Manganese Oxidation State Assignment for Manganese Catalase

DOI:
[10.1021/jacs.6b02600](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b02600)

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Beal, N., & O'Malley, P. (2016). Manganese Oxidation State Assignment for Manganese Catalase. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 138(13), 4358-4361. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b02600>

Published in:
Journal of the American Chemical Society

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.

Manganese Oxidation State Assignment for Manganese Catalase

Nathan J. Beal and Patrick J. O'Malley*

School of Chemistry, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The oxidation state assignment of the manganese ions present in the superoxidized manganese (III/IV) catalase active site is determined by comparing experimental and broken symmetry density functional theory calculated ^{14}N , ^{17}O , and ^1H hyperfine couplings. Experimental results have been interpreted to indicate that the substrate water is coordinated to the Mn(III) ion. However, by calculating hyperfine couplings for both scenarios we show that water is coordinated to the Mn(IV) ion and that the assigned oxidation states of the two manganese ions present in the site are the opposite of that previously proposed based on experimental measurements alone.

The mechanism of water oxidation catalyzed by the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) of photosystem II (PSII) remains one of nature's great mysteries. Due in large part to the availability of accurate structural information obtained from recent high-resolution crystal structures,^{1,2} the mechanism of water oxidation is gradually yielding its secrets. In addition to its fundamental biological importance, this knowledge is vital for developing future artificial photosynthetic devices capable of hydrogen production from water.^{3–5} During the catalytic cycle, the OEC, comprising at its core a Mn_4CaO_5 complex, cycles through five distinct oxidation states known as the S_n states (where $n = 0–4$).⁶ To fully understand water oxidation, it is necessary to obtain an understanding of the key intermediates and stages involved at both a structural and electronic level. This is a crucial step in the elucidation of plausible water oxidation mechanisms. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies and high-resolution variants thereof have been at the forefront in revealing electronic level information about the intermediate states.⁷ Even with high-resolution EPR methods, however, the assignment of hyperfine couplings (hfc) to nuclear positions is often difficult and speculative. The utility of DFT calculations in assigning EPR hfc for organic free radicals has been appreciated for some time.⁸ More recent reports have demonstrated that for exchange coupled metal clusters such as the OEC, combined EPR and broken symmetry density functional theory (BS-DFT) calculations can be equally effective.⁹

Manganese catalase, a dimanganese complex which catalyzes the disproportionation of hydrogen peroxide to water and molecular oxygen, has been proposed to be an evolutionary precursor of the OEC.^{10,11} Additionally the active site of superoxidized manganese (III/IV) catalase, seen in Figure 1, has been used as a proteinaceous model of the S_2 state of the OEC. In contrast to the synthetic dimanganese complexes also

Figure 1. Model of the manganese catalase active site used in this study; each Mn ion is coordinated by one histidine and one glutamate residue with the two Mn ions bridged by a single glutamate residue and two μ -oxo bridges.

used to model the OEC, superoxidized manganese catalase is able to mimic important features of the protein environment surrounding the OEC such as the coordination by protein side chains and a water molecule.^{12,13} The di μ -oxo glutamate motif together with further glutamate and histidine ligation closely resembles the manganese ligation in the OEC. Although catalytically inactive,^{14,15} the superoxidized Mn(III)/Mn(IV) state displays an effective $S = 1/2$ ground spin state and has an EPR spectrum similar to that of the $S = 1/2$, S_0 and S_2 states of the OEC.^{16,17}

Many EPR studies have exploited this simpler manganese catalase active site as a model for the S_2 state of the OEC.^{12,18} In particular recent measurements of ligand ^{17}O , ^{14}N and ^1H hfc have been performed, and the assignments in manganese catalase and synthetic dimanganese model complexes have been used as guides for the assignment of similar hfc observed in the OEC S_2 state.^{19–21} As mentioned previously assignment of hfc to particular atom positions is aided considerably by BS-DFT calculations on large active site models. While some earlier BS-DFT studies using the catalase active site have been performed, in particular discounting the possibility of protonated oxo bridges in the superoxidized state,^{22,23} there has been no analysis of the more recently obtained ENDOR and HYSCORE data.

