Exploring the potential of heat as a service in decarbonization: Evidence needs and research gaps

DOI:

[10.1080/15567249.2021.1873460](https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2021.1873460)

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Britton, J., Minas, A. M., Marques, A. C., & Pourmirza, Z. (2021). Exploring the potential of heat as a service in decarbonization: Evidence needs and research gaps. *Energy Sources. Part B. Economics, Planning, and Policy*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2021.1873460>

Published in:

Energy Sources. Part B. Economics, Planning, and Policy

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.

Exploring the potential of Heat as a Service in decarbonization: Evidence needs and research gaps

Journal:	<i>Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy</i>
Manuscript ID	UESB-2020-0265.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Papers
Keywords:	heat as a service, heat decarbonisation, energy services, evidence needs, smart heating

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Exploring the potential of *Heat as a Service* in decarbonization: Evidence needs and research gaps

Abstract

The need to accelerate the ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization of heating, as well as the rise of the ‘smart home’, mean that there is an increasing focus on the role of innovative consumer offerings in driving the shift to zero carbon domestic heating. In this context, Heat as a Service (HaaS) business models, which provide consumers with an agreed heating plan rather than simply paying for units of fuel, are receiving increased attention. This paper explores HaaS based on insights from facilitated group discussions with key stakeholders, and learning from HaaS trials, in the United Kingdom. Results identified evidence needs and research gaps related to: addressing issues of trust between consumers and suppliers, supportive policies, financing business models, and openness and interoperability of technology and data. Based on the findings, we propose policy and research recommendations to better understand the role of HaaS business models in ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization.

Keywords: heat as a service, heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization, energy services, evidence needs, smart heating

1. Introduction

It is widely acknowledged in academic and policy landscapes that urgent and radical heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization is essential to reducing greenhouse gas emissions compatible with the 1.5°C mitigation pathway (IPCC 2018; IEA 2019; Knobloch et al. 2019). However,

~~decarbonising~~~~decarbonizing~~ heating continues to be a big challenge. The sector remains dominated by fossil fuels, with recent data from 2018 showing that it contributes 40% of carbon dioxide emissions globally (IEA 2019). Yet, it is imperative to meet current demands for heating – which as of 2018 accounts for 50% of global final energy consumption – in order to support industrial and commercial processes, as well as provide space and water heating for homes and buildings (IPCC 2018; IEA 2019;). Within Europe, ~~a number~~ ~~of numerous~~ policy initiatives and ~~programmes~~~~programs~~ have been put in place to support heat ~~decarbonisation~~~~decarbonization~~. These include, for example:

- the European Union's Heating and Cooling strategy (European Commission 2016) which provides a framework to improve heating efficiency, for example by using low carbon technologies and smart systems;
- the revised EU Renewable Energy Directive (2018/2001/EU) which sets an annual target of 1.3% increase in share of renewables for the heating and cooling sector from 2021-2030; and
- the United Kingdom's (UK) Renewable Heat Incentive (RHI) which offers financial incentives for homeowners that adopt renewable heating technologies (Connor et al. 2015; BEIS 2018a).

However, progress in this sector remains slow. For example, in the UK, emissions from residential heating increased by 3.8% between 2017-2018 due to compounding factors such as high demand during colder winters and slow uptake of low-carbon technologies such as heat pumps, biomass boilers and solar water heating (Chaudry et al. 2015; Hanna, Gross, and Parrish 2016; Committee on Climate Change 2019). More innovative solutions are therefore necessary to complement and strengthen current policies and technological options in order to accelerate heat ~~decarbonisation~~~~decarbonization~~.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 It is within this context that this paper explores the potential of Heat as a Service (HaaS) – a
2 business model innovation – in driving net zero carbon heating. In the HaaS model, energy
3 suppliers provide heat as a packaged service rather than simply as units of fuel. For example,
4 consumers buy an agreed level of warmth rather than kilowatt hours (kWh) of energy. By
5 ‘paying for warmth’ consumers sign up for a heat contract, often termed a heat plan, that sets
6 a heating schedule for their home, hour-by-hour, and room-by-room using a smart heating
7 control system (Energy Systems Catapult 2019a). With the rise in connected homes and
8 digital technologies, service based-heating through HaaS may also support the deployment
9 of energy efficiency measures and low carbon technologies as increased incentives are placed
10 on the heat supplier to provide the agreed level of heating at the lowest cost (Energy
11 Technologies Institute 2018a).

12 Recent studies (Delta EE 2019a; Energy Technologies Institute 2019) have noted potential
13 benefits of service-based energy delivery models including simplifying complex future
14 energy markets, enabling consumers to access new low carbon technology and supporting
15 businesses to adopt demand side response mechanisms which help to reduce system costs.
16 HaaS is therefore seen to deliver positive impact to multiple stakeholders from policy and
17 industry as well as consumers. It is also regarded for its technology-agnostic approach to
18 ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization – with flexibility to incorporate innovations in heat networks
19 (i.e., district heating), heat electrification and using hydrogen for heating (Energy Systems
20 Catapult 2019b). Countries such as Germany and Denmark have energy providers offering
21 HaaS since 2015 (Booth, Mohr, and Peters 2016; State of Green 2018; Amelang 2019);
22 whilst in the UK, ~~the first HaaS trial was launched in February 2019, with new living labs in~~
23 ~~2020 across England and Wales~~ the Energy Systems Catapult (ESC) have been progressing
24 HaaS trials since 2018 (Energy Systems Catapult 2020).

Given the need to rapidly ~~decarbonised~~decarbonize heating, there is considerable interest in the potential of HaaS. However, there is currently limited evidence on how business models such as this might develop, the extent of their contribution to heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization, and the challenges around consumer engagement, technology, and regulatory or policy needs for a wider roll-out.

Against this background, this paper seeks to unpack current evidence needs and research gaps to understand HaaS, and its role in ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization. It draws upon a stakeholder workshop conducted in the UK in September 2019 with heat sector participants from academia, industry, civil society, and government. We begin with a review of literature on energy services and current knowledge on Heat as a Service. We then describe the methodological approach used to elicit insights from the participants in the workshop. Results were analysed and categorized based on discussions around opportunities, evidence needs, and research gaps that HaaS presents across (1) ~~behaviour~~behavior change and consumer concerns, (2) policy and regulation, (3) technology, data and analytics and (4) business models and financing. Finally, we conclude and propose recommendations for stakeholders in policy, industry, and research.

2. ~~Conceptualising~~Conceptualizing energy services

Whilst we define HaaS above as the provision of heat as a packaged service, i.e., consumers buying an agreed level of warmth rather than as units of fuel, it is significant to note that there is a considerable debate in relation to the wider concept of energy services. The term ‘energy services’ is ~~utilised~~utilized across a variety of contexts and disciplines with a wide range of differences in ~~conceptualisation~~conceptualization. Much of the literature ~~emphasises~~emphasizes that people demand and derive wellbeing from the services provided

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

by energy rather than energy carriers themselves; however, beyond this overarching similarity, applications of the term are diverse (Fell 2017; Kalt et al. 2019).

Fell’s (2017) content analysis and review of the energy services literature identified 27 definitions of “energy services”. The findings revealed that two, equally common but generally mutually exclusive, themes occurred most often:

1. ‘Useful energy/work’: the idea that energy services constituted “useful energy” or “useful work” in a way that is distinct from the energy use itself.
2. ‘Benefit’: the idea that energy services entail some kind of “benefit” for human wellbeing.

Indeed much of the diversity in the energy services literature concerns differing conceptions of, or emphasis on, the service or functions performed by energy (useful work) and the ultimate desired energy services or states¹ (human wellbeing benefit) (see for example Sorrell and Dimitropoulos, 2008; Day, Walker and Simcock, 2016; Kalt et al., 2019). In order to integrate these two core ideas, Fell (2017, 137, emphasis ours) proposes a definition of energy services as “those *functions* performed using energy which are means to obtain or facilitate *desired end services or states*”. Within this definition, heating (as an energy service) is therefore undertaken for the purpose of thermal comfort (end state).

