A paper submitted to
Structural Engineering International

**“FRP-Strengthened/Reinforced Concrete Structures exposed to
fire: A review”**

By

C. Maraveas*, Technical Director & Owner, C. MARAVEAS PARTNERSHIP, Greece
K.Miamis, Dr.Civ.Eng., Structural Engineering Dept. C. MARAVEAS PARTNERSHIP, Greece
A.A. Vrakas, Civ.Eng., Structural Engineering Dept. C. MARAVEAS PARTNERSHIP, Greece

***Corresponding Author:**

Mr Chrysanthos Maraveas
Technical Director & Owner
C. MARAVEAS PARTNERSHIP
Athens, Greece

c.maraveas@maraveas.gr

January 2012

FRP-Strengthened/Reinforced Concrete Structures exposed to fire: A review.

Abstract

The fire resistance of concrete members reinforced or strengthened with fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) materials is an extremely crucial area that needs to be investigated prior to implementing FRP composites in buildings and other structures vulnerable to fire. A review on the fire performance of fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) materials and FRP-strengthened / reinforced concrete members is presented. The review includes the mechanical properties of various types of FRP materials at elevated temperatures (based on experimental results). The behavior of bond adhesive epoxies and the bond of FRP reinforcement are investigated under elevated temperature effects. Experimental (fire test) results and numerical studies for unprotected concrete members strengthened or reinforced with FRPs (beams, columns, slabs) including failure patterns, as well as respective results for insulated concrete members, together with the type of insulation materials are also presented. The measured evolution of temperature at distinct locations within the cross-section of the tested element and the role of insulation are discussed. Factors that significantly influence the fire resistance of FRP strengthened or FRP reinforced systems are discussed and preliminary guidelines for the efficient design of such systems in a fire environment are provided.

Keywords: elevated temperatures; fire; fiber reinforced polymers; strengthening; reinforcing; concrete members.

1. Introduction

The retrofitting of damaged structures or the rehabilitation of old construction in an efficient and cost effective way has always been a challenge for the civil engineering community. Recent research has indicated that the use of new construction materials and techniques may provide viable solutions regarding this issue. A state-of-the-art technology which has seen an increasing number of field applications during the last two decades involves the use of fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP) in the retrofit of reinforced concrete (RC) members. The development of relevant codes and specifications¹⁻⁶ verifies this observation. Characteristic field applications are a 90 000 m² three-story parking garage in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA⁷ in which the double tee beams were strengthened with FRPs, and the reinforcing with FRP bars of six cement silos, in Boston, Massachusetts, USA⁷. Common practice suggests that FRPs be used either in the form of reinforcing bars, placed inside the concrete section (reinforcing with FRP materials), or as sheets/plates placed around the member in the form of externally bonded reinforcement (strengthening with FRP materials). Epoxy resins have been used as adhesives to provide sufficient bond between FRPs and concrete surface.

This newly-developed technology provides a wide range of advantages over traditional rehabilitation methods. Most notable are the ease of installation, fast on-field construction and limitation of the disturbance due to works. Moreover, the high resistance to corrosion, certain mechanical characteristics (high strength, durability) and the lightweight properties of FRP materials explain their rapidly increasing use, contrary to conventional materials. However, their low resistance to elevated temperature effects has limited their application to structures in which they are not expected to experience severe temperature rise (e.g. bridges, naturally ventilated parking structures e.t.c.). This observation has motivated researchers to investigate the behavior of FRPs subjected to fire. This paper presents a review of the research work done up to date regarding the mechanical properties of FRPs at elevated temperatures, fire experiments on FRP-strengthened/reinforced concrete elements as well as preliminary fire design guidelines.

2. Fire Definition

In most experiments presented in this paper, the ASTM E119⁸ or the ISO-834⁹ standard fire curves were used to evaluate the fire resistance of RC members incorporating FRPs. Both curves are suitable for fire testing of structural elements. In these standard fires, temperature increases rapidly during their early stages and stabilizes at around 1200°C after prolonged exposure (five hours). In very few tests the fire exposure was not according to these curves. Instead, an arbitrary slow rate of heating was adopted because the target temperature was low.

3. Properties of FRP materials at elevated temperatures

3.1 Thermal properties at elevated temperatures

Some research regarding the thermal properties of FRP materials at elevated temperatures has been done in recent years. However, raw experimental data are very scarce. In one source¹⁰, the change in specific heat and thermal conductivity of heated CFRP specimens with aerospace applications is given for temperatures up to 1000°C. Similar plots (for temperatures up to 500°C) for GFRP bars have also been presented by others¹¹. Another experimental curve showing the variation of thermal conductivity with temperature (from ambient conditions to 720°C) has been reported in the literature¹². The same authors provide some data on specific heat, but for a very limited temperature range (150°C to 300°C). These are not given here. The collected information is presented graphically in *Fig. 1*.

Fig. 1: Variation of a) thermal conductivity b) specific heat of FRP materials with temperature

3.2 Mechanical properties at elevated temperatures

During the past two decades, numerous researchers have investigated the effect of elevated temperature on the mechanical properties of FRP materials. The major focus of recent and ongoing research related to FRPs is the variation of their ultimate tensile strength and modulus of elasticity with temperature. Attention has also been given to the reduction of the bond strength between FRPs and concrete at elevated temperatures. The most common FRP applications involve materials such as carbon (CFRP), glass (GFRP) and aramid (AFRP). Before presenting the various mechanical properties of FRPs under fire effects, it is important to define the glass transition temperature T_g , in which the matrix of the FRP reduces in stiffness and strength by transforming into a soft, rubbery material¹³. This temperature marks a significant increase in the viscosity of the material and is usually measured by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (T_g is the

temperature around which the polymer matrix undergoes a change in its specific heat capacity) or from dilatometric data (measurement of the thermal strain and determination of T_g as the temperature that marks a change in the coefficient of thermal expansion). A summary of the collected data regarding the mechanical properties of FRP materials at elevated temperatures, together with their sources (some references contain results from more than one authors), is given in *Table 1*. Tests on mechanical properties were carried out at steady state conditions. *Table 1* also includes the minimum (T_{min}) and maximum (T_{max}) temperature at which specimens were exposed in every experimental program. The increase in temperature exposure (T_{inc}) among consecutive tests is also given, when information is available.

Reference	Type	Tensile strength				Elastic modulus				No. of sources
		No. of tests	T_{min} (°C)	T_{max} (°C)	T_{inc} (°C)	No. of tests	T_{min} (°C)	T_{max} (°C)	T_{inc} (°C)	
[10]	CFRP	101	0	450	-	75	0	400	-	15
	GFRP	47	0	400	-	15	20	350	-	
	AFRP	38	20	400	-	32	20	350	-	
[15]	GFRP	24	20	500	100	17	20	100		from author
	CFRP	10	20	600	150	7	20	150		
[16]	CFRP	35	16	200	40	-	-	-	-	from author
[17]	CFRP	24	50	700	50	-	-	-	-	from author
[18]	CFRP	12	20	200	50	12	20	200	50	from author
[19]	GFRP	30	10	500	50	19	10	300	50	from author
[20]	GFRP	14	20	350	50	14	20	350	50	from author
[21]	GFRP	32	200	350	50	23	200	350	50	from author
[22]	CFRP	8	25	325	50	-	-	-	-	from author
[23]	GFRP	35	5	270	20	-	-	-	-	1
[24]	GFRP	30	20	200	40	30	20	200	40	from author
[25]	GFRP	56	20	400	-	6	20	250	-	2
	AFRP	24	20	400	-	24	50	300	-	
	CFRP	47	20	450	-	31	20	300	-	
Reference	Type	Bond strength				Residual bond strength				No. of sources
		No. of tests	T_{min} (°C)	T_{max} (°C)	T_{inc} (°C)	No. of tests	T_{min} (°C)	T_{max} (°C)	T_{inc} (°C)	
[14]	Bond	53	20	280	-	-	-	-	-	2
[27]	Bond	10	20	200	50	-				from author
[28]	Bond	-	-	-	-	70	20	335	20	from author
[29]	Bond	-	-	-	-	6	20	200	100	from author
[30]	Bond	-	-	-	-	8	20	80	20	from author
Reference	Type	Tensile strength				Residual tensile strength				No. of sources
		No. of tests	T_{min} (°C)	T_{max} (°C)	T_{inc} (°C)	No. of tests	T_{min} (°C)	T_{max} (°C)	T_{inc} (°C)	
[28]	Epoxy	-	-	-	-	48	20	250	50	from author
[31]	Epoxy	36	20	175	25	-	-	-	-	from author

