prov:wasDerivedFrom :unwrapped_bubble_gum; prov:qualifiedDerivation [ a prov:Derivation; prov:entity :unwrapped_bubble_gum; :foo :bar ].

is subclass of

prov:EntityInfluence
described with properties:

prov:hadUsage op, prov:hadGeneration op
A primary source for a topic refers to something produced by some agent with direct experience and knowledge about the topic, at the time of the topic’s study, without benefit from hindsight. Because of the directness of primary sources, they ‘speak for themselves’ in ways that cannot be captured through the filter of secondary sources. As such, it is important for secondary sources to reference those primary sources from which they were derived, so that their reliability can be investigated. A primary source relation is a particular case of derivation of secondary materials from their primary sources. It is recognized that the determination of primary sources can be up to interpretation, and should be done according to conventions accepted within the application’s domain.

Example

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:myPost a prov:Entity;
  prov:hadPrimarySource :donQuixote;
  prov:qualifiedPrimarySource [ a prov:PrimarySource;
    prov:entity :donQuixote;
    :confidenceValue "6"^^xsd:integer;
    rdfs:comment ""Not sure if Don Quixote was the original source, so asserting a confidence value of 6 out of 10."";
  ];
:donQuixote a prov:Entity.
```

An instance of prov:PrimarySource provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:hadPrimarySource relation from some secondary prov:Entity to an earlier primary prov:Entity. For example, blog prov:hadPrimarySource an earlier primary prov:Entity. For example, blog prov:hadPrimarySource :newsArticle; prov:qualifiedPrimarySource [ a prov:PrimarySource; prov:entity :newsArticle; :foo :bar ].

is subclass of
prov:Derivation

described with properties:

  prov:hadGeneration  prov:hadUsage

in range of
prov:qualifiedPrimarySource

qualifies
prov:PrimarySource

PROV-DM term

primary-source

A quotation is the repeat of (some or all of) an entity, such as text or image, by someone who may or may not be its original author. Quotation is a particular case of derivation.

Example

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:dagstuhl-quote
  a prov:Entity;
  prov:value "why would people record and share provenance in the first place?";
  prov:wasQuotedFrom <http://purl.org/twc/page/thoughts-from-the-dagstuhl-workshop>;
  prov:qualifiedQuotation [ a prov:Quotation;
    prov:fromSection 2];
  prov:wasAttributedTo <http://data.semanticweb.org/person/luc-moreau>;
  :fromSection 2;
```

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Quotation
An instance of prov:Quotation provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasQuotedFrom relation from some taken prov:Entity from an earlier, larger prov:Entity. For example, :here_is_looking_at_you_kid prov:wasQuotedFrom :casablanca_script; prov:qualifiedQuotation [ a prov:Quotation; prov:entity :casablanca_script; :foo :bar ].

is subclass of prov:Derivation

described with properties:
  prov:hadGeneration 0p, prov:hadUsage 0p

in range of
  prov:qualifiedQuotation 0p

qualifies
  prov:wasQuotedFrom 0p

PROV-DM term
  quotation

(44) Class: prov:Revision

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Revision

A revision is a derivation for which the resulting entity is a revised version of some original. The implication here is that the resulting entity contains substantial content from the original. Revision is a particular case of derivation.

Example

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:draft2 a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasRevisionOf :draft1;
  prov:qualifiedRevision [ a prov:Revision;
    prov:entity :draft1;
    ex:peerReviewed false;
  ];

  prov:wasAssociatedWith :edward;
  prov:qualifiedAssociation [ a prov:Association;
    prov:agent :edward;
    prov:hadRole :editor;
  ];

:draft1 a prov:Entity .
:edward a prov:Person, prov:Agent;
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:bar_chart    a prov:Entity;
             prov:wasGeneratedBy :illustrating;
             prov:qualifiedGeneration :making-bar-chart; .
:making-bar-chart a prov:Generation;
              prov:activity :illustrating;
              prov:activity :making-bar-chart;
              rdfs:comment "ended up with bar chart as line chart looked ugly. Tysm;" .
:illustrating a prov:Activity .

It is not recommended that the type ActivityInfluence be asserted without also asserting one of its more specific subclasses. ActivityInfluence provides additional descriptions of an Activity's binary influence upon any other kind of resource. Instances of ActivityInfluence use the prov:activity property to cite the influencing Activity.

is subclass of prov:Influence

described with properties:
    prov:activity ^op
    prov:hadInfluence ^op, prov:influencer ^op,
    prov:hadActivity ^op

has subclasses
    prov:Generation, prov:Invalidation, prov:Communication

(46) Class: prov:Generation

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Generation

Generation is the completion of production of a new entity by an activity. This entity did not exist before generation and becomes available for usage after this generation.

Example

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix bbc:  <http://www.bbc.co.uk/> .
@prefix eg:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:bbcNews2012-04-03 a prov:Entity, eg:DailyNews;
             rdfs:comment "The BBC news home page on 2012-04-03 contained a reference to a given news item, but the BBC news home page on the next day did not.";
             prov:wasGeneratedBy :publishingActivity;
             prov:qualifiedGeneration [ a prov:Generation;
                                      prov:activity :publishingActivity;
                                      ];
:publishingActivity a prov:Activity; .

An instance of prov:Generation provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasGeneratedBy relation from a generated prov:Entity to the prov:Activity that generated it. For example, :cake prov:wasGeneratedBy :baking; prov:qualifiedGeneration [ a prov:Generation; prov:activity :baking; :foo :bar ].

is subclass of prov:InstantaneousEvent, prov:ActivityInfluence

described with properties:
    prov:activity ^op, prov:atTime ^dp

in range of
    prov:hadGeneration ^op, prov:qualifiedGeneration ^op

qualifies
    prov:wasGeneratedBy ^op

PROV-DM term

Generation

(47) Class: prov:Communication

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Communication

Communication is the exchange of an entity by two activities, one activity using the entity generated by the other.

Example
An instance of prov:Communication provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasInformedBy relation from an informed prov:Activity to the prov:Activity that informed it. For example, :you_jumping_off_bridge prov:wasInformedBy :everyone_else_jumping_off_bridge; prov:qualifiedCommunication [ a prov:Communication; prov:activity :everyone_else_jumping_off_bridge; :foo :bar ]. is subclass of prov:ActivityInfluence described with properties:

- prov:activity  0°
in range of prov:qualifiedCommunication  0°
qualifies prov:wasInformedBy  0°

PROV-DM term Communication

(48) Class: prov:Invalidation

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Invalidation

Invalidation is the start of the destruction, cessation, or expiry of an existing entity by an activity. The entity is no longer available for use (or further invalidation) after invalidation. Any generation or usage of an entity precedes its invalidation.