Received: March 10, 2016

A key fundamental question in the manganese catalase superoxidized III/IV state is the assignment of the correct oxidation state to each Mn. Recent experimental EPR studies have been interpreted to indicate that Mn₁ is in the III oxidation state with Mn₂ having the IV oxidation state.^{12,13} This assignment was based mainly on comparison of measured hfc with values found for model complexes.^{12,20} In this study we use BS-DFT calculations to calculate hfc and quadrupole couplings for the nuclei in models of the superoxidized manganese catalase site. We focus on models of the catalytic site where Mn₁ and Mn₂ are either in the III or IV oxidation state and use the comparison of calculated and experimental hyperfine and quadrupole couplings to decipher the correct oxidation state assignment. Models A and B differ in the assignment of the oxidation states to the structurally inequivalent manganese sites. Model A has Mn₁ in the IV oxidation state and Mn₂ in the III oxidation state with model B being the opposite. Model A(OH) has the same oxidation state assignment as model A but has a hydroxide bonded to Mn₁ instead of a water. Azide inhibited manganese catalase has also been studied using models C and D where the azide anion replaces the water bound to Mn₁. Model C has the same oxidation state assignment as model A and model D the same as model B. The numbering scheme used throughout this study is shown in Figure 2. At present there is no X-ray structure

Figure 2. Numbering scheme used throughout this work for the manganese catalase models: (a) shows the numbering scheme for A, A(OH), and B, while (b) is the numbering scheme used for the azide models C and D. For clarity the Arg-147 residue has been omitted.

available for the III/IV superoxidized state of manganese catalase. As a result of this, the study used the X-ray structure of manganese catalase derived from *Lactobacillus plantarum* in the Mn^{III}/Mn^{III} state as a starting structure.²⁴

Models A, A(OH), and B have calculated bond lengths, Heisenberg exchange coupling constants, and ⁵⁵Mn hyperfine hfc which are all in good agreement with the experimental data and previous DFT calculations on smaller model systems, and these are presented in the Supporting Information (SI). Based on these data alone, no model can be found which gives superior agreement with the experimental data. A better distinction between the models arises when comparing experimental and calculated ¹⁴N, ¹⁷O hfc and nuclear quadrupole coupling data. Spin projected ¹⁴N hfc and

quadrupolar parameters are given in Table 1 for models A, A(OH), and B and in Table 2 for models C and D.

Table 1. Spin Projected ¹⁴N Isotropic Hyperfine Couplings (MHz) and $K^2(3 + \eta^2)$ (MHz²) for Models A, A (OH), and B

nucleus	parameter	A	A(OH)	B
π -N ₁ (His-69)	A_{iso}	+3.0	+2.7	−4.3
	$K^2(3 + \eta^2)$	0.7	0.9	0.8
π -N ₂ (His-181)	A_{iso}	−4.6	−4.1	+2.7
	$K^2(3 + \eta^2)$	0.9	1.0	0.8

Table 2. Spin Projected ¹⁴N Isotropic Hyperfine Couplings (MHz) and $K^2(3 + \eta^2)$ (MHz²) for the Azide Inhibited Models C and D

nucleus	parameter	C	D	exptl ¹²
π -N ₁ (His-69)	A_{iso}	+2.9	−0.21	3.5 (±0.2)
	$K^2(3 + \eta^2)$	0.9	1.0	1 (±0.02)
π -N ₂ (His-181)	A_{iso}	−4.2	+2.0	6.4 (±0.5)
	$K^2(3 + \eta^2)$	1.0	1.0	0.9 (±0.02)
N ₅ (azide)	A_{iso}	+1.2	+14.7	2.5 (±0.5)
	$K^2(3 + \eta^2)$	1.7	1.3	1.6 (±0.02)
N ₆ (azide)	A_{iso}	−0.6	+1.2	—
	$K^2(3 + \eta^2)$	0.1	0.1	—
N ₇ (azide)	A_{iso}	−0.1	+1.4	—
	$K^2(3 + \eta^2)$	0.5	0.3	—

Our A, A(OH), and B models give calculated isotropic hfc values of 3.0, 2.7, and 2.7 MHz, respectively, for the histidine π -nitrogen bound to the Mn(IV) and −4.6, −4.1, and −4.3 MHz for the π -nitrogen bound to the Mn(III). These are in general agreement with the experimentally observed values of −5.75 and −6.0 MHz experimentally assigned to a Mn(III) ligand nitrogen and 2.7 and 3.0 MHz assigned to a Mn(IV).^{12,18} Model B is in direct agreement with the experimental assignments which assigned Mn₁ as Mn(III), however model A and model A (OH) show equally good agreement and thus do not allow a distinction to be made between the different models.