Table 1: Mechanical properties of FRP materials at elevated temperatures: summary of the experimental data found in the literature

3.2.1 Tensile strength and modulus of elasticity of FRPs at elevated temperatures

The tensile strength of FRP materials is crucial when determining the load bearing capacity of FRP reinforced/strengthened members. Experimental data showing the reduction in tensile strength of CFRP, GFRP and AFRP with temperature from 15 sources have been reported in the literature¹⁴. In an attempt to evaluate the response of FRP reinforced concrete members subjected to fire, researchers¹⁵ measured the tensile strength of CFRP and GFRP rods at elevated temperatures up to 700°C. The ultimate tensile strength of CFRP sheets at elevated

temperatures has also been measured¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Researchers have also investigated the effect of temperature on the tensile strength of GFRP rebars¹⁹⁻²³ and GFRP sheets²⁴. Furthermore, relevant experimental data on GFRP, CFRP and AFRP materials from another two sources have been presented²⁵. *Fig. 2* summarizes the above results for the three studied FRP materials. In all graphs, the range of T_g is presented, according to information given in the relevant sources, along with its mean value (dotted line). It should be noted that T_g for AFRP materials is based on reported values relating to Aramid fibers²⁵.

The modulus of elasticity of FRP materials plays an important role in the design, as it determines the stiffness and deflection characteristics of FRP reinforced/strengthened members. Its variation with temperature has also been studied by a wide range of researchers. Experimental results regarding its variation in CFRP^{14,15,18,25,26}, GFRP^{14,15,19-21,24-26} and AFRP^{14,25} specimens have been reported meticulously in the literature. *Table 1* shows the tested temperature range in the different experimental series. A relevant plot of the assembled data is also presented in *Fig. 2*.

Fig. 2: Variation of a) CFRP b) GFRP c) AFRP ultimate tensile strength with temperature and variation of d) CFRP e) GFRP f) AFRP modulus of elasticity with temperature

3.2.2 Bond strength of FRPs and tensile strength of epoxy resins at elevated temperatures

Temperatures can compromise the bond between the concrete and FRP, rendering the FRP strengthening/reinforcing of the member ineffective. In a relevant literature review¹⁴, data from four sources showing the degradation of the bond strength between FRP bars and concrete with temperature are presented. Researchers²⁷ also measured the bond strength of CFRP wires embedded in concrete at elevated temperatures and reported the results. Other researchers²⁸ carried out bond pull-off (direct tension) tests on concrete specimens strengthened with CFRP and GFRP sheets after being heated to temperatures up to 350°C and measured the residual bond strength between the two materials. In similar experimental work²⁹, the tested specimens were exposed to temperatures up to 200°C. The residual bond strength between GFRP bars and concrete has also been investigated³⁰ through pullout-testing of FRP reinforced specimens heated up to 80°C. A synopsis of the gathered experimental data is presented in *Fig. 3*.

Fig. 3: Variation of a) bond strength and b) residual bond strength of FRPs and concrete with temperature and variation of c) tensile strength and d) residual tensile strength of epoxies with temperature

In concrete members externally strengthened with FRPs, FRP materials are bonded to concrete with adhesive resins, such as epoxy. A sound fire-resistant design should account for possible reductions in the tensile strength of the adhesive material with increasing temperature, as it could lead to complete loss of bond between FRP and concrete. Tensile tests of epoxy coupons at elevated temperatures up to 170°C have been reported in the literature³¹ (Fig. 3c). Other authors, presented experimental data²⁸ on the residual strength of epoxy specimens heated up to 250°C (Fig. 3d).

3.3 Discussion and research needs

Contrary to the mechanical properties of FRPs, their thermal properties at elevated temperatures have not been studied thoroughly, because FRP applications usually have small thickness and are not expected to alter the thermal response of the overall cross-section. The curves proposed in the literature display considerable differences. The sudden rise in specific heat between approximately 300°C and 520°C reported for CFRPs is not observed for the remaining data. The steep reduction in the thermal conductivity of CFRP specimens at low temperatures (up to 400°C) is not observed for the tested GFRPs, in which a decrease is observed approximately between 250°C - 400°C. These discrepancies can possibly be attributed to the different nature of the carbon and glass fibers, but further research on the thermal properties of FRPs is required before drawing solid conclusions.

Most experiments regarding the mechanical properties of FRPs have been conducted for the temperature range of 20 to 600°C or 20 to 500°C. Some researchers have limited their experimental investigation to temperatures as low as 200°C (Table 1). The test data confirm the expected degradation of the tensile strength with temperature. The majority of the reported results fall within 50°C to 400°C. For temperatures lower than 200°C, the reduction ratio generally varies from 1 to 0,55 for CFRPs, from 1 to 0,40 for GFRPs and from 1 to 0,70 for AFRPs. Because of the variability in the data, the effect of the glass transition temperature cannot be clearly determined. However, it marks, in most cases, the initiation of the tensile strength

degradation for the tested FRP materials. The tensile strength reduces further for the temperature range of 200°C to 400°C, with reductions as high as 80% (around 300°C) being reported. This observation raises doubts regarding the capability of FRPs to maintain their design load in this temperature range. The limited experimental results for temperatures greater than 500°C highlight the need for further testing in that temperature region. Such tests could also provide information on the temperature which causes complete loss of strength, which is up to date not clearly determined. The large scatter in the data can be attributed to the high variability in the composition of the polymer matrix and fibers of the tested specimens. The high strength of carbon fibers at elevated temperatures is not reflected on the retained strength of heated CFRPs, as the properties of the polymer matrix usually dictate the reduction in the mechanical properties¹⁴.

The effect of temperature on the modulus of elasticity of FRPs is not so profound. With the exception of very few data points, the elastic modulus does not reduce below 60% of its initial value when heated up to 400°C. Especially for low temperatures (below 250°C), the stiffness of CFRP and GFRP materials may, in some cases, increase up to 20% with respect to its room temperature value. Furthermore, the glass transition temperature does not indicate a major change in the elastic modulus of the tested CFRP specimens, while large scatter in GFRP data is observed around that temperature. The T_g of aramid fibers (as shown in *Fig. 2c* and *Fig. 2f*) has been reported to fall within the region of 300°C, where considerable deterioration of the AFRP material has already occurred. The data once again display considerable scatter, except for AFRP materials where a steady decline with temperature can be observed. This could possibly be attributed to the nature of aramid. However, the experimental results found in the literature are extremely limited for temperatures higher than 400°C, suggesting that further research work is needed to evaluate the variation of the modulus of elasticity beyond 400°C.

Relevant data (*Fig. 3a*) confirm rapid loss of bond strength even at temperatures as low as 100°C-200°C¹⁴. This phenomenon occurs due to changes in the microstructure of the polymer matrix of FRPs¹⁴ at their interface with concrete, as fibers are more resistant to elevated temperatures²⁷. The data do not exhibit large scatter and show a reduction at a steady rate. The reported results suggest an average reduction of 40% at 100°C, with the bond strength dropping below 20% of its initial value around 200°C. Therefore, the force transfer mechanism between FRP and concrete can be seriously weakened for this temperature region, an observation that poses serious design considerations. The post-fire bond strength, however, experienced a less severe reduction for the temperature range of 200°C to 300°C, with measurements showing that 60% to 100% of the initial bond strength was maintained. Low temperatures have a minor effect on the bond strength (approximately 15% reduction) when the specimen is allowed to cool down. The gathered data suggest that, practically, there is no bond strength remaining beyond 300°C. Moreover, the experimental data are limited to FRP-reinforcing schemes, with no attention being given to externally bonded systems. The need for a thorough experimental investigation on this research object has already been reported¹⁴.