Example

An instance of prov:Invalidation provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasInvalidatedBy relation from an invalidated prov:Entity to the prov:Activity that invalidated it. For example, :uncracked_egg prov:wasInvalidatedBy :baking; prov:qualifiedInvalidation [ a prov:Invalidation; prov:activity :baking; :foo :bar ]. is subclass of prov:InstantaneousEvent, prov:ActivityInfluence described with properties:

- prov:activity  0°, prov:atTime  0°
in range of prov:qualifiedInvalidation  0°
qualifies
(49) Class: prov:AgentInfluence

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#AgentInfluence

AgentInfluence is the capacity of an agent to have an effect on the character, development, or behavior of another by means of attribution, association, delegation, or other.

Example

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:illustrating a prov:Activity;
prov:wasAssociatedWith :derek;
prov:qualifiedAssociation [ a prov:Association;
prov-AgentInfluence; # Instances of Generation, Invalidation and Communication qualify
prov:agent   :derek;   # the influence of an Agent (cited by prov:agent)
prov:hadRole :illustrationist
];
.
:derek a prov:Person, prov:Agent, prov:Entity .
:illustrationist a prov:Role .
```

AgentInfluence provides additional descriptions of an Agent's binary influence upon any other kind of resource. Instances of AgentInfluence use the prov:agent property to cite the influencing Agent.

It is not recommended that the type AgentInfluence be asserted without also asserting one of its more specific subclasses.

is subclass of

prov:Influence
described with properties:

prov:agent
prov:hadRole
prov:influencer
prov:hadActivity

has subclasses

prov:Delegation, prov:Association, prov:Attribution

(50) Class: prov:Attribution

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Attribution

Attribution is the ascribing of an entity to an agent. When an entity e is attributed to agent ag, entity e was generated by some unspecified activity that in turn was associated to agent ag. Thus, this relation is useful when the activity is not known, or irrelevant.

Example

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Fallingwater> a prov:Entity;
prov:wasAttributedTo <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Edgar_J._Kaufmann>,
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Frank_Lloyd_Wright>,
:western-Pennsylvania-Conservancy;
prov:qualifiedAttribution [ a prov:Attribution;
ex:hadRole :owner;
];
prov:qualifiedAttribution [ a prov:Attribution;
prov:agent <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Frank_Lloyd_Wright>;
ex:hadRole :architect;
];
prov:qualifiedAttribution [ a prov:Attribution;
prov:agent :western-Pennsylvania-Conservancy;
ex:hadRole :conserver;
];
.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Frank_Lloyd_Wright> a prov:Person, prov:Agent .
:western-Pennsylvania-Conservancy a prov:Organization, prov:Agent .
```

An instance of prov:Attribution provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasAttributedTo relation from an prov:Entity to some prov:Agent that had some responsibility for it. For example, :cake prov:wasAttributedTo :baker; prov:qualifiedAttribution [ a prov:Attribution; prov:entity :baker; :foo :bar ].

is subclass of

prov:AgentInfluence
described with properties:

prov:agent
An activity association is an assignment of responsibility to an agent for an activity, indicating that the agent had a role in the activity. It further allows for a plan to be specified, which is the plan intended by the agent to achieve some goals in the context of this activity.

Example

```prolog
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

:illustrating a prov:Activity;
prov:wasAssociatedWith :derek, :steve;
prov:qualifiedAssociation [ a prov:Association;
prov:agent :derek;
prov:hadRole :illustrationist; ],
prov:qualifiedAssociation [ a prov:Association;
prov:agent :steve;
prov:hadRole :stylist;
prov:hadPlan :style-guide;
rdfs:comment "Steve helped Derek conform with the publisher's style guide."@en; ].

:derek a prov:Person, prov:Agent, prov:Entity .
:steve a prov:Person, prov:Agent, prov:Entity .
:illustrationist a prov:Role .
:stylist a prov:Role .
```

An instance of prov:Association provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:wasAssociatedWith relation from an prov:Activity to some prov:Agent that had some responsibility for it. For example, :baking prov:wasAssociatedWith :baker;
prov:qualifiedAssociation [ a prov:Association; prov:agent :baker; :foo :bar ].

is subclass of
prov:AgentInfluence
described with properties:
prov:hadPlan
prov:hadRole
prov:agent
in range of
prov:qualifiedAssociation
qualifies
prov:wasAssociatedWith

A plan is an entity that represents a set of actions or steps intended by one or more agents to achieve some goals.

Example

```prolog
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

:illustrating a prov:Activity;
prov:qualifiedAssociation :steve-checking-style-guide; .

:steve-checking-style-guide a prov:Association;
prov:agent :steve;
prov:hadPlan :style-guide;
rdfs:comment "Steve followed the publisher's style guide."@en;  

:style-guide a prov:Plan, prov:Entity;  
```
There exist no prescriptive requirement on the nature of plans, their representation, the actions or steps they consist of, or their intended goals. Since plans may evolve over time, it may become necessary to track their provenance, so plans themselves are entities. Representing the plan explicitly in the provenance can be useful for various tasks: for example, to validate the execution as represented in the provenance record, to manage expectation failures, or to provide explanations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROV-DM term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(53) Class: prov:Delegation

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Delegation

Delegation is the assignment of authority and responsibility to an agent (by itself or by another agent) to carry out a specific activity as a delegate or representative, while the agent it acts on behalf of retains some responsibility for the outcome of the delegated work. For example, a student acted on behalf of his supervisor, who acted on behalf of the department chair, who acted on behalf of the university; all those agents are responsible in some way for the activity that took place but we do not say explicitly who bears responsibility and to what degree.

Example

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix ex: <http://example.com/vocab#> .
prefix : <http://example.com/> .

### In this example, Frank (an insurance agent) acts on behalf of his company for performing a policy sale:
```policySale```

```a prov:Activity .
  prov:wasAssociatedWith :insuranceAgent_Frank ;
  .

