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People aren’t saving enough through workplace pensions and it’s not clear how to engage them.

Qualitative methods aimed to understand the complex and contextual nuances of decision-making.
How do individuals understand and make meaningful decisions about workplace pensions in the complex contexts of their everyday lives?

Based on:


• Qualitative interviews using ‘theoretical or purposive’ sampling (Emmel, N. (2013). *Sampling and choosing cases in qualitative research: A realist approach*. London: Sage.)

The research revealed a typology of approaches to workplace pension saving.
Threshold Adults are at the start of their pension saving journey and have more important life goals.

**Threshold Adults**

- Aged 22 – 39 years old
- Focus on achieving goals of adulthood, such as buying a house or achieving job security
- Limited pension participation
- Most knew about financial incentives and still weren’t interested in increasing contributions
- Fatalistic approach to long-term saving
Protectionist Savers had increased contributions but did not engage with the details of their scheme

| Protectionist Savers | Aged from 25 years upwards, had achieved establishment goals  
|                       | Saw pension saving as a social norm, reinforced by peers, partners and parents.  
|                       | Saw matched contributions as a recommendation  
|                       | Happy being part of the system and didn’t consider the details of their scheme |
Sceptical Speculators need to feel more confident about participating in workplace pension saving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sceptical Speculators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Across all age groups and were active savers/investors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Had often sought out information about workplace pension yet still sceptical about the long-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limited pension saving in order to prioritise other, varied forms of saving and investment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Market Investors are active in terms of managing their pension as part of their investment portfolio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Investors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Tended to be older and male, with specific financial knowledge or experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active investors, who felt they were making rational decisions (albeit often not the case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Importance of ‘free cash’ rather than the long-term performance of the scheme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The typology raises important considerations about the model of workplace pension decisions.

Active engagement requires specific knowledge, access, social and economic capital – is this feasible for everyone?

The gendered nature of decision-making may reinforce penalties of career breaks and lower pay for women.
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