Experimental data on the tensile strength of epoxy resins are few and limited to temperatures lower than 170°C. Below 100°C, the data show an increase of the ambient temperature strength up to 20%. On the contrary, minimal reduction of strength (approximately 15%) is observed for temperatures ranging between 100°C and 170°C. The variation of tensile strength for higher temperatures should be investigated by testing. The results will also provide crucial information on the temperature region around which epoxies cannot provide sufficient bond (due to complete loss of strength). Reported data on the residual strength of epoxy specimens heated up to 150°C show approximately the same variation with temperature. When specimens were exposed to 250°C, the after cooling down strength reduced to 50%-70% of its initial value. Results referring to higher temperatures should also be reported in future work. The reported T_g range does not seem to affect the tensile ("hot" or residual) strength of epoxies.

Information regarding the post fire mechanical properties of FRP materials is extremely limited. Researchers²⁶ measured the residual strength of CFRP and GFRP coupons (sheets) after high

temperature exposure. Further research work is required, however, in order for useful conclusions regarding the post fire mechanical properties of FRPs to be drawn.

4. Thermal response of members incorporating FRPs

Another important issue that should be discussed is the temperature evolution in members that incorporate FRP materials. A clear distinction is made between FRP-reinforced and FRP-strengthened elements, because their thermal response is not expected to be similar.

4.1 FRP-reinforced elements

4.1.1 Experimental studies

In most experiments, the temperature at distinct locations in of the tested member was monitored by thermocouples and reported as a function of time. For example, authors³² provide a temperature profile for a section at the midspan of a representative CFRP-reinforced beam they tested (*Fig. 4a*). The same authors presented similar information regarding another beam of their experimental program elsewhere³³. In another research project³⁴, two concrete members with GFRP-reinforcement were tested and the temperature evolution in the rebars was measured.

Time-temperature curves are reported for six slabs reinforced with GFRPs¹¹. The authors reported measurements of the thermal field at different locations, as well as the temperature variation along the depth of section (*Fig. 4b*). A similar experimental program has been reported in the literature³⁵, with the authors, however, providing little information on the thermal response of the specimens. Detailed experimental data are given by other researchers³⁶, who recorded the temperature evolution at several locations and cross-sectional depths of the tested CFRP and GFRP slabs (*Fig. 4c*).

4.1.2 Numerical Analysis studies

Several researchers attempted to simulate the thermal response of FRP-reinforced concrete elements. In beam elements, a semi-empirical equation for calculating the temperature at the FRP rebar-concrete interface has been proposed³⁷. The same authors³⁷ also proposed a 2D finite element model to predict the temperature distribution along the depth of the beam's cross-section and compared the results with data from their experimental program³⁴.

The temperature profile of FRP-reinforced columns (*Fig. 4d*) heated from all sides has also been simulated using the Finite Element Method (FEM)³⁸. The analysis involved 3D models incorporating eight-node solid elements. The mechanism of heat transfer to the surface of the column included both radiation and convection. The influence of concrete cover on the temperature evolution of the FRP-bars was also investigated. Similar work was also reported by others³⁹.

Authors⁴⁰ created a one-dimensional heat transfer model (based on the explicit finite difference method) to determine the temperature profile in slabs heated from below, and compared numerical results with experimental data³⁶. In this model, the authors selected to ignore the influence of FRP reinforcement on the heat transfer mechanism. In other cases, 2D solid elements were employed to perform a heat transfer analysis of the cross-sections of slabs¹¹.

Fig. 4: Temperature evolution in FRP reinforced a) beams³² b) slabs¹¹ c) slabs³⁶ d) columns³⁸

4.1.3 Discussion and research needs

Experimental results describing the thermal response of FRP-reinforced concrete members found in the literature are scarce. No data referring to FRP-reinforced columns exist and, therefore, relevant experiments should be carried out to determine their temperature profile when exposed to fire. The experimental results on beams confirm the expected decrease of temperature towards the interior of the cross-section and the severe temperature gradient between top and bottom fiber³². More importantly, they show that the temperature at the FRP bars might exceed 600°C. This observation is crucial for the design, as it suggests loss of the strength of the bars and structural failure. Moreover, it highlights the significance of cover. More testing of beams with varying cover thicknesses should be performed, in order for its role in the thermal response to be quantified. Future work should also include monitoring the temperature throughout the length of the member. Measurements of the thermal field in slabs also show the existence of a temperature gradient between top and bottom surface. The rebars display once again considerable temperature rise (more than 500°C), suggesting that the used cover was not sufficient. Its importance in the fire-resistance of slabs with FRP reinforcement has already been emphasized³⁶. Moreover, the experimental studies show that temperature evolution in the slab does not depend on the nature of the FPR material (Fig. 4).

Even though reasonable, numerical analysis results referring to columns with FRP-reinforcement should be verified by testing. The 2D finite element analysis model found in the literature³⁷ was proven to underestimate the temperature evolution in FRP-reinforced beams³⁷. On the contrary, the semi-empirical equation proposed by the same authors matches the test results accurately³⁷. More work is required, however, for solid conclusions to be drawn. Numerical results regarding slabs follow the experimental data very closely. Some improvement in the derived one-dimensional heat transfer model⁴⁰ could be achieved by taking into account the presence of the

FRP bars. Furthermore, all proposed numerical analyses have to be compared with extensive testing (existing and future) from different sources in order for their validity to be confirmed.

4.2 FRP-strengthened elements

FRP materials are well-suited for repairing or strengthening concrete members. Especially in applications where the material is wrapped around a column, it can provide adequate confinement and, therefore, enhance the behavior of the member in terms of ductility and strength. This type of application, however, is extremely susceptible to fire, as the FRP material is directly exposed to elevated temperatures. For this reason, in practice FRP strengthened elements are commonly insulated via sprayed-on applications or boards of insulating materials. Characteristic materials commonly used as fire protection and their thermal properties are given in the literature^{41,42}.

4.2.1 Experimental studies

FRP-strengthened members subjected to fire are usually insulated to prevent the fast temperature rise in the FRP wrap. In a relevant experimental project⁴³, a total of 18 such specimens (six columns and 12 beams) were tested and the temperature evolution, on both sides of the insulating surface, was recorded. Temperature measurements of FRP-strengthened columns with insulation exposed to a furnace temperature of 900°C for 30min have also been reported⁴⁴. The effect of fire protection in reducing temperature has also been noted in experiments by other researchers⁴⁵, who tested four insulated and one unprotected FRP-strengthened columns (*Fig. 5a*). More detailed information regarding the temperature profiles for three of these columns is given elsewhere⁴⁶.

Others⁴⁷ recorded the evolution of temperature at the midspan of insulated beams (*Fig. 5b*). Significant work on the thermal response of FRP-strengthened beams with fire protection has also been done in other research programs^{48,41,49,50,51}. Temperature measurements at various cross-sectional depths and positions were reported. Temperature profiles of unprotected beams are also given in the literature^{41,52}.

The thermal field of FRP-strengthened slabs has also been investigated experimentally⁵³. In the specific research project, four unloaded slab specimens with varying insulation were exposed to fire and the temperature evolution was monitored at distinct locations. Loss of insulation was reported for one test⁵³. Similar work was done for ten CFRP-strengthened slabs⁵⁴ and information on the thermal field was given.

Fig. 5: Temperature evolution in FRP strengthened a) columns⁴⁵ b) beams⁴⁷ c) beams⁵⁰ d) slabs⁵³.

4.2.2 Numerical Analysis studies

Analysis of the thermal response of FRP strengthened members has also been the object of research in recent years. A heat transfer analysis model for circular columns based on the finite difference method has been developed⁵⁵. In this model, the cross-section is discretized in circular layers (the existence of reinforcement is neglected) and the temperatures are calculated assuming elemental energy balance. Similar work was done for rectangular FRP-wrapped columns with insulation⁵⁶. A nonlinear finite element procedure to predict the temperature distribution in FRP-wrapped columns subjected to fire has also been proposed⁵⁷.