 :insuranceAgent_Frank a prov:Person ;
  prov:actedOnBehalfOf :insuranceCompany_A ;
  prov:qualifiedDelegation [ a prov:Delegation ;
    prov:agent :insuranceCompany_A ;
    ex:rewardScheme "commission" ;
    prov:hadActivity :policySale ;
  ] .
```

An instance of prov:Delegation provides additional descriptions about the binary prov:actedOnBehalfOf relation from a performing prov:Agent to some prov:Agent for whom it was performed. For example, :mixing prov:wasAssociatedWith :toddler . :toddler prov:actedOnBehalfOf :mother; prov:qualifiedDelegation [ a prov:Delegation; prov:entity :mother; :foo :bar ].

is subclass of

prov:AgentInfluence
described with properties:

```
prov:hadActivity
prov:agent
```
in range of

```
prov:qualifiedDelegation
```
qualifies

```
prov:actedOnBehalfOf
```

(54) Class: prov:InstantaneousEvent

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#InstantaneousEvent

The PROV data model is implicitly based on a notion of instantaneous events (or just events), that mark transitions in the world. Events include generation, usage, or invalidation of entities, as well as starting or ending of activities. This notion of event is not first-class in the data model, but it is useful for explaining its other concepts and its semantics.

Example

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix bbc: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/> .
prefix : <http://example.com/> .

  rdfs:comment "The BBC news home page on 2012-04-03 contained a reference to a given news item, but the BBC news...

```

```
An instantaneous event, or event for short, happens in the world and marks a change in the world, in its activities and in its entities. The term 'event' is commonly used in process algebra with a similar meaning. Events represent communications or interactions; they are assumed to be atomic and instantaneous.

described with properties:

prov:atTime, prov:hadRole, prov:atLocation

has subclasses

prov:Generation, prov:Start, prov:Invalidation, prov:End, prov:Usage

(55) Class: prov:Role

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#Role

A role is the function of an entity or agent with respect to an activity, in the context of a usage, generation, invalidation, association, start, and end.

Example

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:divideActivity a prov:Activity;
   prov:used :variableA, :variableB;
   prov:qualifiedUsage [ a prov:Usage;
                              prov:entity  :variableA;
                              prov:hadRole :dividend; ];
   prov:qualifiedUsage [ a prov:Usage;
                              prov:entity  :variableB;
                              prov:hadRole :divisor; ];
   prov:generated :result_112234;
   .

:variableA a prov:Entity;
   prov:value 10;
   .

:variableB a prov:Entity;
   prov:value 2;

:dividend a prov:Role.

:divisor a prov:Role.

:result_112234 a prov:Entity;
   prov:value 5;
   prov:wasGeneratedBy :divideActivity;
   .

in range of

prov:hadRole

PROV-DM term

attribute-role

(56) Property: prov:wasInfluencedBy

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#wasInfluencedBy

Influence is the capacity of an entity, activity, or agent to have an effect on the character, development, or behavior of another by means of usage, start, end, generation, invalidation, communication, derivation, attribution, association, or delegation.

Example

@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:illustrationActivity a prov:Activity;
   :aggregatedByRegions;
   prov:used              :aggregatedByRegions;
   prov:wasAssociatedWith :derek;
   prov:wasInformedBy     :aggregationActivity;
   .

:illustrationActivity a prov:Activity;
   prov:wasInfluencedBy :aggregatedByRegions, # prov:wasInfluencedBy is a superproperty of :derek, # many of the direct binary
Because `prov:wasInfluencedBy` is a broad relation, its more specific subproperties (e.g. `prov:wasInformedBy`, `prov:actedOnBehalfOf`, `prov:wasEndedBy`, etc.) should be used when applicable.

This property has multiple RDFS domains to suit multiple OWL Profiles. See [PROV-O OWL Profile](http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/REC-prov-o-20130430/).

**has domain**
- `prov:Activity` or `prov:Agent` or `prov:Entity`

**has range**
- `prov:Activity` or `prov:Agent` or `prov:Entity`

**has sub-properties**
- `prov:hadMember`
- `prov:wastAttributedTo`
- `prov:wasAssociatedWith`
- `prov:wasGeneratedBy`
- `prov:wasDerivedFrom`
- `prov:wasInvalidatedBy`
- `prov:used`
- `prov:actedOnBehalfOf`
- `prov:wasInformedBy`
- `prov:wasStartedBy`
- `prov:wasEndedBy`

**can be qualified with**
- `prov:qualifiedInfluence`
- `prov:Influence`

**PROV-DM term**
- `influence`

---

**Example**

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix my:   <http://example.com/ontology#> .
prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
```

```\n:conductingSurgery_1
  a prov:Activity;
  # This unqualified influence is unknown in PROV;
  # it would be a subproperty of prov:wasAssociatedWith.
  my:wasConductedBy :bob;
  prov:wasInfluencedBy :bob;
  prov:qualifiedInfluence[  
    # Even though PROV systems do not understand my:wasConductedBy,  
    # they will at least understand that :bob influenced the  
    # surgery in some way.  
    # $prov:Influence$:  
    # $prov:agent$ :bob;  
    # The object of my:wasConductedBy  
    # Domain extension properties may be used to describe the  
    # influences that an Entity, Activity, or Agent  
    # have upon another Entity, Activity, or Agent.
    my:degree .72;
  ];
  :bob a prov:Agent .
```

Because `prov:qualifiedInfluence` is a broad relation, the more specific relations (qualifiedCommunication, qualifiedDelegation, qualifiedEnd, etc.) should be used when applicable.

**has domain**
- `prov:Activity` or `prov:Agent` or `prov:Entity`

**has range**
- `prov:Influence`

**has sub-properties**
- `prov:qualifiedAssociation`
- `prov:qualifiedRevision`
- `prov:qualifiedInvalidation`
- `prov:qualifiedPrimarySource`
- `prov:qualifiedDerivation`
- `prov:qualifiedGeneration`
- `prov:qualifiedUsage`
(58) Property: prov:qualifiedGeneration

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedGeneration

Generation is the completion of production of a new entity by an activity. This entity did not exist before generation and becomes available for usage after this generation.

Example

```@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:bar_chart a prov:Entity;
   prov:wasGeneratedBy :illustrating;
   prov:qualifiedGeneration [ a prov:Generation;
                             prov:activity :illustrating;
                             rdfs:comment "Ended up with bar chart as line chart looked ugly."@en; ];
.
:illustrating a prov:Activity .```

If this Activity prov:generated Entity e, then it can qualify how it performed the Generation using prov:qualifiedGeneration [ a prov:Generation; prov:entity e; :foo :bar ].

has super-properties
- prov:qualifiedInfluence

has domain
- prov:Entity

has range
- prov:Generation

qualifies
- prov:wasGeneratedBy

PROV-DM term
- Generation

(59) Property: prov:qualifiedDerivation

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedDerivation

A derivation is a transformation of an entity into another, an update of an entity resulting in a new one, or the construction of a new entity based on a pre-existing entity.

Example

```@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:bar chart a prov:Entity;
   prov:wasDerivedFrom :aggregatedByRegions;
   prov:qualifiedDerivation [ a prov:Derivation;
                             prov:entity :aggregatedByRegions;
                             prov:hadGeneration :chat_plotting;
                             prov:hadActivity :chart_creation ];
.
### The process of creating the chart, from loading the data, to process it, and plot it to end users

:chart_creation a prov:Activity ;
   prov:wasAssociatedWith :derek;
   prov:startedAtTime "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
   prov:endedAtTime "2011-07-16T03:00:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
.
### Now the chart is plotted

:chat_plotting a prov:Generation ;
   prov:atTime "2011-07-16T03:00:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;```
If this Entity prov:wasDerivedFrom Entity :e, then it can qualify how it was derived using prov:qualifiedDerivation [ a prov:Derivation; prov:entity :e; :foo :bar ].

