

**This paper was published in IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 42, issue 6, pp. 1421-1428, 2006, doi 10.1109/TIA.2006.882640, and is available at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=4012306>**

**© 2014 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.**

# Improved Energy Conversion for Doubly-Fed Wind Generators

Dr Sandy Smith, Rebecca Todd, Dr Mike Barnes  
School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering  
The University of Manchester  
Sackville Street Building  
PO Box 88  
MANCHESTER  
M60 1QD, UK

Prof. Peter J. Tavner  
School of Engineering  
University of Durham  
South Road  
Durham  
DH1 3LE, UK

**Abstract**—There is currently significant interest in offshore wind turbines up to 5MW. One of the preferred options is doubly-fed slip-ring generators. Turbine manufacturers have proposed an operating scheme for low speeds that is claimed to improve the overall energy extraction from the wind. The aim of this paper therefore is to examine the performance benefits for this new operational mode on a small experimental rig, confirmed by simulation, and to provide a fundamental understanding of the differences in the generator operation in both modes. The paper develops analytical steady-state models to provide this insight and correlates the operating performance with a dynamic real-time generator control scheme and experimental results obtained from a laboratory test machine. A theoretical study on a 2MW commercial turbine is also undertaken.

**Keywords**—induction generator, doubly-fed generator, wind turbine generator, slip-energy recovery.

## I. INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing demand for wind energy with the impetus coming from international legislation, environmental concerns and the long-term availability of fossil fuels. International concern over climate change is resulting in political and regulatory pressure to reduce carbon dioxide emissions and many governments are actively seeking to increase the percentage share of the total electrical supply energy from renewable sources. Wind energy is viewed in this respect as a clean, renewable source of energy which produces no greenhouse gas emissions or waste products. There are other sources of renewable energy but wind energy is currently viewed as the lowest risk, most proven technology capable of providing significant levels of renewable energy in world terms. There has been a sustained effort over the past couple of decades to increase the power ratings of wind turbines at the same time improving their reliability and raising their availability. At present 2-3MW wind turbines are regarded as the standard but the industry views 5MW as the near-term target for single vertical turbines.

As turbine power ratings have steadily increased, electrical generator technology has also adapted and developed from the early fixed-speed generators, in order to improve drive train dynamics and increase energy recovery. Modern vertical wind turbines are normally variable-speed units and this requires the generator to be interfaced to the grid through an electronic power converter. Conventional generators such as induction or synchronous (permanent-magnet or wound) options are viable but these require the grid side converter to be rated to match the generator. For very large wind turbines (> 2MW for example), these converters are complex and expensive at current prices. One of the preferred options at present therefore for large turbines in excess of 2MW rating, is the variable-speed doubly-fed induction generator with the rotor converter connected to the rotor via slip-rings [1]. This is in essence a slip-energy recovery system, used for many years in large motor drives, taking direct advantage of the fact that limiting the speed range of the drive can reduce the rating of the converter and modern converter technology to improve the control of speed. Most wind turbines naturally restrict feasible operation to typically 30% above and below [2] the generator synchronous speed and thus a 3MW wind turbine for example, can operate with the stator connected directly to the grid and a 1MW rotor-side converter to provide variable-speed. This translates directly into significant cost savings for the rotor converter and also improves the overall reliability of the converter. The disadvantage of this option is the need for a slip-ring connection to the rotor but this is still currently viewed as one of the preferred options for large wind turbines.

Modern doubly-fed (DF) turbine generators utilise a bi-directional PWM inverter which can control both the rotor voltage and phase angle so that the generator can operate at variable speed with control of the stator power factor over the nominal speed range [2-7]. As wind speeds fall, the generator output power reduces until the power extracted from the wind is insufficient to maintain the gear train and generator losses and the generator would normally be disconnected from the grid at this point. Some commercial generator systems use star/delta winding connections as one

means of extending this speed range. Turbine manufacturers have recently proposed an operating scheme for low wind speeds that is claimed to improve the overall energy extraction and extend the operating speed range at which useful power can be extracted from the wind. The proposed operating scheme is to use a doubly-fed induction generator for normal wind conditions but as the wind speed falls to disconnect the stator from the grid and short-circuit it. The generator then reverts to a conventional induction generator mode of operation with all the power channeled through the rotor-side converter to the grid.