The FE method has been employed to describe the thermal response of insulated beams with FRP strengthening^{47,42}, with the authors publishing information regarding the meshing of the simulated beams and the governing heat transfer equations. Information referring to relevant 2D^{41,48} as well as 3D heat transfer finite models^{58,49} is given in the literature. Other authors⁵⁰ created a two-dimensional heat transfer model incorporating the finite difference method to predict the temperature profile of such beams and compared analysis results with their experimental data (Fig. 5c).

An explicit finite difference model based on one-dimensional heat propagation (which includes material variation with temperature) has been derived for modeling the thermal behavior of slabs strengthened with FRP systems⁵³. Numerical results from this model have been compared with experimental data from the authors⁵³ (Fig. 5d).

4.2.3 Discussion and research needs

Experimental data confirm the rapid increase of temperature in the FRP for members with no fire protection. Fig. 5a shows that the temperature increased at a much higher rate for the unprotected column (Column 4)⁴⁵. Furthermore, temperatures exceeding 800°C^{45,52} in the FRP system have been recorded for non-protected members. On the contrary, FRP temperature did

not exceed 400°C in insulated elements, even after prolonged (more than five hours in certain cases) exposure to fire. Still, these temperatures are well above T_g (where deterioration of the FRP can be noted). In other research⁴¹, even though insulation delayed the debonding of CFRP in beams⁴¹, loss of the strengthening system could not be prevented. Further research is required to determine the effectiveness of the insulating materials and their application thickness. More testing of real scale members should also be carried out, especially for slabs and columns, as the tests to date are few.

Comparison with experimental data shows that the cross-sectional temperature distribution of FRP-confined columns can be adequately described by the current thermo-numerical models. However, future work should include numerical studies of 3D FEM column models to address issues of heat propagation along the height of the member. Numerical results referring to beams generally follow the measured temperatures. All proposed approaches (2D and 3D FEM analyses, 2D finite difference method) describe the heat propagation problem well and capture the effect of insulation in reducing the temperature of the FPR layer accurately. Numerical studies regarding the thermal response of FRP-strengthened slabs are limited. Even though test data are in agreement with numerical results, further testing is required to confirm their validity. 3D FEM models might also be appropriate to simulate the spatial variation of temperature in slabs strengthened with FRPs. Moreover, comparison of the current numerical results should be extended to a wider range of experimental results (including future work).

5. Structural response of members incorporating FRPs

The need to provide adequate fire resistance and establish a threshold temperature, under which an FRP-strengthened/reinforced structural system can sustain service load, has motivated researchers to carry out fire experiments on concrete members (i.e. beams, columns, slabs) incorporating FRP materials. The relevant tests found in the literature are summarized in *Table 2*.

Reference	Type	No. of experimental data		Failure load determination
		FRP-Reinforced	FRP-Strengthened	
[29]	Columns	-	12	Experiment
[45]	Columns	-	5	per ACI 440 ²
[61]	Columns	-	2	per ACI 440 ²
[63]	Columns	-	3	per ACI 440 ²
[64]	Columns	-	4	per ACI 440 ²
[44]	Columns	-	10	Experiment
[62]	Columns	-	2	per ACI 440 ²
[32]	Beams	5	-	per ACI 440 ²
[41]	Beams	-	5	per ACI 440 ²
[34]	Beams	2	-	per ACI 440 ²
[48]	Beams	-	1	per ACI 440 ²
[65]	Beams	-	1	per ACI 440 ²
[59],[11]	Slabs	6	-	Experiment
[35]	Slabs	3	-	per ACI 440 ²
[66]	Slabs	-	7	Experiment
[54]	Slabs	-	5	per ACI 440 ²

Table 2: Fire tests of RC members reinforced/strengthened with FRPs found in the literature

In an attempt to describe the structural response of RC members incorporating FRPs, the load ratio of each member (defined as the applied load divided by the member capacity at room temperature) is plotted against the temperature at failure. The reported failure temperatures refer to the FRP-concrete interface at the middle section of the element. Some researchers measured the ultimate load for the tested specimens by loading a control specimen to failure. When such

information was not available, it was calculated according to ACI-440² (*Table 2*). These plots show the effect of temperature on the load bearing capacity of FRP reinforced/strengthened elements exposed to fire. In terms of design, they can be used to assess the survivability of such members in different fire situations or can provide insight regarding the maximum allowable temperature of the FRP materials for a given load level.

5.1 FRP-reinforced elements

5.1.1 Experimental studies

No tests on FRP reinforced columns have been reported in the literature. Researchers mainly focus on reinforcing the cross-section of beams with FRP bars. In a relevant experimental program³², five experiments on FRP-reinforced beams, with the FRP bars being placed at different locations within the cross-section, were carried out. In two tests steel rebars were used in conjunction with the FRP reinforcement. The authors reported the failure temperatures as well as the time-displacement curves obtained by heating the beams. Beams with GFRP reinforcement have also been tested³⁴, with the authors measuring the evolution of deflection, until failure, due to temperature increase. The load ratio of the tested beams is plotted against the failure temperature (*Fig. 6*).

Fig.6: Plot of load ratio of tested FRP-reinforced beams vs failure temperature

Most of the tested beams reported in the literature failed in flexure. Such a failure mode, where the beam ruptured at midspan (*Fig. 7*), has been reported³⁴. Obvious is also the spalling of concrete at the edges³⁴. Other experimental results³² suggest similar failure patterns.

Fig. 7: Failure of FRP reinforced beam³⁴

Authors⁴⁰ also refer to a research program which involved ten fire tests of FRP reinforced slabs and report that failure did not occur until temperature at the reinforcement had reached 500°C. Furthermore, results from an experimental program comprising of six simply supported concrete slabs reinforced with GFRP rebars, which were tested under four point bending in fire conditions, have been reported^{11,59}. The authors provide the ultimate load, fire rating and the failure mode for each slab. Other experimental series³⁵ involved one-way simply supported slabs reinforced with GFRP bars which were subjected to two-point loading. Three specimens were heated to 100°C and were loaded to failure after cooling down. The authors provide detailed information regarding the crack patterns at failure. Table 3 summarizes the results referring to FRP-reinforced slabs.

Reference	Slab specimen	Temperature at failure (°C)	Load level at failure	Failure mode
[59],[11]	S1	710	0,55	Pull out
[59],[11]	S2	560	0,50	Pull out
[59],[11]	S3	420	0,60	Pull out
[59],[11]	S4	500	1,00	Bar rupture
[59],[11]	S5	500	0,85	Bar rupture
[59],[11]	S6	500	1,00	Bar rupture
[35]	SG 13-6-1B	100	0,68	Shear
[35]	SG 13-6-2B	100	0,66	Shear
[35]	SG 16-4-1B	100	0,75	Shear

Table 3: Experimental results of FRP-reinforced slabs at elevated temperatures

5.1.2 Numerical Analysis studies

Despite the lack of experimental data, researchers modeled the structural response of FRP reinforced columns subjected to fire via 3D FEM analyses. In an axially loaded column model³⁸, eight-node solid elements with the appropriate (temperature-dependent) mechanical properties were used. No slip was allowed in the FPR-concrete interface. The authors calculated the evolution of the top displacement with temperature. Others³⁹ simulated the structural response of a heated half-scale column with 3D FEM modeling. Their results included vertical displacement calculation at the top of the column and stress profiles after different fire exposure times. Numerical studies regarding FRP-reinforced slabs are limited to flexural strength calculation of the cross-section⁶⁰ after fire exposure from below. The proposed methodology involves division of the section into layers. Afterwards, an iteration procedure is followed until the moment resistance is calculated.

5.1.3 Discussion and research needs

Experimental results show that beams loaded below 50% of their ambient temperature capacity usually fail at temperatures ranging from 500°C to 650°C. High temperature failures (around 800°C) correspond to heavily FRP-reinforced cross-sections, which are not representative of typical FRP-reinforcing. Therefore, beams with adequate cover could resist temperature effects under service load (as they are typically designed with a safety factor of two). Moreover, the fire resistance of beams subjected to higher load ratios should be investigated by testing. However, the load ratio might not be sufficient to describe the behavior of concrete beams incorporating FRPs. Other parameters, such as the loading type (point or uniform) and the anchorage length of the FRP reinforcement may be critical in determining the fire resistance and should be investigated by further testing.