Building on this definition, Morley (2018, 567) extends a sociological ~~conceptualisation~~conceptualization of energy services and develops, based on Shove (2003), a concept of “meta-services” which takes a wider practice-based view of energy services to

¹ Sometimes referred to as final services or capabilities.

incorporate “‘cultural services’, such as ~~business~~coziness and cleanliness, that just happen to depend on energy”. Demand for energy-services is therefore an outcome of meta-services and there is scope for the introduction of more efficient, alternative heating and cooling technologies to underpin the emergence of different expectations and experiences of comfort e.g., for heat pumps to be used for cooling or to keep temperatures higher. She argues for increased exploration of the meanings of service and the ways in which these evolve and proposes that whilst it is technically possible to deliver contracted levels of heating or cooling service to householders, this is not the case with comfort. Since “comfort depends on a diverse range of ‘ingredients’ across multiple systems of provision... ~~(clothing, diet, activities, furnishings and so on)~~ even coalitions of utility companies and housing providers may find it impossible to orchestrate and re-negotiate such diverse configurations in more efficient ways” (Morley 2018, 567).

3. Current knowledge on HaaS

To provide context for this research, this section presents current knowledge on HaaS based on a review of academic and grey literature, experiences and outcomes of HaaS trials, existing business models in practice, and studies on the role and perceptions of consumers to new energy business models.

3.1 Academic literature on HaaS

Although ~~there has been a rapid increase in~~ policy interest in ~~delivering heat as a service in recent years~~HaaS is rapidly increasing (see for example BEIS 2018b; Delta EE 2019b), there

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 remains a very small amount of academic research that specifically engages with such
2 business models, their policy needs or societal implications. A Scopus search of peer-
3 reviewed literature in English in May 2020 returns just one reference to heat as a service
4 (Sovacool and Martiskainen 2020), which although ~~foeussed~~focused on heating
5 transformations only includes heat-as-a-service as a keyword and does not discuss the
6 concept within the main body of the article².

7 Expanding the literature review to include the terms “energy as a service” and “energy
8 services” reveals a much broader literature of almost 13,000 documents, almost exclusively
9 employing the term “energy service[s]”. In common with Fell’s (2017) review of the energy
10 services literature, much of this current scholarship is ~~foeussed~~focused on a number of
11 overlapping contexts, defined as:

- 12 • service based renewable energy programmes in Global South contexts e.g., leasing
13 packages for solar photovoltaics in Kenya (e.g. Adwek et al., 2019). This also
14 includes work ~~related to~~on energy access where the term ‘modern energy services’ is
15 commonly employed;
- 16 • Energy Service Companies (ESCOs) that contract with large public and private sector
17 consumers to supply energy services rather than billing directly for energy used (e.g.
18 Hannon and Bolton 2015; Lombardi, Pazienza, and Rana 2016; Capelo, Ferreira Dias,
19 and Pereira 2018);
- 20 • energy modelling studies which seek to examine the role of energy service demands
21 (e.g. Fujimori et al., 2014);

² Search performed in Scopus, using query: TITLE-ABS-KEY ("heat as a service" OR "heat-as-a-service")

- 1 • research relating to energy efficiency or the rebound effect (e.g., Sorrell and
- 2 Dimitropoulos, 2008);
- 3 • the smart use of flexibility assets (such as storage) to manage network operation (e.g.,
- 4 Sarangi, Dutta and Jalan, 2012; Selim et al., 2017);
- 5 • explorations of how utility business models might develop as energy system
- 6 ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization progresses (Bryant, Straker, and Wrigley 2018; Hall
- 7 et al. 2020);
- 8 • a considerable body of research considering the social drivers for energy demand and
- 9 use (e.g., DellaValle, 2019; Strydom, Musango and Currie, 2020)

10 The ESCo literature may initially appear to be the most relevant to discussion of domestic

11 HaaS models, and there is an established literature relating to service-based energy contracts

12 in large public and private sector ~~organisations~~organizations (for example Hannon, Foxon,

13 and Gale 2013; Suhonen and Okkonen 2013; Bolton and Hannon 2016; Boza-Kiss and

14 Bertoldi 2019). However, Nolden, Sorrell and Polzin (2016) found little evidence that energy

15 service contracts have moved beyond well-established technologies to deliver innovative

16 solutions with lower rates of return. Additionally, these models for energy efficiency

17 improvements have not historically had much success in the residential sector due to the lack

18 of economies of scale, difficulties in access sufficient data, and the complexities of consumer

19 ~~behaviour~~behavior (Rai, Reeves, and Margolis 2016; Cleary and Palmer 2019).

20 Literature on residential retrofit financing provides some insights into how service-based

21 approaches could engage consumers with Brown, Sorrell and Kivimaa (2019) exploring how

22 ~~the design features of~~ financial mechanisms for residential retrofit influence the success of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

business models. They suggest that mechanisms that ~~reduce complexity by~~ simplifying the consumer journey are likely to achieve much higher levels of uptake but that finance alone is unlikely to ~~be a driver of~~ demand for whole-house retrofit, and so ~~instead~~ should be viewed as a ~~necessary~~ component of a much broader retrofit strategy.

3.2 HaaS models in practice

The ~~academic and grey~~ literature specifically on HaaS is extremely small and few examples of HaaS in practice are evident. The most substantive academic discussion of HaaS appears to be by Skovshoved and Sandqvist (2017) ~~in a Master's thesis on Industrial Engineering and Management~~, which aims to identify ~~service opportunities in the~~ heat pump ~~business based on a consumer value perspective~~ value propositions. It provides a description of a business model offered in Denmark by ~~a company called~~ Best Green. Best Green offers a service they describe as HaaS whereby the end consumer pays for heat produced by the heat pump, an annual service fee and initial installation costs but the heat pump is purchased and owned by the company (Skovshoved and Sandqvist, 2017). ~~The target audience includes householders, municipalities and small commercial consumers with consumers benefiting from low heat pump purchase prices due to bulk purchase and subsidies.~~ The scheme aims to be simple, ~~and maintenance-free and~~ remove hassle and risk for the end consumer, and reduce heat pump purchase prices through bulk purchase.

Whilst the Best Green example is referred to as HaaS by both Best Green (State of Green 2020) and Skovshoved and Sandqvist (2017), ~~organisations~~ organizations ~~may~~ have differing ~~conceptualisations~~ conceptualizations of what defines a HaaS business model with Delta EE (2019b, 1) suggesting such business models require service providers to take on five specific

risks, “all of which (other than energy price risk) have historically been borne by the consumer”. They define these as:

- Financial risk - Credit risk of providing a heating appliance for little or no upfront payment
- Technical risk - Routine maintenance and repairs for the heating appliance
- Performance risk - Efficiency of the heating appliance, the heat distribution system and the consumer’s property
- ~~Behaviour~~Behavioral risk - Impact of consumer ~~behaviour~~behavior on quantity and timing of heat demand
- Energy price risk - Fluctuations in wholesale energy prices

Under such definition, the Best Green offering does not require the service provider to take on ~~behaviour~~behavior or energy price risk (as charges are made against kWhs of heat rather than agreed temperatures) and is instead defined as “efficient asset leasing”. Delta EE (2019b) identified a trial in the Netherlands which takes on all five risks. The trial, by energy supplier Eneco, involves consumers being charged for a fixed monthly fee for 20°C warmth rather than heat generated. Limited details are available on how hot water is charged within the contract. However, trials by the Energy Systems Catapult in the UK, discussed further below, suggest that hot water tends to be incorporated on an unlimited basis in monthly heat contracts.

In terms of research projects explicitly seeking to explore HaaS business models, only two such projects were evident in the literature one in Denmark and one in the UK. The first was

an ERA-Net Smart Grids Plus project “Markets, Actors and Technologies: A comparative study of smart grid Solutions” (MATCH) case study in Denmark. The case study focused on a demonstration project, GreenCom, which studied remotely-controlled demand side management via heat pumps and photovoltaics (Christensen and Friis 2017). However, the term HaaS appeared to be used as a synonym for remotely-controlled demand side management (with defined maximum and minimum temperatures) and it is not clear whether consumers paid a fixed price for the maintenance of an agreed temperature plan, as in a ‘classic’ HaaS model, or whether they actually paid based on kWhs used (as in a conventional energy-as-a-commodity model).

The second project was the Smart Systems & Heat ~~program~~programme (SSH) run by the Energy Systems Catapult (ESC) in the United Kingdom. Phase 1 of the SSH ~~program~~programme included a trial of a consumer orientated Home Energy Management System (HEMS) in 30 homes in 2016-17 which allowed multi-zone control of heating via a consumer interface. The study revealed the extent to which comfort preferences are both personal and contextual to the room type. Additionally, workshops with a sub-set of participants and a control group explored how HaaS might work. The workshops indicated that the majority of participants in the trial were enthusiastic about the idea of comfort and cleanliness as services, rather than energy purchases, whereas the control group of consumers were not enthusiastic about the idea of services (Energy Technologies Institute 2019).