The objective of this paper is to examine in detail the performance benefits for this new mode of wind-generator operation and to provide a fundamental understanding of the differences in the generator operation in both connections. The paper will utilise conventional steady-state equivalent circuits to provide this insight but will also correlate the operating performance with that obtained from the conventional closed-loop dynamic controller [8,9]. The paper will also provide experimental results obtained from a laboratory test machine to validate the steady-state and dynamic simulations.

## II. INDUCTION GENERATOR – DOUBLE-SIDED AND SINGLE-SIDED CONNECTIONS

Figure 1 illustrates the conventional doubly-fed connection. Power flows into the rotor circuits via the rotor side converter below synchronous speed and vice-versa above synchronous speed. The grid-side inverter is typically controlled to maintain a constant dc link voltage, drawing unity power factor from the supply network [4]. The rotor-side inverter is controlled separately. The generator power factor can be controlled to provide reactive power, to regulate the local grid voltage. However operation at unity power factor is often desirable [10] when connected to lower voltage distribution networks to limit the level of plant upgrade needed




Fig 1: Doubly-Fed (DF) connection

Figure 2 illustrates the induction generator connection. The stator windings are initially disconnected from the grid and are then short-circuited as shown. In practice, the

generator would be switched from one connection to the other using appropriate switchgear and switching sequence control. The generator then behaves as a normal induction generator except that the rotor circuit now acts as the only grid connection through the rotor converter. This type of connection has occasionally been used in the past as a means of starting a DFIG.




Fig 2: Induction Generator (IG) connection

## III. STEADY-STATE MODEL

The standard steady-state per-phase equivalent circuit can be utilised for assessing the performance of both double-sided and single-sided connections subject to the usual assumptions of a three-phase balanced supply, fixed rotor speed and constant machine parameters. Figure 3 illustrates the conventional per-phase equivalent-circuit for an induction generator in which the rotor circuits are referred to the stator frequency, so that all machine reactances are determined at supply frequency. This frequency transformation also scales the applied rotor voltage by the rotor slip as shown in Figure 3.




Fig. 3: Equivalent-circuit for doubly-fed induction generator

Solution of this equivalent-circuit for the doubly-fed connection requires a search routine because there is more than one combination of rotor voltage and rotor phase angle that meets the known constraints of rotor speed, shaft torque and stator rms voltage. The solution procedure starts from a power balance applied to the rotor.

The electrical power flowing into ( $P_{IN}$ ) and out of ( $P_{OUT}$ ) the rotor is defined as follows:

$$P_{OUT}^R = Re\{3\bar{E}\bar{I}_2^*\} \quad (1)$$

$$P_{IN}^R = Re\{3\bar{V}_2'\bar{I}_2^*\} \quad (2)$$

where the rotor voltages and currents are defined in Figure 3. The rotor electrical losses are:

$$P_{LOSS}^R = 3|\bar{I}_2'|^2 R_2' \quad (3)$$

where  $R_2'$  is the referred rotor resistance.

The only other power flow in or out of the rotor is the mechanical power defined as:

$$P_{IN}^R (\text{mech}) = T \omega_r \quad (4)$$

where  $T$  is the generator torque and  $\omega_r$  is the shaft speed.

Applying power balance to the rotor, we get:

$$P_{IN}^R + P_{IN}^R (\text{mech}) = P_{OUT}^R + P_{LOSS}^R \quad (5)$$

$$\text{or } Re\{3\bar{V}_2'\bar{I}_2^*\} + T\omega_r = Re\{3\bar{E}\bar{I}_2^*\} + 3|\bar{I}_2'|^2 R_2' \quad (6)$$

This can be simplified by utilising the circuit equations from Figure 3.

$$\bar{V}_1 + \bar{I}_1 \bar{Z}_1 = \bar{E} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\bar{V}_2'}{s} = \bar{I}_2' \bar{Z}_2 + \bar{E} \quad (8)$$

Re-arranging (6) – (8), we get:

$$T\omega_s = Re\left\{3\frac{\bar{V}_2'}{s}\bar{I}_2^*\right\} - 3|\bar{I}_2'|^2 \frac{R_2'}{s} \quad (9)$$

where  $\omega_s$  is the synchronous speed.