FRP-reinforced columns have not been tested to date. Future experimental work could contribute in the structural fire design of such elements. Fire testing of slabs incorporating FRPs is limited. Despite being able to sustain the applied loads for temperatures ranging between 400°C-700°C approximately, results show that more than one failure modes are possible. Rapture of the FRP bars was only observed when the slabs were subjected to high load ratios and sufficient anchorage length unexposed to fire⁵⁹, while pull-out of the bars occurred for typical service load conditions (load ratio around 50%). Shear failure was observed in another series of experiments³⁵, but this should probably be attributed to poor fabrication of the specimens (small width and high longitudinal reinforcement ratio) and not as a result of temperature exposure (temperature at the GFRP bars did not exceed 100°C). Future testing on a wider range of slab specimens is required to ensure proper understanding of their structural response to elevated temperatures.

It should be noted that numerical analysis studies of FRP reinforced members subjected to fire are few. Despite some FEM modeling pertaining to columns, the absence of numerical analyses of beams is notable. Further numerical investigation of these elements, possibly via the FEM, should be conducted. The analysis of slabs should also involve 3D FEM modeling of full scale specimens, because spatial temperature distribution might affect the overall structural response of the system. Comparison with relevant experimental data (current and future) is also necessary to assess the validity of numerical methods for FRP-reinforced members.

5.2 FRP-strengthened elements

5.2.1 Experimental studies

Testing of FRP-strengthened elements has been the object of research in current years. More specifically, one square and four circular columns, wrapped externally with FRPs, were tested under fire effects and the failure temperature and load was reported⁴⁵. Also, their design capacity was calculated according to ACI 440². Based on that, the load ratio was calculated. Other researchers⁶¹ conducted a similar experimental program, which involved two circular columns – (one of which was insulated) and reported relevant results. More recent research⁶² involved fire testing of one rectangular and one circular column with fire protection. Results relating the load ratio and the failure temperature of the studied columns are summarized in *Fig. 8a*.

Fig. 8: Plot of: a) load ratio of tested FRP-strengthened columns vs failure temperature b) ratio of residual by initial strength of tested FRP-strengthened columns vs maximum temperature during heating c) load ratio of tested FRP-strengthened beams vs failure temperature

Other researchers^{63,64} measured the residual strength of columns with externally bonded FRPs after being subjected to elevated temperatures. The tests involved three rectangular⁶³ and five circular columns⁶⁴. In other research⁴⁴, half-scale CFRP-strengthened columns with insulation were exposed to fire and then loaded to failure. Small scale (100 mm x 200 mm) concrete cylinders were tested²⁹ in compression after being heated to 100°C or 200°C. *Fig. 8b* plots the reduction in strength against the maximum temperature exposure for these experiments. The initial (unheated) strength was calculated according to ACI 440², except for the half-scale and small scale specimens, whose strength at room temperature was measured by compression tests²⁹.

FRP strengthening is also suitable for the retrofit of beams. Tests of four CFRP strengthened RC beams⁴⁷ have also been reported in the literature. However, the beams did not reach failure due to the existence of insulation and low loading level (below 50% according to the authors). On the contrary, CFRP-strengthened RC beams tested by other researchers⁴¹ were loaded to failure. Relevant experimental results on FRP-strengthened beams are also reported in the literature^{48,65}. The increase in midspan deflection of two CFRP strengthened beams exposed to fire has also been measured⁴⁹. *Fig. 8c* shows the correlation between the load ratio and the failure temperature of the studied beams.

Most researchers provide information on the failure modes of FRP strengthened RC members in their work. In the experimental program⁴⁵ discussed earlier in this section, the insulated columns failed in a “non-violent manner by apparent crushing of the concrete core”, with the insulation being intact until failure. Concrete spalling and complete loss of cover in certain regions was observed for the unprotected column⁶¹, with no deformation of the longitudinal and transverse reinforcement being obvious⁶¹ (*Fig. 9a*). *Fig. 9b* also shows the failure of uninsulated columns wrapped with FRPs after exposure to elevated temperatures⁶³, which shows complete debonding of the fibers at one end. The authors state that failure of the FRP wrap was sudden and accompanied by concrete crushing⁶³. This type of failure was confirmed by similar experiments⁶⁴ (*Fig. 9c*). These failure patterns resemble the typical failure of axially loaded (non-slender)

columns at room temperature, once the FRP was debonded. It should also be noted that, slender columns are expected to buckle, while short stocky columns are expected to fail in compression.

Fig. 9: Failure of: a) FRP reinforced column⁶¹ b) rectangular column with FRP wrap⁶³ c) circular column with FRP wrap⁶⁴ d) FRP strengthened beam⁴¹

In FRP strengthened beams tested by other researchers⁴¹, failure (Fig. 9d) occurred by tensile rupture of the reinforcement after debonding of the FRP strengthening system⁴¹. The observed failure modes suggest typical failure of a RC beam at elevated temperatures, after detachment of the FRP strengthening.

FRP strengthening of RC slabs has also been investigated to some extent. A research project including five one-way FRP-strengthened slabs exposed to fire was conducted⁵⁴. The slabs were heated to failure, while structural loading was maintained constant. Detailed results regarding the deflection history of the slabs with temperature increase are given. In other research⁶⁶, near surface mounted (NSM) strips were bonded to loaded slabs that were heated to failure. Midspan deflection during heating was recording. Table 4 summarizes results from both experimental programs, as well as the reported failure modes.

Reference	Slab specimen	Temperature at failure (°C)	Load level at failure	Failure mode
[66]	E-100-1	94	0,58	Bond failure
[66]	G-100-1	91	1,00	Bond failure
[66]	E-200-1	185	0,58	Bond failure
[66]	E-200-2	166	0,58	Bond failure
[66]	E-200-3	N/A	0,58	Bond failure
[66]	G-200-1	179	0,77	Bond failure

[66]	G-200-2	197	0,77	Bond failure
[54]	Slab 6	400	0,36	Loss of FRP bond followed by steel yielding
[54]	Slab 7	400	0,36	Loss of FRP bond followed by steel yielding
[54]	Slab 8	400	0,36	Loss of FRP bond followed by steel yielding
[54]	Slab 9	400	0,36	Loss of FRP bond followed by steel yielding
[54]	Slab 10	500	0,37	Loss of FRP bond followed by steel yielding

Table 4: Experimental results of FRP-strengthened slabs at elevated temperatures

The effect of fire on FRP-strengthened slabs was investigated in two “real” compartment fire tests (The Dalmarnock Fire Tests)^{67,68}. The significance of these tests is that they simulated natural fire conditions and showed that loss of bond is the expected failure mode in real fire situations too. In these experiments, the 150mm concrete slab was strengthened by six strips of externally bonded FRPs, two of which were left unprotected. Despite high gas temperatures in the compartment⁶⁸ (750°C to 900°C) after flashover, the temperature in the bondline between the two materials exceeded 300°C only for the unprotected strips⁶⁸. However, fire caused the FRP plates to be completely detached from the concrete surface even when protected by intumescent coating⁶⁷. Fig. 10 shows a picture of the compartment after fire in one of the tests.

Fig. 10: View of the compartment after one of the Dalmarnock fire tests⁶⁸.

5.2.2 Numerical Analysis studies

Numerical studies regarding the structural response of FRP-strengthened members subjected to fire have also been the topic of recent research. Authors⁶¹ presented an analysis method in which the cross-section of circular column is divided into annular elements. Once the temperature-dependent mechanical properties have been selected (according to the thermal profile of the section), the axial capacity is calculated via strain compatibility and equilibrium. A similar methodology has been followed for rectangular columns⁵⁶. Others⁵⁷ used the fibre element method to discretize the cross-section of FRP-wrapped columns and calculated the load capacity via strain compatibility. The approach included geometrical nonlinearities and creep effects. The same authors provided results of axial force-moment interaction curves after different fire

exposure times for rectangular and circular columns and compared their results with test data of RC columns.