The SSH ~~program~~me also explored experiences of comfort in residential properties, highlighting significant latent dissatisfaction and ~~emphasising~~emphasizing the complexity of people’s experiences of comfort. The project suggests that understanding the complex factors influencing energy ~~behaviour~~behaviors and use are likely to be central to developing HaaS models to ensure consumer propositions are viable and the risk of not meeting consumer

service commitments are priced correctly. This will require extensive data on building archetypes as well as from connected home devices. Such data would need to include insight on efficiency of the fabric of the home, performance of major appliances such as boilers, the way windows are used, the time of day when heat is needed most and so on (Energy Technologies Institute 2018b).

~~Building on the learning from stage one of the SSH programme, the Energy Systems Catapult~~
The ESC then created a “Living Lab” of 100 homes to test Heat as a Service during the winter of 2017/18. Each household received advanced heating controls and the chance to buy Heat as a Service in Warm Hours instead of kilowatt hours via Heat Plans (Energy Systems Catapult 2019c). Heat as a Service propositions (Heat Plans) were chosen by around half of trial participants and proved themselves attractive to a range of consumers and home heating requirements. Trial participants valued better control of their domestic heat and costs but chose to use this increased control in different ways, depending on their priorities (cost, comfort, flexibility); ~~however, almost all decided to heat fewer rooms~~ (Energy Technologies Institute 2018a; Energy Systems Catapult 2019b).

The trials produced a large volume of data on household needs and home energy performance which enabled market segmentation based on temperature, space and timing of heat requirements (Energy Systems Catapult 2019d). The 2017/18 field trial also indicated Heat Plans ~~or other service propositions~~ could increase consumers’ openness to switch from gas boilers to ~~alternative~~ low carbon heating with 58% of participants open to the idea of having an alternative low carbon heating system when replacing their gas boiler (compared to 36% of households in a segmentation survey of the wider population, n=3,000). This increased to 85% if participants could be ~~given a guarantee~~ that their current levels of comfort and cost could be met (which was the aim of the Heat Plans) (Energy Systems Catapult 2019e). The

field trial also explored issues of interoperability and identified key areas for future development such as: commercially interoperable marketplaces, agreed open standards for ~~the use of~~ domestic connected devices and data, alignment of physical trading approaches, ~~open data standards to allow for the movement of people's data,~~ and appropriate approaches to consumer protection (Energy Systems Catapult, 2019f). The ESC is continuing to trial HaaS models, including working with ~~municipal~~ supplier, Bristol Energy, to further understand economically viable ways of offering HaaS (Energy Systems Catapult, 2020).

3.3 The role of consumers in HaaS

~~Reecognising~~Recognizing that ~~digitalisation~~digitalization and technological change mean the retail energy market is ~~expected to evolve~~ing rapidly, the UK charity Citizens Advice commissioned ~~a series of reports~~research on how such changes may impact ~~on different types of~~ consumers (Crisp and Kruja 2019). The research identified some major barriers to accessing offers in a future market, including digital exclusion, financial barriers, ~~and issues around~~ consumer engagement and trust. In particular they identify consumer confidence in sharing data as a key issue ~~in the development of HaaS models. A~~ with a representative survey of UK adults ~~by Citizens Advice~~ revealing that 51% of respondents were not comfortable sharing near real-time energy usage data (Crisp and Kruja 2019).

A study by Delta EE for Citizens Advice reviewed the opportunities and barriers for six future business models ~~in order to explore the opportunities and barriers likely to emerge in the future energy retail market~~, including energy-as-a-service (EaaS) (Delta EE 2019a). They suggest the biggest barriers to energy-as-a-service business models relate to (1) physical aspect of the home (such as service standards not being guaranteed for unsuitable homes), (2) changes in circumstance (leading to contractual uncertainty), (3) trust (the unfamiliarity of

1 EaaS and the need for redress to be clear), and (4) digital literacy (those without digital
 2 access or literacy being excluded). They also identified the benefits of EaaS ~~for consumers,~~
 3 ~~energy networks and policymakers~~ as relating to their ability to simplify complex future
 4 energy markets, enable ~~consumers to~~ access to new low-carbon technologies and enable
 5 demand-side response. An additional study for Citizens Advice convened workshops with
 6 106 consumers, ~~who received information, and were asked about their views,~~ on various new
 7 energy retail models. Their research on heat as a service revealed people had mixed views of
 8 this supply model. Consumers felt that homes need a high level of energy efficiency before
 9 this model can be viable. The fear of losing control was a recurring theme and long-term
 10 contracts were not popular. Whilst the length of HaaS contracts are likely to vary depending
 11 on the extent to which efficiency and heat generation technology costs are incorporated into
 12 monthly charges, indications from the commercial ESCo market suggest that performance
 13 based contracts are typically between 5 and 15 years long (Lombardi, Pazienza, and Rana
 14 2016). Participants were also concerned that less digitally-savvy consumers ~~who are less~~
 15 ~~digitally-savvy~~ could be excluded ~~from HaaS models~~ and were unclear on ~~how problems~~
 16 ~~would be solved or~~ routes to redress (Mulvey, McNab, and Morley 2019).

17 To date, there has been limited analysis of the equity implications of domestic energy- or
 18 heat-as-a-service business models. ~~Recognising~~Recognizing the need for greater analysis of
 19 these issues, Sovacool, Lipson and Chard (2019) ~~analysed~~analyzed the justice implications of
 20 various domestic low carbon innovations and suggest that energy service contracts illustrate
 21 some of the potential tensions between affordability and ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization
 22 benefits (as consumers contract for the same levels of comfort but at a lower cost and using
 23 less energy) and equity concerns (as only some householders may be offered contracts).
 24 However, the Energy Technologies Institute (2018b) propose that such service-based

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 approaches could also allow policymakers to address fuel poverty through providing a route
2 for the government to target subsidies based on paying service providers by results. For
3 example, delivering improved affordability and thermal comfort would be the performance
4 measures for the payment of subsidies rather than the number of homes ~~that are~~ insulated.

5 Overall, both energy-as-a-service and, ~~more~~ specifically, heat-as-a-service business models
6 are poorly defined in the literature. Additionally, while there are (1) a small number of key
7 projects and ~~existing~~ utilities exploring Heat as a Service business models, and (2)
8 established literatures exploring the key aspects of heating behaviors, practices, and energy
9 efficiency business models, there remains a very limited literature that systematically
10 examines the ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization, human wellbeing, and policy implications of
11 HaaS models. This paper seeks to contribute to expanding this literature by offering insights
12 from stakeholders, elicited through the participatory research approach described in Section
13 4.

14 **4. Methodology**

15 In order to explore the evidence needs and research gaps to developing Heat as a Service
16 (HaaS), a stakeholder workshop was carried out in the UK in September 2019. Its aims were
17 to discuss current knowledge on HaaS, ~~both~~ in the UK and ~~internationally, and~~internationally
18 and encourage debate about HaaS’ potential as an energy delivery model. A total of 40
19 participants from academia, industry, civil society, and government sectors attended the
20 workshop (Table 1). Participants were identified through purposive sampling based on
21 information from literature on heat sector stakeholders in the UK, discussions with key
22 ~~organisations~~organizations involved in HaaS trials and heat innovations in the UK, and
23 promotion through the UK Energy Research Centre. The discussions were

~~contextualised~~contextualized by presentations from two industry participants that have led HaaS trials in the UK and a consumer protection ~~organisation~~organization that has carried out research on energy business models.

[Table 1 near here]

Table 1. Workshop participants by sector

Sector	Number of participants
Industry	18
Academia	16
Government	4
Civil Society	2

The workshop was structured using Ketso, a facilitation toolkit used in participatory planning and action research ~~used to facilitate group discussions~~ (Bates 2016; Wengel, McIntosh, and Cockburn-Wootten 2019). This ~~flexible~~ tool helps participants to ~~visualise~~visualize and order their responses to questions and captures a record of individual responses and group ~~prioritisation~~prioritization of issues. Following the Ketso method, participants were divided into five groups and asked to discuss the following questions:

- What work is already happening in HaaS?
- What are the ambitions and opportunities for HaaS?
- What are the barriers and knowledge gaps for the HaaS model?
- How can the barriers and knowledge gaps identified be overcome?