This equation represents the basic torque equation for the doubly-fed generator. It also represents the starting point for the search routine to determine the required rotor voltage and phase angle. For any given wind speed it is assumed that the known parameters are the shaft torque,  $T$ , the generator speed which defines the rotor slip,  $s$ , and the rms grid voltage,  $V_1$ . The objective is to determine the rotor voltage  $V_2'$  and phase angle so that certain constraints are satisfied. The basic algorithm involves using the torque,  $T$ , and the rotor slip,  $s$ , to determine the rotor current  $I_2'$  for any given rotor voltage and phase angle. The rotor current can then be used to determine the stator voltage,  $V_1$ , and the stator current,  $I_1$ . The first basic constraint that must be satisfied is the voltage  $V_1$  which must equate to the grid voltage.

Secondary constraints can then be added such as: maximum rotor voltage; and maximum rotor and stator currents. A final constraint can be included if the stator power factor needs to be controlled: the phase angle between  $V_1$  and  $I_1$  should be zero.

The initial step is to determine the rotor current from the shaft torque. This can be obtained from (9) in a straightforward manner if we use the rotor current as the reference phase angle in the equivalent-circuit, rather than the conventional supply voltage. The phase angles are therefore defined as follows:

$$\bar{I}_2' = I_2' \angle 0 \quad \bar{V}_2' = V_2' \angle \phi_2 \quad \bar{V}_1 = V_1 \angle \phi \quad \bar{I}_1 = I_1 \angle \phi_1$$

Equation (9) reduces now to the solution of a simple quadratic equation:

$$|\bar{I}_2'| = \frac{V_2' \cos \phi_2 - \sqrt{(V_2' \cos \phi_2)^2 - (4/3)R_2' T s \omega_s}}{2R_2'} \quad (10)$$

Clearly for a specified torque and slip, the rotor current can be determined for any rotor voltage,  $V_2'$ , and phase angle,  $\phi_2$ . The airgap emf,  $E$ , can then be obtained directly from (8), followed by the stator current,  $I_1$ :

$$\bar{I}_1 = \bar{I}_2' - \frac{\bar{E}}{\bar{Z}_m} \quad (11)$$

and finally the stator voltage,  $V_1$ , from (7).

The final step in the algorithm is to check the constraints and if these are satisfied, then the rotor voltage and phase angle represent a valid operating point for the doubly-fed generator.

The complete search algorithm can be summarised as follows:

- Loop for all possible values of rotor voltage,  $V_2'$ , and phase angle,  $\phi_2$ .
- Determine rotor current,  $I_2'$ , for specified torque and slip
- Calculate airgap emf,  $E$ .
- Determine the stator current,  $I_1$ .
- Determine the stator voltage,  $V_1$ .
- Check all constraints for valid rotor voltage,  $V_2'$ , and phase angle,  $\phi_2$

The algorithm will index through all possible combinations of the rotor rms voltage and phase angle to find the values of  $V_2'$  and  $\phi_2$  that ensures the stator voltage matches the grid voltage and also satisfies the additional constraints including the stator power factor for example.

For the single-sided induction generator (IG) connection mode, the solution of the conventional equivalent-circuit is modified. The speed and torque are known, so the equivalent-circuit can be used to determine the stator and rotor currents and hence the required rotor voltage,  $V_2'$ , to

sustain these. In the IG mode the search variable is now the generator supply frequency. The constraints are also modified to include an additional check that the airgap field does not exceed its rated value. This is normally determined by the airgap emf,  $E$ , divided by the supply frequency. The remaining constraints relate to maximum voltage and current ratings. The search routine as before determines the maximum generated output power and can be summarised as follows:

- Loop for all possible values of rotor converter frequency.
- Determine stator current for specified torque and slip.
- Calculate airgap emf,  $E$ .
- Determine the rotor current.
- Determine the rotor supply voltage.
- Check all constraints for valid rotor frequency.