An approach to simulate the structural behavior of heated FRP-strengthened beams via generation of moment-curvature relationships at distinct time intervals has been proposed in the literature⁴⁷. The beam is divided to segments, for which separate calculations are conducted, and accounts for all appropriate strains (thermal, creep, transient in concrete) as well as the slip at the FRP-concrete interface. FEM has also been applied to simulate the structural response of FRP-strengthened beams. In relevant work⁴⁹, eight-node solid and shell elements with the appropriate thermo-mechanical properties were used to simulate concrete and FRP, respectively. In other 3D simulations, the possible bond failure⁵⁸ of the FRP or bond-slip models were included²³.

5.2.3 Discussion and research needs

Relevant experimental work^{45,61} has shown that FRP strengthened columns with insulation can, in some cases, achieve a fire rating higher than five hours. The gathered experimental data indicate that columns loaded up to 60% of their room temperature strength can sustain the load for FRP temperatures up to 500°C. This can be attributed to the cover, which limited temperature rise in steel reinforcement at even lower levels and prevented strength loss of the RC section. The two columns (*Fig. 7a*) which failed at high temperatures (around 900°C) were unprotected. The authors⁶¹ explained this phenomenon by stating that concrete spalling did not occur until late in the test due to heavy confinement of the section. The data referring to the residual strength of columns display considerable scatter, especially around the region of 200°C. While most columns maintained 80% to 100% of their initial strength for FRP temperatures up to 200°C, reductions up to 50% were observed. It should be noted, however, that data with low residual strengths are part of an experimental series⁴⁴, in which the RC section was lightly reinforced (in terms of longitudinal and lateral reinforcement) and most of the initial strength depended on the FRP system. These data correspond to experiments in which insulation was not effective enough and FRP bond was lost, leading to a great compromise of the residual strength. However, the current experimental database regarding heated columns incorporating FRPs should be expanded to provide a better understanding of their structural response. Current data suggest that load ratio alone might not be adequate to determine their failure temperature. Other parameters (temperature variation at lateral and longitudinal steel reinforcement, size effects of the tested specimens) should be investigated in future work.

Experimental studies on FRP-strengthened beams show that their initial load bearing capacity reduced to approximately 60% even at temperatures lower than 200°C, due to loss of the FRP system. Despite this observation, typical service loads for beams, which correspond to approximately 50% of their capacity, could be sustained for low temperatures. In one experiment⁴¹, the beam failed under the same load ratio at a temperature of 420°C. This was attributed⁴¹ to low temperatures of the FRP at the anchorage zones, which delayed the debonding at midspan. Higher failure temperatures are associated with lower load ratios, because the strength of steel rebars degrades considerably at temperatures higher than 500°C⁶⁹. However, the data are few and future testing should be carried out. Emphasis should be given on the effect of catenary action in delaying the failure of FRP-strengthened beams.

FRP strengthened slabs failed at very low temperatures (200°C or lower), due to debonding of the FRP⁶⁶ for load ratios exceeding approximately 0.6. When the load ratio was lower (around 35%), failure temperatures from 400°C to 500°C were achieved. When the FRP increases the strength of RC section significantly (authors⁵⁴ reported a 51% increase for the tested specimens), the ability of the slab to carry loads at elevated temperatures, after FRP debonding, is greatly compromised. Testing to date focuses only on one-way slabs. Future research should also include some experimental work on two-way specimens, particularly when the transverse and longitudinal direction properties of the FRP material are different. In such cases, the effectiveness of the strengthening system in sustaining two-way load distributions should be investigated.

Numerical analyses yield reasonable results, but their comparison with test data is very limited. Future experimental work could provide an opportunity for the authors to validate their approaches. Researchers⁶¹ have included the effect of confinement in FRP-wrapped columns, which is significant in terms of strength calculations. Numerical studies on beams seem to be complete after bond-slip models have been incorporated in simulations. On the contrary, relevant studies on slabs have not been conducted so far, and future research is needed to evaluate their response when heated.

6. Preliminary Guidelines

The need for an adequate fire design of RC members reinforced / strengthened by FRPs has already been emphasized⁷. As mentioned in section 1, relevant codes used in practice nowadays are limited to design issues (such as ultimate strength, durability, ductility issues) at ambient temperature. The current fire design philosophy suggests that the initial (before FRP-strengthening) nominal strength of the member be sufficient to carry the load during fire. This approach has been proposed by ACI Committee 440² and ignores the contribution of FRP strengthening at elevated temperatures. Contrary to this, authors¹³ mentioned that FRPs can be included in the calculation of the member's strength provided that their temperatures are kept below a certain temperature. The same authors¹³ state that this temperature must fall within the range of 100°C to 300°C.

Despite numerous suggestions, controversy still exists regarding the design load in a fire situation. According to ACI Committee 440², the service load assumed for fire design includes the unfactored dead and full live loads, making the specific design approach rather conservative. On the other hand, Eurocode 1⁷⁰ proposes the use of a portion of live load in fire design. Moreover, it has been stated⁷¹ that the existing loads in buildings during a fire event are usually less than 50% of the loads that will cause failure. Clearly, the need to establish a rational loading level for structural fire design arises.

Performance-based methods, which involve calculation of strength at elevated temperatures or even more sophisticated coupled thermal/structural analyses, have been suggested⁷² as the most appropriate fire safety design approach for FRP-strengthened/reinforced concrete structures.

Based on the literature reviewed, future codes and provisions related to the fire design of FRP – reinforced/strengthened RC members should include the guidelines listed below.

- FRP manufacturers should certify their products via fire testing and provide the fire resistance rating and T_g of unprotected FRP materials. They should also develop new certified insulation systems. Authorities should publish instructions and standards for such tests.
- Future codes should include tabulated data (similar to those in ENV 1992-1-2⁷³) regarding the effect of the cover on the fire resistance of FRP reinforced RC members.
- Simple rules for determining the fire resistance of members incorporating FRPs (according to the increase in strength provided by the FRP system and its effectiveness at elevated temperatures) could be determined.
- A simplified method for calculating the fire resistance of FRP-strengthened members based on the insulation material and its thickness should be included in future codes.
- A critical temperature (most possibly in the region of T_g), above which the FRP material shall be considered ineffective in strength calculations, must be determined. When the temperature of the FRP exceeds this temperature (for example 400°C), the fire resistance of the member should be determined by taking into account only the RC section.
- Emphasis must be given to the bond strength between concrete and FRPs by adopting stringent rules with regard to the anchorage length and the properties of adhesive materials (epoxies) at elevated temperatures. The use of materials which could provide a better bond between FRP and concrete, instead of epoxies, should be investigated.

- A limiting temperature / fire duration for the FRP system, beyond which it should be repaired or replaced, has to be determined.
- A rational estimation of the design load in fire situations has to be incorporated in fire safety codes.

6.1 Recommended Fire Design Procedure

A fire design procedure for RC structures incorporating FRP materials should include the following steps.

- The structural elements that can carry the design loads without FRP strengthening/reinforcing can be exempt from structural adequacy checks in fire design situations.
- In modern RC construction, structures are designed with adequate redundancy. Elements not critical in the collapse prevention mechanism should be identified and designed for lower fire ratings. Thus, a cost-efficient structural fire design could be achieved.
- The insulation/cover thickness should then be calculated for structural elements critical in fire design, according to the required fire resistance rating. The calculations should account for minimal increase in the temperature of FRP materials (i.e. up to 100°C - 200°C). The estimation of cover/insulation could be done via mathematical formulas given in relevant codes and literature or simplified thermal analysis of the cross-section.
- In construction projects of major importance or structures where a sound fire design is vital, the insulation/cover thickness should be obtained by 3D thermal analysis. The overall response of the structure should be simulated by appropriate modeling and a coupled thermal/structural analysis. The proposed method may require longer calculation times, but can lead to an economic design through optimization of the cover/insulation.

7. Summary and conclusions

This paper presented a review on the behavior of concrete structural elements strengthened/reinforced with FRP materials under elevated temperature effects. After presenting experimental data regarding the variation of their properties with temperature, the behavior of RC members incorporating FRPs in fire was studied. Once the fire exposure was defined, their thermal and structural response was determined through experimental and numerical analysis studies. Information on the existing preliminary guidelines for fire design was also provided and new ones were proposed.