Participants then wrote their ideas and placed them on central clusters around four key topics: (1) ~~behaviour~~behavioral and consumer issues, (2) policy and regulation, (3) technology, data and analytics, and (4) business models and financing. The groups then identified the issue/s they believe to be most important for each question. This process allowed everyone to work on their ideas in parallel and helped to obtain more structured results. Emerging themes from each topic were ~~analysed~~analyzed, compared, and verified against the literature. These findings are presented in Section 5.

5. Evidence needs, barriers, and opportunities for HaaS

This section presents the analysis of the themes that emerged from the stakeholder discussions as well as insights from literature on HaaS. It discusses evidence needs, barriers, and opportunities for implementing HaaS across four areas: ~~behaviour~~behavioral and consumer issues, policy and regulation, data analytics and technology, and business models and financing.

5.1 ~~Behaviour~~Behavioral and consumer issues

~~With low carbon~~As technologies such as heat pumps becoming more common, people's perception of *comfort* is likely to change ~~in the future~~ (Morley 2018). Offering HaaS therefore has the potential to unlock better consumer experiences, i.e., warmer or cooler homes, ~~where the value proposition is a fixed price for heat over a set period, rather than kWh~~. As discussed, in Ssection 3, HaaS may also be able to stimulate carbon emissions reductions from both reduced demand and service plans that integrate as energy efficiency and smart technologies ~~can be integrated into service plans~~. In addition, there is emerging

1 evidence that HaaS business models may increase consumers' openness to new technologies
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 evidence that HaaS business models may increase consumers' openness to new technologies
2 with the ESC (Energy Systems Catapult 2019e) ~~Smart Systems & Heat programme~~ Phase 2
3 field trial in the UK suggesting that people with experience of heat plans were more likely to
4 want a heat pump. The trial indicated that while less than 0.1% of people who read an on-line
5 advert wanted a free heat pump, more than 15% of people who took part in the trial were
6 interested.

7 Despite these potential benefits, workshop discussions revealed that energy is generally
8 viewed as a commodity rather than a service. Implementing HaaS will therefore be a
9 transformative challenge for both the energy provider and the consumer. Ultimately, there
10 was consensus amongst stakeholders that the HaaS system needs to be both fair, simple and
11 support the transition to a net zero carbon future. However, consumers are unfamiliar with
12 the HaaS concept and its value propositions and therefore show low interest. Stakeholders
13 suggested that a lack of awareness about HaaS is one the biggest barriers to its deployment.
14 This aligns with the findings of Citizen's Advice (Crisp and Kruja 2019) that the general lack
15 of awareness on low carbon technologies may prevent households from adopting energy
16 services.

17 Further research is needed to identify value propositions for different consumers and to
18 understand the consumer journey from considering HaaS to agreeing a contract and installing
19 energy efficiency and ~~decarbonised~~decarbonized heating technologies. In particular, additional
20 large-scale trials are required to explore consumer motivations and barriers and assist the
21 development of more detailed consumer archetypes. Whilst commercial suppliers may
22 develop such archetypes there is a need for regulators to also develop their understanding of
23 consumer segmentation in order to both regulate suppliers and protect consumers effectively.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 The ability of a home to achieve a certain level of comfort (or service expectation) is very
2 dependent on a range of factors such as the equipment installed, how it is configured, and
3 building efficiency. Buildings, and how they are used, vary enormously, even between those
4 of similar type, age and size and one study of 290 identical homes found that the highest used
5 twenty times as much heat as the lowest (Andersen 2012). As Delzendeh et al. (2017)
6 identify, a diverse set of factors influence energy ~~behaviour~~behaviors and further research is
7 required in order to better account for occupant ~~behaviour~~behavior in building energy
8 performance analysis.

9 There is also a need for the development of appropriate consumer protection measures to
10 ensure consumers understand the benefits and risks of complex service-based offerings and
11 have provision to adjust contracts if their circumstances change. The UK Heat Trust, which
12 acts as an independent consumer champion for heat networks, was cited by participants as an
13 example of a framework to hold suppliers to account and set expected standards. A review of
14 heat network business models may also provide some learning in relation to the provision of
15 service-based contracts.

16
17 Table 2 ~~summarises~~summarizes the barriers, evidence needs, and research gaps related to
18 ~~behaviour~~behavior and consumer issues identified during the workshop. The main barriers
19 ~~centred~~centered on lack of consumer awareness of HaaS, digital literacy, the length of
20 contract with energy service provider and home efficiency. In order to address these, the
21 evidence needs and research gaps are highlighted to help define the way forward. *[Table 2 near*
22 *here]*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

For Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

Table 2. ~~Behaviour~~Behavioral and consumer issues for HaaS

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
<i>Lack of awareness</i> about HaaS which may lead to lack of trust in third-party energy service providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Research to identify value propositions for different consumer segments- Additional, large-scale HaaS trials to understand consumer’s motivations and barriers- Developing independent organisations (e.g., UK Heat Trust) to protect consumer’s interests
<i>Low digital literacy</i> of consumers, especially those without access to a smartphone which may be needed in order to access HaaS energy settings (e.g., through applications that control temperature in each individual room and alerts about usage)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Developing and testing programmes to improve digital energy literacy in target populations- Consumer research to explore the impact of presenting information regarding the risks and benefits of contracts in various ways
<i>Length of contract with energy provider</i> Consumers currently value short term contracts (1-2 years) and the ability to change provider	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Trialling<u>Trialing</u> of new platforms that compare and quantify benefits and risks for different energy/heat propositions, over varying timescales, to facilitate consumer decision making

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
<p>Energy use behaviour<u>behaviors</u> influenced by a diverse set of factors related to occupant's energy use and building energy performance</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consumer research across a wider range of end user types and tenures - Interdisciplinary studies to understand the interrelations between energy demand, business models, data and consumer behaviour<u>behavior</u> - Large-scale studies which consider the impact of equipment type, configuration, building efficiency and use on the ability for service expectations to be met
<p><i>Lack of clarity about consumer's willingness for disruption</i> at home for retrofit and renovation needed for HaaS and <i>guaranteed efficiency</i></p> <p>Service companies might not guarantee outcomes for energy inefficient homes and there can be insufficient space to install new equipment</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of a communication tool that integrates independent advice, consumers, and installers to help overcome consumer unwillingness for home disruption due to retrofits - Trialling<u>Trialing</u> HaaS as part of the retrofit package with the supplier coordinating energy efficiency retrofit, installation of new heating systems, controls, and financing.

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Introducing a competitive system for saving carbon at various levels, i.e., individual, local and national to improve home efficiency

5.2 Policy and regulation

Policy and regulation are key to enabling low carbon technologies and emerging business models whilst protecting consumer interests and ensuring social equity. The acceleration of heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization is intrinsically connected with new policies and regulations that protect consumers, address fuel poverty, enable new revenue streams, ~~incentivise~~incentivize low carbon solutions and increase resilience. The Energy Technologies Institute argued that, although a shift to retailing energy services in place of units of energy may well happen over time, it is unlikely to happen naturally at sufficient pace to establish the conditions to ~~decarbonised~~decarbonize heat. Therefore, “commercial, policy and regulatory opportunities will need to converge if such services are to play a role in ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization” (Energy Technologies Institute 2018a, 21).

Results from the workshop indicate that policy support will be needed at different stages of HaaS development to meet the needs of consumers, suppliers, and the heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization agenda in a way that is equitable. Householders, for example, need support to cover investment costs associated with retrofitting properties with energy efficient and low-carbon heating technologies (e.g., heat pumps), and suppliers are unlikely to be able to integrate financing for such measures into HaaS without supportive policies or

incentives (Lakatos and Arsenopoulos 2019). Results from the ESC trials (Energy Systems Catapult 2019b) also show that the financial viability of HaaS is not yet proven for both suppliers and consumers.

There is a lack of comparative studies of the development of HaaS models across different countries, and regulatory contexts, as well as the benefits and challenges of different varieties of HaaS. As identified by Delta EE (2019b) there are several utilities already offering services branded as HaaS across a number of European countries; however, the services offered and the allocation of risk between supplier and consumer differ across models and future studies could explore the impact of differing regulatory contexts, financing mechanisms, and contractual arrangements.