#### IV. TRANSIENT GENERATOR MODEL AND CONTROL STRATEGY

On a practical wind turbine, the generator will have a real-time controller that will seek optimal performance under varying wind conditions. These are commonly based upon a field-orientated control algorithm [11] that uses power and stator reactive power as the reference parameters. The field-orientated control scheme incorporates a two-axis generator machine model and will ensure the generator operates at the reference points. To validate the steady-state algorithm described in the previous section, it is important to ensure that the dynamic real-time controller will produce the same operating point as that determined by the steady-state model by matching the control reference values to the performance constraints. The results therefore include the operating performance of the generator using a simulated real-time controller.

The control model used in this study incorporates a conventional two-axis model of the doubly-fed machine into a field-orientated scheme orientated to the stator flux linkage [8,11]. The generator torque and stator power factor are used as the reference control parameters.

In the IG mode, a conventional field-orientated scheme [12-14] is also used but it is adapted to reflect the fact that the converter supply is connected to the rotating rotor rather than a conventional stator connection.

#### V. EXPERIMENTAL TEST GENERATOR

The analytical work described in the previous sections was validated using a small 7.5kW, 6pole wound-rotor test generator coupled to a dc motor on a laboratory test bed. A 15kW bi-directional commercial converter was connected to the rotor slip-rings. The real-time control scheme was created using MATLAB/SIMULINK and interfaced to the converter control processor using a dSPACE real-time development platform.

A detailed set of simulated results using both steady-state and dynamic control models were undertaken over a speed range from approximately 10% below synchronous speed (1000 rpm) to 40% below. Measured wind speed/power data from a commercial system was scaled and used to determine the generator torque for any given speed. Experimental results were recorded on the test generator in the IG connection mode over the same speed range.

Figure 4 illustrates the rotor voltage and current obtained using the steady-state model for the doubly-fed connection with and without stator power factor control over a speed range reducing from the normal generator synchronous speed of 1000rpm. If no stator power factor control is required, the algorithm searches for the maximum generator efficiency by minimizing the winding copper losses. The efficiency of the rotor converter is not included in the search. It is clear from this figure that constraining the stator power factor results in a small increase in the rotor supply voltage but a more significant increase in the rotor current. This additional current has to be provided by the rotor converter and is needed to inject reactive power into the rotor to ensure unity stator power factor. The rotor current in both cases however remains relatively constant as the speed reduces. The rotor voltage on the other hand is determined mainly by the rotor speed and increases linearly as the rotor slip increases, or speed reduces. This is an important factor in determining the rating of the rotor converter.




Figure 4. Doubly-Fed (DF) connection; Steady-State Model

Tables I and II summarise the power, reactive power and efficiency values. Again it is clear from these that there is little change in the stator output power but a significant increase in the rotor reactive power for unity stator power factor. The stator power factor is relatively low in this generator when uncontrolled. The efficiency on the other hand is lower with power factor control because of the additional losses caused by the extra current required to improve the power factor. In addition, it is evident that the efficiency begins to fall significantly with power factor control as the speed reaches the lowest point in Table II. The efficiencies in general however are slightly high because only copper losses are included in the simulated results.

TABLE I. 7.5 kW - DF MODE – STEADY STATE EQUATIONS, NO PF CONTROL

Speed rpm	Stator Power W	Stator Power Factor	Grid Power W	Rotor Reactive Power VAr	Efficiency %
886	-1789.47	-0.36	-1549.37	334.13	95.41
766	-1331.27	-0.27	-982.92	660.01	93.57
743	-1246.48	-0.25	-891.05	714.46	93.05
726	-1191.08	-0.24	-828.85	765.79	92.63
700	-1100.94	-0.23	-735.05	837.31	91.89
680	-1038.47	-0.22	-669.19	901.83	91.24
666	-995.06	-0.21	-627.38	939.54	90.77
600	-794.55	-0.17	-442.06	1111.55	87.69

TABLE II. 7.5 kW - DF MODE – STEADY STATE EQUATIONS, PF CONTROL

Speed rpm	Stator Power W	Grid Power W	Rotor Reactive Power VAr	Efficiency %
886	-1833.52	-1453.5	947.66	89.13
766	-1372.44	-883.32	1952.82	83.76
743	-1281.31	-785.97	2114.64	82.34
726	-1224.43	-719.62	2301.61	80.71
700	-1136.04	-628.79	2493.24	78.92
680	-1071.93	-561.86	2673.88	76.94
666	-1030.18	-522.28	2745.86	75.91
600	-834.042	-334.66	3341.46	66.78

Figure 5 illustrates the comparison between the steady-state results and those obtained using the real-time dynamic control model used in the laboratory generator.