**has super-properties**
- prov:qualifiedInfluence

**has domain**
- prov:Entity

**has range**
- prov:Derivation

**qualifies**
- prov:wasDerivedFrom

**PROV-DM term**

Derivation

---

(60) Property: prov:qualifiedPrimarySource

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedPrimarySource

A primary source for a topic refers to something produced by some agent with direct experience and knowledge about the topic, at the time of the topic's study, without benefit from hindsight. Because of the directness of primary sources, they 'speak for themselves' in ways that cannot be captured through the filter of secondary sources. As such, it is important for secondary sources to reference those primary sources from which they were derived, so that their reliability can be investigated. A primary source relation is a particular case of derivation of secondary materials from their primary sources. It is recognized that the determination of primary sources can be up to interpretation, and should be done according to conventions accepted within the application's domain.

**Example**

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix dcterms: <http://purl.org/dc/terms/> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

:temperatureDisplay
a prov:Entity;
prov:hadPrimarySource :sensorReading20120510;
prov:qualifiedPrimarySource [a prov:PrimarySource;

prov:entity :sensorReading20120510;
ex:precisionLoss true;
rdfs:comment """"The displayed temperature does not show the full precision available in the reading.""""; ];
.

:sensorReading20120510
a prov:Entity;
prov:wasGeneratedBy :temperatureSensor;
.
```

If this Entity prov:hadPrimarySource Entity :e, then it can qualify how using prov:qualifiedPrimarySource [ a prov:PrimarySource; prov:entity :e; :foo :bar ].

**has super-properties**
- prov:qualifiedInfluence

**has domain**
- prov:Entity

**has range**
- prov:PrimarySource

**qualifies**
- prov:hadPrimarySource

**PROV-DM term**

primary-source

---

(61) Property: prov:qualifiedQuotation

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedQuotation

A quotation is the repeat of (some or all of) an entity, such as text or image, by someone who may or may not be its original author. Quotation is a particular case of derivation.

**Example**

```xml
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix my: <http://example.com/vocab/my#> .
@prefix : <http://example.com/> .

:bl-dagstuhl
a prov:Entity;
prov:value """"During the workshop, it became clear to me that the consensus"""".
.
```

---
based models (which are often graphical in nature) can not only be formalized but also be directly connected to these database focused formalizations. I just needed to get over the differences in syntax. This could imply that we could have nice way to trace provenance across systems and through databases and be able to understand the mathematical properties of this interconnection.

If an Entity prov:wasQuotedFrom Entity e, then it can qualify how using prov:qualifiedQuotation [ a prov:Quotation; prov:entity e; :foo :bar ].

has super-properties
• prov:qualifiedInfluence

has domain
• prov:Entity

has range
• prov:Quotation

qualifies

prov:wasQuotedFrom

PROV-DM term quotation

(62) Property: prov:qualifiedRevision

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedRevision

A revision is a derivation for which the resulting entity is a revised version of some original. The implication here is that the resulting entity contains substantial content from the original. Revision is a particular case of derivation.

Example

```rdfs:xsd:owl:prov:example.com`

@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix :draft1 a prov:Entity; prov:wasRevisionOf :draft2; prov:qualifiedRevision [ a prov:Revision; prov:entity :draft1; prov:property :foo ; bar ]; prov:wasAttributedTo :eddie; .
@prefix :draft2 a prov:Entity .
@prefix :eddie a prov:Person, prov:Agent, prov:Entity .
```

If an Entity prov:wasRevisionOf Entity e, then it can qualify how it was revised using prov:qualifiedRevision [ a prov:Revision; prov:entity e; :foo :bar ].

has super-properties
• prov:qualifiedInfluence

has domain
• prov:Entity

has range
• prov:Revision

qualifies

prov:wasRevisionOf

PROV-DM term revision

(63) Property: prov:qualifiedAttribution

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedAttribution

Attribution is the ascribing of an entity to an agent. When an entity e is attributed to agent ag, entity e was generated by some unspecified activity that in turn was associated to agent ag. Thus, this relation is useful when the activity is not known, or irrelevant.

Example

```rdfs:xsd:owl:example.com`

@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
```
When the role of the agent is not known or does not matter:

```
:nationalRegionsList  
  a prov:Entity;  
  prov:wasAttributedTo :civil_action_group;  
  .
```

If we want to express the role of the agent:

```
:nationalRegionsList  
  a prov:Entity;  
  prov:qualifiedAttribution [  
    a prov:Attribution;  
    prov:agent :civil_action_group;  
    ex:hadRole :owner;  
  ].
```

If this Entity prov:wasAttributedTo Agent :ag, then it can qualify how it was influenced using prov:qualifiedAttribution [ a prov:Attribution; prov:agent :ag; :foo :bar ].

### Property: prov:qualifiedInvalidation

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedInvalidation

Invalidation is the start of the destruction, cessation, or expiry of an existing entity by an activity. The entity is no longer available for use (or further invalidation) after invalidation. Any generation or usage of an entity precedes its invalidation.

#### Example

```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/ontology#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:the-Painter  
  a prov:Entity, ex:Painting;  
  rdfs:label "Le Peintre"@fr, "The Painter"@en;  
  prov:wasAttributedTo <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Pablo_Picasso>;  
  prov:wasInvalidatedBy :swissair_Flight_111_crash;  
  prov:qualifiedInvalidation [  
    a prov:Invalidation;  
    prov:activity    :swissair_Flight_111_crash;  
    prov:atTime      "1998-09-02T01:31:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;  
  ];  

:swissair_Flight_111_crash  
  a prov:Activity .