Additionally, there is a lack of understanding of policy conflicts related to HaaS. For example, in the UK there is growing interest in HaaS within policymakers (BEIS 2018b) and some awareness of the need for longer-term contracts under such models. However, there is also an ongoing faster switching programme which seeks to ensure reliable next day switching by 2021 and potential misalignments between the two agendas are yet to be fully explored. In addition, the development of HaaS is dependent on wider research on heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization including understanding how flexibility services and markets will evolve.

Significantly, there is a need to address the potential for HaaS models to exclude some householders (for example, due to physical aspects of the home ~~resulting in difficulty in~~ guaranteeing service standards) and how such business models should be integrated with energy efficiency subsidy programmes. As identified by Seebauer, Friesenecker, and Eisfeld (2019) much climate and social policy across tends to be fragmented resulting in a lack of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 policy effectively targeted at the fuel poor and there are significant research gaps in
2 understanding how energy services business models impact on the fuel poor. Table 3
3 ~~summarises~~summarizes the policy and regulation barriers for the consumer, suppliers, and
4 heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization, and highlights the respective evidence needs and
5 research gaps. [Table 3 near here]

Table 3. Policy and regulation challenges for HaaS

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
<i>No clear policy on social equity</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Exploration of how HaaS approaches could be integrated with fuel poverty programmes- Policy to ensure effective dispute resolution, redress and management of changed consumer circumstances- Consumer research to explore the impact of presenting information regarding the risks and benefits of contracts in various ways, including the use of scenarios
<i>Switching regulation (between suppliers) and the use of long-term contracts</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Research to explore regulatory approaches to enabling long-term contracts whilst maintaining consumer protection measures

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
<i>Supply Licensing conditions and tariff rules tend not to be supportive of HaaS</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - New regulatory support for utility bundles with rent, with an appropriate competition framework for providers - Review of supply licensing to align future licenses and regulation with evolving business models; this would include the development of more modular, principle-based regulation to support innovation - Further use and analysis of regulatory sandboxes to test new business models
<p><i>Lack of comprehensive heat decarbonisation<u>decarbonization</u> strategy and policies in many countries</i></p> <p>Existing incentives are often underperforming or not aligned. For example, the extent to which the assignment of rights within existing subsidies programmes (such as the RHI in the UK) inhibits HaaS models is unclear.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conduct a full-scale review of the policy and regulatory framework for heat, including assessment of how to create an attractive investment climate for heat as a service business models - Investigate the effect of radical policies that ban gas or make it more

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
	expensive and carbon emissions
	pricing
	- Long-term subsidies and incentives
	to accelerate heat
	decarbonisation <u>decarbonization</u>

1

2 **5.3 Technology, data, and analytics**

3 HaaS models require extensive collection of energy consumption data from households,

4 buildings and domestic connected devices, as well as information on consumer

5 ~~behaviour~~behavior, heating practices and needs. This data could inform the design of an

6 intelligent heating system that predicts how much heat each household needs, allowing

7 suppliers to provide services based on demand and offer more advanced heat

8 ~~customisation~~customization. While these data and technology could provide benefits and

9 opportunities for heat service providers and consumers, these could also introduce more

10 challenges for both.

11

12 Developing open standard data and interoperable systems which could exchange data and

13 information, allow free public access, -and enable different services to work together is a new

14 challenge in this area. Additionally, more connected devices at domestic level could provide

15 opportunities for internal and external hackers to gain ~~unauthorised~~unauthorized access to the

16 digital platform of the heating system. Finally, preserving consumer data privacy and trust

17 between consumers and providers should be considered as a high priority challenge for HaaS

models. The trade-off between data privacy and developing a highly tailored offering is a complex issue that needs to be further understood (Energy Technologies Institute 2018a). Understanding (and pricing) the risk of energy uses not meeting expectations is particularly central to HaaS models as the outcome (i.e., X number of warm hours) is guaranteed regardless of the amount of energy actually used. To date, the Energy System Catapult trials in the UK have provided the most detailed information on the issues associated with developing HaaS models (Energy Systems Catapult 2019b, 2019c, 2019d). However, the limited nature of existing trials means that there is significant uncertainty regarding whether HaaS models will result in better consumer experiences (i.e., warmer, cheaper homes) which are also lower carbon. Table 4 summarises the barriers, evidence needs, and research gaps related to technology, data, and analytics. [Table 4 near here]

Table 4. Technology, data, and analytics

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
<i>Lack of central data curation platform</i>	- Large scale trials to enable data collection from various sources and facilitate data curation activities
that would enable data management, maintenance, discovery and retrieval	- Investigate how Internet of Things (IoT) technology can be used to provide big data generation and management for heat service providers
	- Research to better understand Information and Communication

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
	Technology (ICT) needs related to data and suitable communication systems, understanding of how much data is needed, how often data needs to be collected and transmitted, and which communication technology is the most suitable.
<i>Barriers to learning</i> Much of the data being generated in relation to HaaS is held by utilities and not shared more widely due to commercial sensitivity	- Increased research and data sharing by universities, NGOs and Governments.
<i>Data privacy</i>	- Exploration of solutions to protect consumer data from unauthorised unauthorized access or disclosure
<i>Cybersecurity of HaaS digital infrastructure</i>	- Explore solutions to protect HaaS digital infrastructure against confidentiality, integrity, and availability attacks. These attacks could be unintentional or intentional cyber-attacks such as Denial of

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
	Service attack to make heat services unavailable.
<i>Interoperability of data and technology</i>	- Development of agreed open standards for the use of domestic connected devices and their interfacing with multiple platforms.
<i>Rate of change in Information and Communications Technology (ICT) is higher than low carbon heating services, which could cause problems both for consumers and providers</i>	- More research on how to provide future proof ICT technology for heat services
<i>Limited (skilled) installer and technician capacity</i>	- Funding for training installers and Information Technology technicians - Development of industry guides on installation of low carbon technologies

5.4 Novel business models and financing

Novel business models can offer new value propositions for the consumer, unlock the market to new technology companies, and generate new revenue streams. Access to finance to fund

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization is a key issue for homeowners and while HaaS business
2 models have been identified as helping to address these challenges through enabling the
3 packaging of heat and asset costs in a long-term contract, it is as yet unclear whether such
4 models will be attractive to consumers or viable for suppliers.

5 Additionally, there is limited understanding of the interactions between HaaS and other
6 evolving business models such as peer to peer or time-of-use tariffs. While some studies seek
7 to compare consumer attitudes across a range of emerging business models (such as Crisp
8 and Kruja 2019), most studies tend to be relatively small scale and assess consumer
9 preferences based on abstracted descriptions of business models, rather than providing
10 participants with tailored scenarios based on actual consumption and housing types.

11 Similarly, there is significant interest in the potential for households with smart controls,
12 domestic generation technologies, storage, or other ability to shift demand, to play a
13 significant role in balancing high variable renewables systems. ~~Incentivising~~Incentivizing
14 such flexibility services would require consumers to shift demand either electively (i.e.,
15 householders receive alerts about price changes and choose whether to adjust demand) or
16 through automated processes and it is currently unclear how such arrangements would
17 interact with HaaS contracts.

18

19 Table 5 ~~summarisessummarizes~~ the barriers, evidence needs, and research gaps around news
20 business models and financing. The barriers identified during the workshop relate to asset
21 ownership, house retrofit investability, access to finance for low carbon technologies,
22 competition between suppliers and investor confidence in the security of revenue
23 streams. *[Table 5 near here]*

24

Table 5. Novel business models and financing

Barriers	Evidence needs and research gaps
<i>Asset ownership</i> (consumer vs. supplier) and risk of defaulting	- More research into consumer-supplier relationships to address asset ownership and mitigate the risk of defaulting. This should include exploration of mechanisms to allow HaaS contract renegotiation if consumer circumstances change.
<i>Understanding whole-house retrofit investability under HaaS</i>	- Comparative studies of the relative impact, attractiveness and costs of funding whole-house retrofit both within and outside of HaaS business models (i.e. comparison with low cost or free loans).
It is unclear the extent to which long-term contracts incorporating efficiency measures are viable or attractive for suppliers or householders.	- Testing of novel packages such as buy/build to let/rent with insurance and heat/energy delivery included.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

<i>Lack of finance to enable low carbon technology</i>	- Trialling <u>Trialing</u> of interest free loans from government to enable low carbon technologies and support heat
There is limited access to finance and incentives and government grants are essential	decarbonisation <u>decarbonization</u> - Studies of how different forms of asset financing (separate commercial loan, integrated in long-term contract, government backed loan etc.), impact on HaaS viability and outcomes
<i>Investor confidence in security of revenue stream</i>	- Comparative studies of the development of HaaS models across different countries, and regulatory contexts, as well as the benefits and challenges of different varieties of HaaS. Learning from other service-based sectors (broadband, telecoms) to increase investor confidence
There is a perception that low carbon technologies will increase bills especially in the private rented sector	- Develop a value proposition for HaaS that guarantees energy bills would not go up compared to the traditional energy as a commodity model

1
2

6. Conclusions and policy recommendations

With the urgent need to meet global carbon reduction targets, Heat as a Service business models present an innovative approach to accelerating ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization via a consumer-~~foeussed~~focused energy service that provides heating alongside the use of smart systems and low carbon technologies. However, lessons from UK trials and insights from stakeholders suggest that for HaaS to make a viable contribution to ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization, a number of barriers related to ~~behaviour~~behavior change, policy, technology and financing need to be overcome. As analysis of stakeholder views and existing literature indicates, there are a number of evidence needs, research gaps, as well as opportunities that need to be explored around HaaS. Here, we ~~summarises~~summarize findings and propose recommendations for policy that could enable the uptake of HaaS business models and ~~maximisem~~maximize its potential contribution to ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization.