Figure 5. Doubly-Fed (DF) connection with power factor control

It is clear that the correlation between the rotor voltage and current is excellent confirming the validity of the steady-state approach in finding the optimum operating point. Table III summarises the power, reactive power and efficiency and again there is an excellent correlation with those obtained using the steady-state model in Table II. It

confirms the capability of the steady-state approach described in this paper in undertaking detailed performance predictions for wind generators that would normally be operating under real-time dynamic control. The steady-state model will also act as a useful design tool for generator systems in wind turbine applications.

TABLE III. 7.5 kW - DF MODE – DYNAMIC CONTROL MODEL

Speed rpm	Stator Real Power W	Grid Real Power W	Rotor Reactive Power VAr	Efficiency %
886	-1798.71	-1425.70	950.55	89.17
766	-1361.45	-875.18	1940.19	83.65
743	-1280.62	-785.05	2134.73	82.29
726	-1238.47	-739.40	2279.85	81.98
700	-1131.74	-625.38	2490.04	78.79
680	-1054.63	-550.93	2655.07	76.70
666	-1017.58	-511.67	2763.08	75.34
600	-829.99	-334.99	3316.89	67.18

Figure 6 illustrates the generator now reconnected in the IG mode over the same speed range. Again there is a close correlation between the steady-state model and the simulated results using the dynamic control model implemented in the test generator. In this case it is clear that the rotor current is close to that for the DF connection with no power factor control. It is also evident that the search for maximum grid power results in a reducing rotor voltage as the speed reduces, in contrast to the DF connection. This particular operational feature is important and is due to the constraint that the airgap flux is maintained below the rated value to avoid over-fluxing the machine. In this case as the speed reduces, the rotor supply frequency reduces to keep the slip low and as a result the terminal voltage also reduces to avoid saturating the magnetising paths in the generator.




Figure 6. IG Connection Mode

Table IV summarises the generated power, rotor power factor at the generator terminals, and efficiency. The values for the dynamic model match the steady-state values very closely so are omitted here for brevity. In this connection

the rotor converter is the only connection to the grid and all the power is transferred through it whilst the grid side inverter maintains unity power factor. The efficiencies are generally higher than those in the DF connection mode, particularly if power factor control is required, and reduce slightly as the speed reduces.

TABLE IV. 7.5 kW - IG MODE; STEADY STATE EQUATIONS

Speed rpm	Grid Power W	Rotor Power Factor	Efficiency %
886	-1530.62	-0.54	93.86
766	-979.72	-0.54	92.90
743	-884.60	-0.54	92.68
726	-824.78	-0.54	92.51
700	-734.77	-0.54	92.22
680	-671.75	-0.54	91.99
666	-631.80	-0.54	91.83
600	-455.65	-0.53	90.93
533	-315.73	-0.53	89.79
400	-127.85	-0.52	86.39




Figure 7. 7.5kW Generator, Experimental Results

TABLE V. 7.5 kW - IG MODE – EXPERIMENTAL TEST RIG

Speed rpm	Grid Power W	Rotor Power Factor	Efficiency %
888.3	-1405.32	-0.55	92.28
767.4	-971.34	-0.55	90.79
744.1	-880.14	-0.55	90.20
724.8	-839.86	-0.55	90.00
702.2	-724.45	-0.54	88.64
679.7	-679.83	-0.54	85.07
668.2	-600.25	-0.51	84.25
597.7	-462.17	-0.54	93.22
529.6	-311.99	-0.52	90.17
398.1	-127.66	-0.41	83.26

Figure 7 illustrates the experimental results from the test generator connected in the IG mode and controlled using the real-time dynamic model described in the previous section. The correlation with the steady-state model results is on the whole very good. Table V summarises the power, efficiency and power factor measurements and again these correlate well with the predicted results in Table IV.