  a prov:Location .
```

If this Entity prov:wasInvalidatedBy Activity :a, then it can qualify how it was invalidated using prov:qualifiedInvalidation [ a prov:Invalidation; prov:activity :a; :foo :bar ].

### Property: prov:qualifiedStart

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedStart

Start is when an activity is deemed to have been started by an entity, known as trigger. The activity did not exist before its start. Any
usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity follows the activity’s start. A start may refer to a trigger entity that set off the activity, or to an activity, known as starter, that generated the trigger.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

# Start can be used to qualify wasStartedBy with time and location information.
:consistency_checking a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasStartedBy :updated_data_record;
  prov:qualifiedStart [ a prov:Start;
    prov:entity       :updated_data_record;
    prov:atTime       "2011-07-06T01:48:36Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
    prov:atLocation   :scienceLab_003;
    prov:hadActivity  :syntax_checking;
  ];

:updated_data_record a prov:Entity .

### There is an explicit process of checking the syntax of the updated data record
:syntax_checking a prov:Activity;
  prov:startedAtTime      "2011-07-06T01:48:36Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:endedAtTime        "2011-07-06T02:12:36Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:wasAssociatedWith  :syntax_checker ;

:syntax_checker a prov:SoftwareAgent .
```

If this Activity prov:wasStartedBy Entity :e1, then it can qualify how it was started using prov:qualifiedStart [ a prov:Start; prov:entity :e1; :foo :bar ].

has super-properties
- prov:qualifiedInfluence

has domain
- prov:Activity

has range
- prov:Start

qualifies
- prov:wasStartedBy

PROV-DM term
Start

(66) Property: prov:qualifiedUsage

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedUsage

Usage is the beginning of utilizing an entity by an activity. Before usage, the activity had not begun to utilize this entity and could not have been affected by the entity.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:newsPublication a prov:Activity;
  prov:used                    :tsunami_image;
  prov:qualifiedUsage [ a prov:Usage;
    prov:entity               :tsunami_image;
    ex:hasCopyrightPermission :licensedUse;
    ex:hasOwner               :reuters;
  ];

:tsunami_image a prov:Entity .
:reuters       a prov:Agent .
```

If this Activity prov:used Entity :e, then it can qualify how it used it using prov:qualifiedUsage [ a prov:Usage; prov:entity :e; :foo :bar ].

has super-properties
- prov:qualifiedInfluence

has domain
- prov:Activity

has range
- prov:Usage

qualifies
- prov:used

PROV-DM term
Usage
(67) Property: prov:qualifiedCommunication

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedCommunication

Communication is the exchange of an entity by two activities, one activity using the entity generated by the other.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:writing-celebrity-gossip
a prov:Activity;
prov:wasAssociatedWith :journalist;
prov:wasInformedBy :voicemail-interception;
prov:qualifiedCommunication [ 
  a prov:Communication;
  prov:activity :voicemail-interception;
  rdfs:comment "The journalist was informed by the private investigator, but we don't know how or what he was told."@en;
].

:voicemail-interception
a prov:Activity;
prov:wasAssociatedWith :private-investigator;
.

:private-investigator a prov:Agent .
:journalist           a prov:Agent .
```

If this Activity prov:wasInformedBy Activity :a, then it can qualify how it was influenced using prov:qualifiedCommunication [ a prov:Communication; prov:activity :a; :foo :bar ].

has super-properties
- prov:qualifiedInfluence

has domain
- prov:Activity

has range
- prov:Communication

can be qualified with
- prov:Communication

PROV-DM term
- Communication

(68) Property: prov:qualifiedAssociation

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#qualifiedAssociation

An activity association is an assignment of responsibility to an agent for an activity, indicating that the agent had a role in the activity. It further allows for a plan to be specified, which is the plan intended by the agent to achieve some goals in the context of this activity.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:illustrating
a prov:Activity;
prov:wasAssociatedWith :derek,
:steve;
prov:qualifiedAssociation [ 
  a prov:Association;
  prov:agent   :derek;
  prov:hadRole :illustrationist;
  rdfs:comment "Derek made the illustration"@en;
];

:voicemail-interception
a prov:Activity;
prov:wasAssociatedWith :private-investigator;
.

:private-investigator a prov:Agent .
:derek a prov:Person, prov:Agent .
:steve a prov:Person, prov:Agent .
```

If this Activity prov:wasAssociatedWith Agent :ag, then it can qualify the Association using prov:qualifiedAssociation [ a prov:Association; prov:agent :ag; :foo :bar ].

has super-properties
- prov:qualifiedInfluence
End is when an activity is deemed to have been ended by an entity, known astrigger. The activity no longer exists after its end. Any usage, generation, or invalidation involving an activity precedes the activity's end. An end may refer to a trigger entity that terminated the activity, or to an activity, known as an ender that generated the trigger.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
### End can be used to qualify wasEndedBy with time and location information
:experiment
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasEndedBy :inconsistentResult;
  prov:qualifiedEnd [a prov:End;
    prov:entity       :inconsistentResult;
    prov:atTime       "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
    prov:atLocation   :scienceLab_003;
    prov:hadActivity  :analyse_intermediate_result ;
  ];
:inconsistentResult a prov:Entity .
### An implicit process of analysing the intermediate result to confirm its expected consistency
:analyse_intermediate_result
  a   prov:Activity ;
  prov:startedAtTime   "2011-07-15T12:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:endedAtTime     "2011-07-16T01:52:02Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
.
If this Activity prov:wasEndedBy Entity :e1, then it can qualify how it was ended using prov:qualifiedEnd [ a prov:End; prov:entity :e1; :foo :bar ].
```

Delegation is the assignment of authority and responsibility to an agent (by itself or by another agent) to carry out a specific activity as a delegate or representative, while the agent it acts on behalf of retains some responsibility for the outcome of the delegated work. For example, a student acted on behalf of his supervisor, who acted on behalf of the department chair, who acted on behalf of the university; all those agents are responsible in some way for the activity that took place but we do not say explicitly who bears responsibility and to what degree.

Example

```rdfs
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:traffic-stop
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasAssociatedWith :chauffeur;
  :traffic-officer-34;
  prov:qualifiedAssociation [a prov:Association;
    prov:agent :chauffeur;
    # The chauffeur was the one violating traffic rules.
    prov:hadRole :violator;];
```
The officer was the one enforcing the traffic rules.

The celebrity employed the chauffeur during the enforcement.

The city of Paris employed the officer during the enforcement.

If this Agent prov:actedOnBehalfOf Agent :ag, then it can qualify how with prov:qualifiedResponsibility [ a prov:Responsibility; prov:agent :ag; :foo :bar ].

has super-properties

• prov:qualifiedInfluence

has domain

• prov:Agent

has range

• prov:Delegation

qualifies

prov:actedOnBehalfOf

PROV-DM term
delegation

(71) Property: prov:influencer

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#influencer

This property is used as part of the qualified influence pattern. Subclasses of prov:influence use these subproperties to reference the resource (Entity, Agent, or Activity) whose influence is being qualified.