6.1 Uncertainties around consumer preference, building performance, and policy frameworks, limit HaaS uptake

Whilst there is considerable policy and industry interest in the potential to develop service-based heating business models, there remains limited knowledge about the potential for such models to accelerate uptake of ~~decarbonised~~decarbonized heating systems. In theory, the more granular understanding of consumer preferences and building performance required to offer HaaS could also enable businesses to offer tailored contracts that incorporate energy efficiency retrofit and ~~decarbonised~~decarbonized heating technologies. However, there remains significant uncertainty regarding how to manage risks between the supplier and consumer, and in financing such offers.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Similarly, despite the potential for HaaS business models to deliver better consumer comfort and simplify future energy markets, it is important to note that many national policies and programmes to decarbonisedecarbonize heat are underperforming or under-ambitious. For example, in the UK, the Renewable Heat Incentive has delivered significantly lower numbers of low carbon heating systems than anticipated. This is largely due to the need for householders to provide upfront capital and limited consumer awareness of technologies. Yet, there is considerable consensus that a more ambitious approach is required (Connor et al. 2015; Committee on Climate Change 2019; Lowes et al. 2020). Without comprehensive policy frameworks to support the roll out of new heating technologies, the extent to which HaaS business models will deliver decarbonisationdecarbonization is unclear.

The development of HaaS is also dependent on developing a much more detailed understanding of building energy use and consumer behaviourbehavior. This includes the integration of quantitative and qualitative research findings into energy simulation tools, analysis of the impact of interior design (space layout, fixtures and fittings) on occupants' behaviourbehavior and the use of psychological-cognitive behaviourbehavioral methods (Delzendeh et al. 2017). The development of local flexibility services and markets also has the potential to have a significant impact on the viability of decarbonisedecarbonized HaaS offerings.

As discussed in Section 2, experiences of 'comfort' in relation to domestic heating are influenced by a complex range of social and cultural factors. Emerging literature is beginning to explore how heating preferences and practices might evolve as smart controls become commonplace (see Sovacool et al. 2020a, 2020b, 2020c) however, there is a need to further explore these dynamics in relation to decarbonized heating systems. Current experimentation with HaaS business models is only just beginning to explore how conceptions of comfort

1 ~~may change as alternative heating and cooling technologies are adopted.~~ For example, while
2 the ESC Smart Systems & Heat programme indicated that most participants chose to heat
3 fewer rooms, none of these households had installed a heat pump where differences in the
4 operation of the heating system have the potential to change perceptions of comfort and/or
5 heating practices.

6 ***6.2 More trials are needed to address consumer concerns and lack of understanding of*** 7 ***HaaS***

8 Understanding the complex factors influencing energy ~~behaviour~~behavior and use are likely
9 to be central to developing viable HaaS models ~~which are viable and minimise~~minimize the
10 ~~risk of not meeting customer~~consumer service commitments are priced correctly. This will
11 require extensive data on building and consumer archetypes as well as from connected home
12 devices.

13 Accordingly, addressing concerns around trust, energy service provision, and lack of
14 understanding of HaaS will require more trials that consider different consumer groups and
15 their motivations. This can allow HaaS providers to offer tailor-fit solutions with a variety of
16 technology options and financing plans that address risks for both suppliers and consumers.
17 As energy systems become smarter and more connected there is also a need for policymakers
18 and regulators to develop a much more detailed understanding of consumer archetypes, to
19 support this any ~~publically~~publicly-funded HaaS trials should ensure that detailed analysis is
20 made widely available.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

6.3 HaaS requires supportive policies for market development and regulation

There is consensus among stakeholders that stronger policy strategies are needed for heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization. Specifically, for HaaS, current market regulations are restrictive and not set-up for delivering smart energy services (e.g., in terms of supply licensing, tariffs, lack of government subsidies and incentives for homeowners and tenants). These limit consumer uptake and pose risks to current and potential suppliers, ~~who are already faced with the challenge of finding in offering~~ economically viable ~~solutions to offer~~ HaaS models. Supportive market policies will therefore be necessary to ~~incentivise~~incentivize suppliers to incorporate efficiency measures and ~~decarbonised~~decarbonized heating technologies into HaaS -business models.

There is also a need for further research on the equity implications of HaaS models. As identified by Sovacool, Lipson and Chard (2019) there is significant potential for HaaS models to exclude some consumers ~~from being offered contracts~~. Whilst the Energy Technologies Institute (Energy Technologies Institute 2018b) have argued that HaaS models could be compatible with fuel poverty programmes if government subsidies were targeted based on service targets (thermal comfort and affordability), such an approach relies on governments developing policy measures to address the potential exclusion of some householders.

6.4 Open, interoperable, and secure data are key to deploying HaaS

Alongside technology-related challenges such as the effect of electrifying heat on power networks and limited skilled capacities for installing low carbon technologies, HaaS is faced

with data management issues in terms of data privacy, openness, interoperability between systems, and lack of a central platform curating data.

There is a clear need to ensure that data used in delivering HaaS is open, interoperable, and secure. Data openness needs to balance issues of consumer trust, ~~incentivising~~incentivizing energy service retailers to invest in consumer relationships and allowing competition in service offerings. These complex commercial and consumer issues need to be further understood and linked to debate of data use by wider ICT ~~organisations~~organizations (Energy Technologies Institute 2018a).

6.5 Best practice energy services from other countries can inform HaaS development

With HaaS only beginning to gain attention in many countries, there is considerable scope for learning from ~~European~~ countries that have offered business models branded as HaaS. As discussed in Sections 2 and 3.2, there is ambiguity in relation to defining Heat as a Service business models and further comparison of different interpretations of the model could provide learning. For instance, Best Green in Denmark offers asset leasing with a performance and savings guarantee; whilst Thermondo in Germany offers consumers a package comprising asset leasing, heat delivery, maintenance and insurance. The Energy Systems Catapult in the UK are ~~trialling~~trialing an outcome based 'warm hours' model and Eneco, in the Netherlands, are ~~trialling~~trialing what Delta EE (Delta EE 2019b) describe as a fully outcome based HaaS model where agreed temperatures are delivered by a heat pump for a fixed monthly fee.

6.6 To facilitate HaaS, opportunities for consumers, experts, and industry to work together should be explored

Across discussions on ~~behaviour~~behavior change, policy, technology, and financing, HaaS stakeholders ~~emphasised~~emphasized the need for partnerships, specifically ~~the need to~~ connecting installers, consumers, and suppliers ~~in order~~ to facilitate HaaS. This entails sharing insights about what does/doesn't work ~~s and does not work~~ and improving the dialogue amongst government, industry, academia and consumers. These, together with the other opportunities and solutions explored in this paper could potentially enable HaaS to play a much wider role in heat ~~decarbonisation~~decarbonization.

7. References

- Adwek, George, Shen Boxiong, Paul O. Ndolo, Zachary O. Siagi, Chebet Chepsaigutt, Cicilia M. Kemunto, Moses Arowo, John Shimmon, Patrobers Simiyu, and Abel C. Yabo. 2019. *The Solar Energy Access in Kenya: A Review Focusing on Pay-As-You-Go Solar Home System. Environment, Development and Sustainability*. Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-019-00372-x>.
- Amelang, Sören. 2019. "Heating Start-up Thermondo Aims to Be Leading Energy Supplier." Clean Energy Wire. March 2019. <https://www.cleanenergywire.org/news/heating-start-thermondo-aims-be-leading-energy-supplier>. Accessed 11 February 2020.
- Andersen, Rune Korsholm. 2012. "The Influence of Occupants' Behaviour on Energy Consumption Investigated in 290 Identical Dwellings and in 35 Apartments." In *10th International Conference on Healthy Buildings*.
- Bates, James S. 2016. "What's Ketso? A Tool for Researchers, Educators, and Practitioners."