## VI. 2MW COMMERCIAL WIND GENERATOR

The experimental results were performed on a small 7.5 kW laboratory test generator and it would be unwise to scale any particular conclusions from those tests to a large commercial mega-watt generator. For this reason a theoretical study was performed on a 2MW, 690V, 4-pole doubly-fed generator using the machine parameters provided by the manufacturer to make a realistic assessment of the benefits of the steady-state model and the proposed new IG connection mode. There was no information relating to the rotor converter available so it will be assumed that it would be rated typically to 30% of the generator which equates to approximately 600 kVA.




Figure 8. 2MW Generator; Steady-State Model

Figure 8 illustrates the rotor voltage and current for the DF connection using the steady-state algorithm and as expected the rotor voltage is largely controlled by the speed and more rotor current is needed if unity stator power factor is required. Furthermore the maximum rotor current occurs close to synchronous speed (1500 rpm) where the amount of available wind power is the highest in this speed range. Tables VI and VII summarise the power, reactive power and efficiencies, with and without stator power factor control. Efficiencies are high in both cases which is to be expected in higher power ratings, although again only copper losses have been included. There is little significant reduction in the efficiency in this larger rating until the speed falls to 400 rpm or 73% slip.

Figure 9 illustrates the DF connection with power factor control in direct comparison with the IG connection mode. Again it is evident that in the IG mode the rotor voltage reduces as the speed reduces and although the rotor currents are virtually identical at high speeds, the current falls away

more significantly in the IG mode as the speed falls. Table VIII summarises the grid power, rotor reactive power and efficiency and it is clear that there is little difference in the efficiency until the speed falls to 400rpm.

TABLE VI 2 MW - DF MODE – STEADY STATE EQUATIONS, NO PF CONTROL

Speed rpm	Stator Power kW	Stator Power Factor	Grid Power kW	Rotor Reactive Power kVAr	Efficiency %
1420	-640.29	0.92	-604.20	338.84	99.39
1330	-562.00	0.90	-496.66	320.88	99.40
1150	-420.63	0.84	-321.30	310.45	99.40
1090	-378.02	0.81	-273.63	308.17	99.39
1000	-318.34	0.76	-211.32	305.75	99.36
825	-216.86	0.62	-118.59	290.86	99.21
700	-156.21	0.50	-72.31	290.96	98.95
400	-50.99	0.19	-13.07	293.23	95.60

TABLE VII 2 MW - DF MODE – STEADY STATE EQUATIONS, PF CONTROL

Speed rpm	Stator Power kW	Grid Power kW	Rotor Reactive Power kVAr	Efficiency %
1420	-640.55	-603.61	623.68	99.29
1330	-562.30	-496.09	622.07	99.29
1150	-420.92	-320.73	601.98	99.22
1090	-378.31	-273.06	599.76	99.18
1000	-318.62	-210.75	591.06	99.10
825	-217.15	-118.03	586.02	98.74
700	-156.50	-71.74	589.01	98.17
400	-51.26	-12.51	580.64	91.51




Figure 9. DF and IG Connection modes; Steady-state model

The question therefore remains: what is the benefit of switching to the new IG connection mode as the wind speed falls? The evidence in this section would suggest that the gains in output grid power are minimal in comparison to the

DF connection with or without power factor control. In fact at the higher speeds the DF mode produces slightly more power. The benefits would therefore appear to lie in the rotor converter ratings. The overall rating of the converter is related to the required speed range, typically 30% of the generator rating as mentioned previously. However the VA converter rating would be translated into maximum current and voltage ratings of the switching devices in the converter.

TABLE VIII 2 MW – IG MODE – STEADY-STATE MODEL

Speed rpm	Rotor Power kW	Rotor Reactive Power kVAr	Efficiency %
1420	-603.60	588.83	99.29
1330	-496.07	542.93	99.28
1150	-320.73	458.25	99.22
1090	-273.06	424.38	99.18
1000	-210.79	327.77	99.11
825	-118.25	184.13	98.92
700	-72.15	112.51	98.73
400	-13.37	21.00	97.78

The device voltage rating is determined by the effective generator turns ratio which relates the rotor voltage to the stator or grid voltage. In the steady-state model however, the equivalent-circuit model uses referred rotor voltages so the maximum operational rotor voltage for 30% slip would correspond to approximately 120V. Figure 8 confirms that this would equate to a minimum speed of 1050 rpm in the DF connection mode.