Example

```prolog
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:illustrationActivity
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:qualifiedUsage :illustration usage;
  prov:qualifiedAssociation :illustration association;
  prov:qualifiedCommunication :illustration communication;

:illustration usage
  a prov:Usage;
  prov:entity :aggregatedByRegions;
  prov:influencer aggregatedByRegions; # Inferred

:illustration association
  a prov:Association;
  prov:agent :derek;
  prov:influencer :derek; # Inferred

:illustration communication
  a prov:Communication;
  prov:activity :aggregationActivity;
  prov:influencer :aggregationInfluence; # Inferred

:aggregationActivity a prov:Activity .
  :derek a prov:Agent;
  :aggregatedByRegions a prov:Entity .
```

Subproperties of prov:influencer are used to cite the object of an unqualified PROV-O triple whose predicate is a subproperty of prov:wasInfluencedBy (e.g. prov:used, prov:wasGeneratedBy). prov:influencer is used much like rdf:object is used.
has domain
  • prov:Influence
has range
  • 
has sub-properties
  • prov:agent
  • prov:entity
  • prov:activity

PROV-DM term
  influence

(72) Property: prov:entity

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#entity

The prov:entity property references a prov:Entity which influenced a resource. This property applies to a prov:EntityInfluence, which is
given by a subproperty of prov:qualifiedInfluence from the influenced prov:Entity, prov:Activity or prov:Agent.

Example

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:world-literature-homework-submission-32 a prov:Entity;  
prov:hadPrimarySource :donQuixote;  
prov:qualifiedPrimarySource [  
  a prov:PrimarySource;  
  prov:entity :donQuixote;  
];  
:donQuixote a prov:Entity .
```

has super-properties
  • prov:influencer

has domain
  • prov:EntityInfluence
has range
  • prov:Entity

(73) Property: prov:hadUsage

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#hadUsage

Usage is the beginning of utilizing an entity by an activity. Before usage, the activity had not begun to utilize this entity and could not have
been affected by the entity.

Example

```prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
prefix :     <http://example.com/> .
:digestedProteinSample1 a prov:Entity;  
prov:wasDerivedFrom :proteinSample;  
prov:qualifiedDerivation [  
  a prov:Derivation;  
  prov:hadUsage [  
    a prov:Usage;  
    prov:entity :Trypsin;  
    prov:hadRole :treatmentEnzyme;  
  ];  
];  
:proteinSample a prov:Entity .
```

The _optional_ Usage involved in an Entity's Derivation.

has domain
  • prov:Derivation
has range
  • prov:Usage

PROV-DM term
  Usage

(74) Property: prov:hadGeneration

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#hadGeneration

Generation is the completion of production of a new entity by an activity. This entity did not exist before generation and becomes available
for usage after this generation.

Example

```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix ex:   <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:bar_chart a prov:Entity, ex:Chart;
  prov:wasDerivedFrom :aggregatedByRegions;
  prov:qualifiedDerivation {   
    a prov:Derivation;
    prov:entity :aggregatedByRegions;
    prov:hadGeneration :illustration;
  };

:aggregatedByRegions a ex:Dataset .

:illustration a prov:Generation,
  prov:InstantaneousEvent;
  prov:activity :illustrationActivity;
  prov:atTime "2012-04-03T00:00:11Z"^^xsd:dateTime;

:illustrationActivity a prov:Activity;
  prov:startedAtTime "2012-04-03T00:00:00Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
  prov:endedAtTime   "2012-04-03T00:00:25Z"^^xsd:dateTime;
```

The _optional_ Generation involved in an Entity’s Derivation.

has domain

- prov:Derivation

has range

- prov:Generation

PROV-DM term

Generation (75) Property: prov:activity

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#activity

The prov:activity property references a prov:Activity which influenced a resource. This property applies to an prov:ActivityInfluence, which is given by a subproperty of prov:qualifiedInfluence from the influenced prov:Entity, prov:Activity or prov:Agent.

Example

```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:making-bar-chart a prov:Generation,
  prov:ActivityInfluence;
  prov:activity :illustrating;
  rdfs:comment "Ended up with bar chart as line chart looked ugly."@en;

:illustrating a prov:Activity .

:bar_chart a prov:Entity;
  prov:wasGeneratedBy :illustrating;
  prov:qualifiedGeneration :making-bar-chart;
```

has super-properties

- prov:influencer

has domain

- prov:ActivityInfluence

has range

- prov:Activity

(76) Property: prov:agent

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#agent

The prov:agent property references an prov:Agent which influenced a resource. This property applies to an prov:AgentInfluence, which is given by a subproperty of prov:qualifiedInfluence from the influenced prov:Entity, prov:Activity or prov:Agent.

Example

```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:world_flight_1937 a prov:Activity;
```

PROV-O: The PROV Ontology http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/REC-prov-o-20130430/
prov:qualifiedAssociation {  a prov:Association;  prov:agent <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Amelia_Earhart>;  prov:hadRole :pilot;};

prov:qualifiedAssociation {  a prov:Association;  prov:agent <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Purdue_University>;  prov:hadRole :financer;};

prov:qualifiedAssociation {  a prov:Association;  prov:agent <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Lockheed_Aircraft_Company>;  prov:hadRole :plane_builder;};

rdfs:seeAlso <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amelia_Earhart#1937_world_flight>.

<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Amelia_Earhart>            a prov:Person,       prov:Agent .
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Purdue_University>         a prov:Organization, prov:Agent .

The _optional_ Plan adopted by an Agent in Association with some Activity. Plan specifications are out of the scope of this specification.

has domain
• prov:Association

has range
• prov:Plan

PROV-DM term
Association

(78) Property: prov:hadActivity  

IRI: http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#hadActivity

An activity is something that occurs over a period of time and acts upon or with entities; it may include consuming, processing, transforming, modifying, relocating, using, or generating entities.

Example

```sparql
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

# The activity that which used, generated, invalidated
# or was responsible for the entity. In this qualified Derivation
# prov:hadActivity references the activity that generated the bar chart.
:bar_chart
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:wasDerivedFrom :aggregatedByRegions;
  prov:wasGeneratedBy :make_bar_chart;
  prov:qualifiedDerivation [  a prov:Derivation;  prov:entity :aggregatedByRegions;  prov:hadActivity :make_bar_chart; # references same activity as prov:wasGeneratedBy ];
```
The _optional_ Activity of an Influence, which used, generated, invalidated, or was the responsibility of some Entity. This property is _not_ used by ActivityInfluence (use prov:activity instead).

This property has multiple RDFS domains to suit multiple OWL Profiles. See **PROV-O OWL Profile**.

### has domain
- `prov:Delegation` or `prov:Derivation` or `prov:End` or `prov:Start`
- `prov:Influence`

### has range
- `prov:Activity`

### PROV-DM term
- **Activity**

### (79) Property: `prov:atTime` dp

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#atTime

The PROV data model is implicitly based on a notion of instantaneous events (or just events), that mark transitions in the world. Events include generation, usage, or invalidation of entities, as well as starting or ending of activities. This notion of event is not first-class in the data model, but it is useful for explaining its other concepts and its semantics.

**Example**