Experimental results on the mechanical properties of FRPs at elevated temperatures have shown that the reduction in bond strength is the critical parameter in determining the response of FRP-strengthened/reinforced members exposed to elevated temperatures. Relevant information on the failure modes of FRP strengthened/reinforced members verifies this. Despite being sensitive to fire, the application of such systems in rehabilitating damaged concrete members should not be restricted to structures in which fire safety is not of primary concern. The use of insulation has proven to be an effective measure against temperature rise in the FRP material, thus allowing for this strengthening technique to become applicable in construction where fire poses a serious threat. The publication of relevant codes and standards that will address all issues pertaining to a sound fire safety design of concrete structures strengthened/reinforced with FRPs is deemed necessary.

References

[1] American Concrete Institute – Committee 440. *Guide for the design and construction of concrete reinforced with FRP rebars, ACI 440.1R-03*. Farmington Hills, MI, USA: ACI, 2003.

- [2] American Concrete Institute – Committee 440. *Guide for the design and construction of externally bonded FRP systems for strengthening of concrete structures*. ACI440.2R-02. Farmington Hills, MI, USA: ACI, 2002.
- [3] The Concrete Society. *Concrete Society Technical Report 55: Design guidance for strengthening concrete structures using fibre composite materials*. Crowthorne, UK, 2000.
- [4] Canadian Standards Association. *CAN/CSA-S806-02: Design and construction of building components with fibre reinforced polymers*. Canada, Ottawa, 2002.
- [5] Intelligent Sensing for Innovative Structures (ISIS). *Design Manual No. 3: Reinforcing concrete structures with fibre reinforced polymers*. Canada, Winnipeg, 2001.
- [6] Intelligent Sensing for Innovative Structures (ISIS). *Design Manual No. 4: Strengthening reinforced concrete structures with externally bonded fibre reinforced polymers*. Canada, Winnipeg, 2001.
- [7] Nanni A. North American design guidelines for concrete reinforcement and strengthening using FRP: principles, applications and unresolved issues. *Construction and Building Materials* 2003; **17**: 439-446.
- [8] ASTM E119-01: Standard methods of fire test of building construction and materials. American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, 2001.
- [9] ISO 834. Fire resistance tests – elements of building construction. International Organization for Standardization 1999.
- [10] Bisby LA, Williams BK, Kodur VKR, Green MF, Chowdhury E. *Fire Performance of FRP Systems for Infrastructure: A state-of-the-art report*. NRC-CNRC, Research Report 179, Canada, 2005.
- [11] Nigro E, Cefarelli G, Bilotta A, Manfredi G, Cosenza E. Fire resistance of concrete slabs reinforced with FRP bars Part II: Experimental results and numerical simulations on the thermal field. *Composites Part B: Engineering* 2011; **42**: 1751–1763.
- [12] Bai Y, Gillie M, Keller T. Time Dependence of Material Properties of FRP Composites in Fire. *Journal of Composite Materials* 2009; **43**(21): 2469-2484.
- [13] Kodur VKR, Bisby LA, Green MF. Preliminary Guidance for the Design of FRP-strengthened Concrete Members Exposed to Fire. *Journal of Fire Protection Engineering* 2007; **17**: 5-26.
- [14] Bisby LA, Green MF, Kodur VKR. Response to fire of concrete structures that incorporate FRP. *Prog. Struct. Engng. Mater.* 2005; **7**: 136–149.
- [15] Wang YC, Wong PMH, Kodur V. An experimental study of the mechanical properties of fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) and steel reinforcing bars at elevated temperatures. *Composite Structures* 2007; **80**: 131-140.
- [16] Cao S, Wu Z, Wang X. Tensile Properties of CFRP and Hybrid FRP Composites at Elevated Temperatures. *Journal of Composite Materials* 2009; **43**(4): 315-330.
- [17] Wang K, Young B, Smith ST. Mechanical properties of pultruded carbon fibre-reinforced polymer (CFRP) plates at elevated temperatures. *Engineering Structures* 2011; **33**(7): 2154-2161.

- [18] Chowdhury EU, Eedson R, Bisby LA, Green MF, Bénichou N, Kodur VKR, Fyfe E. Mechanical characterization of fibre reinforced polymers for numerical fire endurance modelling. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference: Structures in Fire*, Singapore, May 28-30, 2008.
- [19] Wang X, Zha X. Experimental Research on Mechanical Behavior of GFRP bars under High Temperature. *Applied Mechanics and Materials* 2011; **71-78**: 3591-3594.
- [20] Fu Y, Zhao J, Li Y. Research on Tensile Mechanical Properties of GFRP Rebar after High Temperature. *Advanced Materials Research* 2011; **181-182**: 349-354.
- [21] Crea F, Porco G, Zinno R. Experimental Evaluation of Thermal Effects on the Tensile Mechanical Properties of Pultruded GFRP Rods. *Applied Composite Materials* 1997; **4**: 133-143.
- [22] Robert M, Benmokrane B. Behavior of GFRP Reinforcing Bars Subjected to Extreme Temperatures. *Journal of Composites for Construction* 2010; **14**(4): 353-360.
- [23] Dai JG, Gao WY, Teng JG. Finite Element Modeling of Insulated FRP-strengthened RC Beams Exposed to Fire. *The 5th International Conference on FRP Composites in Civil Engineering (CICE 2010)*, Beijing, China, September 27-29, 2010.
- [24] Chowdhury EU, Eedson R, Green MF, Bisby LA, Bénichou N. Mechanical Characterization of Fibre Reinforced Polymers Materials at High Temperature. *Fire Technology* 2009; **45**(4): 1-18.
- [25] Zhou Y. *Performance of FRP-Strengthened Beams Subjected to Elevated Temperatures*. PhD Thesis, National University of Singapore, Department of Civil Engineering, 2010.
- [26] Foster SK, Bisby LA. High Temperature Residual Properties of Externally-Bonded FRP Systems. *7th International Symposium on Fiber Reinforced Polymer Reinforcement for Reinforced Concrete Structures (FRPRCS-7)*, Kansas City, Missouri, November 6-10, 2005.
- [27] Lublóy E, Balázs GL, Borosnyói A, Nehme SG. Bond of CFRP wires under elevated temperature. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Bond Behaviour of FRP in Structures (BBFS 2005)*, Hong Kong, China, December 7-9, 2005.
- [28] Foster SK, Bisby LA. Fire Survivability of Externally Bonded FRP Strengthening Systems. *Journal of Composites for Construction* 2008; **12**(5): 553-561.
- [29] Al-Salloum YA, Elsanadedy HM, Abadel AA. Behavior of FRP-confined concrete after high temperature exposure. *Construction and Building Materials* 2011; **25**: 838-850.
- [30] Masmoudi A, Masmoudi R, Ouezdou MB. Thermal effects on GFRP rebars: experimental study and analytical analysis. *Materials and Structures* 2010; **43**: 775-788.
- [31] Hale JM, Gibson AG. Coupon Tests of Fibre Reinforced Plastics at Elevated Temperatures in Offshore Processing Environments. *Journal of Composite Materials* 1998; **32**(4): 387-404.
- [32] Rafi MM, Nadjai A. Behavior of hybrid (steel-CFRP) and CFRP bar-reinforced concrete beams in fire. *Journal of Composite Materials* 2010; **45**(15): 1573-1584.
- [33] Rafi MM, Nadjai A, Ali F. Fire resistance of carbon FRP reinforced-concrete beams. *Magazine of Concrete Research* 2007; **59**(4): 245-255.
- [34] Abbasi A, Hogg PJ. Fire testing of concrete beams with fibre reinforced plastic rebar. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 2006; **37**: 1142-1150.