The limiting factor therefore for operation at low speeds in the DF connection mode is the rotor voltage, even if the available wind power is low. The rotor voltage is determined largely by the rotor slip. This is not the case in the IG mode where it is evident from the figures in both 7.5kW and 2MW generator cases that the rotor voltage is reducing as the speed reduces. The rotor voltage in this case is determined essentially by the converter supply frequency and this is reducing as the speed reduces. Figure 9 clearly indicates that operation below approximately 700rpm would only be possible in the IG connection without exceeding the voltage and current ratings of the rotor converter. In this particular example there appears to be an operational gap between 1050rpm DF mode and 750rpm IG mode where the rotor voltage exceeds the estimated voltage limit. However this is a theoretical study on a 2MW generator and the operating speed range was assumed to be 30% above and below synchronous speed. It would be a simple design exercise to ensure that in practice the operational speed range could be extended seamlessly using the proposed IG connection mode.

Another possible area where the IG connection mode may have potential benefits is fault ride-through. There is significant concern at the moment about protecting the rotor converter in the event of a sag or dip in the grid voltage at the stator terminals when the generator is connected in the

DF mode. The present practice is to simply crowbar the connection between the converter and the rotor terminals. An alternative strategy might be to switch to the IG connection when a voltage sag or dip is detected. The rotor converter would then have full control of all power and reactive power flow through the generator.

In both cases the economic benefits of implementing the IG connection mode needs to be counter-balanced by the costs of the additional switchgear associated with the switching sequences of grid disconnection and synchronisation before grid reconnection. Solid-state switches would be a practical solution if rapid grid disconnection/reconnection transitions were necessary.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

There is presently significant interest in large offshore wind turbines up to 5MW. One of the preferred options for these large turbines is the doubly-fed slip-ring generators that offset the cost of the rotor converter against a reduced operating speed range. Turbine manufacturers have proposed an operating scheme for low wind speeds that is claimed to improve the overall energy extraction and extend the operating speed range at which useful power can be extracted from the wind. The proposed operating scheme is to use a doubly-fed induction generator for normal wind conditions but as the wind speed falls to disconnect the stator from the grid and short-circuit it. The generator then reverts to a conventional induction generator mode of operation with all the power channeled through the rotor-side converter to the grid. Steady-state equivalent-circuit models have been developed to examine the performance benefits of both connections and these have been validated against a practical real-time control scheme and also laboratory experimental results on a small 7.5 kW generator. These have illustrated the significance of rotor voltage rating on the performance benefits. The doubly-fed generator connection can be controlled to maintain close to unity power factor down to low wind speeds but its operational speed limit is determined by the rising rotor voltage that increases with slip. Switching to the new single-sided connection (IG mode) still maintains unity power factor but allows the speed to reduce further, extracting more energy from the wind because the rotor voltage is now reducing as the speed reduces. The steady-state models for both DF and IG connections modes have been shown to be a useful and accurate design tool for large wind turbine operation in low wind speed conditions.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper is published with the approval of FKI Energy Technology current owners of the wind turbine manufacturers DeWind.

## REFERENCES

[1] R. Datta and V. T. Ranganathan, "Variable-speed wind power generation using doubly fed wound rotor induction machine – a comparison with alternative schemes", *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, Vol. 17, No. 3, September 2002, pp. 414-421