```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:Timearticle20120430_publication
  a prov:InstantaneousEvent;
  prov:atTime "2012-04-30T20:40:40"^^xsd:dateTime;
.
```

The time at which an InstantaneousEvent occurred, in the form of xsd:dateTime.

### has domain
- `prov:InstantaneousEvent`

### has range
- `http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime`

### qualifies
- `prov:invalidatedAtTime` dp

### (80) Property: `prov:hadRole` dp

**IRI:** http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#hadRole

A role is the function of an entity or agent with respect to an activity, in the context of a usage, generation, invalidation, association, start, and end.

**Example**

```
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix xsd:  <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix owl:  <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
@prefix :     <http://example.com/> .

:divideActivity
  a prov:Activity;
  prov:used :variableA;
  prov:qualifiedUsage [ a prov:Usage; prov:entity :variableA; prov:hadRole :dividend ];
  prov:used :variableB;
  prov:qualifiedUsage [ a prov:Usage; prov:entity :variableB; prov:hadRole :divisor ];
  :variableA a prov:Entity .
  :variableB a prov:Entity .
  :dividend a prov:Role .
  :divisor a prov:Role .
```

The _optional_ Role that an Entity assumed in the context of an Activity. For example, :baking prov:used :spoon; prov:qualified [ a prov:Usage; prov:entity :spoon; prov:hadRole roles:mixing_implement ].

This property has multiple RDFS domains to suit multiple OWL Profiles. See **PROV-O OWL Profile**.

### has domain
- `prov:Association` or `prov:InstantaneousEvent`
### 4.4 Term Index

The PROV-O terms in this cross reference are shown below alphabetically, along with their entry number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROV-O Term</th>
<th>Position within Cross Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>actedOnBehalfOf</td>
<td>Entry 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Entry 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activity</td>
<td>Entry 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityInfluence</td>
<td>Entry 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>Entry 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agent</td>
<td>Entry 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgentInfluence</td>
<td>Entry 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternateOf</td>
<td>Entry 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td>Entry 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atLocation</td>
<td>Entry 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atTime</td>
<td>Entry 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribution</td>
<td>Entry 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle</td>
<td>Entry 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection</td>
<td>Entry 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Entry 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegation</td>
<td>Entry 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivation</td>
<td>Entry 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EmptyCollection</td>
<td>Entry 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td>Entry 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endedAtTime</td>
<td>Entry 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entity</td>
<td>Entry 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entity</td>
<td>Entry 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EntityInfluence</td>
<td>Entry 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>generated</td>
<td>Entry 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>generatedAtTime</td>
<td>Entry 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation</td>
<td>Entry 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hadActivity</td>
<td>Entry 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hadGeneration</td>
<td>Entry 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hadMember</td>
<td>Entry 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hadPlan</td>
<td>Entry 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hadPrimarySource</td>
<td>Entry 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hadRole</td>
<td>Entry 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hadUsage</td>
<td>Entry 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence</td>
<td>Entry 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>influenced</td>
<td>Entry 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>influencer</td>
<td>Entry 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InstantaneousEvent</td>
<td>Entry 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>invalidated</td>
<td>Entry 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>invalidatedAtTime</td>
<td>Entry 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalidation</td>
<td>Entry 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Entry 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Entry 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Entry 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Entry 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrimarySource</td>
<td>Entry 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qualifiedAssociation</td>
<td>Entry 68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. PROV-O OWL Profile

This section is non-normative.

To encourage widespread adoption, PROV-O’s design is intentionally minimal and lightweight. Because the OWL 2 RL profile is aimed at RDF applications that require scalable reasoning without sacrificing too much expressive power [OWL2-PRIMER], it served as a baseline for all axioms included in PROV-O. The PROV-O axioms that do not suit the OWL 2 RL profile are listed in Table 5. All five use an anonymous class union for the domain or range of a property, while OWL 2 RL requires the classes to be explicitly named. Although introducing “placeholder” classes would have suited the OWL 2 RL profile, these additional “abstract” classes would have been irrelevant to the modeling of provenance information, increased the size of PROV-O unnecessarily, and exposed a potential to confuse users. All five axioms listed in the following table use a non-superclass expression in a position that requires a superclass expression and do not conform to the OWL 2 RL Profile.

Table 5: All OWL Axioms in PROV-O that do not conform to the OWL-RL profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non OWL-RL PROV-O Axiom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>prov:atLocation rdfs:domain</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>owl:unionOf (prov:Activity prov:Agent prov:Entity prov:InstantaneousEvent)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>prov:wasInfluencedBy rdfs:domain</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>owl:unionOf (prov:Activity prov:Agent prov:Entity)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>prov:wasInfluencedBy rdfs:range</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>owl:unionOf (prov:Activity prov:Agent prov:Entity)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>prov:wasActivity rdfs:domain</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>owl:unionOf (prov:Delegation prov:Derivation prov:Start prov:End)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>prov:hadRole rdfs:domain</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>owl:unionOf (prov:Association prov:InstantaneousEvent)</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To provide guidance for OWL 2 RL environments that ignore the union domain axioms, some property domains or ranges have also been defined with the closest common superclass for the classes in the union. As shown in the following table.

Table 6: Intersecting OWL2 RL compatible domains/ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Domain/range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>prov:atLocation</code></td>
<td>rdfs:domain</td>
<td>(implied: owl:Thing)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Modelers wishing to use inverses of the properties defined by PROV-O for example, the same three modelers above that defined prov:Influence”.

interpreted as saying, e.g., “prov:hadActivity can be used with any prov:Influence”, but as “Anything using prov:hadActivity is (at least) a prov:Influence”.

B. Names of inverse properties

To maximize interoperability, PROV-O intentionally avoids defining too many properties’ inverses. In fact, it only defines two (prov:generated and prov:hasRole). When all inverses are defined for all properties, modelers may choose from two logically equivalent properties when making each assertion. Although the two options may be logically equivalent, developers consuming the assertions may need to exert extra effort to handle both (e.g., by either adding an OWL reasoner or writing code and queries to handle both cases). This extra effort can be reduced by preferring one inverse over another.

For example, the first PROV-O statement (below) could just as easily be asserted as the second statement. But if a client queries using prov:wasDerivedFrom when :hadDerivation was used in the assertion, no results will be returned unless OWL reasoning is applied (or the size of the query is doubled).

Example

```<http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> prov:wasDerivedFrom <http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> .
# These two statements are equivalent if prov:wasDerivedFrom is an inverse of :hadDerivation.
# But extra effort is required to handle both cases if one is not already using OWL reasoning.
# We cannot assume that everybody is using OWL reasoning.
# We do not want people to write more code and query than necessary.