Comparison of the calculated ¹⁴N hfc for the azide models C and D, Table 2, does enable us to make a clear distinction between the oxidation state assignments of Mn₁ and Mn₂. Here, by comparing the calculated hfc data for the azide inhibited models, it is shown that model C, i.e., where Mn₁ is in the IV oxidation state, gives unique agreement with the experimental hfc for the azide nitrogen. Table 2 shows that binding of the azide ion to Mn₁(III), seen in model D, results in a calculated isotropic hfc of 14.7 MHz for the azide N₅ atom which far exceeds the experimentally observed value of 2.5 MHz. The large magnitude of the calculated hfc can be attributed to the Jahn–Teller axis lying along the Mn(III)–N azide bond. This results in a significant transfer of spin density from Mn(III) to the nitrogen nucleus, via the occupied Mn(III) d_{z^2} orbital, leading to a correspondingly large magnitude ¹⁴N isotropic hfc. For model C, by contrast, where the Mn₁ is in the IV oxidation state, the calculated hfc value of 1.2 MHz for the azide nitrogen is much closer in magnitude to the experimental value of 2.5 MHz. These calculated hfc, therefore, provide a clear distinction between the different oxidation state models C and D and strongly indicate that Mn₁ is Mn(IV) and Mn₂ is Mn(III), in disagreement with the assignments made previously.

It is possible that the large magnitude hfc value for the azide N of 14.7 MHz, calculated for model D, precludes efficient nuclear state mixing needed for HYSCORE and may not be detectable.¹⁸ The observed experimental value might then correspond to the smaller isotropic hfc's of the azide N₆ or N₇ atoms of Figure 2. However, the calculated quadrupolar values, $K^2(3 + \eta^2)$, for both of these nitrogens, Table 2, are significantly different from that observed experimentally and would not support this assignment. A further reason to reject the model D oxidation state assignment is the relatively poor agreement between the calculated and experimental hfc's for the histidine π -nitrogens, N₁ and N₂ for this model. The calculated hfc's, Table 2, are significantly lower than the experimental values. This may arise from the negative azide anion coordinating to Mn₁(III) along the Jahn–Teller axis. This leads to a lengthening of the Mn– π –N bonds which were found to be an average of 0.08 Å longer in model D than they were in model B. Full tables of the bond lengths for all models can be found in the SI.

In all of the models, the Mn(III) Jahn–Teller axis was found to lie perpendicular to the μ -oxo bridges, a finding that is echoed in earlier studies of manganese catalase and many synthetic mixed valence Mn(III)/Mn(IV) dimer complexes.^{9,25} This disagrees with the interpretation of Coates et al. where the azide nitrogen was assigned as a ligand to Mn(III) in an equatorial position.¹² It is possible that the azide ligand could cause a switch of oxidation states on replacement of the water molecule on Mn₁. However, further support for the above assignment of oxidation states in the uninhibited system comes from ¹⁷O hfc data measured for the water molecule ligated to Mn₁. An experimental ¹⁷O isotropic hfc of magnitude 1.5 or 3.8 MHz was recently measured for the water oxygen.^{13,26} Spin projected ¹⁷O isotropic hfc's for models A, A(OH), and B are shown in Table 3. A comparison of the calculated results for the

Table 3. Calculated Spin Projected ¹⁷O Isotropic Hyperfine Couplings (MHz) for the Models A, A (OH), and B

nucleus	parameter	A	A (OH)	B	exptl ^{13,26}
water/hydroxide O ₆	A _{iso}	+2.4	+4.5	−18.1	1.5 or 3.8

three model systems with the experimental value strongly supports either model A or model A(OH). Table 3 shows that the model B calculated ¹⁷O isotropic hfc, −18.1 MHz, is significantly larger in magnitude than the experimental value. This is due to the water oxygen ligating along the Mn(III) Jahn–Teller axis and similar to the azide nitrogen, a large magnitude isotropic hfc arises due to direct transfer of spin density from the Mn(III) d_{z²} orbital. The isotropic hfc values calculated for models A and A(OH), where the oxygen is ligated to a Mn(IV) ion, and an empty d_{z²} orbital is much smaller in magnitude and exhibits much closer agreement with the small experimental hfc of magnitude 1.5 or 3.8 MHz.

Crystal structure and molecular dynamics simulations of the III/III form show clear 6-coordination at the Mn₁ site, whereas the Mn₂ site is best described as 5-coordinate square pyramidal (see SI).^{24,27,28} In the IV/III superoxidized form, therefore, the preference of Mn (IV) for 6-coordination at Mn₁ found above is rationalized by the higher coordination number with the more extreme Jahn–Teller Mn₂ site favoring Mn (III).