- 1 *Journal of Human Sciences and Extension* 4 (2).
- 2 BEIS. 2018a. "A Future Framework for Heat in Buildings: Call for Evidence Government
- 3 Response." [London, Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/762546/Future_Framework_for_Heat_in_Buildings_Govt_Response__2_.pdf).
- 4 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/762546/Future_Framework_for_Heat_in_Buildings_Govt_Response__2_.pdf)
- 5 [_data/file/762546/Future_Framework_for_Heat_in_Buildings_Govt_Response__2_.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/762546/Future_Framework_for_Heat_in_Buildings_Govt_Response__2_.pdf).
- 6 ———. 2018b. "Clean Growth - Transforming Heating - Overview of Current Evidence."
- 7 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/766109/decarbonisingdecarbonizing-heating.pdf)
- 8 [_data/file/766109/decarbonisingdecarbonizing-heating.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/766109/decarbonisingdecarbonizing-heating.pdf).
- 9 Bolton, Ronan, and Matthew Hannon. 2016. "Governing Sustainability Transitions through
- 10 Business Model Innovation: Towards a Systems Understanding." *Research Policy* 45
- 11 (9): 1731–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2016.05.003>.
- 12 Booth, Adrian, Niko Mohr, and Peter Peters. 2016. "The Digital Utility: New Opportunities
- 13 and Challenges." *Electric Power & Natural Gas*. McKinsey & Company.
- 14 Boza-Kiss, A Toleikytė, and P Bertoldi. 2019. "Energy Service Market in the EU: Status
- 15 Review and Recommendations 2019." Luxembourg. [EU Commission](https://doi.org/10.2760/768),
- 16 <https://doi.org/10.2760/768>.
- 17 Brown, Donal, Steve Sorrell, and Paula Kivimaa. 2019. "Worth the Risk? An Evaluation of
- 18 Alternative Finance Mechanisms for Residential Retrofit." *Energy Policy* 128
- 19 (November 2018): 418–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.12.033>.
- 20 Bryant, Scott T., Karla Straker, and Cara Wrigley. 2018. "The Typologies of Power: Energy
- 21 Utility Business Models in an Increasingly Renewable Sector." *Journal of Cleaner*
- 22 *Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.233>.
- 23 Capelo, C., J. Ferreira Dias, and R. Pereira. 2018. "A System Dynamics Approach to Analyse
- 24 the Impact of Energy Efficiency Policy on ESCO Ventures in European Union
- 25 Countries: A Case Study of Portugal." *Energy Efficiency* 11: 893–925.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s12053-018-9617-9>.

2 Chard, Rose, Matthew Lipson, Jody Osborn, and Shenay Kinyok. 2019. “Designing Smarter

3 Consumer Protection in a Smarter Energy World: Using Field Trials.” [Citizens Advice](#),

4 London.

5 Chaudry, Modassar, Muditha Abeysekera, Seyed Hamid Reza Hosseini, Nick Jenkins, and

6 Jianzhong Wu. 2015. “Uncertainties in ~~Decarbonising~~[Decarbonizing](#) Heat in the UK.”

7 *Energy Policy* 87 (December): 623–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENPOL.2015.07.019>.

8 Christensen, Toke Haunstrup, and Freja Friis. 2017. “Case Study Report Denmark: Findings

9 from Case Studies of ProjectZero , Renewable Energy Island Samso and Innovation

10 Fur.” SBI Forlag.

11 Cleary, Kathryne, and Karen Palmer. 2019. “Energy-as-a-Service : A Business Model for

12 Expanding Deployment of Low-Carbon Technologies.” Washington DC.

13 Committe on Climate Change. 2019. “Net Zero: The UK’s Contribution to Stopping Global

14 Warming.” [London](#)

15 Committee on Climate Change. 2019. “Reducing UK Emissions - 2019 Progress Report to

16 Parliament.” *2019 Progress Report to UK Parliament*. [London, Committee on Climate](#)

17 [Change](#).

18 Connor, Peter M., Lei Xie, Richard Lowes, Jessica Britton, and Thomas Richardson. 2015.

19 “The Development of Renewable Heating Policy in the United Kingdom.” *Renewable*

20 *Energy* 75: 733–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.10.056>.

21 Crisp, Tom, and Krista Kruja. 2019. “Future for All Making a Future Retail Energy Market

22 Work for Everyone.” [London, Citizens Advice](#).

23 Day, Rosie, Gordon Walker, and Neil Simcock. 2016. “Conceptualising Energy Use and

24 Energy Poverty Using a Capabilities Framework.” *Energy Policy*.

25 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.03.019>.

1 DellaValle, Nives. 2019. "People's Decisions Matter: Understanding and Addressing Energy
2 Poverty with Behavioral Economics." *Energy and Buildings*.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.109515>.

4 Delta EE. 2019a. "How Accessible Are Future Energy Supply Business Models? A Report
5 for Citizens Advice." London.
6 ———. 2019b. "Heat as a Service." [https://www.delta-
7 ee.com/images/Infographics/HaaS_Infographic_Final.pdf](https://www.delta-ee.com/images/Infographics/HaaS_Infographic_Final.pdf). [Accessed 21 January 2020](#).

8 Delzendeh, Elham, Song Wu, Angela Lee, and Ying Zhou. 2017. "The Impact of Occupants'
9 Behaviours on Building Energy Analysis: A Research Review." *Renewable and
10 Sustainable Energy Reviews* 80 (September 2016): 1061–71.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.264>.

12 Energy Systems Catapult. 2019a. "Delivering an Effective Field Trial: Lessons from the
13 Living Lab." Birmingham, [ESC](#).
14 ———. 2019b. "Enabling Domestic Interoperability: Insight Report." Birmingham.
15 ———. 2019c. "Field Trial Learnings: Insight Report." Birmingham, [ESC](#).
16 ———. 2019d. "Heat as a Service: An Introduction." Birmingham, [ESC](#).
17 ———. 2019e. "Heat as a Service Case Study Whole System Implications of Heat as a
18 Service Business Model for Demand-Side Management of Electric Heating Demands-." [Birmingham, ESC](#).
19 ———. 2019f. "Smart Systems and Heat Programme: Phase 2 Summary of Key Insights and
20 Emerging Capabilities." Birmingham, [ESC](#).
21 ———. 2020. "Baxi and Bristol Energy Trial Heat-as-a-Service with an Eye towards Zero
22 Carbon." *ESC News*, February 2020. [https://es.catapult.org.uk/news/baxi-and-bristol-
23 energy-heat-services/](https://es.catapult.org.uk/news/baxi-and-bristol-energy-heat-services/). [Accessed 26 February 2020](#).

24 Energy Technologies Institute. 2018a. "Domestic Energy Services." Loughborough, [ETI](#).

- 1 ———. 2018b. “How Can People Get the Heat They Want At Home, Without the Carbon?”
2 Loughborough, ETI.
- 3 ———. 2019. “The Journey to Smarter Heat.” Loughborough, [ETI-www.eti.co.uk](http://www.eti.co.uk).
- 4 European Commission. 2016. “COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE
5 EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, THE COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND
6 SOCIAL COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS. An EU
7 Strategy on Heating and Cooling.” Brussels, Belgium.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>.
- 9 European Council. 2018. *Directive (EU) 2018/2001 of the European Parliament and of the
10 Council on the Promotion of the Use of Energy from Renewable Sources (Recast)*.
11 Brussels, Belgium. European Union.
- 12 Fell, Michael James. 2017. “Energy Services: A Conceptual Review.” *Energy Research and
13 Social Science* 27: 129–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.02.010>.
- 14 Fujimori, S., M. Kainuma, T. Masui, T. Hasegawa, and H. Dai. 2014. “The Effectiveness of
15 Energy Service Demand Reduction: A Scenario Analysis of Global Climate Change
16 Mitigation.” *Energy Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.09.015>.
- 17 Hall, Stephen, Christoph Mazur, Jeffrey Hardy, Mark Workman, and Mark Powell. 2020.
18 “Prioritising Business Model Innovation: What Needs to Change in the United Kingdom
19 Energy System to Grow Low Carbon Entrepreneurship?” *Energy Research and Social
20 Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.101317>.
- 21 Hanna, R F, R Gross, and B Parrish. 2016. “Best Practice in Heat
22 ~~Decarbonisation~~Decarbonization Policy: A Review of the International Experience of
23 Policies to Promote the Uptake of Low-Carbon Heat Supply.” *UKERC Technology and
24 Policy Assessment*. London.
- 25 Hannon, Matthew J., and Ronan Bolton. 2015. “UK Local Authority Engagement with the