- [35] El-Zaroug O, Forth J, Ye J, Beeby A. Flexural performance of concrete slabs reinforced with GFRP and subjected to different thermal histories. *8th International Conference on FRP in Reinforced Concrete Structures (FRPRCS-8)*, Patras, Greece, July 16-18, 2007.
- [36] Kodur VR, Bisby LA. Evaluation of fire endurance of concrete slabs reinforced with FRP bars. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 2005; **131**(1): 34-43.
- [37] Abbasi A, Hogg PJ. A model for predicting the properties of the constituents of a glass fibre rebar reinforced concrete beam at elevated temperatures simulating a fire test. *Composites: Part B* 2005; **36**: 384-393.
- [38] Wang H, Zha X, Ye J. Fire Resistance Performance of FRP Rebar Reinforced Concrete Columns. *International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials* 2009; **3**(2): 111-117.
- [39] Branthwaite K. A Finite Element Analysis of the Fire Resistance of FRP-Reinforced Concrete Members. e-Engineering (2), University of Leeds, 2010.
- [40] Kodur VKR, Baingo D. *Fire Resistance of FRP Reinforced Concrete Slabs*. NRC-CNRC, Internal Report No 758, Canada, 1998.
- [41] Firmo JP, Correia JR, França P. Fire behaviour of reinforced concrete beams strengthened with CFRP laminates: Protection systems with insulation of the anchorage zones. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, In Press, Corrected Proof, Available online 10 September 2011.
- [42] Kodur VKR, Ahmed A. Numerical Model for Tracing the Response of FRP-Strengthened RC Beams Exposed to Fire. *Journal of Composites for Construction* 2010; **14**(6): 730-742.
- [43] Reddy DV, Sobhan K, Young J. Effect of fire on structural elements retrofitted by carbon fiber reinforced polymer composites. *31st on Our World in Concrete and Structures*, Singapore, August 16-17, 2006.
- [44] Elkady HM. Effect on High Temperature on CFRP Retrofitted Columns, Protected with Different Coatings. *Journal of Structural Fire Engineering* 2010; **1**(2): 89-100.
- [45] Green MF, Bisby LA, Fam AZ, Kodur VKR. FRP confined concrete columns: Behaviour under extreme conditions. *Cement & Concrete Composites* 2006; **28**: 928-937.
- [46] Kodur VKR, Bisby LA, Green MF, Chowdhury E. *Fire Endurance Experiments on FRP-Strengthened Reinforced Concrete Columns*. NRC-CNRC, Research Report No. 185, Canada, 2005.
- [47] Ahmed A, Kodur V. The experimental behavior of FRP-strengthened RC beams subjected to design fire exposure. *Engineering Structures* 2011; **33**(7): 2201-2211.
- [48] Kexu HU, Guisheng HE, Fan LU. Experimental study on fire protection methods of reinforced concrete beams strengthened with carbon fiber reinforced polymer. *Front. Archit. Civ. Eng. China* 2007; **1**(4): 399-404.
- [49] Gao W, Lu Z, Hu K. Modeling the behavior of insulated FRP-strengthened reinforced concrete beams exposed to fire. *Key Engineering Materials* 2009; **400-402**: 749-755.
- [50] Williams B, Bisby L, Kodur V, Su J, Green M. An investigation of fire performance of FRP-strengthened R/C beams. *8th International Symposium on Fire Safety Science*, Beijing, September 18-23, 2005.

- [51] Adelzadeh M, Green MF, Khalifa T, Li W, Bao X, Benichou N. Fibre optic sensors for high temperatures and fire scenarios. *First Middle East Conference on Smart Monitoring, Assessment and Rehabilitation of Civil Structures (SMAR 2011)*, Dubai, UAE, February 8-10, 2011.
- [52] Tan KH, Zhou Y. Performance of FRP-Strengthened Beams Subjected to Elevated Temperatures. *Journal of Composites for Construction* 2011; **15**(3): 304-311.
- [53] Williams B, Bisby L, Kodur V, Green M, Chowdhury E. Fire insulation schemes for FRP-strengthened concrete slabs. *Composites: Part A* 2006; **37**: 1151-1160.
- [54] Blontrock H, Taerwe L, Vandeveldel P. Fire testing of concrete slabs strengthened with fibre composite laminates. *FRPRCS-5*, London, 2001.
- [55] Bisby LA, Green MF, Kodur VKR. Modeling the behavior of fiber reinforced polymer-confined concrete columns exposed to fire. *Journal of Composites for Construction* 2005; **9**(1): 15-24.
- [56] Ahmed A, Kodur VKR. Effect of bond degradation on fire-resistance of FRP-strengthened reinforced concrete beams. *Composites: Part B* 2011; **42**: 226-237.
- [57] Han LH, Zheng YQ, Teng JG. Fire Resistance of RC and FRP-confined RC columns. *Magazine of Concrete Research* 2006; **58**(8): 533-546.
- [58] Hawileh RA, Naser M, Zaidan W, Rasheed HA. Modeling of insulated CFRP-strengthened reinforced concrete T-beam exposed to fire. *Engineering Structures* 2009; **31**: 3072-3079.
- [59] Nigro E, Cefarelli G, Bilotta A, Manfredi G, Cosenza E. Fire resistance of concrete slabs reinforced with FRP bars Part I: Experimental investigations on the mechanical behavior. *Composites Part B: Engineering* 2011; **42**: 1739-1750.
- [60] Bisby LA, Kodur VKR. Evaluating the fire endurance of concrete slabs reinforced with FRP bars: Considerations for a holistic approach. *Composites: Part B* 2007; **38**: 547-558.
- [61] Chowdhury EU, Bisby LA, Green MF, Kodur VKR. Investigation of insulated FRP-wrapped reinforced concrete columns in fire. *Fire Safety Journal* 2007; **42**: 452-460.
- [62] Benichou N, Cree D, Chowdhury EU, Green MF, Bisby LA. Fire testing of FRP strengthened reinforced concrete columns. *International Conference on Durability and Sustainability of FRP Composites for Construction and Rehabilitation (CDCC 2011)*, Quebec City, Quebec, July 20-22, 2011.
- [63] Yaqub M, Bailey CG, Nedwell P. Axial capacity of post-heated square columns wrapped with FRP composites. *Cement & Concrete Composites* 2011; **33**: 694-701.
- [64] Yaqub M, Bailey CG. Repair of fire damaged circular reinforced concrete columns with FRP composites. *Construction and Building Materials* 2011; **25**: 359-370.
- [65] Kodur V, Ahmed A, Dwaikat M. Modeling the Fire Performance of FRP-strengthened Reinforced Concrete Beams. *COMPOSITES & POLYCON 2009*, American Composites Manufacturers Association, Tampa, FL USA, January 15-17, 2009.
- [66] Burke PJ, Bisby LA, Green MF. Structural performance of near surface mounted FRP strengthened concrete slabs at elevated temperatures.
<http://aslanfrp.com/Aslan200/Resources/NSM%20systems%20with%20elevated%20temp-BISBY.pdf> assessed on 10/05/2011.

[67] Stratford TJ, Gillie M, Chen GF. Bonded Fibre Reinforced Polymer Strengthening in a Real Fire. *1st Asia-Pacific Conference on FRP in Structures (APFIS 2007)*, Hong Kong, China, December 12-14, 2007.

[68] Stratford TJ, Gillie M, Chen GF, Usmani AS. Bonded Fibre Reinforced Polymer Strengthening in a Real Fire. *Advances in Structural Engineering* 2009; **12**(6): 867-878.

[69] CEN, the European Committee for Standardization. *Eurocode 3: Design of steel structures – Part 1-2: General rules – Structural fire design* (ENV 1993-1-2), 1995.

[70] CEN, the European Committee for Standardization. *Eurocode 1: Actions on structures - Part 1-2: General actions -Actions on structures exposed to fire* (ENV 1991-1-2), 2002.

[71] Buchanan AH. *Structural Design for Fire Safety*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, 2001.

[72] Green MF, Bénichou N, Kodur VKR, Bisby LA. Design guidelines for fire resistance of FRP-strengthened concrete structures. *8th International Conference on FRP in Reinforced Concrete Structures (FRPRCS-8)*, Patras, Greece, July 16-18, 2007.

[73] CEN, the European Committee for Standardization. *Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures – Part 1-2: General rules – Structural fire design* (ENV 1992-1-2), 1995.