¹H hfc data have been obtained using 2D HYSCORE spectroscopy by Coates et al.,¹² who observed two signals HA

and HB. Due to its disappearance in deuterated water, the HA signal was experimentally assigned to the proton(s) of the water molecule coordinated to Mn₁ and was measured with A_{iso} = |3.3| MHz and T = |6.7| MHz, which was interpreted to indicate that Mn₁ was in the III oxidation state. Modeling of the proton hfc's is complicated due to the absence of proton coordinates from the crystal structure determination. The water protons can occupy a large conformational space, and to account for this uncertainty, the proton hfc was calculated over a range of possible conformations by varying the dihedral angle the protons make with the Mn μ -oxo bonds as described in the SI. Table 4 shows the range of values calculated for the isotropic and anisotropic hfc's for the water protons ligated to Mn₁ for each of the three models.

Table 4. Selected Spin Projected ¹H Isotropic and Anisotropic Hyperfine Couplings (MHz) for the Models A, A (OH), and B

nucleus	parameter	A	A (OH)	B
water/hydroxide H ₁	A _{iso}	−0.7 to −5.3	−6.8 to −10.0	0.1 to −1.3
	T	−4.3 to −5.1	−5.2 to −6.3	+8.3 to +9.6
water/hydroxide H ₂	A _{iso}	−0.6 to −3.8	—	0.0 to −1.1
	T	−4.2 to −4.7	—	+7.5 to +8.6
His 69 H ₅	A _{iso}	+0.4	+0.4	−0.6
	T	−2.3	−2.4	+4.5
His 181 H ₈	A _{iso}	−0.7	−0.5	+0.4
	T	+5.0	+5.2	−2.6

For model B, the calculated isotropic hfc values for the water protons range between 0.1 to −1.3 MHz as the water group is rotated. This is significantly less than the experimental value of |3.3| MHz. The anisotropic hfc's were found to vary between 7.5 and 9.6 MHz, slightly higher than the experimental anisotropic hfc value of |6.7| MHz. The calculated isotropic hfc for the hydroxide proton in model A (OH) was found to range from −6.8 to −10.0 MHz, higher in magnitude than the experimental isotropic hfc, while the anisotropic hfc for the hydroxide proton ranged in value from −5.3 to −6.3 MHz in better agreement with the experimental anisotropic hfc of 6.7 MHz. The isotropic hfc values for the water protons in model A were found to vary the most out of the three models considered. The isotropic hfc values for the water protons were calculated to range between −0.6 and −5.3 MHz, while the anisotropic hfc value ranges between −4.2 to −5.1 MHz.

Unfortunately it is not possible based on the proton data calculated to definitively favor any model. The uncertainty in the location of the water protons makes it difficult to arrive at any firm conclusions. In the experimental studies it was argued that the magnitude of the hfc's observed for the water proton ruled out water coordination to a Mn(IV) ion.^{12,13} Our calculated hfc's show that this is not the case and that water coordination to Mn(IV) is as likely as coordination to Mn(III) based on the experimentally measured values.

The second proton coupling, HB measured with A_{iso} = |1.0| MHz and T = |5.5| MHz, was experimentally assigned to the proximal proton of the histidine residue ligated to Mn(III). This would correspond to H₅ or H₈ in our models shown in Figure 2. Table 4 shows that couplings close to these values are indeed calculated for the proximal proton of the histidine residue bound to the Mn(III) ion, i.e., His-181 in the A models and His-69 in model B. Based on our current assignment of the

Mn(III) oxidation state to Mn₂, we attribute this proton coupling as arising from the proximal proton on the His-181 residue and not the His-69 residue as assigned by Coates et al.¹²

In summary, comparison of BS-DFT calculated hfcs of the superoxidized state of manganese catalase with experimental results shows that the Mn₁ center is in the IV oxidation state with the Mn₂ center having the III oxidation state. These results are completely opposite to the interpretation suggested based solely on experimental results. The water molecule bound to Mn₁(IV) most likely remains fully protonated and does not become a hydroxide in the superoxidized state of manganese catalase.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b02600.