<http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> :hadDerivation <http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> .
```

So, PROV-O avoids this situation by encouraging modelers to use one property instead of its inverse; the preferred property to use is the one defined in the PROV-O ontology. Those asserting and querying for the preferred property avoid the need for OWL reasoning, additional code, and larger queries while maintaining the same level of interoperability.

However, the absence of defined inverses can lead to a different risk to interoperability. Because modelers are free to create their own properties to suit their needs, they may be motivated to assert the inverse of any PROV-O property defined herein.

For example, since PROV-O does not define the inverse of prov:wasDerivedFrom, and if three developers would rather model their assertions in the opposite direction, the following set of assertions might be found in the future web of provenance. These assertions are not in an interoperable form without the use of an OWL reasoner, additional code, or larger queries.

Example

```<http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> their:derivedTo <http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> .
# my:hadDerivation is used here instead of their:derivedTo.
<http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> my:hadDerivation <http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> .
# These three statements are equivalent if their predicates are all inverses of prov:wasDerivedFrom.
<http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> your:ledTo <http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> .
<http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> their:ledTo <http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/> .```

To balance these two interoperability risks, this document reserves the names of the PROV-O inverses. The name of a property’s inverse is determined by appending the value of its annotation to the PROV namespace (http://www.w3.org/ns/prov). Modelers wishing to use inverses of the properties defined by PROV-O SHOULD use those reserved by this document.

For example, the same three modelers above that defined my:hadDerivation, your:ledTo, and their:ledTo should instead look for the http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#inverse annotation on prov:wasDerivedFrom to determine that they should use the property http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#hadDerivation.

Example

```@prefix prov: <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#> .
# Each PROV-O property is annotated with the local name of its inverse.
prov:wasDerivedFrom a owl:ObjectProperty;
  rdfs:domain <http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#prov:Entity>;
  rdfs:inverse "hadDerivation";
  rdfs:domain prov:Entity;
  rdfs:range prov:Entity;
.```

Table 5: Names of inverses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>PROV-O Property</th>
<th>Recommended inverse name</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>prov:Agent</td>
<td>prov:actedOnBehalfOf</td>
<td>prov:hadDelegate</td>
<td>prov:Agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:ActivityInfluence</td>
<td>prov:activity</td>
<td>prov:activityOfInfluence</td>
<td>prov:Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:AgentInfluence</td>
<td>prov:agent</td>
<td>prov:agentOfInfluence</td>
<td>prov:Agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:Entity</td>
<td>prov:alternateOf</td>
<td>prov:alternateOf</td>
<td>prov:Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>union</td>
<td>prov:location</td>
<td>prov:locationOf</td>
<td>prov:Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov:EntityInfluence</td>
<td>prov:entity</td>
<td>prov:entityOfInfluence</td>
<td>prov:Entity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table lists the recommended inverse names that should be used if a modeler does not want to use the recommended PROV-O property. For convenience, this file lists the resulting inverse properties.
C. Changes since WD-prov-o-20120724

This section is non-normative.

- Restated prov:hadSource's domain to 'Association or InstantaneousEvent' instead of the original that enumerated the subclasses of InstantaneousEvent ('Association or End or Generation or Invalidation or Start or Usage').
- Renamed prov:Source to prov:PrimarySource and prov:qualifiedSource to prov:qualifiedPrimarySource.
- Examples have been rewritten to avoid usage of TriG named graph syntax except for when showing bundles in prov:asInBundle and prov:mentionOf (since removed to a separate Note). A citation to TriG was added.
- Some examples have been elaborated to use resource names like :illustration_usage rather than :usage_1.
- Fixed naming mismatch by changing prov:hadOriginalSource to prov:hadPrimarySource.
- Rephrased definitions for prov:associationInfluence, prov:activityInfluence, and prov:agentInfluence to align with the definition of their superclass prov:Influence.
- Updated definitions for prov:start and prov:end from PROV-DM.
- The property chain for prov:wasInformedBy was fixed from "qualifiedCommunication o entity subproperty of wasInformedBy" to "prov:qualifiedCommunication o entity subproperty of prov:Influence".
- Removed prov:mentionOf and prov:asinBundle, which have been relocated to its own Note.
- Added comments encouraging the use of the more specific forms of prov:Influence.
- Added uniform references to other "dated" PROV documents.
- Added prefix namespace table.
- Added Compliance with this document section.
- Corrected Turtle syntax for RL violations in PROV-O OWL Profile section. They were missing owl:unionOf.
- Updated attributions for the tools used to produce this document in Acknowledgements section.
- Reworked the Expanded Terms narrative and examples to better highlight each term.
D. Changes since CR-prov-o-2012111

This section is non-normative.

- Updated exemplar in cross reference entry prov:hadGeneration to include prov:activity property.
- Reordered class and predicate terms from alphabetical to a more natural narrative-based order.
- Added Term Index to aid reading this document in printed form.
- Fixed typo "itself" to "itself".
- Removed inaccurate property characteristics (AsymmetricProperty, IrreflexiveProperty) in third example of Appendix B.
- Added note to Starting Point Terms stating that rdf:type and rdfs:label are used to express PROV-DM's prov:type and prov:label.
- Updated prov:value's out-of-date definition to conform to PROV-DM's (i.e., "Provides a value that is a direct representation of an entity.").
- Updated prov:wasDerivedFrom's out-of-date definition to conform to PROV-DM's (i.e., "A derivation is a transformation of an entity into another, an update of an entity resulting in a new one, or the construction of a new entity based on a pre-existing entity.").
- Added xsd:dateType datatypes to exemplar in Invalidation and invalidatedAtTime.
- Fixed some incorrect wasAttributedTo/wasAssociatedWith in the cross reference exemplars.
- Changed the status of this document section: added new documents to the PROV Family of Document, and removed the how to read section, referring instead to PROV-OVERVIEW.
- Changed all URLs to PROV documents.

E. Changes since PR-prov-o-20130312

This section is non-normative.

- Fixed typo in alternateOf example: :london_forecast_045 became :london_forecast_0413
- Changed capitalization in definitions for Organization (new) and Person (new).
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