- 1
- 2
- 3 1 Energy Service Company (ESCo) Model: Key Characteristics, Benefits, Limitations and
- 4
- 5 2 Considerations.” *Energy Policy* 78: 198–212.
- 6
- 7 3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.11.016>.
- 8
- 9
- 10 4 Hannon, Matthew J., Timothy J. Foxon, and William F. Gale. 2013. “The Co-Evolutionary
- 11
- 12 5 Relationship between Energy Service Companies and the UK Energy System:
- 13
- 14 6 Implications for a Low-Carbon Transition.” *Energy Policy*, no. 61: 1031–45.
- 15
- 16
- 17 7 IEA. 2019. “Renewables 2019.” [Paris](#), France. [International Energy Agency](#).
- 18
- 19 8 IPCC. 2018. “Summary for Policymakers.” Edited by V Masson-Delmotte, P Zhai, H. O.
- 20
- 21 9 Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, A. Pirani, et al. *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An*
- 22
- 23 10 *IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5°C above Pre-Industrial*
- 24
- 25 11 *Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Pathways, in the Context of*
- 26
- 27 12 *Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate Change*,. Geneva.
- 28
- 29
- 30 13 Kalt, Gerald, Dominik Wiedenhofer, Christoph Görg, and Helmut Haberl. 2019.
- 31
- 32 14 “Conceptualizing Energy Services: A Review of Energy and Well-Being along the
- 33
- 34 15 Energy Service Cascade.” *Energy Research and Social Science* 53 (November 2018):
- 35
- 36 16 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.02.026>.
- 37
- 38
- 39 17 Knobloch, Florian, Hector Pollitt, Unnada Chewpreecha, Vassilis Daioglou, and Jean-
- 40
- 41 18 Francois Mercure. 2019. “Simulating the Deep ~~Decarbonisation~~[Decarbonization](#) of
- 42
- 43 19 Residential Heating for Limiting Global Warming to 1.5 °C.” *Energy Efficiency* 12 (2):
- 44
- 45 20 521–50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12053-018-9710-0>.
- 46
- 47
- 48 21 Lakatos, Edit, and Apostolos Arsenopoulos. 2019. “Investigating EU Financial Instruments
- 49
- 50 22 to Tackle Energy Poverty in Households: A SWOT Analysis.” *Energy Sources, Part B:*
- 51
- 52 23 *Economics, Planning, and Policy* 14 (6): 235–53.
- 53
- 54 24 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2019.1667456>.
- 55
- 56
- 57 25 Lombardi, Mariarosaria, Pasquale Pazienza, and Roberto Rana. 2016. “The EU
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
- 2
- 3 1 Environmental-Energy Policy for Urban Areas: The Covenant of Mayors, the ELENA
- 4
- 5 2 Program and the Role of ESCos.” *Energy Policy* 93 (December): 33–40.
- 6
- 7 3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.02.040>.
- 8
- 9
- 10 4 Lowes, Richard, Jan Rosenow, Meysam Qadrdan, and Jianzhong Wu. 2020. “Hot Stuff:
- 11
- 12 5 Research and Policy Principles for Heat ~~Decarbonisation~~Decarbonization through Smart
- 13
- 14 6 Electrification.” *Energy Research and Social Science* 70 (December): 101735.
- 15
- 16 7 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101735>.
- 17
- 18
- 19 8 Morley, Janine. 2018. “Rethinking Energy Services: The Concept of ‘Meta-Service’ and
- 20
- 21 9 Implications for Demand Reduction and Servicizing Policy.” *Energy Policy* 122 (June):
- 22
- 23 10 563–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.07.056>.
- 24
- 25
- 26 11 Mulvey, Dawn, Nicole McNab, and Steve Morley. 2019. “Future Energy Models: Research
- 27
- 28 12 Findings Report.” London, Citizens Advice.
- 29
- 30
- 31 13 Nolden, Colin, Steve Sorrell, and Friedemann Polzin. 2016. “Catalysing the Energy Service
- 32
- 33 14 Market: The Role of Intermediaries.” *Energy Policy* 98: 420–30.
- 34
- 35 15 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.08.041>.
- 36
- 37
- 38 16 Rai, V., D. C. Reeves, and R. Margolis. 2016. “Overcoming Barriers and Uncertainties in the
- 39
- 40 17 Adoption of Residential Solar PV.” *Renewable Energy* 89: 498–505.
- 41
- 42 18 Sarangi, Smruti R., Partha Dutta, and Komal Jalan. 2012. “IT Infrastructure for Providing
- 43
- 44 19 Energy-as-a-Service to Electric Vehicles.” *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid* 3 (2):
- 45
- 46 20 594–604. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2011.2175953>.
- 47
- 48
- 49 21 Seebauer, Sebastian, Michael Friesenecker, and Kristina Einfeld. 2019. “Integrating Climate
- 50
- 51 22 and Social Housing Policy to Alleviate Energy Poverty: An Analysis of Targets and
- 52
- 53 23 Instruments in Austria.” *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy* 14
- 54
- 55 24 (7–9): 304–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2019.1693665>.
- 56
- 57
- 58 25 Selim, Mohamed Y., Ahmad Alsharoa, Ahmed E. Kamal, and Mohammed Abdullah
- 59
- 60

- 1 Alnuem. 2017. "SURE: A Novel Approach for Self Healing Battery Starved Users
- 2 Using Energy Harvesting." *IEEE Access* 5: 6110–20.
- 3 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2672958>.
- 4 Shove, Elizabeth. 2003. *Comfort, Cleanliness and Convenience. The Social Organisation of*
- 5 *Normality*. Oxford: Berg, Oxford.
- 6 Skovshoved, Stina, and Isabelle Sandqvist. 2017. "Customer Value Driven Service
- 7 Innovation: Identifying Service Opportunities in the Residential Heating Market Based
- 8 on Customers' Value Preferences." Linköping, Sweden. Linköpings Universitet.
- 9 Sorrell, Steve, and John Dimitropoulos. 2008. "The Rebound Effect: Microeconomic
- 10 Definitions, Limitations and Extensions." *Ecological Economics*.
- 11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.08.013>.
- 12 Sovacool, Benjamin K., Matthew M. Lipson, and Rose Chard. 2019. "Temporality,
- 13 Vulnerability, and Energy Justice in Household Low Carbon Innovations." *Energy*
- 14 *Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.01.010>.
- 15 Sovacool, Benjamin K., and Mari Martiskainen. 2020. "Hot Transformations: Governing
- 16 Rapid and Deep Household Heating Transitions in China, Denmark, Finland and the
- 17 United Kingdom." *Energy Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111330>.
- 18 State of Green. 2018. "From Policy to Action: Implementation of the European Energy
- 19 Union." [Copenhagen](#), Denamrk. [State of Green](#).
- 20 ———. 2020. "Best Green." 2020. <https://stateofgreen.com/en/partners/best-green/>.
- 21 [Accessed 16 January 2020](#).
- 22 Strydom, Adél, Josephine Kaviti Musango, and Paul K. Currie. 2020. "Connecting Energy
- 23 Services, Carriers and Flows: Rethinking Household Energy Metabolism in Cape Town,
- 24 South Africa." *Energy Research and Social Science*.
- 25 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.101313>.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Suhonen, Niko, and Lasse Okkonen. 2013. “The Energy Services Company (ESCo) as
2 Business Model for Heat Entrepreneurship-A Case Study of North Karelia, Finland.”
3 *Energy Policy* 61 (October): 783–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.06.047>.
4 Wengel, Yana, Alison McIntosh, and Cheryl Cockburn-Wootten. 2019. “Co-Creating
5 Knowledge in Tourism Research Using the Ketso Method.” *Tourism Recreation*
6 *Research* 44 (3): 311–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02508281.2019.1575620>.

For Peer Review Only