Computational details and data (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Patrick.omalley@manchester.ac.uk

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N.J.B. gratefully acknowledges the award of a BBSRC DTP studentship. The authors would like to acknowledge the use of the computational shared facility (CSF) at The University of Manchester.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Umena, Y.; Kawakami, K.; Shen, J. R.; Kamiya, N. *Nature* **2011**, 473 (7345), 55.
- (2) Suga, M.; Akita, F.; Hirata, K.; Ueno, G.; Murakami, H.; Nakajima, Y.; Shimizu, T.; Yamashita, K.; Yamamoto, M.; Ago, H.; Shen, J.-R. *Nature* **2014**, 517 (7532), 99.
- (3) Cook, T. R.; Dogutan, D. K.; Reece, S. Y.; Surendranath, Y.; Teets, T. S.; Nocera, D. G. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, 110 (11), 6474.
- (4) Tsui, E. Y.; Kanady, J. S.; Agapie, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, 52 (24), 13833.
- (5) Sala, X.; Maji, S.; Bofill, R.; García-Antón, J.; Escriche, L.; Llobet, A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, 47 (2), 504.
- (6) Kok, B.; Forbush, B.; McGloin, M. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1970**, 11 (6), 457.
- (7) Krewald, V.; Retegan, M.; Cox, N.; Messinger, J.; Lubitz, W.; DeBeer, S.; Neese, F.; Pantazis, D. A. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, 6 (3), 1676.
- (8) O'Malley, P. J.; Collins, S. J. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1996**, 259 (3–4), 296.
- (9) Sinnecker, S.; Neese, F.; Noodleman, L.; Lubitz, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126 (8), 2613.
- (10) Blankenship, R.; Hartman, H. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **1998**, 23 (3), 94.
- (11) Xiong, J.; Bauer, C. E. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **2002**, 53 (1), 503.
- (12) Coates, C. S.; Milikisiyants, S.; Chatterjee, R.; Whittaker, M. M.; Whittaker, J. W.; Lakshmi, K. V. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2015**, 119 (15), 4905.
- (13) McConnell, I. L.; Grigoryants, V. M.; Scholes, C. P.; Myers, W. K.; Chen, P.-Y.; Whittaker, J. W.; Brudvig, G. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134 (3), 1504.
- (14) Siegbahn, P. E. M. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2001**, 105 (3), 197.
- (15) Siegbahn, P. E. M. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2001**, 22 (14), 1634.
- (16) Waldo, G. S.; Yu, S.; Penner-Hahn, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114 (14), 5869.
- (17) Schäfer, K.-O.; Bittl, R.; Lendzian, F. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2003**, 107 (5), 1242.
- (18) Stich, T. A.; Whittaker, J. W.; Britt, R. D. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, 114 (45), 14178.
- (19) Gamelin, D. R.; Kirk, M. L.; Stemmler, T. L.; Pal, S.; Armstrong, W. H.; Penner-Hahn, J. E.; Solomon, E. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, 116 (6), 2392.
- (20) Milikisiyants, S.; Chatterjee, R.; Lakshmi, K. V. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, 115 (42), 12220.
- (21) Usov, O. M.; Grigoryants, V. M.; Tagore, R.; Brudvig, G. W.; Scholes, C. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129 (39), 11886.
- (22) Sinnecker, S.; Neese, F.; Lubitz, W. *JBIC, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, 10 (3), 231.
- (23) Schinzel, S.; Kaupp, M. *Can. J. Chem.* **2009**, 87 (10), 1521.
- (24) Barynin, V. V.; Whittaker, M. M.; Antonyuk, S. V.; Lamzin, V. S.; Harrison, P. M.; Artymuk, P. J.; Whittaker, J. W. *Structure* **2001**, 9 (8), 725.
- (25) Orio, M.; Pantazis, D. A.; Petrenko, T.; Neese, F. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 48 (15), 7251.
- (26) Rapatskiy, L.; Ames, W. M.; Pérez-Navarro, M.; Savitsky, A.; Griesse, J. J.; Weyhermüller, T.; Shafaat, H. S.; Högbom, M.; Neese, F.; Pantazis, D. A.; Cox, N. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2015**, 119 (43), 13904.
- (27) Antonyuk, S. V.; Melik-Adamyanyan, V. R.; Popov, A. N.; Lamzin, V. S.; Hempstead, P. D.; Harrison, P. M.; Artymuk, P. J.; Barynin, V. V. *Crystallogr. Rep.* **2000**, 45 (1), 105.
- (28) Spiegel, K.; De Grado, W. F.; Klein, M. L. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.* **2006**, 65 (2), 317.