- [2] S. Müller and M. Deicke and R. W. De Doncker, "Doubly fed induction generator systems for wind turbines", *IEEE Industry Applications Magazine*, May/June 2002, pp. 26-33
- [3] B. Rabelo and W. Hofmann, "Optimal active and reactive power control with the doubly-fed induction generator in the MW-class wind-turbines", *Proceedings of the International Conference on Power Electronics and Drive Systems*, Vol. 1, 22-25 October 2001, pp. 53-58
- [4] L. Holdsworth, X. G. Wu, J. B. Ekanayake and N. Jenkins, "Comparison of fixed speed and doubly-fed induction wind turbines during power system disturbances", *IEE Proceedings - Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, Vol. 150, No. 3, May 2003, pp. 343-352
- [5] Longya Xu and Wei Cheng, "Torque and reactive power control of a doubly fed induction machine by position sensorless scheme", *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, Vol. 31, No. 3, May/June 1995, pp. 636-642
- [6] Eel-Hwan Kim, Jae-Hong Kim and Gil-Su Lee, "Power factor control of a doubly fed induction machine using fuzzy logic", *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems*, Vol. 2, 18-20 August 2001, pp. 747-750
- [7] S. Peresada, A. Tilli, and A. Tonielli, "Indirect stator flux-oriented output feedback control of a doubly fed induction machine", *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, Vol. 11, No. 6, November 2003, pp. 875-888
- [8] B. Hopfensperger, D.J. Atkinson and R.A. Lakin, "Stator-Flux-Oriented Control of a Doubly-Fed Induction Machine With and Without Position Encoder", *IEE Proceedings - Electric Power Applications*, Vol. 147, Issue 4, July 2000, pp. 241-250
- [9] M. Yamamoto and O. Motoyoshi, "Active and reactive power control for doubly-fed wound rotor induction generator", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, Vol. 6, No. 4, October 1991, pp. 624-629
- [10] "The Carbon Trust & Dti Network Impact Study Annex 3: Distribution Network Topography Analysis", Nov. 2003, Carbon Trust & Dti, pp. 52-53
- [11] R. Pena, J. C. Clare and G.M. Asher, "Doubly Fed Induction Generator using Back-to-Back PWM Converters and its Application to Variable-Speed Wind-Energy Generation", *IEE Proceedings - Electric Power Applications*, Vol. 143, Issue 3, May 1996, pp. 231-241
- [12] R. W. De Doncker, "The universal field oriented controller", *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, Vol. 30, No. 1, Jan/Feb 1994, pp. 92-100
- [13] E. Y. Y. Ho and P. C. Sen, "Decoupling control of induction motor drives", *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, Vol. 35, No. 2, May 1988, pp. 253-262
- [14] R. D. Lorenz, "Tuning of field-oriented induction motor controllers for high-performance applications", *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, Vol. IA-22, No 2, March/April 1986, pp. 293-297



**Sandy Smith** (M'89-SM'02) received B.Sc. (Eng) and Ph.D degrees in 1977 and 1980 from Aberdeen University, U.K. Academic appointments at Imperial College (1983-1990) and Cambridge University (1990-1997). In 1997 joined Invensys Brook Crompton (formerly Brook Crompton) as Head of Research responsible for motor technology. In 2000 appointed Senior Lecturer at UMIST (Manchester) and Reader in Electrical Machines in 2002. In 2004, appointed Director of the Roll-Royce University Technology Centre on Electrical Systems for Extreme Environments at the University of Manchester. Dr. Smith is a Member of the Institute of Engineering and Technology (formerly IEE), UK and past Chairman of the IEE Professional Network – Power Conversion and Applications.



**Rebecca Todd** received the M.Eng degree in electrical and electronic engineering from the University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology (UMIST), Manchester, U.K., in 2001. She is currently studying towards the Eng.D degree at The University of Manchester, Manchester, U.K.



**Mike Barnes** received a BEng degree in Engineering in 1993 and was awarded PhD degree in 1998, both from the University of Warwick, UK. He is a MIEEE and an MIEE. He is presently a Senior Lecturer at the University of Manchester (formerly UMIST) in the UK. His principal research interests are in power electronics applied to machine drives and power systems.



**Peter J. Tavner** received an MA in Engineering Sciences (1969) from Cambridge University, UK and a Ph.D. (1978) from Southampton University, UK. He is Professor of New and Renewable Energy in the School of Engineering, Durham University, UK. Peter has held a number of research and technical positions in industry including Technical Director of Laurence, Scott & Electromotors Ltd and Brush Electrical Machines Ltd. Most recently he has been Group Technical Director of FKI Energy Technology. His research interests are in electrical machines for the extraction of energy from renewable sources and their connection to electricity systems. He retains a particular interest in electromagnetic analysis, the application of condition monitoring to electrical systems and the use of converters with electrical machines. He is a winner of the Institution Premium of the IEE.